

Changwen Li

On weakly s -permutably embedded subgroups

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 52 (2011), No. 1, 21--29

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141425>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On weakly s -permutably embedded subgroups

CHANGWEN LI

Abstract. Suppose G is a finite group and H is a subgroup of G . H is said to be s -permutably embedded in G if for each prime p dividing $|H|$, a Sylow p -subgroup of H is also a Sylow p -subgroup of some s -permutable subgroup of G ; H is called weakly s -permutably embedded in G if there are a subnormal subgroup T of G and an s -permutably embedded subgroup H_{se} of G contained in H such that $G = HT$ and $H \cap T \leq H_{se}$. We investigate the influence of weakly s -permutably embedded subgroups on the p -nilpotency and p -supersolvability of finite groups.

Keywords: weakly s -permutably embedded subgroups, p -nilpotent, n -maximal subgroup

Classification: 20D10, 20D20

1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper are finite. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be s -permutable (or s -quasinormal) [1] in G if H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of G . From Ballester-Bolínches and Pedraza-Aguilera [2], we know H is said to be s -permutably embedded in G if for each prime p dividing $|H|$, a Sylow p -subgroup of H is also a Sylow p -subgroup of some s -permutable subgroup of G . In recent years, it has been of interest to use supplementation properties of subgroups to characterize properties of a group. For example, Wang [3] introduced the concept of c -normal subgroup. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be c -normal in G if there exists a normal subgroup K such that $G = HK$ and $H \cap K \leq H_G$, where H_G is the maximal normal subgroup of G contained in H . In 2007, Skiba [5] introduced the concept of weakly s -permutable subgroup. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be weakly s -permutable in G if there is a subnormal subgroup T of G such that $G = HT$ and $H \cap K \leq H_{sG}$, where H_{sG} is the maximal s -permutable subgroup of G contained in H . As a generalization of above subgroups, Y. Li, S. Qiao and Y. Wang [7] introduced a new subgroup embedding property in a finite group called weakly s -permutably embedded subgroup. In the present paper we characterize p -nilpotency of finite groups with the assumption that some n -maximal subgroups are weakly s -permutably embedded.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be weakly s -permutably embedded in G if there are a subnormal subgroup T of G and an s -permutably embedded subgroup H_{se} of G contained in H such that $G = HT$ and $H \cap T \leq H_{se}$.

Remark. Obviously, s -permutably embedding property implies weakly s -permutably embedding property. The converse does not hold in general. For example, suppose $G = S_4$, the symmetric group of degree 4. Take $H = \langle (34) \rangle$. Then H is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , but not s -permutably embedded in G .

Lemma 2.2 ([7, Lemma 2.5]). *Let H be a weakly s -permutably embedded subgroup of a group G .*

- (1) *If $H \leq L \leq G$, then H is weakly s -permutably embedded in L .*
- (2) *If $N \triangleleft G$ and $N \leq H \leq G$, then H/N is weakly s -permutably embedded in G/N .*
- (3) *If H is a π -subgroup and N is a normal π' -subgroup of G , then HN/N is weakly s -permutably embedded in G/N .*

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a group and p a prime such that $p^{n+1} \nmid |G|$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. If $(|G|, (p-1)(p^2-1)\dots(p^n-1)) = 1$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

PROOF: Suppose that the statement is not true and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Obviously, every subgroup of G satisfies the hypothesis of the Lemma. The minimal choice of G implies that G is a minimal non- p -nilpotent group. By [11, III, 5.2 and IV, 5.4], $G = P \rtimes Q$ is a subdirect product of two Sylow subgroups. It is easy to see that every proper quotient group of G satisfies the hypothesis. Thus $\Phi(P) = \Phi(G) = 1$ and so P is an elementary abelian p -group. Since $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(P)$ and $|\text{Aut}(P)|$ divides $(p-1)(p^2-1)\dots(p^n-1)$ for $|P| \leq p^n$, we have $N_G(P)/C_G(P) = 1$. This induces that G is p -nilpotent by [6, Theorem 10.1.8]. The contradiction completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4 ([8, A, 1.2]). *Let U , V , and W be subgroups of a group G . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $U \cap VW = (U \cap V)(U \cap W)$;
- (2) $UV \cap UW = U(V \cap W)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([9, Lemma 2.3]). *Suppose that H is s -permutable in G , P a Sylow p -subgroup of H , where p is a prime. If $H_G = 1$, then P is s -permutable in G .*

