

Aleksander V. Arhangel'skii

The Baire property in remainders of topological groups and other results

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 50 (2009), No. 2, 273--279

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133433>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

The Baire property in remainders of topological groups and other results

ALEXANDER ARHANGEL'SKII

Abstract. It is established that a remainder of a non-locally compact topological group G has the Baire property if and only if the space G is not Čech-complete. We also show that if G is a non-locally compact topological group of countable tightness, then either G is submetrizable, or G is the Čech-Stone remainder of an arbitrary remainder Y of G . It follows that if G and H are non-submetrizable topological groups of countable tightness such that some remainders of G and H are homeomorphic, then the spaces G and H are homeomorphic. Some other corollaries and related results are presented.

Keywords: Baire property, σ -compact, Čech-complete space, compactification, Čech-Stone compactification, Rajkov complete, paracompact p -space

Classification: Primary 54H11, 54H15; Secondary 54B05

By a space we understand a Tychonoff topological space. A compactification of a space X is a Hausdorff compactification of X . A remainder of a space X is the subspace $bX \setminus X$ of a compactification bX of X . For the definition and properties of p -spaces see [1], [6], or [7]. We only recall that Lindelöf p -spaces can be characterized as preimages of separable metrizable spaces under perfect mappings ([1], [6]). A space X has the Baire property if the intersection of an arbitrary countable family of dense open subsets of X is dense in X .

In terminology and notation, we mostly follow [6], [7], and [10]. To these books a reader may also refer in the case of folklore type references.

1. The Baire property in remainders of topological groups

The Dichotomy Theorem in [5] can be reformulated as follows: *If G is a topological group, and some remainder of G is not pseudocompact, then every remainder of G is Lindelöf.*

A natural question arises: what if we strengthen the assumption and assume that some remainder of G does not have the Baire property? This question leads to the Second Dichotomy Theorem for remainders of topological groups:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that G is a non-locally compact topological group. Then either every remainder of G has the Baire property, or every remainder of G is σ -compact.*

To prove this statement, we need the next result of independent interest:

Theorem 1.2. *If Y is a Čech-complete subspace of a topological group G , then either Y is nowhere dense in G , or the space G is Čech-complete as well.*

PROOF: Assume that Y is not nowhere dense in G . Then some non-empty open subset V of G is contained in the closure of Y in G . Clearly, $P_V = Y \cap V$ is a dense Čech-complete subspace of V .

Claim 1: For every non-empty open subset W of G , there exist a non-empty open subset U contained in W and a Čech-complete subspace Z of U such that Z is dense in U .

Indeed, since G is a topological group, we can use translations in G , in an obvious way, to establish Claim 1.

By Zorn's Lemma, we can take a maximal disjoint family γ of non-empty open subsets of G such that each element of γ contains a dense Čech-complete subspace. Put $M = \bigcup \gamma$. It follows from Claim 1 that M is dense in G . For each $U \in \gamma$, fix a Čech-complete subspace Z_U of U dense in U , and put $Z = \bigcup \{Z_U : U \in \gamma\}$. Obviously, Z is dense in G .

Let us show that the subspace Z is also Čech-complete. Fix a compactification B of G , and for each open subset U of G fix an open subset bU of B such that $U = G \cap bU$. Observe that U is dense in bU , since G is dense in B . Now take any $U \in \gamma$. Then Z_U is dense in bU , and since Z_U is Čech-complete, we can fix a countable family $\eta_U = \{W_n(U) : n \in \omega\}$ of open subsets of bU such that $Z_U = \bigcap \eta_U$. For what follows, it is essential to notice that the family $b\gamma = \{bU : U \in \gamma\}$ is disjoint. This is so, since γ is a disjoint family of open subsets of G and G is dense in B . Thus, $b\gamma$ is a disjoint family of open subsets of B . It also follows that the family $\xi_n = \{W_n(U) : U \in \gamma\}$ is disjoint, for each $n \in \omega$.

Put $W_n = \bigcup \xi_n = \bigcup \{W_n(U) : U \in \gamma\}$ for $n \in \omega$. Clearly, $Z \subset W_n$, for each $n \in \omega$. Hence, $Z \subset Z_1$, where $Z_1 = \bigcap \{W_n : n \in \omega\}$.

