

Jozef Tvorožek

S-cubes

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 1, 55--68

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/132866>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

S-cubes

JOZEF TVAROŽEK

Introduction

Let I^n be the n -dimensional cube and J_i^n its i -th “double face”. Let $s_i: \partial I^n \rightarrow \partial I^n$ be the symmetry of ∂I^n with respect to the hyperplane $x_i = 0$. A group of transformations of ∂I^n generated by the set $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ will be denoted by G . To each n -tuple $(u^1, \dots, u^n) \in G^n$ we assign a factorspace as follows: Let S be the binary relation on I^n defined via

$$xSy \Leftrightarrow x = y \text{ or there is an index } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ \text{such that } x, y \in J_i^n \text{ and } x = u^i(y).$$

The space I^n/T , where T is the least equivalence relation on I^n containing S , will be denoted by $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ and called an s -cube.

The aim of this paper is:

- 1) To prove some basic properties of s -cubes (part 1).
- 2) To discuss some special types of s -cubes and the irreducibility of s -cubes (part 2).
- 3) To give a necessary and a sufficient condition for an s -cube to be a manifold (part 3).

Notation

$$N_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, N_0 = \emptyset$$

$$M^{(r)} = \{x - r; x \in M\} \text{ where } M \subset N_n - N_r \text{ is a nonempty given set}$$

$$I^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x_i| \leq 1, i \in N_n\} \text{ an } n\text{-dimensional cube}$$

$$\partial I^n = \text{the boundary of } I^n$$

$$S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; \sqrt{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2)} = 1\} \text{ an } n\text{-dimensional sphere}$$

$$J_i^n = \{x \in I^n; |x_i| = 1\} \text{ (briefly } J_i) \text{ the } i\text{-th “double-face” of the cube } I^n$$

$$CX, S^k X \text{ a cone and a } k\text{-fold suspension over a topological space } X$$

$$s_i: \partial I^n \rightarrow \partial I^n, x \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, -x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \text{ the symmetry of } \partial I^n \text{ with respect to the hyperplane } x_i = 0, i \in N_n$$

$$G \text{ the subgroup of the group of all transformations of } \partial I^n \text{ generated by the set } \{s_i; i \in N_n\}.$$

The group G is abelian, because $G \cong (Z_2)^n$. Each $u \in G$, $u \neq id$, is the product of mutually different transformations s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k} and may be uniquely written in the form

$$s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = s_{i_1} \circ s_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ s_{i_k}, \text{ where } i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k.$$

Since to every $u \in G$, $u = s_{i_1 \dots i_k}$, there corresponds a unique subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in 2^{N_n}$, there is a bijective map

$$\tau: G \rightarrow 2^{N_n}, \tau(s_{i_1 \dots i_k}) = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}, \tau(id) = \emptyset.$$

1. Basic properties of s -cubes

We start with an adapted definition of the s -cube since that given in the Introduction is not suitable for future proofs.

Definition 1.1. Let $u^1, \dots, u^n \in G$. An s -cube $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ is a factorspace I^n/T , where T is an equivalence relation on I^n defined as follows:

xTy if $x=y$ or there are numbers $i_1, \dots, i_k \in N_n$ such that $x, y \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k J_{i_j}$ and $x = u^{i_1} \circ u^{i_2} \circ \dots \circ u^{i_k}(y)$.

To simplify the notation, any given s -cube $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ will be alternatively written in the form $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$, where $U_i = \tau(u^i)$, $i \in N_n$.

Now we give the basic information about the general properties of s -cubes.

Proposition 1.2. Every s -cube is a Hausdorff space.

Proposition 1.3. Let $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an s -cube, $f: N_n \rightarrow N_n$ a bijection and $F: I^n \rightarrow I^n$, $F(x) = (x_{f(1)}, \dots, x_{f(n)})$. Then there is a map $\bar{F}: I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n) \rightarrow I^n/(f(U_{f^{-1}(1)}), \dots, f(U_{f^{-1}(n)}))$, $[x] \mapsto [F(x)]$, which is a homeomorphism.

Lemma 1.4. Let $k, r \in N_n$, $k \neq r$ and let $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be such an s -cube that $u^r = s_k$. Then $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n) \approx I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$, where $v^i = u^i$ for $i \neq r$ and $v^r = u^k$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose (see Prop. 1.3.) that $r=1$, $k=2$. We find the homeomorphism $I^n/(s_2, u^2, \dots, u^n) \approx I^n/(u^2, u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n)$ first in the case of $n=2$.

Let us denote $A = (-2, 0)$, $B = (2, 0)$, $S = (0, 0)$, $A_1 = (-1, -1)$, $A_2 = (1, -1)$, $A_3 = (1, 1)$, $A_4 = (-1, 1)$, $B_1 = (0, -1)$, $B_2 = (1, 0)$, $B_3 = (0, 1)$, $B_4 = (-1, 0)$, $S_i = \frac{1}{2}(A_i - S)$, $i \in N_4$. Now we define three PL-maps f_1, f_2, f_3 :

f_1 maps the square $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 \equiv I^2$ on the deltoid $AB_1 B_3$: it is the identity on the square $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4$, it is linear on the triangles $A_1 B_1 B_4$, $A_2 B_2 B_1$, $A_3 B_3 B_2$, $A_4 B_4 B_3$ and $f_1(A_1) = f_1(A_4) = A$, $f_1(A_2) = f_1(A_3) = B$.

f_2 maps the deltoid $AB_1 B_3$ on the square $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4$: it is the identity on the segment $B_1 B_3$, it is linear on the triangles $B_1 B_3 A$, $B_1 B_3 B$ and $f_2(A) = B_4$, $f_2(B) = B_2$.

f_3 maps the square $B_1B_2B_3B_4$ on the square $A_1A_2A_3A_4$: it is the identity on the segments B_1B_3, B_2B_4 , it is linear on the triangles $B_1SB_2, B_2SB_3, B_3SB_4, B_4SB_1$ and $f_3(S_i) = A_i, i \in N_4$.

Now we define a map $F_2: I^2 \rightarrow I^2, F_2 = f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1$. The induced map $\tilde{F}_2: I^2 / (s_2, u^2) \rightarrow I^2 / (u^2, u^2), [x] \mapsto [F_2(x)]$, is a homeomorphism. Thus the assertion is proved for $n = 2$. This result can be extended to the general case via the cartesian product; after a tedious computation it is possible to show that the map $\tilde{F}_n: I^n / (u^1, \dots, u^n) \rightarrow I^n / (v^1, \dots, v^n)$, induced by the map $F_n = F_2 \times (id)^{n-2}$, is the demanded homeomorphism $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 1.5. Let $n, r \in N, 1 \leq r < n$ and let $I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an s -cube such that

- 1) $U_i \subset N_r$, for $i \in N_r$,
- 2) $U_i \subset N_n - N_r$, for $i \in N_n - N_r$.

