

Ladislav Bican

Precovers and Goldie's torsion theory

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 128 (2003), No. 4, 395–400

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134006>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

PRECOVERS AND GOLDIE'S TORSION THEORY

LADISLAV BICAN, Praha

(Received December 19, 2002)

Abstract. Recently, Rim and Teply [8], using the notion of τ -exact modules, found a necessary condition for the existence of τ -torsionfree covers with respect to a given hereditary torsion theory τ for the category $R\text{-mod}$ of all unitary left R -modules over an associative ring R with identity. Some relations between τ -torsionfree and τ -exact covers have been investigated in [5]. The purpose of this note is to show that if $\sigma = (\mathcal{T}_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ is Goldie's torsion theory and \mathcal{F}_σ is a precover class, then \mathcal{F}_τ is a precover class whenever $\tau \geq \sigma$. Further, it is shown that \mathcal{F}_σ is a cover class if and only if σ is of finite type and, in the case of non-singular rings, this is equivalent to the fact that \mathcal{F}_τ is a cover class for all hereditary torsion theories $\tau \geq \sigma$.

Keywords: hereditary torsion theory, Goldie's torsion theory, non-singular ring, precover class, cover class

MSC 2000: 16S90, 18E40, 16D80

In what follows, R stands for an associative ring with identity and $R\text{-mod}$ denotes the category of all unitary left R -modules. The basic properties of rings and modules can be found in [1]. A class \mathcal{G} of modules is called *abstract*, if it is closed under isomorphic copies, *co-abstract*, if its members are pairwise non-isomorphic and *complete* with respect to a given property, if every module with this property is isomorphic to a member of the class \mathcal{G} .

Recall that a *hereditary torsion theory* $\tau = (\mathcal{T}_\tau, \mathcal{F}_\tau)$ for the category $R\text{-mod}$ consists of two abstract classes \mathcal{T}_τ and \mathcal{F}_τ , the τ -torsion class and the τ -torsionfree class, respectively, such that $\text{Hom}(T, F) = 0$ whenever $T \in \mathcal{T}_\tau$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$, the class \mathcal{T}_τ is closed under submodules, factor-modules, extensions and arbitrary direct sums, the class \mathcal{F}_τ is closed under submodules, extensions and arbitrary direct products

The research has been partially supported by the Grant Agency of the Charles University, grant #GAUK 268/2002/B-MAT/MFF and also by the institutional grant MSM 113 200 007.

and for each module M there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ such that $T \in \mathcal{T}_\tau$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$. For two hereditary torsion theories τ and τ' the symbol $\tau \leq \tau'$ means that $\mathcal{T}_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\tau'}$ and consequently $\mathcal{F}_{\tau'} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\tau$. Associated with each hereditary torsion theory τ is the *Gabriel filter* \mathcal{L}_τ of left ideals of R consisting of all left ideals $I \leq R$ with $R/I \in \mathcal{T}_\tau$. Recall that τ is said to be of *finite type*, if \mathcal{L}_τ contains a cofinal subset \mathcal{L}'_τ of finitely generated left ideals. A submodule N of the module M is called τ -closed (or τ -pure), if the factor-module M/N belongs to \mathcal{F}_τ . A module M is said to be τ -noetherian, if the set of all τ -closed submodules of M satisfies the maximum condition. A module Q is said to be τ -injective, if it is injective with respect to all short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, where $C \in \mathcal{T}_\tau$. Further, a hereditary torsion theory τ is called *exact*, if $E(Q)/Q$ is τ -torsionfree τ -injective, $E(Q)$ being the injective hull of Q , whenever Q is a τ -torsionfree τ -injective module. If, in addition, τ is of finite type, then it is called *perfect*. For more details on torsion theories we refer to [7] or [6].

For a module M , the *singular submodule* $Z(M)$ consists of all elements $a \in M$, the *annihilator left ideal* $(0 : a) = \{r \in R; ra = 0\}$ of which is essential in R . *Goldie's torsion theory* for the category $R\text{-mod}$ is the hereditary torsion theory $\sigma = (\mathcal{T}_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_\sigma)$, where $\mathcal{T}_\sigma = \{M \in R\text{-mod}; Z(M/Z(M)) = M/Z(M)\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\sigma = \{M \in R\text{-mod}; Z(M) = 0\}$. If the ring R is σ -torsionfree, $Z(R) = 0$, then R is called *non-singular*. Note that in this case the Gabriel filter \mathcal{L}_σ consists of essential left ideals only.

