

Hilda Draškovičová; Jerzy Płonka  
Minimal generics of some regular varieties

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 3, 225--230

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136528>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## MINIMAL GENERICS OF SOME REGULAR VARIETIES

HILDA DRAŠKOVIČOVÁ—JERZY PLONKA

ABSTRACT. Given a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  denote by  $\mathcal{K}_r$  the variety of all algebras satisfying all regular identities which hold in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $m_g(\mathcal{K})$  be the minimal cardinal of an algebra generating  $\mathcal{K}$ . We find under some assumptions that the sum  $S(\mathfrak{A})$  of the direct system  $\mathfrak{A}$  of pairwise disjoint minimal generics  $A_j$  of the non-trivial independent varieties  $K_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , is a minimal generic of the regular variety  $\mathcal{K}_r$ , where  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{K}_r$ , and  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_r) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_g(\mathcal{K}_j)$ .

In [10; Theorem 2] the following was proved (for the definitions see below).

**Theorem A.** *If  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  are two incomparable independent varieties,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are carrierwise disjoint minimal generics of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  respectively,  $m(\mathcal{K}_i) = |A_i| = m_g(\mathcal{K}_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), there exists a homomorphism  $h_1^2$  of  $A_1$  into  $A_2$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2$  then  $S(\mathfrak{A})$  is a minimal generic of  $\mathcal{K}_r$  and  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_r) = m_g(\mathcal{K}_1) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_2)$ .*

The aim of the present paper is to generalize this theorem to the case of finitely many independent varieties (Theorem 1 below). The condition (1) in Theorem 1 is not suitable for the induction argument (see Remark 2 below) hence we give here a straight proof. Moreover we replace the condition on a homomorphism  $h_1^2$  in Theorem A with the weaker condition (2).

In this paper we consider only algebras of a given type  $\tau: F \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ , where  $F$  is a set of fundamental operation symbols and  $\mathbf{N}$  is a set of positive integers (i.e. there are no nullary symbols in  $F$ ). Further we assume that  $\tau(F) - \{0, 1\} \neq \emptyset$ .

An identity  $\varphi = \psi$  is called *regular* (see [8]) if the sets of variables in  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  coincide. A variety  $\mathcal{K}$  is called *regular* if all identities in  $\text{Id}(\mathcal{K})$  are regular.  $\mathcal{K}$  is called *non-regular* if a non-regular identity belongs to  $\text{Id}(\mathcal{K})$ . Regular varieties were studied by many authors (see e.g. [9], [10], [8], [2], [7], [6]).

For a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  (of algebras of type  $\tau$ ) we denote by  $\mathcal{K}_r$  the variety of algebras of type  $\tau$  defined by all regular identities from  $\text{Id}(\mathcal{K})$ . Due to A. Tarski it is well known that for every variety  $\mathcal{K}$  there exists an algebra  $A$  generating  $\mathcal{K}$  by means of direct products, subalgebras and homomorphic

AMS Subject Classification (1985): Primary 08B99

Key words: Regular varieties, Minimal generics

images, i.e.  $\mathcal{K} = HSP(A)$ . Such algebras  $A$  are called *generics of  $\mathcal{K}$*  (see [3]). A generic  $A$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  will be called a *minimal generic of  $\mathcal{K}$*  if for every generic  $B$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  we have  $|A| \leq |B|$  (where  $|A|$  denotes  $\text{card } A$ ). Finding minimal generics of a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  is important because the smaller a finite generic is, the easier it is to decide if a given identity  $\varphi = \psi$  belongs to  $\text{Id}(\mathcal{K})$  or not.

For an algebra  $A$  we denote by  $R(A)$  the *set of all regular identities of type  $\tau$  from  $\text{Id}(A)$* . For a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  let  $R(\mathcal{K})$  be the *set of all regular identities from  $\text{Id}(\mathcal{K})$* .

The variety  $\mathcal{K}$  is *strongly non-regular* (see [2]) if there exists a binary term  $\varphi(x, y)$  containing the variable  $y$  such that the identity  $\varphi(x, y) = x$  belongs to  $\text{Id}(\mathcal{K})$ .