Lemma 2.6 ([9, Lemma 2.4]). *Suppose P is a p -subgroup of G contained in $O_p(G)$. If P is s -permutably embedded in G , then P is s -permutable in G .*

Lemma 2.7 ([18, Lemma A]). *If P is an s -permutable p -subgroup of G for some prime p , then $N_G(P) \geq O^p(G)$.*

Lemma 2.8 ([4, Lemma 2.8]). *Let M be a maximal subgroup of G and P a normal p -subgroup of G such that $G = PM$, where p is a prime. Then $P \cap M$ is a normal subgroup of G .*

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a group and p a prime such that $(|G|, (p-1)(p^2-1)\dots(p^n-1)) = 1$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every n -maximal subgroup (if exists) of P is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

PROOF: Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. We will derive a contradiction in several steps.

(1) G is not a non-abelian simple group.

By Lemma 2.3, $p^n \parallel |P|$ and so there exists a non-identity n -maximal subgroup P_n of P . By the hypothesis, P_n is weakly s -permutably embedded in G . Then there are a subnormal subgroup T of G and an s -permutably embedded subgroup $(P_n)_{se}$ of G contained in P_n such that $G = P_n T$ and $P_n \cap T \leq (P_n)_{se}$. If G is simple, then $T = G$ and so $P_n = (P_n)_{se}$ is s -permutably embedded in G . Thus there is an s -permutable subgroup K of G such that P_n is a Sylow p -subgroup of K . Since G is simple, we have $K_G = 1$. By Lemma 2.5, P_n is s -permutable in G . Therefore $N_G(P_n) \geq O^p(G) = G$ by Lemma 2.7. It follows that $P_n \triangleleft G$, a contradiction.

(2) G has a unique minimal normal subgroup N such that G/N is p -nilpotent. Moreover $\Phi(G) = 1$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Consider G/N . We will show G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Since P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , PN/N is a Sylow p -subgroup of G/N . If $|PN/N| \leq p^n$, then G/N is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. So we may suppose $|PN/N| \geq p^{n+1}$. Let M_n/N be an n -maximal subgroup of PN/N . Then $M_n = N(M_n \cap P)$. Let $P_n = M_n \cap P$. It follows that $P_n \cap N = M_n \cap P \cap N = P \cap N$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of N . Since

$$p^n = |PN/N : M_n/N| = |PN : (M_n \cap P)N| = |P : M_n \cap P| = |P : P_n|,$$

P_n is an n -maximal subgroup of P . By the hypothesis, P_n is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , thus there are a subnormal subgroup T of G and an s -permutably embedded subgroup $(P_n)_{se}$ of G contained in P_n such that $G = P_n T$ and $P_n \cap T \leq (P_n)_{se}$. So $G/N = M/N \cdot TN/N = P_n N/N \cdot TN/N$. Since $(|N : P_n \cap N|, |N : T \cap N|) = 1$, $(P_n \cap N)(T \cap N) = N = N \cap G = N \cap (P_n T)$. By Lemma 2.6, $(P_n N) \cap (TN) = (P_n \cap T)N$. It follows that $(P_n N/N) \cap (TN/N) = (P_n N \cap TN)/N = (P_n \cap T)N/N \leq (P_n)_{se}N/N$. Since $(P_n)_{se}N/N$ is s -permutably embedded in G/N by [2, Lemma 2.1], we have that M_n/N is weakly s -permutably embedded in G . Therefore G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. The choice of G yields that G/N is p -nilpotent. Consequently the uniqueness of N and the fact that $\Phi(G) = 1$ are obvious.

(3) $O_{p'}(G) = 1$.

If $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$, then $N \leq O_{p'}(G)$ by step (2). Since

$$G/O_{p'}(G) \cong (G/N)/(O_{p'}(G)/N)$$

is p -nilpotent, we have G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(4) $O_p(G) = 1$.