Then the map $h: I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n) \rightarrow I^r / (U_1, \dots, U_r) \times I^{n-r} / (U_{r+1}^{[r]}, \dots, U_n^{[r]})$, $[x] \mapsto ([x_1, \dots, x_r], [(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)])$, is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Denote s -cubes $I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n), I^r / (U_1, \dots, U_r), I^{n-r} / (U_{r+1}^{[r]}, \dots, U_n^{[r]})$ by $I^n/T, I^r/T_1, I^{n-r}/T_2$, respectively. It is not difficult to show that $T = T_1 \times T_2$. Since s -cubes are compact Hausdorff spaces, the map h is a homeomorphism.

Example 1.6. Applying Lemma 1.4 and Proposition 1.5 to the s -cube $X = I^8 / (s_2, s_1, s_3, s_{34}, s_6, s_{56}, s_7, s_8)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} X &\approx I^8 / (s_1, s_1, s_3, s_{34}, s_{56}, s_{56}, s_7, s_8) \approx \\ &\approx I^2 / (s_1, s_1) \times I^2 / (s_1, s_{12}) \times I^2 / (s_{12}, s_{12}) \times I / (s_1) \times I / (s_1) \approx \\ &\approx S^2 \times Kb \times RP^2 \times S^1 \times S^1 \end{aligned}$$

where Kb is the Klein bottle and RP^2 is the real projective plane.

Remark 1.7. Proposition 1.5 enables to represent any finite product of s -cubes as an s -cube. In [2] and [3] it was shown that $I^n / (s_1, \dots, s_1) \approx S^n, I^n / (s_{12 \dots n}, \dots, s_{12 \dots n}) \approx RP^n$ and $I^n / (s_{1 \dots n-k}, \dots, s_{1 \dots n-k}) \approx S^k RP^{n-k}$. Making use of these results we get immediately that every finite product of spheres, real projective spaces and their suspensions can be represented as an s -cube.

2. Special types of s -cubes

In Example 1.6 we have seen an s -cube which was homeomorphic to a product of several s -cubes of lower dimensions. Such decompositions of x -cubes will now be introduced.

Let U_1, \dots, U_n be given subsets of N_n . Define a binary relation $R(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ on N_n via

$$xRy \Leftrightarrow (x = y) \vee (x \in U_r) \vee (y \in U_r) \vee (\exists s \in N_n: x, y \in U_s)$$

The least transitive relation on N_n containing $R(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is an equivalence relation and will be denoted by $E(U_1, \dots, U_n)$, briefly E .

Definition 2.1. An s -cube $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is said to be combinatorially irreducible (c -irreducible) if $N_n/E(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ consists of exactly one equivalence class, otherwise X is said to be combinatorially reducible (c -reducible).

Example 2.2. An s -cube $I^n/(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ is c -reducible for $n > 1$, s -cubes $I^n/(s_1, \dots, s_1)$ and $I^n/(s_{12\dots n}, \dots, s_{12\dots n})$ are c -irreducible.

Theorem 2.3. Every c -reducible s -cube is homeomorphic to a product of c -irreducible s -cubes.

Proof. For a given c -reducible s -cube $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ denote $N_n/E(U_1, \dots, U_n) = \{A_1, \dots, A_q\}$, $c_i = \text{card } A_i$, $i \in N_q$, $q \geq 2$. Let $h: N_n \rightarrow N_n$ be a bijection such that $A_1 = \{h(1), \dots, h(t_1)\}$, $A_2 = \{h(t_1 + 1), \dots, h(t_2)\}$, ..., $A_q = \{h(t_{q-1} + 1), \dots, h(t_q)\}$, where $t_i = c_1 + \dots + c_i$, $i \in N_q$. Using Proposition 1.3 for $f = h^{-1}$ we get the homeomorphism $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n) \approx I^n/(h^{-1}(U_{h(1)}), \dots, h^{-1}(U_{h(n)}))$. To complete the proof it is sufficient to apply $(q - 1)$ -times Proposition 1.5.

A c -irreducible s -cube need not to be irreducible. For example, an s -cube $X = I^3/(s_1, s_1, s_{123})$ is c -irreducible, but $X \approx I^2/(s_1, s_1) \times I/(s_1)$.

Definition 2.4. An s -cube $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ is quasi-regular if there are not $i, j \in N_n$, $i \neq j$, such that $u^i = s_j$ and $\text{card } U_j > 1$. An s -cube $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ is regular if for every $i, j \in N_n$ $u^i = s_j$ implies $u^j = s_i$. Regular s -cubes are called briefly r -cubes.

Lemma 2.5. Let $X = I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be an s -cube. Suppose that there are $i_1, \dots, i_t \in N_n$ such that $u^{i_1} = s_{i_2}$, $u^{i_2} = s_{i_3}$, ..., $u^{i_{t-1}} = s_{i_t}$. Then $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n) \approx I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$, where $v^i = v^i = \dots = v^i = u^i$ and $v^i = u^i$ otherwise.

Proof. By repeated application of Lemma 1.4 for $r = i_{t-1}, i_{t-2}, \dots, i_1$ we get the homeomorphism $f, f: X \xrightarrow{\approx} X_1 \xrightarrow{\approx} \dots \xrightarrow{\approx} X_{t-1}$, $X_j = I^n/(u_{(j)}^1, \dots, u_{(j)}^n)$, where $u_{(j)}^k = u^k$ for $k = t - j, t - j + 1, \dots, t - 1$ and $u_{(j)}^i = u^i$ otherwise, $j = 1, \dots, t - 1$. For $j = t - 1$ we have $u_{(t-1)}^1 = u_{(t-1)}^2 = \dots = u_{(t-1)}^{i_1} = u^{i_1}$, $u_{(t-1)}^i = u^i$ for $i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t$.

