

Vincent Šoltés

Oscillatory properties of solutions of a fourth-order nonlinear differential equation

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 1, 73--82

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133072>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

OSCILLATORY PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF A FOURTH-ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

VINCENT ŠOLTÉS

In [1] and [2] sufficient conditions were presented for the solutions of the equation

$$y^{(4)} + p(x)y'' + q(x)y' + r(x)h(y) = f(x),$$

which satisfy an initial condition, to be oscillatory.

For $\varrho(x) \equiv 1$, the result of this paper do not follow from the results of [1], [2], and vice versa. The work extends to the set of theorems about the sufficient conditions for the oscillatory behaviour of the solutions. The same method is used in all the works.

The present paper presents sufficient conditions which ensure that the solutions of the equation

$$(1) \quad [\varrho(x)y''']' + p(x)y'' + q(x)y' + r(x)h(y) = f(x),$$

which satisfy a certain initial condition, are oscillatory. For $\varrho(x) \equiv 1$ the results are an extension of those of [1] and [2].

We shall assume throughout that $\varrho(x) > 0$, $\varrho'(x) \geq 0$, $\varrho''(x) \leq 0$, $q(x) \geq 0$, that $p(x)$, $q(x)$, $r(x)$, $f(x)$ and $h(y)$ are continuous for all $x \in \langle x_0, \infty \rangle$, $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ where $x_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

We shall consider the solutions of (1), which exist on $\langle x_0, \infty \rangle$.

Let

$$F(x) = \varrho(x)y(x)y'''(x) - \varrho(x)y'(x)y''(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varrho'(x)y'^2(x) + \frac{1}{2}q(x)y^2(x)$$

$$F_1(x) = \varrho(x)y'(x)y'''(x) - \frac{1}{2}\varrho(x)y''^2(x) + \frac{1}{2}p(x)y'^2(x) + r(x)H(y(x))$$

$$H(y) = \int_0^y h(s) ds$$

Lemma. Suppose that $q(x) \in C^1 \langle x_0, \infty \rangle$ and that for all $x \in \langle x_0, \infty \rangle$ and $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $y \neq 0$

$$2\varrho(x)q'(x) + p^2(x) < 0, \quad \text{sgn } r(x) = \text{sgn } h(y)y.$$

Then for any nonoscillatory solution of (1) such that

$$(2) \quad F(x_0) - \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{f^2(x)\varrho(x)}{2q(x)\varrho(x) + p^2(x)} dx = K_0 \leq 0$$

exactly one of the following statements holds:

- (i) $y(x) > 0, y'(x) > 0, y''(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \geq x_2 \geq x_0$
- (ii) $y(x) < 0, y'(x) < 0, y''(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \geq x_2 \geq x_0$.

Proof. Let $y(x)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) satisfying (2). Then there exists $x_1 \geq x_0$ such that $y(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \geq x_1$. Multiply (1) by $y(x)$ and integrate from x_0 to $x \geq x_0$, obtaining

$$(3) \quad F(x) + \int_{x_0}^x \varrho(t)y''(t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x p(t)y'(t)y(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x q'(t)y^2(t) dt - \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x \varrho''(t)y'^2(t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x r(t)h(y(t))y(t) dt = F(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x f(t)y(t) dt.$$

Evidently for any real $b, x, a > 0$ we have

$$(N) \quad ax^2 + bx \geq -\frac{b^2}{4a}.$$

Since $\varrho(x) > 0$ and $2\varrho(x)q'(x) + p^2(x) < 0$, we can use the last inequality to prove that

$$\varrho(x)y''(x) + p(x)y(x)y''(x) \geq -\frac{p^2(x)}{4\varrho(x)}y^2(x), \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left[2q'(x) + \frac{p^2(x)}{\varrho(x)} \right] y^2(x) - f(x)y(x) \geq \frac{f^2(x)\varrho(x)}{2q(x)\varrho(x) + p^2(x)}.$$

Using this, (3) yields

$$(4) \quad F(x) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x \varrho''(t)y'^2(t) dt + \int_{x_0}^x r(t)h(y(t))y(t) dt \leq \\ \leq F(x_0) - \int_{x_0}^x \frac{f^2(t)\varrho(t)}{2q(t)\varrho(t) + p^2(t)} dt \leq K_0 \leq 0$$

for every $x \geq x_0$. Omitting nonnegative terms on the left-hand side of the relation (4), we have for every $x \geq x_1$:

$$(5) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{y''(x)}{y(x)} \right] \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{q(x)}{\varrho(x)}.$$

Thus the function $\frac{y''(x)}{y(x)}$ is nonincreasing. This means that $y''(x)$, $y'(x)$ are monotonous in $\langle x_2, \infty \rangle$, where $x_2 \geq x_1$.