If \mathcal{G} is an abstract class of modules, then a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow M$ is called a \mathcal{G} -precover of the module M , if $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and every homomorphism $f: F \rightarrow M, F \in \mathcal{G}$, factors through φ , i.e. there exists a homomorphism $g: F \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi g = f$. Moreover, a \mathcal{G} -precover φ of M is said to be a \mathcal{G} -cover, if each endomorphism f of G with $\varphi f = \varphi$ is an automorphism of the module G . An abstract class \mathcal{G} of modules is called a *precover (cover) class*, if every module has a \mathcal{G} -precover (\mathcal{G} -cover). It is well-known that an \mathcal{F}_τ -precover $\varphi: G \rightarrow M$ is an \mathcal{F}_τ -cover if and only if $\text{Ker } \varphi$ contains no non-zero submodule τ -closed in G . For more details concerning the theory of precovers and covers we refer to [10].

It is well-known (see e.g. [7; Proposition 42.9]) that a hereditary torsion theory τ is of finite type if and only if any directed union of τ -torsionfree τ -injective modules is τ -injective and that this condition is sufficient for the existence of τ -torsionfree covers (see [9] for the τ -torsionfree rings and [2] for the general case). On the other hand, in [8] a necessary condition has been presented saying that the directed union of τ -exact submodules of a given module is τ -injective. By a τ -exact module we mean any τ -torsionfree module, every τ -torsionfree homomorphic image of which is τ -injective. The purpose of this note is to prove that for Goldie's torsion theory σ the finite type condition is necessary and sufficient for the existence of σ -torsionfree covers. Moreover, if \mathcal{F}_σ is a precover class, then \mathcal{F}_τ is a precover class whenever

$\tau \geq \sigma$ and the same holds for cover classes provided that the ring R is non-singular. More precisely, we are going to prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let $\sigma = (\mathcal{T}_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ be Goldie's torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$. If \mathcal{F}_σ is a precover class, then \mathcal{F}_τ is a precover class for any hereditary torsion theory $\tau \geq \sigma$.*

Theorem 2. *Let $\sigma = (\mathcal{T}_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ be Goldie's torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{F}_σ is a cover class;
- (ii) σ is of finite type;
- (iii) σ is perfect.

If, moreover, the ring R is non-singular ($Z(R) = 0$), then these conditions are equivalent to the following three conditions:

- (iv) every non-zero left ideal of R contains a finitely generated essential left ideal;
- (v) ${}_R R$ is σ -noetherian;
- (vi) for every hereditary torsion theory $\tau \geq \sigma$ the class \mathcal{F}_τ is a cover class.

We start with some preliminary lemmas, the symbol σ will always denote Goldie's torsion theory.

Lemma 1. *Let $\tau \geq \sigma$ be a hereditary torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$. Then*

- (i) a module $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ is τ -injective if and only if it is injective;
- (ii) a submodule $K \leq Q$ with $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ injective is τ -closed if and only if it is injective. In this case the factor-module Q/K is also injective.

Proof. (i) If $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ is τ -injective and $E(Q)$ is the injective hull of Q , then $E(Q)/Q \in \mathcal{F}_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ by [7; Corollary 44.3]. In view of the obvious fact $E(Q)/Q \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma$ we have $Q = E(Q)$. The converse is obvious.

(ii) If K is τ -closed in Q , then $Q/K \in \mathcal{F}_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\sigma$. Hence K has no proper essential extension in Q and consequently it is injective. The rest is clear. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $\tau \geq \sigma$ be a hereditary torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$. If every module has an \mathcal{F}_τ -cover, then every directed union of τ -torsionfree injective modules is τ -torsionfree injective.*