Let $I^n/U_1, \dots, U_n$ be an s -cube. Let $\bar{U}_j = \{x \in N_n; \exists i_1, \dots, i_k \in N_n, k > 1, i_1 = x, i_k = j, u^{i_1} = s_{i_2}, u^{i_2} = s_{i_3}, \dots, u^{i_{k-1}} = s_{i_k}\}$ for $j \in N_n$ such that $\text{card } U_j > 1$ and $\bar{U}_j = \emptyset$ otherwise. It is not difficult to prove that for different $p, q \in N_n$ we have $\bar{U}_p \cap \bar{U}_q = \emptyset$. By a repeated application of Lemma 1.4 we obtain that $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n) \approx I^n/(V_1, \dots, V_n)$, where $V_i = U_j$ for $i \in \bar{U}_j$, $j \in N_n$ and $V_i = U_i$ otherwise. Further, the s -cube $I^n/(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is quasi-regular, because $u^i = s_j$ implies $\text{card } V_j = 1$, $j \in N_n$. We have just proved

Proposition 2.6. Every s -cube is homeomorphic to some quasi-regular s -cube.

Let $X = I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an s -cube, $P_U = \bar{U}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{U}_n \cup \{j \in N_n; \text{card } U_j > 1\}$ and $M_U = N_n - P_U$. Let $\bar{R}(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a binary relation on M_U defined via

$$x\bar{R}_U y \Leftrightarrow (x = y) \vee (x \in U_y) \vee (y \in U_x).$$

Suppose that $\tilde{E}(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ (briefly \tilde{E}_U) is the least equivalence relation on M_U containing \tilde{R}_U and $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = \{A^{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, A^{\mathcal{V}}\}$.

Let $X = I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$, $Y = I^n/(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ be the s -cubes defined in Lemma 2.5 and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the homeomorphism constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.5. Making use of Lemma 1.4 it is not difficult to prove the following

Lemma 2.7. *If X is quasi-regular, then Y is quasi-regular. Further, $M_U = M_V$ and $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = M_V/\tilde{E}_V$.*

Lemma 2.8. *Let $s \in N$, and let $X = I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be a quasi-regular s -cube such that $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = \{A^{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, A^{\mathcal{V}}\}$. Then X is homeomorphic to a quasi-regular s -cube $I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$ such that*

- 1) $M_U = M_V$ and $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = M_V/\tilde{E}_V$
- 2) There is $k_s \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$, for which $v^{k_s} = s_{k_s}$ and $v^i = u^i$ for $i \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proof. Suppose that there is not $k_s \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ for which $u^{k_s} = s_{k_s}$. Then there are $j_1, \dots, j_r \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $u^{j_1} = s_{j_1}, u^{j_2} = s_{j_2}, \dots, u^{j_r} = s_{j_r}$, $2 \leq r \leq \text{card } A^{\mathcal{U}}$. By Lemma 2.5 we get that $X \approx I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$, where $v^{j_1} = v^{j_2} = \dots = v^{j_r} = s_{j_1}$ and $v^i = u^i$ otherwise. Then $k_s = j_1$ and $v^i = u^i$ for $i \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$. Condition 1) follows from Lemma 2.7.

Corollary. *The s -cube X is homeomorphic to a quasi-regular s -cube $I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$ such that*

- 1) $M_U = M_V$ and $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = M_V/\tilde{E}_V$
- 2) For every $i \in N$, there is $k_i \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $v^{k_i} = s_{k_i}$
- 3) $v^i = u^i$ for $i \in P_U$.

Le 2.9. *Let $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be a quasi-regular s -cube, $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = \{A^{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, A^{\mathcal{V}}\}$. Let there for some $s \in N$, $k_s \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ for which $u^{k_s} = s_{k_s}$. Then X is homeomorphic to a quasi-regular s -cube $I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$ such that*

- 1) $M_U = M_V$ and $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = M_V/\tilde{E}_V$
- 2) $v^i = s_{k_s}$ for $i \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ and $v^i = u^i$ otherwise.

Proof. Let $j \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ be such an index that $u^j \neq s_{k_s}$. Since $j, k_s \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$, $u^{k_s} = s_{k_s}$, there are $i_1, \dots, i_r \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $j = i_1, k_s = i_r$ and $u^{i_1} = s_{i_2}, u^{i_2} = s_{i_3}, \dots, u^{i_{r-1}} = s_{i_r}$. By Lemma 2.5 we get that X is homeomorphic to an s -cube $I^n/(w^1, \dots, w^n)$, where $w^{i_1} = w^{i_2} = \dots = w^{i_r} = s_{k_s}$ and $w^i = u^i$ otherwise. With respect to Lemma 2.7 we have $M_U = M_W$, $M_U/\tilde{E}_U = M_W/\tilde{E}_W$ and the s -cube $I^n/(w^1, \dots, w^n)$ is quasi-regular. In the case when $u^i = s_{k_s}$ for every $j \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ we finish. In the other case we continue in the outlined procedure until we get a quasi-regular s -cube $I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$ such that conditions 1), 2) are satisfied.

Corollary. *Let $X = I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be a quasi-regular s -cube such that for every $s \in N$, there is $k_s \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ with the property $u^{k_s} = s_{k_s}$. Then there is a regular s -cube $I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$ homeomorphic to X such that*

- 1) $v^i = s_{k_s}$ for $i \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$, $s \in N$,
- 2) $v^i = u^i$ for $i \in P_U$.

Proposition 2.10. *Every s -cube is homeomorphic to some r -cube.*

Proof. Let $X_U = I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be an s -cube. By Proposition 2.6 X_U is homeomorphic to a quasi-regular s -cube $X_V = I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$. Let $M_V/\tilde{E}_V = \{A^{\psi}, \dots, A^{\psi}\}$. Then by Corollary of Lemma 2.8 X_V is homeomorphic to a quasi-regular s -cube $X_W = I^n/(w^1, \dots, w^n)$, where $W_i = V_i$ for $i \in P_V$, $M_W = M_V$, $M_V/\tilde{E}_V = M_W/\tilde{E}_W$ and for every $i \in N$, there is $k_i \in A^{\psi}$ such that $w^{k_i} = v^i$. Further, by Corollary of Lemma 2.9, X_W is homeomorphic to a regular s -cube $X_Z = I^n/(z^1, \dots, z^n)$, where $z^i = w^{k_i}$ for $i \in A^{\psi}$, $j \in N_r$, $z^j = v^j$ for $j \in P_V$.

Example 2.11. Making use of Lemma 1.4 we find an r -cube which is homeomorphic to the s -cube $X = I^5/(s_3, s_{123}, s_2, s_5, s_4)$.

$$\begin{aligned} X &\approx I^5/(s_3, s_{123}, s_{123}, s_5, s_4) \approx I^5/(s_{123}, s_{123}, s_{123}, s_5, s_4) \approx \\ &\approx I^5/(s_{123}, s_{123}, s_{123}, s_4, s_4) = Y \end{aligned}$$

As we can see in Example 2.11, an s -cube is not homeomorphic to the unique r -cube in general, because $X \approx I^5/(s_{123}, s_{123}, s_{123}, s_5, s_4) \neq Y$.