For a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  of algebras of type  $\tau$  let  $m'$  be the *cardinality of a free algebra with  $\aleph_0$  free generators over  $\mathcal{K}$* . We define the number  $m(\mathcal{K})$  putting

$$m(\mathcal{K}) = 1 \quad \text{if } \mathcal{K} \text{ is trivial,}$$

$$m(\mathcal{K}) = \min\{m: 1 < m \leq m' \quad \text{and} \quad \exists A \in \mathcal{K} (|A| = m)\} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{K} \text{ is nontrivial.}$$

Let  $m_g(\mathcal{K})$  denote the *cardinality of a minimal generic of  $\mathcal{K}$* . Obviously for every variety  $\mathcal{K}$  we have  $m_g(\mathcal{K}) \geq m(\mathcal{K})$ . It is known (see [10] or cf. [6]) that if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a non-regular variety of type  $\tau$ , then  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_\tau) \leq m_g(\mathcal{K}) + 1$ .

Varieties  $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$  of the same type are said to be *independent* (for  $n = 2$  see [4]) if there is an  $n$ -ary term  $p$  such that the identity  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$  holds in  $\mathcal{K}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  $\mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{K}_n$  will denote the *smallest variety containing all  $\mathcal{K}_i$* ;  $\mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}_n$  will denote the *class of all algebras  $A$  which are isomorphic to the direct product  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$  of algebras  $A_i \in \mathcal{K}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$* .

The proof of the following Lemma can be found in [4], [5], [1].

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$  are independent varieties, then  $\mathcal{K}_1 \wedge \mathcal{K}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{K}_n$  consists of one-element algebras only and each algebra  $A \in \mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{K}_n$  has, up to isomorphism a unique representation  $A \cong A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$   $A_i \in \mathcal{K}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence  $\mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}_n$ .*

The next Lemma can be proved analogously to the Theorem 3 in [1].

**Lemma 2.** *Varieties  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$  are independent if and only if for each  $i \in 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_i$  and  $\mathcal{K}'_i = \bigvee \{\mathcal{K}_j: j \neq i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are independent*

**Remark 1.** If  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$  are non-trivial independent varieties then they are incomparable (by Lemma 1) and the variety  $\mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2$  is strongly non-regular, since if  $p(x, y)$  is the term establishing the independence of  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$ , then  $\varphi(x, y) = p(p(x, y), x)$  is the desired term for strong non-regularity (i.e.  $\varphi(x, y) = x$  in  $\mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ ).

Now we recall the definition of a direct system of algebras (see [3; Chap.3]).

- (i)  $I$  is a directed poset (partially ordered set) whose ordering relation is denoted by  $\leq$ .
- (ii) For each  $i \in I$  an algebra  $A_i = (A_i; (f_t^{(i)})_{t \in T})$  is given, all algebras  $A_i$  being of the same type.
- (iii) For each pair  $i, j$  of elements of  $I$  with  $i \leq j$  a homomorphism  $h_i^j: A_i \rightarrow A_j$  is given. The resulting set of homomorphisms satisfies the following conditions :
  - (a)  $i \leq j \leq k$  implies  $h_j^k \circ h_i^j = h_i^k$  and
  - (b)  $h_i^i$  is the identity map for each  $i \in I$ .

The system  $(I, (A_i)_{i \in I}, (h_i^j)_{i \leq j; i, j \in I})$  is called a *direct system of algebras*  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (I, (A_i)_{i \in I}, (h_i^j)_{i \leq j; i, j \in I})$  be a direct system of similar algebras, without nullary fundamental operations, indexed by a poset  $I$  with the *least upper bound property*. Let  $(f_t)_{t \in T}$  be the set of fundamental operations of the algebras in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The *sum of the direct system*  $\mathfrak{A}$  (see [8]) is an algebra  $S(\mathfrak{A}) = (\mathbf{A}; (f_t)_{t \in T})$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a disjoint sum of the carriers  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and the fundamental operations  $f_t$  are defined by  $f_t(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = f_t(h_{i_1}^k(a_1), \dots, h_{i_n}^k(a_n))$ , where  $a_j \in A_{i_j}$  and  $k$  is the least upper bound of  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$  be non-trivial independent varieties, and  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be pairwise disjoint minimal generics of  $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n$  respectively. Let the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $m(\mathcal{K}_j) = |A_j| = m_g(\mathcal{K}_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,
- (2) the algebras  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  form a direct system  $\mathfrak{A}$  in which  $(I, \leq)$  is a semilattice,  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Then  $S(\mathfrak{A})$  is a minimal generic of  $\mathcal{K}_r$ , where  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{K}_n$  and  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_r) = m_g(\mathcal{K}_1) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_2) + \dots + m_g(\mathcal{K}_n)$ .

