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## A CLASS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS SIMILAR TO LINEAR EQUATIONS

## VALTER ŠEDA

In the paper it is shown that certain properties, especially those connected with some differential inequalities (monotonicity, disconjugacy, etc.) of a linear differential (fort short d.) equation

$$
x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{k}(t) x^{(n-k)}=Q(t)
$$

can be extended to the class of nonlinear d. equations of the form

$$
x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\right) x^{(n-k)}=q\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\right)
$$

or to a special case of that class. In this way a Hartman-Wintner's result has been generalized. This also extends a theorem of Anichini-Schuur. The main tool in the proof is the application of the Fan and Glicksberg fixed point theorem in which a compactness condition plays an important role. Further the existence of a solution to a nonlinear boundary value problem is proved, which generalizes a result of Kannan-Locker.

1. First we introduce some notions. Let $I=[a, b)$, $-\infty<a<b \leqq \infty, J=(-\infty, \infty)$. Let $C^{n-1}(I)$ be the vector space of all real functions (in what follows only real functions will be considered) which have $n-1$ continuous derivatives on $I$. The topology on $C^{n-1}(I)$ is introduced by the countable family of seminorms

$$
p_{m}(x)=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \max _{t \in[a, a+m]}\left|x^{(i)}(t)\right|
$$

(if $b=\infty$ ) and in the case $b<\infty$ by

$$
p_{m}(x)=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \max _{t \in\left[a, b-\frac{1}{m}\right]}\left|x^{(i)}(t)\right|
$$

for all $m$ such that $a<b-\frac{1}{m}$. In this topology $C^{n-1}(I)$ is a Fréchet space and the convergence $x_{p} \rightarrow x$ in this space means the locally uniform convergence in $I$ of $x_{p}^{(i)}$ to $x^{(i)}$ up to the order $n-1$. In a similar way the Fréchet spaces $C^{0}(I), C^{n-1}(J)$, $C^{0}(J)$ are defined.

Lemma 1 (The Fan and Glicksberg fixed point theorem, see [6], [7], [1, p. 249]). If $S$ is a closed, convex, nonempty subset of a Fréchet space $X$ and if $T$ satisfies: i) for each $u \in S, T(u)$ is nonempty, compact, convex subset of $X$; ii) $T$ is a closed mapping; iii) $T(S)$ is contained in a compact subset of $S$, then there is a $u \in S$ such that $u \in T(u)$.

Lemma 2. Let $P_{k, m} \in C^{0}(I), O_{m} \in C^{0}(I), k=1, \ldots, n, m=1,2, \ldots$, be bounded in the topology of $C^{0}(I)$, i.e. on each compact subinterval of $I$ the sequences $\left\{P_{k, m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty},\left\{Q_{m}\right\}_{m-1}^{\infty}(k=1, \ldots, n)$ are uniformly bounded. Then the following statement holds:

If $\left\{x_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of solutions of the d. equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{k, m}(t) x^{(n \quad k)}=Q_{m}(t) \tag{m}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is bounded in the $C^{0}(I)$ topology, then it is relatively compact in the topology of $C^{n-1}(I)$.

Proof. The case $n=1$ is clear. Suppose, therefore, $n>1$. Let $[c, d]$ be a compact subinterval of $I$. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ the sup-norm on this interval. By the assumptions of the lemmd there exists an $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|P_{k, m}\right\|_{o} \leqq \alpha, \quad\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{0} \leqq \alpha \quad \text { and }\left\|x_{m}^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{k, m} x_{m}^{(n-k)}\right\|_{0} \leqq \alpha  \tag{2}\\
(k=1, \ldots, n, m=1,2, \ldots) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\alpha \geqq 1, n!\alpha \geqq(d-c)^{n}$. Put $\left\|x_{m}^{(n)}\right\|_{o}=\beta_{m}$. By [10, p. 1260; 3, p. 140], there exist constants $a_{n, k}>0, k=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x_{m}^{(k)}\right\|_{o} \leqq a_{n, k} \alpha^{(n-k) / n}\left[\max \left(\beta_{m}, \frac{n!}{(d-c)^{n}} \alpha\right)\right]^{k / n} \leqq \\
\leqq a_{n, k} \alpha^{(n-1) / n}\left[\max \left(\beta_{m}, \frac{n!}{(d-c)^{n}} \alpha\right)\right]^{(n-1) / n}, k=1, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Two cases should be distinguished.