Example 2.12. Let $X = I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an s -cube with $\text{card } U_i = 1$ for $i \in N_n$. Then X is quasi-regular and $M_U = N_n$. Denote $N_n/\tilde{E}_U = \{A^{\psi}, \dots, A^{\psi}\}$. By Corollary of Lemma 2.8 and by Corollary of Lemma 2.9 X is homeomorphic to a regular s -cube $Y = I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$, where $v^i = u^i$ for $i \in A^{\psi}$, $s_k \in A^{\psi}$, $j \in N_r$. Then in a way similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.3, making use of Remark 1.7, we get the homeomorphism $Y \approx S^{c_1} \times \dots \times S^{c_r}$, where $c_i = \text{card } A^{\psi}$, $i \in N_r$.

3. Are all r -cubes manifolds?

In dimensions 1 and 2 it is evident that r -cubes are not manifolds in general. As examples we mention r -cubes $I/(id)$, $I^2/(id, s_2) \approx S^1 \times I$ (these r -cubes are manifolds with a boundary). In a higher dimension it is sometimes difficult to decide whether a given r -cube is or is not a manifold. For example, an r -cube $I^3/(s_1, s_{12}, s_{123})$ is a manifold, but an r -cube $I^3/(s_1, s_{23}, s_{123})$ is neither a manifold nor a manifold with a boundary.

The solution of the problem whether a given r -cube is a manifold is in Theorem 3.18.

Definition 3.1. An r -cube $X = I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ has the property “ M ” if for each nonempty subset $P \subset N_n$ such that

- i) $\forall i, j \in P: i \neq j \Rightarrow u^i \neq u^j$ (1)
- ii) $\forall i \in P: \text{card } U_i \neq 1$ (2)

we have

$$P \cap \tau \left(\prod_{j \in P} u^j \right) \neq \emptyset. \quad (3)$$

Example 3.2. r -cubes $I^3/(s_1, s_{12}, s_{123})$, $I^4/(s_2, s_2, s_4, s_4)$ have the property “ M ”,

r-cubes $I^3/(s_1, s_{12}, s_{12}), I^4/(s_{12}, s_{23}, s_{34}, s_{14})$ have not. Not every r-cube $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ with $\text{card } U_i = \emptyset$ for some $i \in N_n$ has the property "M".

Lemma 3.3. *Let $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an r-cube with the property "M" and let $\text{card } U_k > 1$ for some $k \in N_n$. Then $k \in U_k$.*

Proof. Suppose that $k \notin U_k$. Then for $P = \{k\}$ we have $P \cap \tau(u^k) = \{k\} \cap U_k = \emptyset$.

Definition 3.4. *An r-cube $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is cube-fibreable (briefly c-fibreable) as there is a set $Q, \emptyset \subsetneq Q \subsetneq N_n$, such that*

$$(i) \quad Q \cap \left(\bigcup_{k \in N_n - Q} U_j \right) = \emptyset \quad (4)$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } U_i = U_j \text{ for some } i, j \in N_n, \text{ then } i, j \in Q \text{ or } i, j \in N_n - Q. \quad (5)$$

An r-cube which is not c-fibreable is called c-nonfibreable.

Example 3.5. An r-cube $I^3/(s_1, s_{12}, s_{123})$ is c-fibreable with $Q = \{3\}$ or $Q = \{2, 3\}$, an r-cube $I^2/(s_2, s_2)$ is c-nonfibreable.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $k \in N_n$ and let $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be an r-cube with $k \in U_k$. Then $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n) \approx I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$, where $v^i = u^i \circ u^k \circ s_k$ for such $i \in N_n, i \neq k$, that $k \in U_i$ and $v^i = u^i$ otherwise.*

Proof. First we define a map $h_k: I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n) \rightarrow I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$, $h_k([x]) = [(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k + 1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)]$ for $x_k \leq 0$, $h_k([x]) = [(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{k-1}, x_k - 1, \bar{x}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_n)]$ for $x_k \geq 0$, where $\bar{x}_j = x_j$ for $j \notin U_k$ and $\bar{x}_j = -x_j$ for $j \in U_k, j \in N_n - \{k\}$. It is not difficult to show that h_k is well defined and continuous. The map $g_k: I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n) \rightarrow I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$, $g_k([x]) = [(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k - 1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)]$ for $x_k \geq 0$, $g_k([x]) = [(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{k-1}, x_k + 1, \bar{x}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_n)]$ for $x_k \leq 0$, where $\bar{x}_j = x_j$ for $j \notin V_k, x_j = -x_j$ for $j \in V_k, j \in N_n - \{k\}$, is also well defined, continuous and inverse to h_k . Hence both h_k and g_k are homeomorphisms.

Let $X = I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$, $Y = I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$ be the s-cubes from Lemma 3.6. Then the s-cube Y is not an r-cube in general. Let $K = \{i \in N_n; U_i = U_k, i \neq k\}$, $\alpha = \text{card } K$. Then for each $i \in K$ we have $v^i = s_k$ and $v^k = u^k$. Now it is easy to see that the s-cube is not an r-cube if and only if $\alpha \geq 1$ and $u^k \neq s_k$. To obtain an r-cube from the s-cube Y it is sufficient to apply α -times Lemma 1.4. Therefore we can strengthen Lemma 3.6 into

Proposition 3.7. *Let $k \in N_n$ and let $X = I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ be an r-cube with $k \in U_k$. Then the r-cube X is homeomorphic to an r-cube $Y = I^n/(w^1, \dots, w^n)$, where $w^i = u^i \circ u^k \circ s_k$ for such $i \in N_n$ that $k \in U_i, U_i \neq U_k$ and $w^i = u^i$ otherwise.*

Proof. Let $K = \{i_1, \dots, i_\alpha\}$, $\alpha \geq 1$. According to Lemma 3.6 $I^n/(u^1, \dots, u^n) \approx I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n)$, $v^i, i \in N_n$, are described in Lemma 3.6. Then using Lemma 1.4 successively for $r = i_1, \dots, i_\alpha$ we get homeomorphisms $I^n/(v^1, \dots, v^n) \stackrel{f_1}{\approx} I^n/(z_{(1)}^1, \dots, z_{(1)}^n) \stackrel{f_2}{\approx} \dots \stackrel{f_\alpha}{\approx} I^n/(z_{(\alpha)}^1, \dots, z_{(\alpha)}^n) = I^n/(w^1, \dots, w^n)$, where $z_{(j)}^i = v^k$ for $i = i_m, m \leq j$ and $z_{(j)}^i = v^i$ otherwise. The map $\tilde{h}_k = f_\alpha \circ f_{\alpha-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1 \circ h_k$ is the demanded homeomorphism.