Claim 2: $Z_1 = Z$, and hence, Z is Čech-complete. Indeed, $Z_1 = \bigcap \{W_n : n \in \omega\} = \bigcup \{\bigcap \{W_n(U) : n \in \omega\} : U \in \gamma\} = \bigcup \{Z_U : U \in \gamma\} = Z$, since each family ξ_U is disjoint.

Claim 3: The topological group G is Rajkov complete.

Assume the contrary, and take the Rajkov completion H of G . Then $H \setminus G$ is non-empty. Recall that H is a topological group containing the group G as a dense subgroup. Fix $a \in H \setminus G$, and consider the subspaces aG and aZ of H . Clearly, aG and G are disjoint, since G is a subgroup of H and a is not in G . Observe that aG is dense in H , since G is dense in H . It follows that Z and aZ are disjoint Čech-complete subspaces of H dense in H . However, this is impossible. Indeed, the intersection of any two dense Čech-complete subspaces of any Tychonoff space is dense in this space, by the Baire property of compact Hausdorff spaces. Thus, G is Rajkov complete.

The existence of a dense Čech-complete subspace in G also implies that G contains a non-empty compact subspace with a countable base of open neighbourhoods. Hence, G is a paracompact p -space, since G is a topological group (see [7], Theorem 4.3.20 and Corollary 4.3.21).

However, M.M. Choban has shown that if a Rajkov complete topological group is a paracompact p -space, then this space is Čech-complete ([8]). Hence, G is Čech-complete. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1: Suppose that bG is a compactification of G such that the remainder $Y = bG \setminus G$ does not have the Baire property.

Claim: Y is σ -compact.

In other words, we have to show that G is Čech-complete. Since Y does not have the Baire property, we can find a countable family η of open dense subsets of Y such that $\bigcap \eta$ is not dense in Y . Note that G is nowhere locally compact, and therefore, Y is dense in bG . It follows that there exist a countable family ξ of open dense subsets of bG and a non-empty open subset U of bG such that $(\bigcap \xi) \cap (U \cap Y) = \emptyset$. Then the subspace $M = (\bigcap \xi) \cap (U \cap G) = (\bigcap \xi) \cap U$ is Čech-complete and dense in the open subset $U \cap G$ of G . This is so, since U is locally compact and hence has the Baire property. Therefore, M is not nowhere dense in G , and Theorem 1.2 implies that G is Čech-complete. \square

Remark. Observe that a remainder Y of a non-locally compact topological group G cannot have the Baire property and be σ -compact at the same time. Indeed, otherwise the interior of Y in bG is not empty and clearly Y must be dense in the compactification bG . Therefore, Y has to intersect its complement G , since G is also dense in bG , a contradiction.

Corollary 1.3. *Every remainder (some remainder) of an arbitrary non-locally compact topological group G has the Baire property if and only if G is not Čech-complete (that is, if and only if the remainder of it is not σ -compact).*

PROOF: This statement follows from Theorem 1.2. \square

The last result shows that topological groups can be used to produce non-trivial topological spaces with the Baire property.

Corollary 1.4. *For an arbitrary topological group G with countable Souslin number, either G is Lindelöf and each remainder of G is a σ -compact p -space, or every remainder of G has the Baire property.*

PROOF: Assume that the second alternative does not hold. Then G cannot be locally compact and, by Theorem 1.1, G is Čech-complete. Hence, G is paracompact ([7, Corollary 4.3.21]). Since the Souslin number of G is countable, it follows that G is Lindelöf. Thus, G is a Lindelöf p -space. Now a theorem in [4] implies that every remainder of G is a Lindelöf p -space. Observe that each remainder of G is σ -compact, since G is Čech-complete. \square

In connection with the last result, we present the next theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that G is an arbitrary topological group with countable Souslin number, and let Y be a remainder of G of countable pseudocharacter. Then the space Y is first countable.*

PROOF: Indeed, Y is either pseudocompact or Lindelöf, by a theorem in [5]. If Y is Lindelöf, then G is a paracompact p -space ([4]). Thus, Y is either pseudocompact or a p -space. Since each point in Y is a G_δ -point, in both cases it follows that Y is first countable. \square

2. Remainders of topological groups and the Čech-Stone compactification

In this section, we will establish a curious property of remainders of topological groups: under certain general assumptions, the Čech-Stone remainder of any such space turns out to be homeomorphic to the group itself!