1. $\beta_{m} \leqq \frac{n!\alpha}{(d-c)^{n}}$,
2. $\frac{n!\alpha}{(d-c)^{n}}<\beta_{m}$.

In the latter case, by (2), (3),

$$
\beta_{m} \leqq \alpha+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{n n n-k} \alpha^{(2 n-1) / n} \beta_{m}^{(n-1) / n}
$$

and, hence,

$$
\beta_{m} \leqq \alpha^{n}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{n, n-k} \alpha^{(n-1) / n}\right]^{n}
$$

Let

$$
\beta=\max \left(\frac{n!}{(d-c)^{n}} \alpha, \alpha^{n}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{n, n-k} \alpha^{(n-1) / n}\right]^{n}\right)
$$

Then $\left\|x_{m}^{(n)}\right\|_{0} \leqq \beta$ and, again by (3), $\left\|x_{m}^{(k)}\right\|_{0} \leqq a_{n, k} \alpha^{(n-1) / n} \beta^{(n-1) / n}, \quad(m=1,2, \ldots$, $k=1, \ldots, n-1$ ).

Hence, by the Ascoli lemma, any uniformly bounded sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ in $[c, d]$ contains a subsequence $\left\{x_{m(p)}\right\}$ which is uniformly convergent on $[c, d]$ with its derivatives up to the order $n-1$. I can be covered by a sequence of compact subintervals, and, by a diagonalization process, a subsequence $\left\{x_{m(r)}\right\}$ can be extracted such that $\left\{x_{m(r)}^{(i)}\right\}, i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ converges uniformly on any compact subinterval of $I$. This means that the sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ is relatively compact in $C^{n-1}(I)$.

With respect to Corollary 4.1 ([8, p. 73]), the last lemma yields
Corollary. If the sequences $\left\{P_{k, m}\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{m}\right\}$ are locally uniformly convergent to the functions $P_{k}$ and $Q$, respectively, on I for $k=1, \ldots, n$, and $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ is a sequence of solutions of $\left(1_{m}\right)$ which are uniformly bounded on each compact subinterval of $I$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{m(r)}\right\}$ and a solution $x$ of

$$
x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{k}(t) x^{(n-k)}=Q(t) \quad(t \in I)
$$

such that $\left\{x_{m(r)}^{(i)}\right\}$ uniformly converges to $x^{(i)}$ on each compact subinterval of I for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.

Remark. Lemma 2 and its Corollary remain valid when instead of $I$ the open interval $J$ is considered.

The next lemma describes a property of linear d. equations.
Lemma 3 (Hartman-Wintner, [9, p. 204]). Let $m, 0<m \leqq n$ be fixed. Let $P_{k} \in C^{0}(I), k=1, \ldots, n$ and $P_{k}(t) \geqq 0$ for $k=m+1, \ldots, n$ if $m<n$, and for all $t \in I$. Let the $m$-th order d. equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m}(x) \equiv\right) x^{(m)}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k+1} P_{k}(t) x^{(m-k)}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

possess a set of solutions $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ satisfying $W_{k}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)(t)=\operatorname{det}\left(u_{i}^{(j-1)}(t)\right)>0$, $i, j=1, \ldots, k$ for $k=1, \ldots, m, t \in I$. Then

$$
\left(L_{n}(x) \equiv\right) x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1} P_{k}(t) x^{(n-k)}=0
$$

has a solution $x$ satisfying

$$
x(t)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad(-1)^{k} x^{(k)}(t) \geqq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n-m
$$

Corollary. If $P_{n}(t) \equiv 0$ is not true in any subinterval of $I$ and $0<m<n$, then the mentioned solution $x$ shows the property

$$
(-1)^{k} x^{(k)}(t)>0 \quad(k=0, \ldots, n-m-1, t \in I)
$$

and $(-1)^{n-m} x^{(n-m)}$ has less than $\frac{m+1}{2}\left(\frac{m}{2}+1\right)$ different zeros on $I$ when $m$ is odd ( $m$ is even).