Lemma 3.8. Let $X = I^n / (u^1, \dots, u^n)$, $Y = I^n / (w^1, \dots, w^n)$ be r -cubes defined in Proposition 3.7. Then the r -cube X has the property “ M ” if and only if the r -cube Y has the property “ M ”.

Proof. Let the r -cube X not have the property “ M ”. Then there is a nonempty set P , satisfying (1), (2), such that $P \cap \tau\left(\prod_{i \in P} u^i\right) = \emptyset$. We prove that the r -cube Y has not the property “ M ”. We shall discuss two cases:

i) $\text{card } P \geq 2$, ii) $\text{card } P = 1$.

i) Let $P = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ and let s , $0 \leq s \leq r$, be such a number that $k \in U_i$ for $i \leq s$ and $k \notin U_i$ for $i > s$. It is clear that s is even. Suppose that $k \in P$ (the other case will be discussed later). We show that for $\tilde{P} = P - \{k\}$ we have $\tilde{P} \cap \tau\left(\prod_{i \in \tilde{P}} w^i\right) = \emptyset$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in \tilde{P}} w^i &= \left(\prod_{i \in \tilde{P}} u^i\right) \circ (u^k \circ s_k)^{s-1} = \left(\prod_{i \in \tilde{P}} u^i\right) \circ (u^k \circ s_k) \circ (u^k \circ s_k)^s = \\ &= s_k \circ \prod_{i \in \tilde{P}} u^i, \end{aligned}$$

because for every $u \in G$ we have $u^2 = id$. Then $\tilde{P} \cap \tau\left(s_k \circ \prod_{i \in \tilde{P}} u^i\right) = \emptyset$, because

$$P \cap \tau\left(\prod_{i \in P} u^i\right) = \emptyset.$$

In the case when $k \notin P$ we take $\tilde{P} = P$ for s even and $\tilde{P} = P \cup \{k\}$ for s odd.

ii) Let $P = \{p\}$. It is sufficient to take $\tilde{P} = P$ if $p \in U_p$, $p \in W_p$ and $\tilde{P} = P \cup \{k\}$ if $p \notin U_p$, $p \in W_p$.

Let now the r -cube $Y = I^n / (w^1, \dots, w^n)$ not have the property “ M ”. Taking $X = Y$ in Proposition 3.7 we get $Y \approx Z = I^n / (z^1, \dots, z^n)$, where $z^i = u^i$ for $i \in N_n$. By the first part of the proof we obtain that the r -cube Z , $Z = X$, has not the property “ M ”.

Lemma 3.9. Let $X = I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an r -cube without the property “ M ” such that for every $i \in N_n$ $U_i \neq \emptyset$. Then there are an r -cube $Y = I^n / (V_1, \dots, V_n)$, $X \approx Y$ and an integer $k \in N_n$ such that $k \in V_k$ and $\text{card } V_k > 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $i \in U_i$ for every $i \in N_n$ such that $\text{card } U_i > 1$. Since X has not the property “ M ”, there is a nonempty set $P \subset N_n$ such that the conditions (1), (2) and $P \cap \tau\left(\prod_{i \in P} u^i\right) = \emptyset$ are satisfied. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $P = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Let $\tilde{K}_1 = \{i \in N_n; 1 \in U_i\}$, $K_1 = \tilde{K}_1 \cap \{2, \dots, r\}$, $\text{card } K_1 = \alpha_1$. Denote $X = I^n / (U_1^{(0)}, \dots, U_n^{(0)})$. Then using Proposition 3.7 for $k = 1$ we have $I^n / (U_1^{(0)}, \dots, U_n^{(0)}) \approx I^n / (U_1^{(1)}, \dots, U_n^{(1)})$, where $u_{(1)}^i = u_{(0)}^i \circ u_{(0)}^1 \circ s_1$ for $i \in \tilde{K}_1 - \{1\}$ such that $U_i^{(0)} \neq U_i^{(1)}$ and $u_{(1)}^i = u_{(0)}^i$ otherwise. Let $P_k = P - \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then for $k = 1$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) $\forall i, j \in P_k: i \neq j \Rightarrow U_i^{(k)} \neq U_j^{(k)}$
- ii) $\forall i \in P_k: \text{card } U_i^{(k)} > 1$
- iii) $P_k \cap \tau\left(\prod_{j \in P_k} u_j^{(k)}\right) = \emptyset$.

Conditions i), ii) are evident, we prove iii). Let $u_{(0)}^1 = s_1 \circ s_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ s_{i_m}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j \in P_1} u_j^{(1)} &= \left(\prod_{j \in P_1} u_{(0)}^1\right) \circ (u_{(0)}^1 \circ s_1)^{\alpha_1} = \\ &= \left(\prod_{j \in P} u_{(0)}^1\right) \circ u_{(0)}^1 \circ (u_{(0)}^1 \circ s_1)^{\alpha_1} = \prod_{j \in P} u_{(0)}^1 \circ s_1, \end{aligned}$$

because α_1 is an odd integer and $u^2 = id$ for every $u \in G$. Since $P \cap \tau\left(\prod_{j \in P} u_{(0)}^1\right) = \emptyset$, we have

$$P_1 \cap \tau\left(\prod_{j \in P_1} u_{(1)}^1\right) = P_1 \cap \tau\left(\left(\prod_{j \in P} u_{(0)}^1\right) \circ s_1\right) = \emptyset.$$

There are two possibilities: 1) $2 \notin U_2^{(1)}$, 2) $2 \in U_2^{(1)}$.