If $O_p(G) \neq 1$, Step (2) yields $N \leq O_p(G)$ and $\Phi(O_p(G)) \leq \Phi(G) = 1$. Therefore, G has a maximal subgroup M such that $G = MN$ and $G/N \cong M$ is p -nilpotent. Since $O_p(G) \cap M$ is normalized by N and M , $O_p(G) \cap M$ is normal in G . The uniqueness of N yields $N = O_p(G)$. Since $P \cap M < P$, there is a maximal subgroup P_1 of P such that $P \cap M \leq P_1$. Take an n -maximal subgroup P_n of P such that $P_n \leq P_1$. By the hypothesis, there are a subnormal subgroup T of G and an s -permutably embedded subgroup $(P_n)_{se}$ of G contained in P_n such that $G = P_n T$ and $P_n \cap T \leq (P_n)_{se}$. So there is an s -permutable subgroup K of G such that $(P_n)_{se}$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of K . If $K_G \neq 1$, then $N \leq K_G \leq K$. It follows that $N \leq (P_n)_{se} \leq P_1$, and so $P = N(P \cap M) = NP_1 = P_1$, a contradiction. If $K_G = 1$, by Lemma 2.7, $(P_n)_{se}$ is s -permutable in G . From Lemma 2.7 we have $O^p(G) \leq N_G((P_n)_{se})$. Since $(P_n)_{se}$ is subnormal in G , $P_n \cap T \leq (P_n)_{se} \leq O_p(G) = N$ by [12, Corollary 1.10.17]. Thus, $(P_n)_{se} \leq P_1 \cap N$ and

$$(P_n)_{se} \leq ((P_n)_{se})^G = ((P_n)_{se})^{O^p(G)P} = ((P_n)_{se})^P \leq (P_1 \cap N)^P = P_1 \cap N \leq N.$$

It follows that $((P_n)_{se})^G = 1$ or $((P_n)_{se})^G = P_1 \cap N = N$. If $((P_n)_{se})^G = 1$, then $P_n \cap T = 1$ and so $|T|_p = p^n$. Hence T is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Since $T \triangleleft \triangleleft G$, we have G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. If $((P_n)_{se})^G = P_1 \cap N = N$, then $N \leq P_1$ and so $P = P_1$, a contradiction.

(5) The final contradiction.

If $N \cap P \leq \Phi(P)$, then N is p -nilpotent by J. Tate's theorem ([11, IV, 4.7]). Hence, by $N_{p'}$, $\text{char } N \triangleleft G$, $N_{p'} \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$. It follows that N is a p -group. Then $N \leq O_p(G) = 1$, a contradiction. Consequently, there is a maximal subgroup P_1 of P such that $P = (N \cap P)P_1$. We take an n -maximal subgroup P_n of P such that $P_n \leq P_1$. By the hypothesis, P_n is weakly s -permutably embedded in G . Then there are a subnormal subgroup T of G and an s -permutably embedded subgroup $(P_n)_{se}$ of G contained in P_n such that $G = P_n T$ and $P_n \cap T \leq (P_n)_{se}$. So there is an s -permutable subgroup K of G such that $(P_n)_{se}$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of K . If $K_G \neq 1$, then $N \leq K_G \leq K$ and so $(P_n)_{se} \cap N$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of N . We know that $(P_n)_{se} \cap N \leq P_n \cap N \leq P \cap N$ and $P \cap N$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of N , so $(P_n)_{se} \cap N = P_n \cap N = P \cap N$. Consequently, $P = (N \cap P)P_1 = (P_n \cap N)P_1 = P_1$, a contradiction. Therefore $K_G = 1$. By Lemma 2.5, $(P_n)_{se}$ is s -permutable in G and so $(P_n)_{se} \triangleleft \triangleleft G$. Hence $P_n \cap T \leq (P_n)_{se} \leq O_p(G) = 1$. Since $|T|_p = p^n$, T is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.4. Let $T_{p'}$ be the normal p -complement of T . Then $T_{p'}$ is a normal Hall p' -subgroups of G , a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let p be a prime and \mathcal{F} a saturated formation containing all p -nilpotent groups. Suppose that G is a group with $(|G|, (p-1)(p^2-1) \dots (p^n-1)) = 1$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. Then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if G has a normal subgroup*

E such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ and E has a Sylow p -subgroup such that every n -maximal subgroup (if exists) of P is weakly s -permutably embedded in G .