Proof. Let $K = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} K_\alpha$ be a directed union of τ -torsionfree injective modules, let $M = E(K)$ be the injective hull of K and let $\varphi: G \rightarrow M/K$ be an \mathcal{F}_τ -cover of the module M/K . Denoting by $\pi_\alpha: M/K_\alpha \rightarrow M/K$ the corresponding natural projections, there are homomorphisms $f_\alpha: M/K_\alpha \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi f_\alpha = \pi_\alpha$ for

every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Obviously, $\text{Ker } f_\alpha \subseteq K/K_\alpha$ and we are going to show that the equality holds for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. If not, then $K_\beta/K_\alpha \not\subseteq \text{Ker } f_\alpha$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ and so $0 \neq f_\alpha(K_\beta/K_\alpha) \cong K_\beta/L_\beta \in \mathcal{F}_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ yields according to Lemma 1 that $0 \neq f_\alpha(K_\beta/K_\alpha) \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ is injective. This contradicts the fact that φ is an \mathcal{F}_τ -cover of the module M/K and consequently $\text{Im } f_\alpha \cong M/K \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus $M/K \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{T}_\sigma = 0$, $M = K$ and we are through. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}_\tau, \mathcal{F}_\tau)$ be an arbitrary hereditary torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) every module has a τ -torsionfree precover;
- (ii) every injective module has a τ -torsionfree precover;
- (iii) every injective module has an injective τ -torsionfree precover.

Proof. For an arbitrary injective module M we obviously have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \\ \iota \downarrow & & \parallel \\ E(G) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M \end{array}$$

where ι is the inclusion map of G into its injective hull $E(G)$ and φ is an \mathcal{F}_τ -precover of the module M . Then ψ is obviously an \mathcal{F}_τ -precover of M and consequently (ii) implies (iii).

Assuming (iii) let us consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ G & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E(M) \end{array}$$

where $M \in R\text{-mod}$ is arbitrary and ψ is an \mathcal{F}_τ -precover of $E(M)$ with G injective. Clearly, i is injective, hence $F \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ and the pullback property yields that φ is an \mathcal{F}_τ -precover of the module M . The rest is clear. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}_\tau, \mathcal{F}_\tau)$ be a hereditary torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$. A homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow M$ with $G \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ and M injective is an \mathcal{F}_τ -precover of the module M if and only if to each homomorphism $f: Q \rightarrow M$ with $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ injective, there exists a homomorphism $g: Q \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi g = f$.*

Proof. Only the sufficiency requires verification. So, let us consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E(F) & \xlongequal{\quad} & E(F) & \xleftarrow{i} & F \\
 g \downarrow & & h \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M
 \end{array}$$

with the given φ , M injective and $f: F \rightarrow M$, $F \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$, arbitrary. Then there is $h: E(F) \rightarrow M$ with $hi = f$, M being injective, and $g: E(F) \rightarrow G$ with $\varphi g = h$ by the definition of a precover. Thus $\varphi(gi) = hi = f$ and the proof is complete. \square

Proof (of Theorem 1). Let λ be an arbitrary infinite cardinal and let \mathfrak{M}_λ be any complete co-abstract set of modules of cardinalities at most λ . For any $M \in \mathfrak{M}_\lambda$ we fix an \mathcal{F}_σ -precover $\varphi_M: G_M \rightarrow M$ and denote by κ the first cardinal with $\kappa > |G_M|$ for each $M \in \mathfrak{M}_\lambda$.

Further, let $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ be an arbitrary injective module with $|Q| \geq \kappa$ and let $K \leq Q$ be its submodule such that $|Q/K| \leq \lambda$. Then, obviously, $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ and consequently, by the above part, the factor-module Q/K has an \mathcal{F}_σ -precover $\varphi: G \rightarrow Q/K$ with $|G| < \kappa$. Thus, there is a homomorphism $f: Q \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi f = \pi$, π being the canonical projection $Q \rightarrow Q/K$. Now $\text{Ker } f = L$ is contained in K and it is a direct summand of Q by Lemma 1 (ii) owing to the fact that $Q/L \cong \text{Im } f \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$. Moreover, $|Q/L| = |\text{Im } f| \leq |G| < \kappa$.

