

Jian Hua Yin

Degree sequences of graphs containing a cycle with prescribed length

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 59 (2009), No. 2, 481–487

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140492>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

DEGREE SEQUENCES OF GRAPHS CONTAINING A CYCLE
WITH PRESCRIBED LENGTH

JIAN-HUA YIN, Haikou

(Received September 7, 2007)

Abstract. Let $r \geq 3$, $n \geq r$ and $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers. If π has a realization G with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ such that $d_G(v_i) = d_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $v_1 v_2 \dots v_r v_1$ is a cycle of length r in G , then π is said to be potentially C_r'' -graphic. In this paper, we give a characterization for π to be potentially C_r'' -graphic.

Keywords: graph, degree sequence, potentially C_r -graphic sequence

MSC 2010: 05C07

1. INTRODUCTION

A non-increasing sequence $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ of nonnegative integers is said to be *graphic* if it is the degree sequence of a simple graph G on n vertices, and such a graph G is referred to as a *realization* of π . The following well-known result due to Erdős and Gallai [2] which gave a characterization for π to be graphic.

Theorem 1.1 (Erdős and Gallai [2]). *Let $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers, where $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is even. Then π is graphic if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^t d_i \leq t(t-1) + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \min\{t, d_i\}$$

for each t , $1 \leq t \leq n$.

This work was supported by the grant of National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 10861006 and China Scholarship Council.

A non-increasing sequence $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ of nonnegative integers is said to be *potentially K_{r+1} -graphic* if there is a realization of π containing K_{r+1} as a subgraph, where K_{r+1} is the complete graph on $r + 1$ vertices. If π has a realization in which the $r + 1$ vertices of largest degree induce a clique, then π is *potentially A_{r+1} -graphic*. In [7], Rao proved that π is potentially A_{r+1} -graphic if and only if π is potentially K_{r+1} -graphic. In [8], Rao gave a characterization (Theorem 1.2) for π to be potentially A_{r+1} -graphic. This is a generalization of Erdős-Gallai characterization for π to be graphic (which corresponds to $r = 0$).

Theorem 1.2 (Rao [8]). *Let $n \geq r + 1$ and $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers, where $d_{r+1} \geq r$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is even. Then π is potentially A_{r+1} -graphic if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^s d_i + \sum_{i=1}^t d_{r+1+i} \leq (s+t)(s+t-1) + \sum_{i=s+1}^{r+1} \min\{s+t, d_i - r + s\} + \sum_{i=r+t+2}^n \min\{s+t, d_i\}$$

for any s and t , $0 \leq s \leq r + 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq n - r - 1$.

The original proof of Theorem 1.2 remains unpublished, but Kézdy and Lehel in [5] have given a different proof using network flows.

A non-increasing sequence $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ of nonnegative integers is said to be *potentially C_r -graphic* if there is a realization of π containing C_r as a subgraph, where C_r is the cycle of length r . If π has a realization containing C_r on the $|V(C_r)|$ highest degree vertices in π , then π is said to be *potentially C'_r -graphic*. Furthermore, if π has a realization G with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ such that $d_G(v_i) = d_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $v_1 v_2 \dots v_r v_1$ is a C_r , then π is said to be *potentially C''_r -graphic*. It follows from a result in [4] that π is potentially C'_r -graphic if and only if π is potentially C_r -graphic. An extremal problem on potentially C_r -graphic sequences was investigated by Lai [6]. In this paper, we shall give a characterization for π to be potentially C''_r -graphic. In other words, we will prove the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let $r \geq 3$, $n \geq r$ and $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers, where $d_r \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is even. Then π is potentially*

C_r'' -graphic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p d_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+q} d_i &\leq (p+q)(p+q-1) + \min\{p+q, d_{p+1}-1\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=p+2}^{r-1} \min\{p+q, d_i-2\} + \min\{p+q, d_r-1\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=r+q+1}^n \min\{p+q, d_i\} \end{aligned}$$

for any p and q , $0 \leq p \leq r$ and $0 \leq q \leq n-r$.