**P r o o f.**  $S(\mathfrak{A})$  is a generic of  $\mathcal{K}_r$  since by [8; Theorem 1] we have  $\text{Id}(S(\mathfrak{A})) = R(A_1) \cap R(A_2) \cap \dots \cap R(A_n) = R(\mathcal{K}_1) \cap R(\mathcal{K}_2) \cap \dots \cap R(\mathcal{K}_n) = R(\mathcal{K}) = \text{Id}(\mathcal{K}_r)$ . Obviously  $|S(\mathfrak{A})| = |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_n| = m_g(\mathcal{K}_1) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_2) + \dots + m_g(\mathcal{K}_n)$ .

Let  $B$  be a generic of  $\mathcal{K}_r$ . According to Lemma 2 and Remark 1,  $\mathcal{K}$  is a strongly non-regular variety (since  $\mathcal{K}_i$  and  $\mathcal{K}_i'$  are independent and  $\mathcal{K}_i \vee \mathcal{K}_i' = \mathcal{K}$ ), hence by [9; Theorem 1]  $B$  is the sum of a direct system of algebras  $C_i \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $i \in I$ . Since  $B$  is a generic of  $\mathcal{K}_r$ , there must be  $|I| \geq 2$ . By Lemma 1 for each

$i \in I$   $C_i \cong C_i^1 \times C_i^2 \times \cdots \times C_i^n$ ,  $C_i^j \in \mathcal{K}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence

$$(3) \quad |C_i| = |C_i^1| \cdot |C_i^2| \cdot \cdots \cdot |C_i^n| \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4) \quad |B| = \sum_{i \in I} |C_i|.$$

We assert that

$$(5) \quad \text{to any } l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ there is } i(l) \in I \text{ such that } |C_{i(l)}^l| > 1.$$

Suppose that there is  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|C_i^l| = 1$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then for each  $i \in I$   $C_i \in \bigvee (\mathcal{K}_j; j \neq l, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}) = \mathcal{K}'_l \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , hence  $B \in (\mathcal{K}'_l)_r$  and  $\mathcal{K}_r = HSP(B) \subseteq (\mathcal{K}'_l)_r \subseteq \mathcal{K}_r$ . By Lemma 2  $\mathcal{K}'_l$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_l$  are non-trivial independent varieties,  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}'_l \vee \mathcal{K}_l$  is strongly non-regular and  $\mathcal{K}'_l \neq \mathcal{K}$  (see Remark 1). So by [2]  $(\mathcal{K}'_l)_r \neq \mathcal{K}_r$  - a contradiction. Thus (5) holds.

Now we choose for each  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  an  $i(l) \in I$  such  $|C_{i(l)}^l| > 1$ . According to (3)  $|C_{i(l)}| \geq |C_{i(l)}^l|$ . Using (4) we get

$$|B| \geq \sum_{l=1}^n |C_{i(l)}| \geq \sum_{l=1}^n |C_{i(l)}^l| \geq \sum_{l=1}^n |A_l| = |S(\mathfrak{A})|.$$

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathcal{K}_{p(i)}$  (where  $p(i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are distinct primes) denote the equational classes of Abelian groups with exactly one binary fundamental operation (and without nullary operations) satisfying  $x^{p(i)} = y^{p(i)}$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{K}_{p(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are independent. For each  $i$  the variety  $\mathcal{K}_{p(i)}$  is equationally complete and cyclic group  $A_{p(i)}$  of order  $p(i)$  is a minimal generic of  $\mathcal{K}_{p(i)}$  ( $m(\mathcal{K}_{p(i)}) = m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(i)}) = p(i)$ ). The groups  $A_{p(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  form a suitable direct system (since we can take for the poset  $I$  an  $n$ -element chain  $1 \leq 2 \leq \cdots \leq n$  and the trivial homomorphisms  $h_i^j$  ( $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \leq j$ ) given by the rule  $h_i^i = \text{id}_{A_{p(i)}}$  and  $h_i^j(x) = b^{p(j)}$  ( $i < j$ ,  $x \in A_{p(i)}$ ,  $b \in A_{p(j)}$ ). Hence by Theorem 1  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)} \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(2)} \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(n)}) = p(1) + p(2) + \cdots + p(n) = m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)}) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(2)}) + \cdots + m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(n)})$ .