Let us start with the following general question: when a topological space has a remainder homeomorphic to a topological group? One, probably, would guess that this occurs rather rarely. We even may conjecture that a homogeneous remainder of a topological space is a rare specimen.

Recall that a space X is *Moscow* if the closure of an arbitrary open subset in X is the union of some family of G_δ -subsets of X ([3]; see also [7, Section 6.1, p. 346]).

A space X is said to be *submetrizable* if its topology contains a metrizable topology.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that G is a Moscow topological group, and that Y is a remainder of G in some compactification bG of G . Then at least one of the following three conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) *the space G contains a topological copy of D^{ω_1} ;*
- (2) *the space G is submetrizable;*
- (3) *the compactum bG is the Čech-Stone compactification of the space Y , and hence, G is the Čech-Stone remainder of Y .*

PROOF: Every locally compact non-metrizable topological group contains a copy of non-metrizable compact group, and therefore, contains a topological copy of D^{ω_1} ([7, Section 6.1, p. 226]).

Thus, we may assume that G is not locally compact. Then, of course, G is nowhere locally compact, since G is a topological group. It follows that Y is dense in bG , that is, bG in this case is indeed a compactification of the space Y .

Assume also that condition (3) is not satisfied. Then we can find closed sets A and B in Y and a real-valued continuous function f on Y such that $f(A) = \{0\}$ and $f(B) = \{1\}$, while some point $z \in G$ belongs to the intersection of the closures of A and B in bG . Using the continuity of f , we can find open subsets U and V of Y containing A and B , respectively, such that the closures of U and V in Y are disjoint. Fix now open subsets U_1 and V_1 of bG such that $U = U_1 \cap Y$ and $V = V_1 \cap Y$. Let F be the intersection of the closures of U_1 and V_1 in bG .

Note that F is compact. Clearly, U is dense in U_1 , and V is dense in V_1 , since Y is dense in bG . Therefore, no point of Y belongs to F , that is, $F \subset G$. Put $U' = U_1 \cap G$ and $V' = V_1 \cap G$. Then, by the construction, F is the intersection of the closures of U' and V' in G . Since G is a regular Moscow space, it follows that F is the union of closed G_δ -subsets of G . Since F is compact, we conclude that G contains a non-empty compact G_δ -subset P . We are going to consider two cases.

Case 1: P is metrizable. Then every point of P is a G_δ -point in G . Since G is a topological group, it follows that the space G is submetrizable ([7, Theorem 3.3.16]).

Case 2: P is not metrizable. By a fundamental theorem of M.M. Choban in [9], the space P is a dyadic compactum. Since P is non-metrizable, it follows that P contains a topological copy of D^{ω_1} ([10, 3.12.12]). \square

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that G is a non-submetrizable topological group of countable tightness, and that Y is a remainder of G in some compactification bG of G . Then the compactum bG is the Čech-Stone compactification of the space Y , and hence, G is the Čech-Stone remainder of Y .*

PROOF: Observe that G is not locally compact, since otherwise G would be metrizable ([7, Theorem 3.3.12], [2]).

Since the tightness of G is countable, and G is a topological group, the space G is Moscow ([3], [7, Section 6.4]). The space G does not contain a topological copy of D^{ω_1} , since the tightness of G is countable ([10, 3.12.12]). By the assumption, G is not submetrizable. Now it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the conclusion in Corollary 2.2 holds. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that G is a topological group algebraically generated by a non-metrizable compact subspace B of countable tightness, and let Y be a remainder of G . Then G is the Čech-Stone remainder of Y .*

PROOF: It easily follows from the assumptions on G that G is covered by a countable family of compacta of countable tightness. Each of these compacta is a continuous image of a finite power of the compactum B (recall that the tightness of B^n is countable, for each $n \in \omega$, and that the tightness is not increased by perfect mappings, see [2]). It is known that the tightness of an arbitrary compactum covered by a countable family of compacta of countable tightness is also countable (D.V. Ranchin [11]). Therefore, the tightness of every compact subspace of G is countable. Therefore, G does not contain a topological copy of D^{ω_1} . Observe that the space G is not submetrizable, since B is a non-metrizable compactum. The space G is Moscow, since G is a σ -compact topological group ([3], [7, Section 6.4]).