Remark. If $p = 0$, the above inequality means that

$$\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+q} d_i \leq q(q-1) + \sum_{i=1}^r \min\{q, d_i-2\} + \sum_{i=r+q+1}^n \min\{q, d_i\}.$$

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we shall use a simple version of a general result of Fulkerson, Hoffman and McAndrew [3] (see also [1] and [5]). Let H be a simple graph on vertex set $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. We say that H satisfies the odd-cycle condition, if between any two disjoint odd cycles there is an edge.

Theorem 2.1 (Fulkerson, Hoffman and McAndrew [3]). *Assume that $H = (V(H), E(H))$ satisfies the odd-cycle condition, where $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. There exists a subgraph $G \subseteq H$ such that every vertex v_i has degree d_i , if and only if*

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is even,
- (ii) for every $A, B \subseteq V(H)$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, we have

$$\sum_{v_i \in A} d_i \leq |\{(v_i, v_j) : v_i v_j \in E(H), v_i \in A, v_j \in V(H) \setminus B\}| + \sum_{v_i \in B} d_i.$$

The following observation is obvious.

Observation 2.1. Let $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$, where $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$. Take $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$ and $i_1 > 1, i_2 > 2, \dots, i_p > p$. If $d_{i_1} + d_{i_2} + \dots + d_{i_p} = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_p$, then $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_{i_p}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. To prove the necessity, we let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ such that $d_G(v_i) = d_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $v_1 v_2 \dots v_r v_1$ is a C_r in G . Then, $\sum_{i=1}^p d_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+q} d_i$ is the sum of the number of edges from v_h to $\{v_1, \dots, v_p, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{r+q}\}$ the summation being taken over $h = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now the contribution of v_h to this sum is at most $p+q-1$ if $h \in \{1, \dots, p, r+1, \dots, r+q\}$, at most $\min\{p+q, d_h-1\}$ if $h = p+1$, at most $\min\{p+q, d_h-2\}$ if $h \in \{p+2, \dots, r-1\}$, at most $\min\{p+q, d_h-1\}$ if $h = r$ and at most $\min\{p+q, d_h\}$ if $h \in \{r+q+1, \dots, n\}$. Thus the necessity is proved.

We now prove the sufficiency. Denote $L(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^p (d_i - 2) + \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+q} d_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R(p, q) &= (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + \min\{p+q, d_{p+1} - 1\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=p+2}^{r-1} \min\{p+q, d_i - 2\} + \min\{p+q, d_r - 1\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=r+q+1}^n \min\{p+q, d_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $r \geq 3$, $n \geq r$ and $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ is a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers such that $d_r \geq 2$, $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is even and $L(p, q) \leq R(p, q)$ for any p and q , $0 \leq p \leq r$ and $0 \leq q \leq n - r$.

Let $\pi'_r = (d'_1, \dots, d'_r, d'_{r+1}, \dots, d'_n)$, where $d'_i = d_i - 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $d'_i = d_i$ for $r+1 \leq i \leq n$, and let H be the graph obtained from K_n with vertex set $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ by deleting edges $v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, \dots, v_{r-1} v_r, v_r v_1$. It is easy to see that π is potentially C''_r -graphic if and only if H has a subgraph G with the degree sequence π'_r such that every vertex v_i has degree d'_i . Observe that between any two disjoint cycles of H there is an edge. Therefore, H satisfies the odd-cycle condition and we may apply Theorem 2.1.