In the case 1) the proof is finished. In the case 2) we continue in the outlined process until we get (by repeated application of Lemma 3.7) a number $k_0 \in \{3, \dots, r\}$ and an r -cube $I^n / (U_1^{(k_0-1)}, \dots, U_n^{(k_0-1)})$ such that $k_0 \notin U_{k_0}^{(k_0-1)}$. Now we outline the proof of the existence of such k_0 . Let for $k = 3, \dots, r$ $k \in U_k^{(k-1)}$. It is possible to show that for $k = 2, \dots, r-1$ the conditions i), ii), iii) are satisfied. Then for $k = r-1$ we get from iii) that $\{r\} \cap \tau(u_{(r-1)}^1) = \{r\} \cap U_{(r-1)}^{(r-1)} = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $X = I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an r -cube such that for some $k \in N_n$ $\text{card } U_k = m > 1$ and $k \notin U_k$. Then X is neither a manifold, nor a manifold with a boundary.*

Proof. Let $a \in \partial I^n$ be such a point that $a_k = 1$ and $a_j = 0$ for $j \in N_n - \{k\}$. Let $U = \{x \in I^n; d(x, a) \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ (d is the symbol of the Euclidean metric), $\pi_n: I^n \rightarrow I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ a projection, $V = \pi_n(U)$. V is a neighbourhood of the point $b = \pi_n(a)$, $V \approx C(I^{n-1} / (s_{12 \dots m}, \dots, s_{12 \dots m}))$, the point b corresponds to the top of the cone in this homeomorphism. Using [2] we get $V \approx C(S^{n-m-1} RP^m)$. Since V is contractible,

$$H_q(V, V - \{b\}) \cong \tilde{H}_{q-1}(V - \{b\}) \cong \tilde{H}_{q-1}(S^{n-m-1} RP^m) \cong \tilde{H}_{q-n+m} RP^m$$

for every $q \in N$ (the symbol \tilde{H} denotes the reduced homology with Z coefficients). Then using [1], Proposition 3.2, page 59, it can be proved that there is not a neighbourhood of the point b homeomorphic to R^n or to R_+^n ($R_+^n = \{x \in R^n; x_n \geq 0\}$).

Now we describe some simple properties of c -nonfibreable r -cubes. Let $X = I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a c -nonfibreable r -cube. By M_k we shall denote the set $\{i \in N_n; U_i = U_k\}$, $k \in N_n$.

Lemma 3.11. *If $U_k = \{k\}$ for some $k \in N_n$, then $X = I^n / (s_k, \dots, s_k)$.*

Proof: Suppose that $M_k \neq N_n$. Then X is c-fibreable with $Q = N_n - M_k$.

Lemma 3.12. *If the r -cube X has the property “ M ” and $\text{card } U_i > 1$ for some $i \in N_n$, then $M_i = N_n$ or there is $p_i \in U_i$ such that $p_i \notin M_i$ and $U_{p_i} \cap M_i = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $M_i \neq N_n$. If $U_i = M_i$, then X is c-fibreable with $Q = N_n - M_i$, a contradiction. Hence $M_i \subsetneq U_i$. Let $p_i \in U_i - M_i$. We show that $U_{p_i} \cap M_i = \emptyset$. Let $j \in U_{p_i} \cap M_i$. Since $\text{card } U_k > 1$ for every $k \in N_n$ (Lemma 3.11), we have $j \in U_{p_i} \cap M_i \subset U_{p_i} \cap U_j$, $p_i \in U_{p_i} \cap U_j$ and $\{j, p_i\} \cap \tau(u^i \circ u^{p_i}) = \emptyset$. Hence the r -cube X has not the property “ M ”, a contradiction.

Now we are going to describe c-nonfibreables r -cubes with the property “ M ”.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $X = I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a c-nonfibreable r -cube with the property “ M ”. Then exactly one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

i) *There is $k \in N_n$ such that $X = I^n / (s_k, \dots, s_k)$*

ii) *$X = I^n / (s_{12\dots n}, \dots, s_{12\dots n})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \neq I^n / (s_k, \dots, s_k)$, $k \in N_n$, $X \neq I^n / (s_{12\dots n}, \dots, s_{12\dots n})$. As usually we denote $M_j = \{i \in N_n; U_i = U_j\}$, $j \in N_n$ and let $t = \text{card } \{M_j; j \in N_n\}$. According to Lemma 3.11 $\text{card } U_i > 1$ for $i \in N_n$. Making use of Lemma 3.12 for $i = p_0 = 1$ we obtain an integer $p_1 \in U_{p_0}$ such that $p_1 \notin M_{p_0}$ and $U_{p_1} \cap M_{p_0} = \emptyset$. Let r be such an integer, $1 \leq r \leq t - 1$ that there are integers p_0, p_1, \dots, p_r for which the conditions

1) $p_i \in U_{p_i} - M_{p_i}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$

2) $U_{p_i} \cap (M_{p_0} \cup M_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup M_{p_{i-1}}) = \emptyset$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$

are satisfied. We shall prove that there is $p_{r+1} \in U_{p_r} - M_{p_r}$ such that $U_{p_{r+1}} \cap (M_{p_0} \cup M_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup M_{p_r}) = \emptyset$. There are two possibilities:

i) For every $x \in U_{p_r}$ there is $x \in M_{p_0} \cup M_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup M_{p_r}$. Then with regard to 2) the r -cube is c-fibreable with $Q = M_{p_r}$.

ii) There is $p_{r+1} \in U_{p_r}$ such that $p_{r+1} \notin M_{p_0} \cup M_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup M_{p_r}$. We prove that the set $S = U_{p_{r+1}} \cap (M_{p_0} \cup M_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup M_{p_r})$ is empty. Let $S \neq \emptyset$ and let $q \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ be the greatest index such that there is $s \in S$ with the property $s \in M_{p_q}$. By Lemma 3.12 we have $q < r$. Let now q_1 , $q \leq q_1 \leq r$ be the least index such that $p_{r+1} \in U_{p_{q_1}}$, let q_2 , $q \leq q_2 < q_1$ be the least index such that $p_{q_1} \in U_{p_{q_2}}$, ..., let q_m , $q = q_m < q_{m-1}$ be the least index such that $p_{q_{m-1}} \in U_{p_{q_m}}$, $1 \leq m \leq r + 1$, where p_{q_i} is used instead of p_{q_i} . Then

$$\{p_{r+1}, p_{q_1}, p_{q_2}, \dots, p_{q_m}\} \cap \tau(u^{p_{r+1}} \circ u^{p_{q_1}} \circ \dots \circ u^{p_{q_m}}) = \emptyset$$

and the r -cube X has not the property “ M ”, a contradiction. Hence there are integers p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{t-1} such that the conditions (1), 2) are satisfied for $i = 0, 1, \dots, t - 2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$. Then for $j = t - 1$ we have

$$U_{p_{t-1}} \cap (M_{p_0} \cup M_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup M_{p_{t-2}}) = \emptyset$$

and $U_{p_{r-1}} = M_{p_{r-1}}$. We see that the r -cube X is c -fibreable with $Q = M_{p_{r-1}}$, a contradiction.

