

Jiří Rachůnek

Regular ideals in autometrized algebras

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 2, 117--122

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136504>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REGULAR IDEALS IN AUTOMETRIZED ALGEBRAS

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK

K. L. N. Swamy and N. P. Rao introduced (in [8]) the notion of an ideal in autometrized algebras. (Autometrized algebras were introduced by SWAMY in [6]). Prime ideals in autometrized algebras were studied by the author in [4]. In this paper there is introduced the notion of a regular ideal in an autometrized algebra (it is a particular case of the notion of a prime ideal). The aim of the paper is to investigate the properties of regular ideals and their relations to prime ideals. The theory of autometrized algebras is a common generalization, e.g., of the theories of Brouwerian algebras and commutative lattice ordered groups. Hence we refer for the results of those theories to the books [1, 2, 3].

An autometrized algebra is any system $\mathcal{A} = (A, +, \leq, *)$ such that

- (1) $(A, +, \leq)$ is an ordered commutative semigroup with zero element 0;
- (2) $*$: $A \times A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping (a metric operation) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a, b \in A; a * b \geq 0 \text{ and } a * b = 0 &\Leftrightarrow a = b, \\ \forall a, b \in A; a * b &= b * a, \\ \forall a, b, c \in A; a * c &\leq (a * b) + (b * c). \end{aligned}$$

If the ordered set (A, \leq) is a lattice and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a, b, c \in A; a + (b \vee c) &= (a + b) \vee (a + c), \\ a + (b \wedge c) &= (a + b) \wedge (a + c), \end{aligned}$$

then \mathcal{A} is called an *autometrized l-algebra*.

We say that an autometrized algebra is

a) *normal* if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in A; a &\leq a * 0, \\ \forall a, b, c, d \in A; (a + c) * (b + d) &\leq (a * b) + (c * d), \\ \forall a, b, c, d \in A; (a * c) * (b * d) &\leq (a * b) + (c * d), \\ \forall a, b \in A; (a \leq b \Rightarrow \exists x \geq 0; a + x &= b); \end{aligned}$$

b) *semiregular* if

$$\forall a \in A; a \geq 0 \Rightarrow a * 0 = a.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be an autometrized algebra, $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq A$. Then I is called an *ideal* in \mathcal{A} if

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall a, b \in I; a + b \in I; \\ &\forall a \in I, x \in A; x * 0 \leq a * 0 \Rightarrow x \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the set of all ideals in \mathcal{A} by $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} is a normal autometrized algebra, then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ ordered by set inclusion is (by [8, Theorem 1]) a complete algebraic lattice. Moreover, infima in $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ are formed by intersections. Let \mathcal{A} be an autometrized algebra, $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we say that I is a *prime ideal* in \mathcal{A} (see [4]) if

$$\forall J, K \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}); J \cap K = I \Rightarrow J = I \text{ or } K = I.$$

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{A} be an autometrized algebra, $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$. Then I is called a *regular ideal* in \mathcal{A} if $I = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} J_\alpha$, where $J_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$ implies the existence of $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that $I = J_\beta$.

It is evident that any regular ideal is also a prime ideal. Now, let us consider a regular ideal I in a normal semiregular autometrized algebra \mathcal{A} , $I \neq A$. Denote I^* the intersection of all ideals in \mathcal{A} strictly containing I . Evidently, $I \subset I^*$ and I^* is a unique cover of I in the lattice $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{A} be a normal autometrized algebra, $0 \neq a \in A$. If $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ is a maximal ideal in \mathcal{A} not containing a , then I is called a *value* of the element a in \mathcal{A} .

The set of all values of a will be denoted by $\text{val}(a)$.

Theorem 1. Let A be a normal autometrized algebra, $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$. Then I is regular if and only if there exists $a \in A$ such that $I \in \text{val}(a)$.

Proof. Let I be a regular ideal in \mathcal{A} . Let us consider $a \in I^* \setminus I$. If $J \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ and $I \subset J$, then $a \in J$, hence I is a value of a .

Conversely, let $0 \neq a \in A$ and $I \in \text{val}(a)$. If $J_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and $I = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} J_\alpha$, then there exists $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a \notin J_\beta$. Moreover, $I \subseteq J_\beta$, and since $I \in \text{val}(a)$, it must be $I = J_\beta$. Therefore I is a regular ideal.

Theorem 2. If A is a normal autometrized algebra, $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$, $a \in A$, $a \notin I$, then there exists $I \in \text{val}(a)$ such that $I \subseteq J$.

Proof. Denote $Z = \{K \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}); I \subseteq K, a \notin K\}$. In [5, Proof of Theorem 3], it is shown that Z is an inductive set, and hence Z contains a maximal element J which is a value of A .

Consequently:

Theorem 3. Any ideal of a normal autometrized algebra \mathcal{A} is the intersection of regular ideals.