PROOF: The necessity is obvious. We need only to prove the sufficiency. Suppose that the assertion is not true and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. By Lemma 2.1, every n -maximal subgroup of P is weakly s -permutably embedded in E . Hence by Theorem 3.1, E is p -nilpotent. Obviously $E \neq G$. Let T be a normal Hall p' -subgroup of E . Now we divide the proof into the following steps:

(1) $T = 1$, and so $P = E \triangleleft G$.

Assume that $T \neq 1$. Because T is a normal Hall p' -subgroup of E and $E \triangleleft G$, $T \triangleleft G$. We claim that G/T (with respect to E/T) satisfies the hypothesis. In fact, $(G/T)/(E/T) \cong G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ and E/T is a p -group. Suppose that M_n/T is an n -maximal subgroup of PT/T and $P_n = M_n \cap P$. Then P_n is an n -maximal subgroup of P and $M_n = P_n T$. By the hypothesis, P_n is weakly s -permutably embedded in G . By Lemma 2.1, $M_n/T = P_n T/T$ is weakly s -permutably embedded in G/T . The minimal choice of G implies that $G/T \in \mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that $G \in \mathcal{F}$ from [8, Proposition IV. 3.11], a contradiction. Hence $T = 1$ and $P = E \trianglelefteq G$.

(2) Suppose that Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of G , where q is a prime divisor of $|G|$ and $q \neq p$. Then $PQ = P \times Q$.

By (1), $P = E \trianglelefteq G$. So PQ is a subgroup of G . By Lemma 2.1, every n -maximal subgroup of P is weakly s -permutably embedded in PQ . Hence by Theorem 3.1, we have that PQ is p -nilpotent. It follows that $Q \trianglelefteq PQ$ and so $PQ = P \times Q$.

(3) Final contradiction.

Let H be an arbitrary non-identity normal subgroup of G contained in P and G_p a Sylow p -subgroup of G . By (2), we have $HQ = H \times Q$ for any Sylow q -subgroup of G with $q \neq p$. This induces that $Op(G) \leq C_G(H)$ and $[H, G] = [H, G_p Op(G)] = [H, G_p] \trianglelefteq G$. We claim that $[H, G_p] < H$. Indeed, if $[H, G_p] = H$, then for any non-negative integer t , $H = [H, G_p, \dots, G_p] \leq G_p^{t+1}$, where the number of G_p in $[H, G_p, \dots, G_p]$ is t , which contradicts [8, Theorem A.10.3]. Thus $[H, G_p] < H$ and consequently there exists a normal subgroup K of G such that H/K is a chief factor of G and $[H, K] \leq K$. This implies that $H/K \leq Z(G/K)$. Then we obtain that $G \in \mathcal{F}$ since $G/P \in \mathcal{F}$. The final contradiction completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G . Assume that H is a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -nilpotent. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of H such that every maximal subgroup of P is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.4 ([16, Theorem 3.1]). *Let p be a prime dividing the order of a group G with $(|G|, p-1) = 1$. Assume that H is a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -nilpotent. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of H such that every maximal subgroup of P is c^* -normal in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.5 ([9, Theorem 3.1]). *Let p be a prime dividing the order of a group G with $(|G|, p-1) = 1$. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is s -quasinormally embedded in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.6 ([7, Theorem 3.1]). *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G . If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.7 ([19, Theorem 3.1]). *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G . If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is weakly s -permutable in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.8 ([20, Theorem 3.2]). *Let p be a prime dividing the order of a group G with $(|G|, p-1) = 1$. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is weakly s -permutable in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.9 ([10, Theorem 3.1]). *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G . If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is s -permutably embedded in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.10 ([13, Theorem 3.4]). *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G . If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is c -normal in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 3.11 ([17, Theorem 3.1]). *Let p be a prime dividing the order of a group G with $(|G|, p-1) = 1$ and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -nilpotent. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of H such that every maximal subgroup of P is c -normal or s -permutably embedded in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Theorem 3.12. *Let p be a prime, G a p -solvable group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -supersolvable. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of H such that every maximal subgroup of P is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , then G is p -supersolvable.*

PROOF: Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.