Let $K = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ and $A, B \subseteq V(H)$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $A_1 = A \cap K$, $A_2 = A \setminus K$, $B_1 = B \cap K$, $B_2 = B \setminus K$, $C = K \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1)$, $D = \{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\} \setminus (A_2 \cup B_2)$ and set $p = |A_1|$, $q = |A_2|$, $b_1 = |B_1|$, $b_2 = |B_2|$. For convenience, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} L'(A, B) &= \sum_{v_i \in A} d'_i = \sum_{v_i \in A_1} (d_i - 2) + \sum_{v_i \in A_2} d_i, \\ R'(A, B) &= |\{(v_i, v_j) : v_i v_j \in E(H), v_i \in A, v_j \in V(H) \setminus B\}| + \sum_{v_i \in B} d'_i \\ &= |\{(v_i, v_j) : v_i v_j \in E(H), v_i \in A, v_j \in V(H) \setminus B\}| \\ &\quad + \sum_{v_i \in B_1} (d_i - 2) + \sum_{v_i \in B_2} d_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F(A, B) &= \sum_{v_i \in C} (p+q) + \sum_{v_i \in B_1} (d_i - 2) + \sum_{v_i \in D} (p+q) + \sum_{v_i \in B_2} d_i, \\
W(A, B) &= \sum_{i=p+1}^{r-b_1} (p+q) + \sum_{i=r+1-b_1}^r (d_i - 2) + \sum_{i=r+q+1}^{n-b_2} (p+q) + \sum_{i=n+1-b_2}^n d_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $L'(A, B) \leq L(p, q)$. We now prove that $L'(A, B) \leq R'(A, B)$.

If $b_1 = 0$, then $B_1 = \emptyset$ and $|C| = r - p$. Since $|\{(v_i, v_j) : v_i v_j \in E(H), v_i \in A, v_j \in V(H) \setminus B\}|$ is the number of counting the edges of H between A and $V(H) \setminus (A \cup B)$ and double counting the edges induced by A , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + F(A, B) \\
&\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + W(A, B) \geq R(p, q) \geq L(p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

If $b_1 \geq 1$ and $|C| = 0$, then $b_1 = r - p$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 2 + F(A, B) \\
&\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 2 + W(A, B) \geq R(p, q) \geq L(p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

If $b_1 \geq 1$ and $|C| = 1$, then $b_1 = r - p - 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 1 + F(A, B) \\
&\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 1 + W(A, B) \geq R(p, q) \geq L(p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

We assume that $b_1 \geq 1$ and $|C| = r - p - b_1 \geq 2$. Then $p \leq r - 3$. If $v_1 \in A_1$ and $v_r \in B_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 1 + F(A, B) \\
&\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 1 + W(A, B) \geq R(p, q) \geq L(p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

If $v_1 \in A_1$ and $v_r \notin B_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + F(A, B) \\
&\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + \sum_{i=p+1}^{r-b_1-1} (p+q) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=r-b_1}^{r-1} (d_i - 2) + (p+q) + \sum_{i=r+q+1}^{n-b_2} (p+q) + \sum_{i=n+1-b_2}^n d_i \\
&\geq R(p, q) \geq L(p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

If $L'(A, B) < L(p, q)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 1 + F(A, B) - 1 \\ &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 1 + W(A, B) - 1 \\ &\geq R(p, q) - 1 \geq L(p, q) - 1 \geq L'(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

We further assume that $v_1 \notin A_1$ and $L'(A, B) = L(p, q)$. Then $\sum_{v_i \in A_1} (d_i - 2) = \sum_{i=1}^p (d_i - 2)$ and $\sum_{v_i \in A_2} d_i = \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+q} d_i$. By Observation 2.1, we have that $d_1 - 2 = d_2 - 2 = \dots = d_{p+1} - 2$. We now consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $q \geq 1$. In this case, if $p+q \geq d_{p+2} - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + F(A, B) \\ &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + W(A, B) \\ &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + \min\{p+q, d_{p+1} - 1\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=p+2}^r \min\{p+q, d_i - 2\} + 1 + \sum_{i=r+q+1}^n \min\{p+q, d_i\} = R(p, q) \geq L(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

If $L(p, q) < R(p, q)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + F(A, B) \\ &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + 1 + W(A, B) - 1 \\ &\geq R(p, q) - 1 \geq L(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