Let $X = I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a c -fibreable r -cube with the property "M". Without loss of generality we can take $Q = N_r$, for some r , $1 \leq r < n$. To the projection $p: I^n \rightarrow I^r$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ there is the induced map $\tilde{p}: X \rightarrow I^r / (U_1 \cap N_r, \dots, U_r \cap N_r) = B_U$, $[x] \mapsto [p(x)]$, such that the Diagram 1 commutes. The r -cube

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I^n & \xrightarrow{p} & I^r \\
 \downarrow \pi_n & & \downarrow \pi_r \\
 I^n / (U_1, \dots, U_n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & I^r / (U_1 \cap N_r, \dots, U_r \cap N_r)
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 1.

$B_U = I^r / (U_1 \cap N_r, \dots, U_r \cap N_r)$ will be denoted in what follows briefly by $I^r / (\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_r)$ or by $I^r / (\tilde{u}^1, \dots, \tilde{u}^r)$.

Lemma 3.14. For every $t \in I^r$ we have $\pi_n \circ p^{-1}(t) = \tilde{p}^{-1} \circ \pi_r(t)$.

Proof. Let $[x] \in \pi_n \circ p^{-1}(t)$. Then $\tilde{p}[x] = \tilde{p} \circ \pi_n(x) = \pi_r \circ p(x) = \pi_r(t) = [t]$, hence $[x] \in \tilde{p}^{-1} \circ \pi_r(t)$. Let $[x] \in \tilde{p}^{-1} \circ \pi_r(t)$. We find such $z \in p^{-1}(t)$ that $[x] = [z]$

Since $\tilde{p}[x] = [p(x)] = [t]$, there are $i_1, \dots, i_r \in N_r$ such that $p(x)$, $t \in \bigcap_{j=1}^r J_{i_j}$ and $p(x) = \tilde{u}^{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{u}^{i_r}(t)$ ($t = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$). Let us define $z \in I^n$, $z = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ by $x = u^{i_1} \circ u^{i_2} \circ \dots \circ u^{i_r}(z)$. Since $p(z) = t$ and $x, z \in \bigcap_{j=1}^r J_{i_j}^n$, we have $[x] = [z]$ and $z \in p^{-1}(t)$. Hence $[x] \in \pi_n \circ p^{-1}(t)$.

Now using the property "M" of the r -cube X we shall show that $\tilde{p}^{-1}[t] \approx I^{n-r} / (U_{r+1}^{[t]}, \dots, U_n^{[t]}) = I^{n-r} / \Omega$ for each point $[t] \in B_U$. With regard to Lemma 3.14 is sufficient to prove that $\pi_n(p^{-1}(t)) \approx I^{n-r} / \Omega$ for every $t \in I^r$. This fact is the direct colliary of the following

Lemma 3.15. Let $x, y \in p^{-1}(t)$, $t \in I^r$. Then $[x] = [y]$ if and only if $(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n) \Omega (y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n)$.

Proof. Let $[x] = [y]$. Then there are $i_1, \dots, i_k \in N_n$ such that $x, y \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k J_{i_j}^n$ and $y = u^{i_1} \circ \dots \circ u^{i_k}(x)$. We can suppose that $u^{i_p} \neq u^{i_q}$ for $p \neq q$ (if $u^{i_p} = u^{i_q}$, the term $u^{i_p} \circ u^{i_q} = id$ can be omitted). Let $s \in N_n$ be such an integer that $i_j \leq r$ for $j \leq s$ and $i_j > r$ for $j > s$. Since $(x_1, \dots, x_r) = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$, we have

$$\tau(u^{i_1} \circ u^{i_2} \circ \dots \circ u^{i_s}) \cap N_r = \emptyset. \tag{6}$$

Further, because X is c -fibreable with $Q = N_r$, (6) implies that $s = 0$ or there is

$j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ such that $\text{card } U_j > 1$. Let $S = \{j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}; \text{card } U_j > 1\}$. With regard to (6) we have

$$S \cap \tau\left(\prod_{j \in S} u^j\right) = \emptyset. \quad (7)$$

Since the r -cube X has the property "M" and the set S satisfies conditions (1), (2), we have with regard to (7) $S = \emptyset$ and $s = 0$. Hence $(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)\Omega(y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n)$.

The converse implication is trivial.

Corollary. $\tilde{p}^{-1}[t] \approx I^{n-r}/(U_{r+1}^{[r]}, \dots, U_n^{[r]}) = F_U$ for every $t \in I^r$.

Lemma 3.16. The r -cubes $B_U = I^r/(\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_r)$, $F_U = I^{n-r}/(U_{r+1}^{[r]}, \dots, U_n^{[r]})$ have the property "M".

Proof. We prove the assertion for B_U , the proof for F_U is similar. Let $P, \emptyset \subseteq P \subset N_r$, be such a set that the conditions (1), (2) are satisfied. Since the r -cube X has the property "M", $P \cap \tau\left(\prod_{j \in P} u^j\right) \neq \emptyset$. But then $P \cap \tau\left(\prod_{j \in P} \tilde{u}^j\right) \neq \emptyset$, because $\tilde{U}_j = U_j \cap N_r$ for $j \in N_r$.

The r -cube B_U can be embedded into X , an embedding i_U is given by $i_U[(t_1, \dots, t_r)] = [(t_1, \dots, t_r, 0, \dots, 0)]$. Suppose now that the r -cube X is homeomorphic to an r -cube $Y = I^n/(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ by Proposition 3.7 for some $k \leq r$. Then the r -cube Y is c -fibreable with the same Q . Let us define a map $\tilde{h}_k: I^r/(\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_r) \rightarrow I^r/(\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_r)$ by $\tilde{h}_k = \tilde{p}_V \circ \tilde{h}_k \circ i_U$, where $\tilde{p}_V: I^n/(V_1, \dots, V_n) \rightarrow I^r/(\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_r)$ is the induced map by $p: I^n \rightarrow I^r$. The map \tilde{h}_k is a homeomorphism and Diagram 2 commutes ($i: I^r \rightarrow I^n, (x_1, \dots, x_r) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0)$). Further, the

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I^n & \longrightarrow & I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_k} & I^n/(V_1, \dots, V_n) & \longleftarrow & I^n \\ \downarrow p & \uparrow i & \downarrow \tilde{p}_U & \uparrow i_U & \downarrow \tilde{p}_V & \uparrow i_V & \downarrow p \\ I^r & \longrightarrow & I^r/(\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_r) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_k} & I^r/(\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_r) & \longleftarrow & I^r \end{array}$$

Diagram 2.

map \tilde{h}_k preserves fibres in such a way that the fibre over a point $[t] \in I^r/(\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_r)$ maps homeomorphically on the fibre over the point $\tilde{h}_k[t] \in I^r/(\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_r)$

Lemma 3.17. The fibration (X, \tilde{p}_U, B_U) is locally trivial with the fibre $F_U = I^{n-r}/(U_{r+1}^{[r]}, \dots, U_n^{[r]})$.