(1) G has a unique minimal normal subgroup N contained in H such that G/N is p -supersolvable.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in H . Since P is the Sylow p -subgroup of H , PN/N is the Sylow p -subgroup of H/N . Let M/N be a maximal subgroup of PN/N ; then $M = (M \cap P)N$. Let $P_1 = M \cap P$. Obviously, P_1 is the maximal subgroup of P . Since G is p -solvable, N is elementary abelian p -group or p' -group. If N is p' -group, then $M/N = P_1N/N$. If N is p -group, then $M/N = P_1/N$. By hypothesis, P_1 is weakly s -permutably embedded in G and so M/N is weakly s -permutably embedded in G/N by Lemma 2.1. Since $(G/N)/(H/N) \cong G/H$ is p -supersolvable, G/N satisfies all the hypotheses of our theorem. It follows that G/N is p -supersolvable by the minimality of G . Clearly,

N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G contained in H as the class of p -supersolvable group is a saturated formation.

(2) $O_{p'}(G) = 1$.

If $T = O_p(G) \neq 1$, we consider $\overline{G} = G/T$. Clearly, $\overline{G}/\overline{H} \cong G/HT$ is p -supersolvable by the p -supersolvability of G/H , where $\overline{H} = HT/T$. Let $\overline{P}_1 = P_1T/T$ be a maximal subgroup of PT/T . We may assume that P_1 is a maximal subgroup of P . Since P_1 is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , the subgroup P_1T/T is weakly s -permutably embedded in G/T by Lemma 2.1. The minimality of G yields that \overline{G} is p -supersolvable, and so G is also p -supersolvable, a contradiction.

(3) The final contradiction.

Since G is p -solvable, N is an elementary abelian p -group by step (2). If N is contained in all maximal subgroups of G , then $N \leq \Phi(G)$ and so G is p -supersolvable, a contradiction. Hence there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that $G = NM$ and $N \cap M = 1$. Applying Lemma 2.8, we have $O_p(H) \cap M \triangleleft G$. Therefore $O_p(H) \cap M = 1$ and $N = O_p(H)$. Let G_p be a Sylow p -subgroup of G containing P . Then $G_p = P(G_p \cap M)$ and $G_p \cap M < G_p$. Take a maximal subgroup G_1 of G containing $G_p \cap M$ and set $P_1 = G_1 \cap P$. Then $G_p \cap M = G_1 \cap M$ and $G_1 = P_1(G_p \cap M)$. Moreover, P_1 is maximal in P . By the hypothesis, P_1 is weakly s -permutably embedded in G . Then there are a subnormal subgroup T of G and an s -permutably embedded subgroup $(P_1)_{se}$ of G contained in P_1 such that $G = P_1T$ and $P_1 \cap T \leq (P_1)_{se}$. So there is an s -permutable subgroup K of G such that $(P_1)_{se}$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of K . If $K_G \neq 1$, then we can take a minimal normal subgroup N_1 of G such that $N_1 \leq K_G$. Since G is p -solvable, from (2), N_1 must be a p -subgroup, so that $N_1 \leq (P_1)_{se} \leq P \leq H$ and indeed $N_1 = N$ by step (1). Furthermore, $G_p = N(G_p \cap M) \leq P_1(G_p \cap M) = G_1$, a contradiction. Therefore $K_G = 1$ and, by Lemma 2.5, $(P_1)_{se}$ is s -permutable in G . By [12, Corollary 1.10.17], $P_1 \cap T \leq (P_1)_{se} \leq N$. Since $|G : T|$ is a number of p -power and $T \triangleleft \triangleleft G$, $O^p(G) \leq T$. We know $G/O^p(G)$ is p -subgroup, so $G/O^p(G)$ is p -supersolvable and $G/(N \cap O^p(G)) \lesssim G/N \times G/O^p(G)$ is p -supersolvable. Then $N \cap O^p(G) \neq 1$. Since N is the minimal subgroup, $N \cap O^p(G) = N$ and $N \leq O^p(G)$. It follows that $N \leq T$. Thus we have $P_1 \cap T = P_1 \cap N = (P_1)_{se}$ is s -permutable in G . Since $G_1 = P_1(G_p \cap M)$ and $P_1 = (P_1 \cap N)(P \cap M)$, we have $G_1 = (P_1 \cap N)(G_p \cap M)$. Now let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of M with $q \neq p$. Then Q is also a Sylow q -subgroup of G , and hence $(P_1 \cap N)Q = Q(P_1 \cap N)$. Since $G_p \cap M$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of M , the set $(P_1 \cap N)M$ forms a group. The maximality of M implies that either $(P_1 \cap N)M = G$ or $(P_1 \cap N)M = M$. If the former holds, then $G_p = G_1(G_p \cap M) = G_1$, a contradiction. Thus we must have $(P_1 \cap N)M = M$, that is, $P_1 \cap N \leq M$. It follows that $P_1 \cap N = 1$. Since $P_1 \cap N$ is a maximal subgroup of N , we have N is a cyclic of order p . Thus G is p -supersolvable, a final contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.13 ([16, Theorem 3.5]). *Let p be a prime, G a p -solvable group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -supersolvable. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of H such that every maximal subgroup of P is c^* -normal in G , then G is p -supersolvable.*