If $p+q \leq d_{p+2} - 2$ and $L(p, q) = R(p, q)$, then by $L(p+1, q-1) \leq R(p+1, q-1)$, we have that $L(p+1, q-1) - L(p, q) \leq R(p+1, q-1) - R(p, q)$, that is, $d_{p+1} - d_{r+q} \leq 0$. Hence $d_{p+1} = d_{r+q}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R'(A, B) &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + F(A, B) \\ &\geq (p+q)(p+q-1) - 2p + W(A, B) \geq R(p, q) \geq L(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $q = 0$. In this case, if $L(p, 0) < R(p, 0)$ or $R(p, 0) \leq p(p-1) - 2p + W(A, B)$, then $R'(A, B) \geq L(p, 0)$ is clear.

If $L(p, 0) = R(p, 0) > p(p-1) - 2p + W(A, B)$, then we only have $L(p, 0) = R(p, 0) = p(p-1) - 2p + W(A, B) + 1$, and $p \leq d_i - 2$ for $p+1 \leq i \leq r - b_1$, $p \geq d_i - 2$ for $r+1 - b_1 \leq i \leq r - 1$, $p \geq d_r - 1$, $p \leq d_i$ for $r+1 \leq i \leq n - b_2$ and $p \geq d_i$ for $n+1 - b_2 \leq i \leq n$. On the one hand, it follows from $d_1 - 2 = d_2 - 2 = \dots = d_{p+1} - 2$ that

$$L(p, 0) = p(d_1 - 2) = p(p-1) - 2p + py + z,$$

and hence

$$L(p+1, 0) = (p+1)(d_1 - 2) = (p+1)\left(p - 3 + y + \frac{z}{p}\right),$$

where $y = n - p - b_1 - b_2$ and $z = \sum_{i=r+1-b_1}^{r-1} (d_i - 2) + (d_r - 1) + \sum_{i=n+1-b_2}^n d_i$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} L(p+1, 0) &\leq R(p+1, 0) \\ &= (p+1)p - 2(p+1) + \min\{p+1, d_{p+2} - 1\} + \sum_{i=p+3}^{r-1} \min\{p+1, d_i - 2\} \\ &\quad + \min\{p+1, d_r - 1\} + \sum_{i=r+1}^n \min\{p+1, d_i\} \\ &\leq (p+1)p - 2(p+1) + (p+1)(y - 1) + z \\ &= (p+1)\left(p - 3 + y + \frac{z}{p+1}\right) \\ &< (p+1)\left(p - 3 + y + \frac{z}{p}\right) = L(p+1, 0), \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] *C. Berge*: Graphs and Hypergraphs. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [2] *P. Erdős, T. Gallai*: Graphs with given degrees of vertices. *Math. Lapok 11* (1960), 264–274.
- [3] *D. R. Fulkerson, A. J. Hoffman, M. H. Mcandrew*: Some properties of graphs with multiple edges. *Canad. J. Math. 17* (1965), 166–177.
- [4] *R. J. Gould, M. S. Jacobson, J. Lehel*: Potentially G -graphical degree sequences. In: *Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Algorithms*, Vol. 1 (Y. Alavi et al., eds.). New Issues Press, Kalamazoo Michigan, 1999, pp. 451–460.
- [5] *A. E. Kézdy, J. Lehel*: Degree sequences of graphs with prescribed clique size. In: *Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Algorithms*, Vol. 2 (Y. Alavi, eds.). New Issues Press, Kalamazoo Michigan, 1999, pp. 535–544.
- [6] *C. Lai*: The smallest degree sum that yields potentially C_k -graphical sequences. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 49* (2004), 57–64.
- [7] *A. R. Rao*: The clique number of a graph with given degree sequence. *Graph Theory, Proc. Symp. Calcutta 1976, ISI Lecture Notes 4* (A. R. Rao, ed.). 1979, pp. 251–267.
- [8] *A. R. Rao*: An Erdős-Gallai type result on the clique number of a realization of a degree sequence. Unpublished.

Author's address: J.-H. Yin, Department of Mathematics, College of Information Science and Technology, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, P.R. China, e-mail: yinjh@ustc.edu.