The following cases must be considered:

1. $y(x) > 0$, $y'(x) > 0$, $y''(x) \geq 0$
2. $y(x) < 0$, $y'(x) < 0$, $y''(x) \leq 0$
3. $y(x) > 0$, $y'(x) > 0$, $y''(x) < 0$
4. $y(x) < 0$, $y'(x) < 0$, $y''(x) > 0$
5. $y(x) > 0$, $y'(x) < 0$, $y''(x) > 0$
6. $y(x) < 0$, $y'(x) > 0$, $y''(x) < 0$
7. $y(x) > 0$, $y'(x) < 0$, $y''(x) \leq 0$
8. $y(x) < 0$, $y'(x) > 0$, $y''(x) \geq 0$

for every $x \geq x_2 \geq x_1$.

We shall prove the cases 3—8 are contradictory.

Suppose that case 3 holds. From (5) we have

$$\frac{y''(x)}{y(x_2)} \leq \frac{y''(x)}{y(x)} \leq \frac{y''(x_2)}{y(x_2)},$$

and therefore

$$y''(x) \leq y''(x_2) < 0.$$

Integrating this from x_2 to $x \geq x_2$, we obtain

$$y'(x) \leq y'(x_2) + y''(x_2)(x - x_2),$$

which is a contradiction, since $y'(x) > 0$.

Case 4 is disposed of analogously.

Suppose that case 5 holds. From (4) we can derive that there exists a positive constant A^2 such that

$$F(x) \leq -A^2 < 0 \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_1 \geq x_0$$

this means that

$$\varrho(x)y(x)y'''(x) < -A^2 \quad \text{for every } x \geq x_2.$$

Since $y(x)$ decreases, we have

$$\varrho(x)y'''(x) < -\frac{A^2}{y(x_2)}$$

and integrating this from x_2 to $x \geq x_2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho(x)y''(x) - \varrho'(x)y'(x) + \int_{x_2}^x \varrho''(t)y'(t) dt < \\ & < -\frac{A^2}{y(x_2)}(x-x_2) + \varrho(x_2)y''(x_2) - \varrho'(x_2)y'(x_2), \end{aligned}$$

which again leads to a contradiction.

In the same way we show the impossibility of case 6.

If the case 7 or 8 hold, then there would exist $x_3 \geq x_2$ such that $y(x_3) = 0$ — again we have obtained a contradiction.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the hypotheses of the Lemma hold and that, in addition, $h(y)$ is nondecreasing and $p(x) \geq 0$ for every $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $x \in \langle x_0, \infty \rangle$, respectively.*

$$\text{If } \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\varrho(x)} = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} r(x) dx = \infty, \quad \left| \int_{x_0}^{\infty} f(x) dx \right| < \infty,$$

then any solution of (1) satisfying (2) is oscillatory on $\langle x_0, \infty \rangle$.

Proof. Let $y(x)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) which satisfies (2). According to our Lemma, there exists $x_1 \geq x_0$ such that either

1. $y(x) > 0, y'(x) > 0, y''(x) \geq 0$ or
2. $y(x) < 0, y'(x) < 0, y''(x) \leq 0$ for any $x \geq x_1$.

Suppose that 1 is true. Then we have from (1)

$$[\varrho(x)y'''(x)]' \leq f(x) - h(y(x_1))r(x).$$

Integrating from x_1 to $x \geq x_1$ we get

$$\varrho(x)y'''(x) \leq \int_{x_1}^x f(t) dt - h(y(x_1)) \int_{x_1}^x r(t) dt + \varrho(x_1)y'''(x_1).$$

Therefore there exists a positive constant B^2 such that for $x \geq x_2 \geq x_1$ we have

$$y'''(x) \leq -\frac{B^2}{\varrho(x)}$$

and thus $y''(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ — a contradiction.

Analogously we show that 2 cannot hold.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that the hypotheses of our Lemma hold and that, in addition, for every $x \in \langle x_0, \infty \rangle$*

$$p(x) \geq 0, \quad \liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(y)}{y} \geq \varepsilon,$$

where ε is a positive constant.

$$\text{If } \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\varrho(x)} = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} xr(x) dx = \infty, \quad \left| \int_{x_0}^{\infty} f(x) dx \right| < \infty,$$

then any solution of (1) which satisfies (2) is oscillatory on $\langle x_0, \infty \rangle$.