Now let $M \in R\text{-mod}$ be an arbitrary injective module, $\lambda = \max(|M|, \aleph_0)$, and let κ be the cardinal corresponding to λ by the beginning of this proof. Further, let \mathfrak{N}_κ be any complete co-abstract set of τ -torsionfree injective modules of cardinalities less than κ . We put $G = \bigoplus_{Q \in \mathfrak{N}_\kappa} Q^{(\text{Hom}(Q, M))}$ and $\varphi: G \rightarrow M$ will denote the corresponding natural evaluation map. To verify that φ is a τ -torsionfree precover of the module M we shall use Lemma 4. So, let $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ be an arbitrary injective module and let $f: Q \rightarrow M$ be an arbitrary homomorphism. For $|Q| < \kappa$ there exists an isomorphic copy of Q lying in \mathfrak{N}_κ and the existence of the homomorphism $g: Q \rightarrow G$ with $\varphi g = f$ can be easily verified. In the opposite case, for $|Q| \geq \kappa$, denoting $K = \text{Ker } f$ we have $|Q/K| = |\text{Im } f| \leq |M| \leq \lambda$. Thus, by the above part, there is a direct summand L of Q contained in K and such that $|Q/L| < \kappa$. Moreover, f naturally induces the homomorphism $\bar{f}: Q/L \rightarrow M$ such that $\bar{f}\pi = f$, $\pi: Q \rightarrow Q/L$ being the canonical projection. Thus there is $\bar{g}: Q/L \rightarrow G$ with $\varphi\bar{g} = \bar{f}$ by the previous case, so $\varphi(\bar{g}\pi) = \bar{f}\pi = f$ and to complete the proof it suffices now to apply Lemma 3. \square

Proof (of Theorem 2). (i) implies (ii). It suffices to use Lemma 2 and [7; Proposition 42.9].

(ii) implies (i). This has been proved in [9] in the case of a faithful torsion theory and in [2] in the general case.

(ii) is equivalent to (iii). This is obvious, σ being exact by Lemma 1 (see also [7; Corollary 44.3]).

Assume now that the ring R is non-singular.

(ii) implies (iv). Since R is non-singular, the Gabriel filter \mathcal{L}_σ consists of essential left ideals only, and consequently every essential left ideal contains an essential finitely generated left ideal by the hypothesis. So, let $0 \neq I \leq R$ be an arbitrary non-essential left ideal of R and let $J \leq R$ be any left ideal maximal with respect to $I \cap J = 0$. Then $I \oplus J$ is essential in R and consequently there is a finitely generated left ideal $K = \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i \subseteq I \oplus J$ essential in R . Now $a_i = b_i + c_i$, $b_i \in I$, $c_i \in J$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and it remains to verify that the left ideal $\sum_{i=1}^n Rb_i$ is essential in I . However, for an arbitrary element $0 \neq u \in I$ we have $0 \neq ru = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i b_i + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i c_i$ for suitable elements $r, r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$, and consequently, $0 \neq ru = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i b_i$, as we wished to show.

(iv) is equivalent to (v). See [7; Proposition 20.1].

(iv) implies (vi). Let $I \in \mathcal{L}_\tau$ be arbitrary and let $K \leq I$ be a finitely generated left ideal essential in I . Then $I/K \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\tau$, hence $K \in \mathcal{L}_\tau$ and the torsion theory τ is of finite type. Now it suffices to use [2].

(vi) implies (i). This is trivial. □

References

- [1] *F. W. Anderson, K. R. Fuller*: Rings and Categories of Modules. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 13, Springer, 1974.
- [2] *L. Bican, B. Torrecillas*: Precovers. Czechoslovak Math. J. 53 (2003), 191–203.
- [3] *L. Bican, B. Torrecillas*: On covers. J. Algebra 236 (2001), 645–650.
- [4] *L. Bican, R. El Bashir, E. Enochs*: All modules have flat covers. Proc. London Math. Society 33 (2001), 385–390.
- [5] *L. Bican, B. Torrecillas*: Relative exact covers. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 42 (2001), 601–607.
- [6] *L. Bican, T. Kepka, P. Němec*: Rings, Modules, and Preradicals. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [7] *J. Golan*: Torsion Theories. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 29, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1986.
- [8] *S. H. Rim, M. L. Teply*: On coverings of modules. Tsukuba J. Math. 24 (2000), 15–20.
- [9] *M. L. Teply*: Torsion-free covers II. Israel J. Math. 23 (1976), 132–136.
- [10] *J. Xu*: Flat Covers of Modules. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1634, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

Author's address: Ladislav Bican, KA MFF UK, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8-Karlín, Czech Republic, e-mail: bican@karlin.mff.cuni.cz.