Corollary 3.14 ([14, Theorem 3.1]). *Let p be a prime, G a p -solvable group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -supersolvable. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of H such that every maximal subgroup of P is c -normal in G , then G is p -supersolvable.*

Corollary 3.15 ([15, Theorem 3.10]). *Let p be a prime, G a p -solvable group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -supersolvable. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of H such that every maximal subgroup of P is s -permutably embedded in G , then G is p -supersolvable.*

Corollary 3.16 ([20, Theorem 3.3]). *Let p be a prime and G a p -solvable group. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is s -permutable in G , then G is p -supersolvable.*

Corollary 3.17. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that G/H is supersolvable. If every maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroup of H is weakly s -permutably embedded in G , then G is supersolvable.*

PROOF: Let p is the smallest prime divisor of $|G|$. The supersolvability of G/H implies that G/H is p -nilpotent. By Corollary 3.3, G is p -nilpotent. Furthermore G is solvable. Applying Theorem 3.12, it is easy to see that G is supersolvable. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Kegel O.H., *Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen*, Math. Z. **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [2] Ballester-Bolinches A., Pedraza-Aguilera M.C., *Sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **127** (1998), 113–118.
- [3] Wang Y., *c -Normality of groups and its properties*, J. Algebra **180** (1996), 954–965.
- [4] Wang Y., Wei H., Li Y., *A generalization of Kramer's theorem and its application*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), 467–475.
- [5] Skiba A.N., *On weakly s -permutable subgroups of finite groups*, J. Algebra **315** (2007), 192–209.
- [6] Robinson D.J.S., *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [7] Li Y., Qiao S., Wang Y., *On weakly s -permutably embedded subgroups of finite groups*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), 1086–1097.
- [8] Doerk K., Hawkes T., *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
- [9] Li Y., Wang Y., Wei H., *On p -nilpotency of finite groups with some subgroups π -quasinormally embedded*, Acta. Math. Hungar. **108** (2005), 283–298.
- [10] Asaad M., Heliel A.A., *On s -quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **165** (2001), 129–135.
- [11] Huppert B., *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer, Berlin, 1968.
- [12] Guo W., *The Theory of Classes of Groups*, Science Press-Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing-Boston, 2000.

- [13] Guo X., Shum K.P., *On c -normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow p -subgroups of finite groups*, Arch. Math. **80** (2003), 561–569.
- [14] Ramadan M., Mohamed M.E., Heliel A.A., *On c -normality of certain subgroups of prime power order of finite groups*, Arch. Math. **85** (2005), 203–210.
- [15] Heliel A.A., Alharbia S.M., *The influence of certain permutable subgroups on the structure of finite groups*, Int. J. Algebra **4** (2010), 1209–1218.
- [16] Wei H., Wang Y., *On c^* -normality and its properties*, J. Group Theory **10** (2007), 211–223.
- [17] Li S., Li Y., *On s -quasionormal and c -normal subgroups of a finite group*, Czechoslovak. Math. J. **58** (2008), 1083–1095.
- [18] Schmidt P., *Subgroups permutable with all Sylow subgroups*, J. Algebra **207** (1998), 285–293.
- [19] Li Y., Qiao S., Wang Y., *A note on a result of Skiba*, Siberian Math. J. **50** (2009), 467–473.
- [20] Miao L., *On weakly s -permutable subgroups*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series **41** (2010), 223–235.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, XUZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XUZHOU,
221116, CHINA

E-mail: lcwzx@xznu.edu.cn

(Received August 26, 2010, revised December 24, 2010)