Let us recall the notion of a dually residuated lattice ordered semigroup (DRL-semigroup) which has been introduced by Swamy in [7].

A system $\mathcal{A} = (A, +, \leq, -)$ is called a DRL-semigroup if

(1) $(A, +, \leq)$ is a commutative lattice ordered semigroup with zero element 0;

(2) for each $a, b \in A$ there exists the least element $x \in A$ such that $b + x \geq a$ (x is denoted by $a - b$);

(3) $\forall a, b \in A; (a - b) \vee 0 + b \leq a \vee b$;

(4) $\forall a \in A; a - a \geq 0$.

If we denote $a * b = (a - b) \vee (b - a)$ for $a, b \in A$, then $(A, +, \leq, *)$ is an autometrized l -algebra which is normal and semiregular. (See [7, 8].)

A DRL-semigroup \mathcal{A} is called representable (see [9]) if

$$\forall a, b \in A; (a - b) \wedge (b - a) \leq 0.$$

(Commutative l -groups and Boolean algebras are examples of representable DRL-semigroups.)

Theorem 4. If I is a prime ideal in a representable DRL-semigroup \mathcal{A} , then the set of all ideals in \mathcal{A} containing I is linearly ordered.

Proof. Let I be a prime ideal in \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $J, K \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$, $I \subset J$, $I \subset K$, and that $J \not\subseteq K$, $K \not\subseteq J$. Then there exist $0 < a \in J \setminus K$, $0 < b \in K \setminus J$. Let us consider the elements $a - (a \wedge b)$ and $b - (a \wedge b)$. By [7, Corollary of Lemma 4], $a - (a \wedge b) > 0$ and $b - (a \wedge b) > 0$. Moreover, from $a \wedge b \geq 0$ we get $(a \wedge b) + a \geq a$ and $(a \wedge b) + b \geq b$, hence $a \geq a - (a \wedge b) > 0$ and $b \geq b - (a \wedge b) > 0$. Hence, the semiregularity of \mathcal{A} implies $a - (a \wedge b) \in J$ and $b - (a \wedge b) \in K$.

Since \mathcal{A} is representable, by [4, Lemma 6] we have $[a - (a \wedge b)] \wedge [b - (a \wedge b)] = 0$, but this is by [3, Theorem 4] a contradiction to the assumption that I is a prime ideal.

Therefore $J \subseteq K$ or $K \subseteq J$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, +, \leq)$ be an ordered semigroup with zero element 0. Then \mathcal{A} is called an interpolation semigroup if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a, b, c \in A; [(0 \leq a, b, c \text{ and } a \leq b + c) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow (\exists 0 \leq b_1 \leq b, 0 \leq c_1 \leq c; a = b_1 + c_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

(For instance, commutative l -groups and Brouwerian algebras are interpolation semigroups.)

Theorem 5. *If I is an ideal in a semiregular normal interpolation autometrized l -algebra \mathcal{A} such that the set of all ideals in \mathcal{A} containing I is linearly ordered, then I is a prime ideal in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let I be an ideal in \mathcal{A} satisfying the condition of the assumption. Suppose that I is not prime. Then by [4, Theorem 4] there exist $a, b \in A$, $0 < a$, $0 < b$ such that $a \wedge b \in I$. Denote

$$J = \{x \in A; (x * 0) \wedge b \in I\}, K = \{y \in A; (y * 0) \wedge a \in I\}.$$

If $x \in I$, then $x * 0 \in I$. Moreover, $0 \leq (x * 0) \wedge b \leq x * 0$, and since $[(x * 0) \wedge b] * 0 = (x * 0) \wedge b$, we get $(x * 0) \wedge b \in I$, hence $x \in J$. Therefore $I \subseteq J$. Similarly $I \subseteq K$.

Further, $(a * 0) \wedge b = a \wedge b \in I$, thus $a \in J$. In addition, $(a * 0) \wedge a \in I$, hence $a \notin K$, and so $a \in J \setminus K$. Analogously $b \in K \setminus J$.

Let us prove that $J, K \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $x, y \in J$. Since \mathcal{A} is a normal and interpolation algebra, we get

$$[(x + y) * 0] \wedge b \leq [(x * 0) + (y * 0)] \wedge b \leq [(x * 0) \wedge b] + [(y * 0) \wedge b] \in I,$$

hence $x + y \in J$.

Further, let $x \in J, z \in A, z * 0 \leq x * 0$. Then from the semiregularity of \mathcal{A} we get

$$[(z * 0) \wedge b] * 0 = (z * 0) \wedge b \leq (x * 0) \wedge b = [(x * 0) \wedge b] * 0,$$

and since $(x * 0) \wedge b \in I$, we also have $(z * 0) \wedge b \in I$, thus $z \in J$.

Therefore $J \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ and similarly $K \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$. But this means that $I \subseteq J, I \subseteq K, J \not\subseteq K, K \not\subseteq J$, a contradiction with the assumption. Hence I is a prime ideal in \mathcal{A} .