Now it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the conclusion in Corollary 2.3 holds. \square

For the definition and properties of free topological groups see [2] and [7, Chapter 7].

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose that $F(X)$ is the free topological group of a non-metrizable compact space X of countable tightness, and let Y be a remainder of $F(X)$. Then $F(X)$ is the Čech-Stone remainder of Y .*

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that G and H are non-submetrizable topological groups of countable tightness. Then the spaces G and H are homeomorphic if and only if some remainders of G and H are homeomorphic.*

PROOF: The necessity is clear. The sufficiency follows from Corollary 2.2, since both G and H turn out to be homeomorphic to the Čech-Stone remainder of the same space Y . \square

To demonstrate that the assumptions in Theorem 2.1 are not too excessive, we consider the next simple example. Let Q be the topological group of rational numbers, with the usual topology and operation. Clearly, Q has a compactification bQ homeomorphic to the circumference S^1 and such that the remainder $Y = bQ \setminus Q$ is homeomorphic to the space of irrational numbers. The space of irrational numbers is also homeomorphic to a topological group. Since bQ is metrizable, bQ is not the Čech-Stone compactification of Y . However, Q in this example is metrizable.

In fact, we have a general statement which complements Theorem 2.1 and generalizes the above situation.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that G is an arbitrary separable metrizable topological group, and that bG is any compactification of G . Then bG is not the Čech-Stone compactification of the space $Y = bG \setminus G$.*

PROOF: If G is locally compact, then bG is not a compactification of Y , since Y is not dense in bG .

So we may assume that the space G is not locally compact. Then Y is dense in bG , and, clearly, Y is not compact. Observe that Y is a Lindelöf p -space, since G is a Lindelöf p -space ([4]). Therefore, Y is normal and Y is not countably compact, since Y is not compact. Hence, we can fix an infinite countable discrete closed subspace A in Y . Put $Z = \overline{A} \setminus A$, where \overline{A} is the closure of A in bG .

Assume now that bG is the Čech-Stone compactification of Y . Then \overline{A} is the Čech-Stone compactification of A , since Y is normal and A is closed in Y . Therefore, the space Z is not metrizable, since the space A is infinite and discrete. On the other hand, Z is metrizable, since Z is a subspace of G and G is metrizable. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Arhangel'skii A.V., *On a class of spaces containing all metric and all locally compact spaces*, Mat. Sb. **67** (109) (1965), 55–88; English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **92** (1970), 1–39.
- [2] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Classes of topological groups*, Russian Math. Surveys **36** (3) (1981), 151–174.
- [3] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Moscow spaces and topological groups*, Topology Proc. **25** (2000), 383–416.

- [4] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Remainders in compactifications and generalized metrizability properties*, *Topology Appl.* **150** (2005), 79–90.
- [5] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Two types of remainders of topological groups*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **49** (2008), no. 1, 119–126.
- [6] Arhangel'skii A.V., Ponomarev V.I., *Fundamentals of General Topology in Problems and Exercises*, Reidel, 1984 (translated from Russian).
- [7] Arhangel'skii A.V., Tkachenko M.G., *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, Atlantis Press, Paris; World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [8] Choban M.M., *On completions of topological groups*, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. Mat. Mech.* **1** (1970), 33–38 (in Russian).
- [9] Choban M.M., *Topological structure of subsets of topological groups and their quotients*, in *Topological Structures and Algebraic Systems*, Shtiintsa, Kishinev, 1977, pp. 117–163 (in Russian).
- [10] Engelking R., *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [11] Rančin D.V., *Tightness, sequentiality, and closed covers*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **232** (1977), 1015–1018.

OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701, U.S.A.

Email: arhangel@bing.math.ohiou.edu

(Received November 20, 2008, revised March 12, 2009)