Proof. When $x$ is the considered solution, the function $y=x^{(n-m)}$ satisfies the nonhomogeneous d. equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m}(y)=\sum_{k=m+1}^{n}(-1)^{k} P_{k}(t) x^{(n-k)}(t), \quad t \in I \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the right-hand side of (5) as $h$. Then $h$ does not vanish identically on any subinterval of $I$ and its sign is equal to $(-1)^{n}$. Further all zeros of $y$ are of multiplicity at least 2. If $m$ is odd and $y$ has $\frac{m+1}{2}$ different zeros $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{i}$, $j=\frac{m+1}{2}$, then the Green function $G$ corresponding to the problem

$$
L_{m}(y)=0, \quad y\left(t_{k}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, \frac{m+1}{2}
$$

is, on the basis of a result of $\operatorname{Levin}[11, \mathrm{pp} .80-81]$, nonnegative. $y$ can be written in the form $y(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{j}} G(t, s) h(s) \mathrm{d} s, t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{j}\right]$, which is a contradiction since the signs on the two sides of this equality are mutually different.

When $m$ is even and $y$ has $\frac{m}{2}+1$ different zeros, then we consider the Green function $G_{1}$ of the problem

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
L_{m}(y)=0, & y\left(t_{k}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} \\
y\left(t_{l}\right)=0, \quad l=\frac{m}{2}+1
\end{array}
$$

Since $G_{1} \leqq 0$ and $y(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{t}} G_{1}(t, s) h(s) \mathrm{d} s$, we again have a contradiction. Using the fact that $x^{(n-m)}$ is of a constant sign and has only finitely many zeros, we get the statement of the corollary.

Remarks. 1. Since the lemma and its corollary are based on Theorem 2.1, [8, p. 592], which is true also on an open interval, in this lemma and its corollary the interval $I$ can be replaced by $J$ both in the assumptions and in the statements.
2. If $m=1$, then (4) clearly satisfies the assumption of Lemma 3. For $m>1$ a sufficient condition for the existence of a Markov system of solutions $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ of (4) (i.e. with Wronskians $W_{k}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)>0, k=1, \ldots, m$, on $\left.I\right)$, is the existence of $m-1$ functions $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m-1} \in C^{m}(I)$ which form a Descartes system on $I$ (i.e. the Wronskians $W_{k}\left(y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{k}}\right)\left(1 \leqq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leqq m-1, k=1, \ldots, m-1\right)$ are positive on $I$ ), and satisfy the inequalities $(-1)^{m-k} L_{m}\left(y_{k}\right)(t) \geqq 0(k=1, \ldots, m-1, t \in I)([4$, p. 123]). Another sufficient condition on a compact or on an open interval $j$ is that the equation (4) should be disconjugate on $j$ ([4, pp. 94, 116]).

Lemma 3 and its Corollary will be generalized to the nonlinear d. equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1} p_{k}(t, x) x^{(n-k)}=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $1 \leqq m \leqq n, p_{k} \in C^{0}(I \times R), k=1, \ldots, n$, and if $m<n$, let $p_{k}(t, x) \geqq 0$ on $I \times R, k=m+1, \ldots, n$. If $1<m$, let there exist $m-1$ functions $u_{l} \in C^{m}(I), l=1, \ldots, m-1$, which form a Descartes system on $I$ and satisfy

$$
(-1)^{m-l}\left[u_{l}^{(m)}(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k+1} p_{k}(t, x) u_{l}^{(m-k)}(t)\right] \geqq 0 \quad(t \in I)
$$

for each point $x \in R, l=1, \ldots, m-1$.
Then for any $c>0$ (6) possesses a solution $x$ on I such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(a)=c, \quad x(t)>0, \quad(-1)^{k} x^{(k)}(t) \geqq 0  \tag{7}\\
\text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, n-m, \quad t \in I .
\end{gather*}
$$

If in the case $m<n p_{n}(t, x)>0$ on $I \times R$, then $x$ satisfies

$$
(-1)^{k} x^{(k)}(t)>0 \quad(k=0, \ldots, n-m-1, t \in I)
$$

and $x^{(n-m)}$ has less than $\frac{m+1}{2}\left(\frac{m}{2}+1\right)$ different zeros on $I$, when $m$ is odd ( $m$ is even).