Theorems 4 and 5 and [4, Theorem 4] now imply:

Theorem 6. *If \mathcal{A} is a representable interpolation DRI-semigroup, $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) I is a prime ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- (2) $\forall J, K \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}); J \cap K \subseteq I \Rightarrow J \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$.
- (3) $\forall a, b \in A; 0 \leq a \wedge b \in I \Rightarrow a \in I$ or $b \in I$.
- (4) $\{J \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}); I \subseteq J\}$ is linearly ordered.

Let us recall that a subset S of a lattice \mathcal{L} is called a *root system* (see [1, p. 27], [3, p. 51]) if for each $x \in S$ the set of all $y \in L$ such that $x \leq y$ is linearly ordered and contained in S .

Corollary. *The set of all prime ideals in a representable interpolation DRI-semigroup \mathcal{A} forms a root system in the lattice $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$.*

We know that any regular ideal is prime. Now let us show a more complete connection between these notions.

Theorem 7. *If \mathcal{A} is a representable DRI-semigroup, $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$, then I is a prime ideal if and only if it is the intersection of a linearly ordered system of regular ideals.*

Proof. Let I be a prime ideal. Then by Theorem 3, I is the intersection of regular ideals. Moreover, since \mathcal{A} is a representable DRI-semigroup, the ideals containing I form, by Theorem 4, a chain.

The converse implication follows from the fact that by [4, Theorem 8] the intersection of any linearly ordered system of prime ideals in a semiregular normal autometrized l -algebra \mathcal{A} is a prime ideal in \mathcal{A} , too.

Theorem 8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a semiregular interpolation normal autometrized l -algebra, $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$, $0 \neq a \in I$. Then the mapping $\varphi: J \mapsto J \cap I$, for any $J \in \text{val}_A(a)$, is a bijection of the set $\text{val}_A(a)$ onto the set $\text{val}_I(a)$.*

Proof. Let $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$, $a \in I$. According to [4, Theorem 10], the mapping $\psi: P \mapsto P \cap I$ is a bijection of the set of all prime ideals in \mathcal{A} not containing I onto the set of all proper prime ideals in I which is an isomorphism between those sets ordered by set inclusion. Evidently, φ is a restriction of ψ on the set $\text{val}_A(a)$.

Let $J \in \text{val}_A(a)$. Since $J \cap I \in \mathcal{I}(I)$ and $a \notin J \cap I$, there exists $K \in \text{val}_I(a)$ such that $J \cap I \subseteq K$. And since $J = \varphi^{-1}(J \cap I)$, we have $J \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(K)$. Moreover, $a \in \varphi^{-1}(K)$, but that implies $J = \varphi^{-1}(K)$. Therefore $J \cap I = \varphi^{-1}(K) \cap I = K$, i.e. $J \cap I \in \text{val}_I(a)$.

Conversely, let $M \in \text{val}_I(a)$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(M)$ is contained in some $N \in \text{val}_A(a)$. We have $M = \varphi^{-1}(M) \cap I \subseteq N \cap I$ and $a \in N \cap I$, hence $M = N \cap I$, which means $\varphi^{-1}(M) = N$. Therefore $\varphi^{-1}(M) \in \text{val}_A(a)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BIGARD, A.—KEIMEL, K.—WOLFENSTEIN, S.: *Groupes et Anneaux Réticulés*. Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1977.
- [2] BIRKHOFF, G.: *Lattice Theory*. Providence 1967.
- [3] KOPYTOV, V. M.: *Lattice Ordered Groups (Russian)*. Moscow 1984.
- [4] RACHŮNEK, J.: Prime ideals in autometrized algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 37 (112), 1987, 65—69.
- [5] RACHŮNEK, J.: Polars in autometrized algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 39 (114), 1989, 681—685.
- [6] SWAMY, K. L. N.: A general theory of autometrized algebras. *Math. Ann.* 157, 1964, 65—74.
- [7] SWAMY, K. L. N.: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. *Math. Ann.* 159, 1965, 105—114.
- [8] SWAMY, K. L. N.—RAO, N. P.: Ideals in autometrized algebras. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A)* 24, 1977, 362—374.

[9] SWAMY, K. L. N.—SUBBA RAO, B. V.: Isometries in dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. Math. Sem. Notes 8, 1980, 369—380.

Received June 3, 1988

*Katedra algebry a geometrie
Přir. fakulty Univerzity Palackého
Leninova 26
771 46 Olomouc*

РЕГУЛЯРНЫЕ ИДЕАЛЫ В АВТОМЕТРИЗОВАННЫХ АЛГЕБРАХ

Jiří Rachůnek

Резюме

В статье введены регулярные идеалы в автометризованных алгебрах и показаны их свойства в некоторых классах этих алгебр.