**Example 2.** The following example shows that the condition (1) in Theorem 1 is not necessary. Nevertheless the condition (2) is essential. Take the independent varieties  $\mathcal{K}_{p(1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{p(2)}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{p(3)}$  described in Example 1. By Lemma 2  $\mathcal{K}'_{p(3)} = \mathcal{K}_{p(1)} \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(2)}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{p(3)}$  are independent. According to Example 1 we get that  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)} \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(2)} \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(3)}) = m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)}) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(2)}) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(3)}) = m_g(\mathcal{K}'_{p(3)}) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(3)})$  (since  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)} \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(2)}) = m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)}) + m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(2)})$ ). Nevertheless  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)} \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(2)}) > m(\mathcal{K}_{p(1)} \vee \mathcal{K}_{p(2)})$  (since  $|A_{p(1)} \times A_{p(2)}| > |A_{p(i)}|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ).

**R e m a r k 2.** One would think that Theorem 1 can be obtained by induction using Lemma 2 and Theorem A. But the trouble is that the condition (1) of Theorem 1 is not transferable from the varieties  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  to the variety  $\mathcal{K}_1 \vee \mathcal{K}_2$  as the Example 2 shows.

**E x a m p l e 3.** Minimal generics of independent varieties need not form a direct system. Consider e.g. two independent varieties  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$  of the type (2,1,1). Suppose  $\mathcal{K}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is generated by a two-element algebra  $A_i = (\{a_i, b_i\}; f^i, g^i, h^i)$ . Let  $f^1(x, y) = x, f^2(x, y) = y$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} g^1(a_1) &= a_1, & h^1(a_1) &= b_1, \\ g^1(b_1) &= b_1, & h^1(b_1) &= a_1, \\ g^2(a_2) &= b_2, & h^2(a_2) &= a_2, \\ g^2(b_2) &= a_2, & h^2(b_2) &= b_2. \end{aligned}$$

There are no homomorphisms between the algebras  $A_i, i = 1, 2$ .

**R e m a r k 3.** Theorem 1 gives a better estimation for  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_r)$  (in special cases) than that given by the relation  $m_g(\mathcal{K}_r) \leq m_g(\mathcal{K}) + 1$  mentioned in the introduction. E.g. a minimal generic of the variety  $\mathcal{K}_3 \vee \mathcal{K}_5 \vee \mathcal{K}_7$  from Example 1 is the cyclic group of order 105, however, by Theorem 1  $m_g((\mathcal{K}_3 \vee \mathcal{K}_5 \vee \mathcal{K}_7)_r) = 3 + 5 + 7 = 15$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] DRAŠKOVIČOVÁ, H.: Independence of equational classes. *Mat. Časopis* 23 (1973), 125-135.
- [2] DUDEK, J.—GRACZYŃSKA, E.: The lattice of varieties of algebras. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math., Astronom., Phys.*, 29 (1981), 337-340.
- [3] GRÄTZER, G.: *Universal Algebra*. 2nd ed., Springer, 1979.
- [4] GRÄTZER, G.—LAKSER, H.—PLONKA, J.: Joins and direct products of equational classes. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 12 (1969), 741-744.
- [5] HU, T. K.—KELENSON, P.: Independence and direct factorization of universal algebras. *Math. Nachr.* 51 (1971), 83-99.
- [6] JOHN, R.: On classes of algebras definable by regular identities. *Colloq. Math.* 36 (1976), 17-21.
- [7] JÓNSSON, B.—NELSON, E.: Relatively free products in regular varieties. *Algebra Univ.* 4 (1974), 14-19.
- [8] PLONKA, J.: On a method of construction of abstract algebras. *Fund. Math.* 61 (1967), 183-189.
- [9] PLONKA, J.: On equational classes of abstract algebras defined by regular equations. *Fund. Math.* 64 (1969), 241-247.

- [10] PLONKA, J. : Minimal generics of regular varieties. In Proc. of the 5 Universal Algebra Symposium, Turawa (Poland), 3-7 May 1988. World Scientific, Singapore, 1989, pp. 227-234.

Received August 7, 1989

*Katedra algebry a teórie čísel  
MFF UK  
Mlynská dolina  
842 15 Bratislava  
Czecho-Slovakia*

*Mathematical Institute  
Polish Academy of Sciences  
ul. Kopernika 18  
51-617 Wrocław  
Poland*