Proof. 1. The case $m<n$. Consider the Fréchet space $C^{n-1}(I)$ topologized as above. Let $S=\left\{x \in C^{n-1}(I): x(a)=c,(-1)^{k} \cdot x^{(k)}(t) \geqq 0, t \in I, k=0,1, \ldots, n-m\right\}$. $S$ is a closed, convex and nonempty subset of $C^{n-1}(I)$. For $u \in S$ let $T(u)=\{x \in S$ : $x$ is a solution of the d. equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1} p_{k}(t, u(t)) x^{(n-k)}=0 \tag{u}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies (7) $\}$. By Lemma 3 and linearity of $\left(8_{u}\right), T(u) \neq \emptyset$ and $T(u)$ is convex. Since $T(u) \subset S$ and $S$ is bounded in the topology of $C^{\circ}(I)$, by Lemma 2 , $T(u)$ is relatively compact in $C^{n-1}(I)$. But $T(u)$ is also closed, and hence, compact in the $C^{n-1}$ topology. Thus the so defined mapping $T: S \rightarrow 2^{S}$ satisfies the requirement i) of Lemma 1.

If $u_{p} \in S, u_{p} \rightarrow u_{0}$ and $x_{p} \in T\left(u_{p}\right), x_{p} \rightarrow x_{0}$, the convergence being considered in $C^{n-1}(I)$, then the functions $p_{k}\left(\cdot, u_{p}(\cdot)\right)$ converge locally uniformly on $I$ to $p_{k}\left(\cdot, u_{0}(\cdot)\right), k=1, \ldots, n$, and by Corollary $4.1,[8, \mathrm{p} .73], x_{p} \rightarrow y_{0}$, where $y_{0}$ is the solution of $\left(8_{u_{0}}\right)$ satisfying the same initial condition as $x_{0}$. Therefore $x_{0}=y_{0}$ and $x_{0} \in T\left(u_{0}\right)$. Thus $T$ is a closed mapping.

As $S$ is bounded in the topology of $C^{0}(I)$ and $T(S) \subset S$, Lemma 2 guarantees that $T(S)$ is relatively compact in $C^{n-1}(I)$, hence its closure $\overline{T(S)} \subset S$ is compact. Thus all assumptions of Lemma 1 are satisfied. By this lemma there exists an $x \in S$ such that $x \in T(x)$. $x$ is then the searched solution. When $p_{n}(t, x)$ is everywhere positive, the Corollary to Lemma 3 implies the last statement of the theorem.
2. If $m=n$, the definition of $S$ must be changed. The other steps of the proof remain the same. Consider the functions $u_{t}, l=1, \ldots, n-1$. Since $c_{l} u_{l}, c_{l}>0$, $l=1, \ldots, n-1$, also form a Descartes system on $I$, we can assume that all $u_{l}(a)=c$, $l=1, \ldots, n-1$. Let $S=\left\{x \in C^{n-1}(I): x(a)=c, 0 \leqq x(t) \leqq u_{1}(t)(t \in I)\right\}$. Then $S$ is a closed, convex and nonempty subset of $C^{n-1}(I)$. By Theorem 18 [4, p. 128], there is a fundamental system $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ of $\left(8_{u}\right)$ which forms a Markov system and is such that

$$
\frac{U_{1}^{\prime}}{U_{1}} \leqq \frac{u_{1}^{\prime}}{u_{1}} \leqq \frac{U_{2}^{\prime}}{U_{2}} \leqq \ldots \leqq \frac{u_{n-1}^{\prime}}{u_{n-1}} \leqq \frac{U_{n}^{\prime}}{U_{n}}
$$

on $I$. Then by Theorem 12 [4, p. 110] there exists a principal solution $U$ of $\left(8_{u}\right)$ which is positive and $W\left(U, U_{1}\right) \geqq 0$ on $I$. Therefore $\frac{U^{\prime}}{U} \leqq \frac{U_{1}^{\prime}}{U_{1}}$. The principal solution is uniquely determined by the condition $U(a)=c$. Using the inequalities above we come to the conclusion that the set $T(u)=\{x \in S: x$ is the principal solution of $\left(8_{u}\right)$ which satisfies $\left.x(a)=c\right\}$ consists of exactly one element.