Proof. Suppose that $y(x)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) which satisfies (2). Suppose that, e.g.

$$y(x) > 0, \quad y'(x) > 0, \quad y''(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_1 \geq x_0.$$

Since by hypothesis $\liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(y)}{y} \geq \varepsilon$, there exists a constant K such that for any $y \geq K$

$$\frac{h(y)}{y} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since $y(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, evidently there exists $x_2 \geq x_1$ such that for all $x \geq x_2$

$$\frac{h(y(x))}{y(x)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

From (1) we have for every $x \geq x_2$

$$[\varrho(x)y''']' \leq f(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} y(x)r(x).$$

Integrating from x_2 to $x \geq x_2$ we obtain

$$(6) \quad \varrho(x)y'''(x) \leq \varrho(x_2)y'''(x_2) + \int_{x_2}^x f(t) dt - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{x_2}^x r(t)y(t) dt.$$

In this case

$$y(x) - y(x_2) = \int_{x_2}^x y'(t) dt \geq y'(x_2)(x - x_2)$$

and thus

$$y(x) > y'(x_2)(x - x_2) \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_2.$$

The last inequality in conjunction with (6) shows that

$$\varrho(x)y'''(x) \leq \varrho(x_2)y'''(x_2) + \left| \int_{x_2}^x f(t) dt \right| - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} y'(x_2) \int_{x_2}^x (t - x_2)r(t) dt,$$

which means that by the hypotheses of this Theorem there exists a positive constant C^2 such that for all $x \geq x_3 \geq x_2$

$$y'''(x) \leq -\frac{C^2}{\varrho(x)}, \quad \text{whence}$$

$$y''(x) \leq y''(x_3) - C^2 \int_{x_3}^x \frac{dt}{\varrho(t)},$$

which is again a contradiction, since $y''(x) \geq 0$.

The proof for the case $y(x) < 0$, $y'(x) < 0$, $y''(x) \leq 0$ is analogous.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that the hypotheses of our Lemma hold. If*

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{q(x)}{\varrho(x)} dx = \infty,$$

then any solution of (1) satisfying (2) is oscillatory on $\langle x_0, \infty \rangle$.

Proof. Let $y(x)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) which satisfies (2). Integrating (5) from x_1 to $x \geq x_1$ we get

$$\frac{y''(x)}{y(x)} \leq \frac{y''(x_1)}{y(x_1)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_1}^x \frac{q(t)}{\varrho(t)} dt,$$

whence $\frac{y''(x)}{y(x)} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; this is a contradiction according to our Lemma.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that the hypotheses of our Lemma hold and that, in addition, $p(x)$, $r(x) \in C^1\langle x_0, \infty \rangle$ and for all $x \in \langle x_0, \infty \rangle$*

$$2q(x) - p'(x) - |f(x)| \geq 0, \quad r(x) \geq 0, \quad r'(x) \leq 0.$$

If

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)}{\varrho(x)} dx = +\infty,$$

then any solution of (1) which satisfies the relations (2) and

$$(7) \quad F_1(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx = K_1 \leq 0$$

is oscillatory on $\langle x_0, \infty \rangle$.

Proof. Suppose that $y(x)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) which satisfies (2) and (7). Multiply (1) by $y'(x)$ and integrate from x_0 to $x \geq x_0$, obtaining

$$(8) \quad F_1(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x [2q(t) - p'(t)] y'^2(t) dt - \int_{x_0}^x r'(t) H(y(t)) dt = \\ = F_1(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x f(t) y'(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x \varrho'(t) (y''(t))^2 dt.$$

This leads easily to

$$F_1(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x [2q(t) - p'(t) - |f(t)|] y'^2(t) dt - \\ - \int_{x_0}^x r'(t) H(y(t)) dt \leq F_1(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x |f(t)| dt \leq K_1 \leq 0.$$

Omitting nonnegative terms on the left-hand side of this relation, we have for all $x \geq x_1 \geq x_0$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{y''(x)}{y'(x)} \right] \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{p(x)}{\varrho(x)}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{y''(x)}{y'(x)} \leq \frac{y''(x_1)}{y'(x_1)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_1}^x \frac{p(t)}{\varrho(t)} dt.$$

Thus $\frac{y''(x)}{y'(x)} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ – a contradiction according to our Lemma.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. Suppose that the hypotheses of our Lemma hold and that, moreover $p(x), r(x) \in C^1(x_0, \infty)$ and

$$2q(x) - p'(x) > 0, \quad r(x) \geq 0, \quad r'(x) \leq 0$$

for all $x \in (x_0, \infty)$

If

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)}{\varrho(x)} dx = +\infty,$$

then any solution of (1) which satisfies (2) and also

$$(9) \quad F_1(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{f^2(x)}{2q(x) - p'(x)} dx = K_2 \leq 0$$

is oscillatory on (x_0, ∞) .

