

Marián Sudolský

A generalization of the friendship theorem

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 28 (1978), No. 1, 57--59

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136165>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A GENERALIZATION OF THE FRIENDSHIP THEOREM

MARIÁN SUDOLSKÝ

Introduction. Given the integers $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, a graph with at least m points is said to be an (m, k) -graph if any m -tuple of its points has exactly k common adjacent points.

In [3] G. Higman and in [5] H. S. Wilf described $(2, 1)$ -graphs by the well-known friendship theorem. In [1] R. C. Bose and S. S. Shrikhande and in [4] J. Plesník proved that any $(2, k)$ -graph is regular for $k > 1$. Further, J. Plesník in [4] proved that any (m, k) -graph is the complete graph with $m + k$ points for $m \geq k + 2 \geq 3$.

In the present we shall show that any (m, k) -graph is the complete graph with $m + k$ points for $m \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$.

In the paper we shall use all notations and definitions in the sense of [2].

If G is a graph, then we denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the set of its points and lines, respectively. Given $u \in V(G)$, $d_G(u)$ denotes the degree of the point u . Let $N_G(u) = \{v \in V(G) | uv \in E(G)\}$. It is easily seen that $|N_G(u)| = d_G(u)$. When G is a regular graph, then $d(G)$ denotes the degree of G .

Given $U \subset V(G)$, $G(U)$ denotes the induced subgraph of G with the point set U .

Results. Let m and k be integers with $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. A graph G is called an (m, k) -graph if and only if $|V(G)| \geq m$ and $\left| \bigcap_{i=1}^m N_G(v_i) \right| = k$ for any m -tuple of its distinct points v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m .

Theorem 1. *Let $k > 1$. Then G is a $(3, k)$ -graph if and only if $G = K_{k+3}$.*

Proof. Suppose that G is a $(3, k)$ -graph and $G \neq K_{k+3}$. Therefore there are two distinct points $u, v \in V(G)$ with $uv \notin E(G)$. We put $d_G(v) = p$. The graph $G_1 = G(N_G(v))$ is a regular $(2, k)$ -graph with $|V(G_1)| = d_G(v) = p$ (see Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.5 of [4]). Let $d(G_1) = r$. According to Theorem 4.5 of [4], we have

$$(1) \quad p = 1 + \frac{r(r-1)}{k},$$

where

$$(2) \quad k < r \leq k(k + 1).$$

The graph $G_2 = G(N_G(u) \cap N_G(v))$ is a regular $(1, k)$ -graph of the degree $d(G_2) = k$. Let $|V(G_2)| = q$. Obviously $|E(G_2)| = \frac{qk}{2}$. Let $E = \{xy \in E(G_1) \mid x \in V(G_2) \text{ and } y \in V(G_1) - V(G_2)\}$. Since G_1 is a regular graph of the degree r and G_2 is a regular graph of the degree k with $|V(G_2)| = q$, we receive $|E| = q(r - k)$. Denote by G_3 the graph with $V(G_3) = V(G_1) - V(G_2)$ and $E(G_3) = E(G_1) - E(G_2) - E$. If w is any point of $V(G_3)$, then w is adjacent exactly to k points of the $V(G_2)$ in G (because u, v and w have in G exactly k common adjacent points) as well as in G_1 . Hence G_3 is a regular graph of the degree $d(G_3) = r - k$ and $|E(G_3)| = \frac{(p - q)(r - k)}{2}$.

Obviously $E(G_1) = E \cup E(G_2) \cup E(G_3)$ and $E \cap E(G_2) = E \cap E(G_3) = E(G_2) \cap E(G_3) = \emptyset$. Therefore

$$(3) \quad |E(G_1)| = q(r - k) + \frac{qk}{2} + \frac{(p - q)(r - k)}{2}.$$

On the other hand, since G_1 is a regular graph of the degree $d(G) = r$ with $|V(G_1)| = p$, we obtain

$$(4) \quad |E(G_1)| = \frac{pr}{2}.$$

The equalities (3) and (4) imply

$$qr = pk.$$

Using (1) in the preceding equality we obtain

$$qr = r(r - 1) + k.$$

Thus $\frac{k}{r}$ is an integer, which contradicts (2). Hence $uv \in E(G)$ for any two distinct points $u, v \in V(G)$.

As the proof of the second part of the assertion is trivial, the theorem is proved.*

Theorem 5.3 of [4] states: If there exists $m_0 \geq 2$ such that any (m_0, k) -graph is the complete graph K_{m_0+k} , then for every $m \geq m_0$, K_{m+k} is the only (m, k) -graph. Thus Theorem 1 implies:

Theorem 2. Let $m \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$. Then G is an (m, k) -graph if and only if $G = K_{m+k}$.

* Added in proof: Carstens and Kruse in J. of Comb. Th., 3, 1977, give the same.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. BOSE, S. S. SHRIKHANDE: Graphs in which each pair of vertices is adjacent to the same number d of other vertices. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, 5, 1970, 181—195.
- [2] F. HARARY: *Graph theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading (Mass.) 1969.
- [3] G. HIGMAN: Lecture given at the Conference on combinatorial analysis. Oxford University, 1969.
- [4] J. PLESNÍK: Graphs with a homogeneity. *Glasnik Matematički*, 10 (30), 1975, 9—23.
- [5] H. S. WILF: The friendship theorem. In: *Comb. Math. and its Applications*, (D. J. A. Welsh, ed.), Academic Press, London, 1971, 307—309.

Received June 10, 1976

*Ústav technickej kybernetiky SAV
Dúbravská cesta 3
809 31 Bratislava*

ОБОБЩЕНИЕ ПРИЯТЕЛЬСКОЙ ТЕОРЕМЫ

Мариян Судолски

Резюме

Пусть $m \geq 0$ и $k \geq 0$ — целые числа. Граф, содержащий не менее m вершин (без петель и кратных ребер), мы назовем (m, k) -графом, если произвольная m -тица его вершин соединена точно с k общими вершинами. Простейшим примером (m, k) -графа является полный граф с $m + k$ вершинами.

Существование неполных $(2, 1)$ -графов (известных как приятельские графы) было показано Хигманом [3] и Вильфом [5]. Босе и Шриханд [1] и Плесник [4] доказали, что все $(2, k)$ -графы для $k > 1$ регулярны. Кроме этого Плесник [4] доказал несуществование неполного (m, k) -графа для $m \geq k + 2 \geq 3$.

В нашей статье показано, что произвольный (m, k) -граф для $m > 2$ и $k \geq 1$ обязательно является полным.