Remark. Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 3 in [1, p. 253].
2. In the second part of the paper a theorem of Kannan and Locker dealing with a nonlinear boundary value problem in [12, p. 3] is strengthened. Here the function $f$ need not be bounded and the coefficients $a_{i}$ do not belong to $C^{\infty}([a, b])$ as we shall see.

Let $-\infty<a<b<\infty$ and denote $K=[a, b]$. Consider the real Hilbert space $L^{2}(K)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let $C^{n-1}(K)\left(C^{0}(K)\right)$ be provided with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{n-1}\left(\|\cdot\|_{0}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|x\|_{n-1}=\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \max _{t \in K}\left|x^{(i)}(t)\right| \quad\left(x \in C^{n-1}(K)\right) \\
\|x\|_{0}=\max _{t \in K}|x(t)| \quad\left(x \in C^{0}(K)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In accordance with the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{n-1}$ the norm $|\cdot|$ in $R^{n}$ will be taken as

$$
|p|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|p_{i}\right| \quad\left(p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in R^{n}\right) .
$$

Let $L$ be an $n$-th order formal operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}(t) x^{(i)}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i} \in C^{i}(K), i=0,1, \ldots, n$ and $a_{n}(t) \neq 0$ on $K$. Let

$$
B_{i}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} x^{(j-1)}(a)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j} x^{(j-1)}(b) \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
$$

be a set of $n$ linearly independent boundary conditions where $\alpha_{i j}, \beta_{i j}(i, j=1, \ldots, n)$ are real numbers.

In [5, p. 463] (Lemma 16, Chapter XIII.2) the following properties of the space $H^{n}(K)$, the subspace of $L^{2}(K)$ consisting of all functions $x \in C^{n-1}(K)$ with $x^{(n)} \in L^{2}(K)$ have been derived. The second statement gives a useful compactness condition.

Lemma 4 (See also [12, p. 3]). 1. The space $H^{n}(K)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|x\|_{n-1}+\left\|x^{(n)}\right\|$.
2. If $a_{i} \in C^{i}(K)(i=0,1, \ldots, n)$ and $a_{n}(t) \neq 0$ in $K$, then there exists a constant $M_{1}$ depending only on $L, K$ and $n$ such that for each $x \in H^{n}(K)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{n-1}+\left\|x^{(n)}\right\| \leqq M_{1}[\|x\|+\|L(x)\|] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the lemma has been proved under the assumption that $a_{i} \in C^{\infty}(K)$, but the proof is still valid under a weaker assumption $a_{i} \in C^{i}(K), i=0,1, \ldots, n$.

Suppose the problem

$$
\pi: L(x)=\lambda x, \quad B_{i}(x)=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
$$

is self-adjoint ([2, p. 189]). Then there exists an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}(K)$ made up of eigenfunctions $\Phi_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ of $\pi$ and let $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ be the corresponding eigenvalues of $\pi$. We have that $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1 in [12, p. 3].
Theorem 2. Let the problem $\pi$ be self-adjoint. Let $h: K \times R^{n} \rightarrow R$ and $f$ : $K \times R^{n} \rightarrow R$ be two continuous functions such that
a) there exist real numbers $p, q$ with $p \leqq h(t, x) \leqq q, t \in K, x \in R^{n}$,
b) $\lambda_{i} \notin[p, q], i=1,2, \ldots$,
c) $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{r}}{r}=0$, where $Q_{r}=\max _{t \in K,|x| \leq r}|f(t, x)|(0<r<\infty)$.