Proof. Using (N), we see that

$$\frac{1}{2} [2q(x) - p'(x)] y'^2(x) - f(x) y'(x) \geq -\frac{f^2(x)}{2[2q(x) - p'(x)]}.$$

Therefore (8) yields

$$F_1(x) - \int_{x_0}^x r'(t)H(y(t)) dt \leq F_1(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0}^x \frac{f^2(t)}{2q(t) - p'(t)} dt \leq 0$$

for all $x \geq x_0$. The rest of the proof repeats that of Theorem 4.

In the sequel we shall assume that $f(x) \equiv 0$, thus considering the equation

$$(10) \quad [\varrho(x)y''']' + p(x)y'' + q(x)y' + r(x)h(y) = 0.$$

Theorem 6. *Suppose that the hypotheses of our Lemma hold and that in addition*

$$p(x) \geq 0, \quad r(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \langle x_0, \infty \rangle.$$

If

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\varrho(x)} = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} xq(x) dx = \infty,$$

then any solution of (10) satisfying (2) is oscillatory on $\langle x_0, \infty \rangle$.

Proof. Let $y(x)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (10) satisfying (2). According to our Lemma, there exists $x_1 \geq x_0$ such that either

1. $y(x) > 0, \quad y'(x) > 0, \quad y''(x) \geq 0$ or
2. $y(x) < 0, \quad y'(x) < 0, \quad y''(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \geq x_1$.

Consider the case 1. From (10) we see that for all $x \geq x_1$

$$[\varrho(x)y''']' \leq 0.$$

Suppose that there exists $x_2 \geq x_1$ such that $y''''(x_2) < 0$. Integrating the last relation from x_2 to $x \geq x_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(x)y''''(x) &\leq \varrho(x_2)y''''(x_2) \\ y''''(x) &\leq \varrho(x_2)y''''(x_2) \frac{1}{\varrho(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since by hypotheses $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\varrho(x)} = \infty$, this means that $y''(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ — a contradiction. Thus $y''''(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \geq x_1$; double integration from x_1 to $x \geq x_1$, shows that

$$y'(x) \geq y''(x_1)(x - x_1) \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_1.$$

From (10) we have for every $x \geq x_1$

$$[\varrho(x)y''']' \leq -q(x)y'(x) \leq -y''(x_1)(x - x_1)q(x)$$

and therefore

$$\varrho(x)y'''(x) \leq \varrho(x_1)y'''(x_1) - y''(x_1) \int_{x_1}^x (t-x_1)q(t) dt.$$

Since $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} xq(x) dx = \infty$ by hypothesis, there exists a positive constant D^2 such that

$$y'''(x) \leq -\frac{D^2}{\varrho(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_2 \geq x_1,$$

which is a contradiction since $y'''(x) \geq 0$.

The method of proof is analogous to that of case 2.

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

The proof of the following Theorem would be analogous.

Theorem 7. *Suppose that the hypotheses of our Lemma hold and that in addition $p(x) \in C^1(x_0, \infty)$ and $p(x) \geq 0$, $r(x) \geq 0$, $q(x) - p'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in (x_0, \infty)$.*

If

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\varrho(x)} = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} x[q(x) - p'(x)] dx = \infty,$$

then any solution of (10) which satisfies (2) is oscillatory on (x_0, ∞) .

Remark. Evidently any solution of (10) which has a double zero on (x_0, ∞) satisfies at this point (2) as well as (7) and (9).

Thus for equation (10) the requirement that a solution satisfy the initial condition (2), (7) or (9) may be substituted by the requirement that solution have a double zero in (x_0, ∞) .

REFERENCES

- [1] ŠOLTĚS, V.: O nulových bodech řešení nelineární diferenciální rovnice 4. řádu. Zborník vedeckých prác VŠT v Košiciach, 1974, zv. 1, 15—22.
- [2] ŠOLTĚS, P.—ŠOLTĚS, V.: Oscilatorické vlastnosti řešení nelineární diferenciální rovnice 4. řádu. Zborník vedeckých prác VŠT v Košiciach, 1976, zv. 1, (to appear).
- [3] ŠOLTĚS, V.: O колеблемости решений нелинейного дифференциального уравнения третьего порядка. Math. slov., 26, 1976, No 3, 217—227.

Received June 10, 1977

*Katedra matematiky Strojnickej fakulty
Vysokej školy technickej
Švermova 9
040 01 Košice*

О КОЛЕБЛЕМОСТИ РЕШЕНИЙ НЕЛИНЕЙНОГО
ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ ЧЕТВЕРТОГО ПОРЯДКА

Винцент Шолтес

Резюме

В этой работе приведены теоремы, дающие достаточные условия для того, чтобы любое решение уравнения

$$[q(x)y''']' + p(x)y'' + q(x)y' + r(x)h(y) = f(x),$$

удовлетворяющего начальному условию, колебалось в (x_0, ∞) .