Proof. We can suppose that there is an integer $s, 0 \leq s \leq r$ such that $\text{card } U_i = 1$ for $i \leq s$ and $\text{card } U_i > 1$ for $s < i \leq r$. In the case when $s = r$, the fibration (X, \tilde{p}_U, B_U) is trivial (Proposition 1.5). Now we give a local trivialization of the fibration (X, \tilde{p}_U, B_U) . Let $[a] \in B_U$.

1) If $a \notin \partial I^r$, then the set $A = \{[x] \in B_U; x \notin \partial I^r\}$ is a neighbourhood of $[a]$. We have $\tilde{p}_U^{-1}(A) \approx A \times F_U$ via $[(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \mapsto ([x_1, \dots, x_r], [(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)])$.

2) If $a \in \partial I^r$, then we shall discuss two cases:

I) $a_i \neq \pm 1$ for $i > s$. The set $A = \{[x] \in B_U; x_j \in \langle -1, 1 \rangle \text{ for } j \in N_s, x_j \in \langle -1, 1 \rangle \text{ for } j \in N_r - N_s\}$ is a neighbourhood of $[a]$ and the map $f: \tilde{p}_U^{-1}(A) \rightarrow A \times F_U$, $[x] \mapsto ([x_1, \dots, x_r], [(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)])$ is a homeomorphism.

II) $a_i = \pm 1$ for some $i > s$. Let $S = \{i \in N_r - N_s; a_i = \pm 1\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$. Denote $I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ by $I^n/(u_{(0)}^1, \dots, u_{(0)}^n)$. Then applying Proposition 3.7 for $k = i_1, \dots, i_t$ we get the homeomorphisms $\tilde{h}_j: I^n/(u_{(j-1)}^1, \dots, u_{(j-1)}^n) \rightarrow I^n/(u_{(j)}^1, \dots, u_{(j)}^n)$, $j = 1, \dots, t$, where $u_{(j)}^m = u_{(j-1)}^m \circ u_{(j-1)}^{i_j} \circ s_{i_j}$ for such m that $i_j \in U_m^{(j-1)}$, $U_{i_j}^{(j-1)} \neq U_m^{(j-1)}$ and $u_{(j)}^m = u_{(j-1)}^m$ otherwise. Let $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}_{i_t} \circ \tilde{h}_{i_{t-1}} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{h}_{i_1}$, $\tilde{h}(I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)) = I^n/(V_1, \dots, V_n)$, $\tilde{h}[a] = [c]$, see Diagram 2, where \tilde{h} is substituted for \tilde{h}_k ($\tilde{h}: B_U \rightarrow B_V$ is the map induced by \tilde{h}). Then $c_k = 0$ for $k \in S$, the set $C = \{[x] \in I^r/(\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_r); x_j \in \langle -1, 1 \rangle \text{ for } j \in N_s, x_j \in \langle -1, 1 \rangle \text{ for } j \in N_r - N_s\}$ is a neighbourhood of the point $[c] \in B_V$ a the map $f_C: \tilde{p}_V^{-1}(C) \rightarrow C \times I^{n-r}/(V_{r+1}^{(r)}, \dots, V_n^{(r)})$, $[(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \mapsto ([x_1, \dots, x_r], [(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)])$ is a homeomorphism. Further, $I^{n-r}/(U_{r+1}^{(r)}, \dots, U_n^{(r)}) = I^{n-r}/(V_{r+1}^{(r)}, \dots, V_n^{(r)})$. Let $A = \{[x] \in I^r/(\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_r), x_j \in \langle -1, 1 \rangle \text{ for } j \in N_s, x_j \in \langle -1, 0 \rangle \cup \langle 0, 1 \rangle \text{ for } j \in S, x_j \in \langle -1, 1 \rangle \text{ for } j \in N_r - N_s, j \notin S\}$. We see that A is a neighbourhood of the point $[a] \in B_U$ and the map $\tilde{h}|_A: A \rightarrow C$ is a homeomorphism. The map $f_A = f_C \circ (\tilde{h}|_{\tilde{p}_U^{-1}(A)}): \tilde{p}_U^{-1}(A) \rightarrow C \times I^{n-r}/(V_{r+1}^{(r)}, \dots, V_n^{(r)})$ is also a homeomorphism and the required local trivialization.

Theorem 3.18. An r -cube $X = I^n/(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is a manifold if and only if it has the property "M".

Proof. Let X not have the property "M". If $U_i = \emptyset$ for some $i \in N_n$, then X is not a manifold. If $U_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in N_n$, then according to Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10 X is neither a manifold nor a manifold with a boundary.

Let now X have the property "M"; there are two possibilities:

1) X is c -fibreable. Then by Proposition 3.13 and Remark 1.7 $X \approx S^n$ or $X \approx \mathbb{R}P^n$.

2) X is c -fibreable. To prove that X is a manifold, it is sufficient to use Lemmas 3.16, 3.17, Proposition 3.13, Remark 1.7 and the induction.

REFERENCES

- [1] DOLD, A.: Lectures on Algebraic Topology. Springer Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1972.
- [2] DUDÁŠIKOVÁ, H.: Priestor $I^n/(s_{12\dots k}, \dots, s_{12\dots k})$, $k > 1$, je suspenzia nad $\mathbb{R}P^k$; In: Závěrečná správa fakultnej výskumnej úlohy UK 364, Bratislava 1976.
- [3] KULICH, I., TVAROŽEK, J.: Priestor $I^n/(s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_n})$ je súčinnom sfér; In: Závěrečná správa FVÚ UK 364, Bratislava 1976.

Received May 12, 1981

Katedra matematiky
Elektrotechnickej fakulty SVŠT
Mlynská dolina, blok A
812 19 Bratislava

S-КУБЫ

Jozef Tvarožek

Резюме

В статье исследуются некоторые фактор-пространства n -мерного куба I^n , которые возникают отождествлением определенных точек на его границе. Возникающие пространства называются s -кубами.

В первой части статьи установлены основные свойства s -кубов. Во второй части изучаются проблемы разложения s -кубов. В третьей части найдено необходимое и достаточное условие для того, чтобы s -куб был многообразием.