Then the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(x)-h\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\right) x=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\right) \\
B_{i}(x)=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
\end{gathered}
$$

has at least one solution.
Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of Theorem 1 in [10]. Instead of $H^{n-1}(K)$ its subspace $C^{n-1}(K)$ is used. First, for any function $w \in C^{n-1}(K)$ the linear boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(x)-h\left[t, w(t), w^{\prime}(t), \ldots, w^{(n-1)}(t)\right] x=f\left[t, w(t), w^{\prime}(t), \ldots, w^{(n-1)}(t)\right]  \tag{11}\\
B_{i}(x)=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
\end{gather*}
$$

is considered. Since $C^{n-1}(K) \subset H^{n-1}(K)$, there exists by what has been proved in [12, p. 4] a unique solution $u \in C^{n}(K) \cap\left\{x \in C^{n}(K): B_{i}(x)=0, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ of that problem. Then we define a mapping $T: C^{n-1}(K) \rightarrow C^{n}(K)$ by putting $T(w)=u$, where $u$ is the mentioned solution.

For $T(w)$ we have the inequality

$$
\|T(w)\|_{n-1}+\left\|(T(w))^{(n)}\right\| \leqq M_{4}\left\|f\left(t, w(t), \ldots, w^{(n-1)}(t)\right)\right\|
$$

which has been proved in [12, p. 5]. Its proof is based on (10). From this inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(w)\|_{n-1} \leqq M_{4} \| f\left(t, w(t), \ldots, w^{(n-1)}(t) \|_{0}(b-a)^{1 / 2}\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By c) there exists an $r_{0}>0$ such that $Q_{r_{0}}=\frac{1}{M_{4}(b-a)^{1 / 2}} r_{0}$ and, thus if $\|w\|_{n-1} \leqq r_{0}$, then, by (12), $\|T(w)\|_{n-1} \leqq r_{0}$, too. Hence $T$ maps the ball $B=\left\{w \in C^{n-1}(K)\right.$ : $\left.\|w\|_{n-1} \leqq r_{0}\right\}$ into itself.

Continuity of $T$ with respect to the $C^{n-1}(K)$ norm can be proved in a similar way as it has been done in [12, p. 6]. Using the same notations as in [12] from the inequality

$$
\left\|u_{i}-u_{0}\right\|_{n-1}+\left\|u_{i}^{(n)}-u_{0}^{(n)}\right\| \leqq M_{4}\left\|\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{0}\right) u_{0}+\beta_{i}-\beta_{0}\right\|
$$

we get

$$
\left\|u_{i}-u_{0}\right\|_{n-1} \leqq M_{4} r_{0}(b-a)^{1 / 2}\left\|\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{0}\right\|_{0}+M_{4}(b-a)^{1 / 2}\left\|\beta_{i}-\beta_{0}\right\|_{0} .
$$

The uniform continuity of $f$ and $h$ on $K \times\left\{x \in R^{n}:|x| \leqq r_{0}\right\}$ implies that $\| \alpha_{i}-$ $\alpha_{0} \|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|\beta_{i}-\beta_{0}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. But this gives that $\left\|u_{i}-u_{0}\right\|_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$, which means that $T$ is continuous in the $C^{n-1}$ topology.

Consider now the compactness of $T$. On the basis of (12) we have that if $\|w\|_{n-1} \leqq M$, then $\|T(w)\|_{n-1} \leqq M_{5}$, and by this, (11) implies that $\left\|(T(w))^{(n)}\right\|_{0}$ is bounded, too, which means that under the mapping $T$ the image of a bounded set is relatively compact (in the $C^{n-1}$ topology). The Schauder fixed point theorem completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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# КЛАСС ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ ПОДОБНЫХ ЛИНЕЙНЫМ УРАВНЕНИЯМ 

Вальтер Шеда

Резюме

В работе показано, что некоторые свойства, а особенно те, которые связаны с дифференциальными неравенствами (монотонность, неосцилляция), линейных дифференциальных уравнений можно перенести на класс нелинейных уравнений вида

$$
x^{(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\right) x^{(n-k)}=q\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(n-1)}\right)
$$

Таким образом были обобщены один результат Хартмана-Винтнера и теорема Кэннана--Локера, касающаяся существования решения одной нелинейной краеКэй задачи. В доказательствах применяются методы функционального анализа.

