

H. M. Srivastava; J. Patel; Pulak Sahoo

Some families of analytic functions with negative coefficients

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 4, 421--439

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130359>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SOME FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

H. M. SRIVASTAVA* — J. PATEL** — P. SAHOO**

(Communicated by Michal Zajac)

ABSTRACT. By making use of the familiar Sălăgean derivatives, the authors introduce and study a certain subclass $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of normalized analytic functions with negative coefficients. In addition to finding a necessary and sufficient (and sharp) condition for a function to belong to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, a number of other potentially useful properties and characteristics of functions in this class are investigated rather systematically. Finally, several applications involving an integral operator and some fractional calculus operators are also considered.

1. Introduction and definitions

Denote by \mathcal{A}_k the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* in the *open unit disk*

$$\mathcal{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Also let the operator:

$$\mathcal{D}^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$$

be defined, for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_k$, by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ \mathcal{D}^1 f(z) &= z f'(z), \end{aligned}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45; Secondary 26A33, 33B15.
Key words: analytic function, Sălăgean derivative, Hadamard product (or convolution), integral operator, fractional calculus operator, distortion theorem.

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the *Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada* under Grant OGP0007353.

and

$$\mathcal{D}^n f(z) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^{n-1} f(z)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The operator \mathcal{D}^n is known as the Sălăgean derivative operator of order $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (cf. [9]; see also [6], where it was used recently in determining several interesting criteria for univalence of analytic functions).

For a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1), it follows from the above definition that

$$\mathcal{D}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n a_j z^j \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \tag{1.2}$$

With the help of the operator \mathcal{D}^n , we say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_k$ is in the class $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{F_{n,\lambda}(z) - 1}{\gamma F_{n,\gamma}(z) + 1 - (1 + \gamma)\alpha} \right| < \beta \tag{1.3}$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1; \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1),$$

where, for convenience,

$$F_{n,\lambda}(z) := \frac{(1 - \lambda)z(\mathcal{D}^n f(z))' + \lambda z(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1 - \lambda)\mathcal{D}^n f(z) + \lambda \mathcal{D}^{n+1} f(z)} =: \frac{\phi_{n,\lambda}(z)}{\psi_{n,\lambda}(z)}.$$

Let \mathcal{T}_k denote the subclass of \mathcal{A}_k consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad (a_j \geq 0; \quad j = k + 1, k + 2, k + 3, \dots; \quad k \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.4}$$

and define the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathcal{A}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cap \mathcal{T}_k. \tag{1.5}$$

We note that, by specializing the parameters $k, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and n , we can obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors.

- (i) $\mathcal{T}_{0,k}^\lambda(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{P}(k, \lambda, \alpha)$ (Altıntaş [1]),
- (ii) $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}^0(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}^1(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{T}_{1,1}^0(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ (Silverman [11]),
- (iii) $\mathcal{T}_{0,k}^0(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{T}_\alpha(k)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{0,k}^1(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{T}_{1,k}^0(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{C}_\alpha(k)$ (Chatterjea [4] and Srivastava et al. [15]),
- (iv) $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, 1, 1) = \mathcal{P}(k, \lambda, \alpha, n)$ (Aouf and Srivastava [3]),

where $\mathcal{P}(k, \lambda, \alpha, n)$ represents the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_k$ which satisfy the inequality [3; p. 763, Equation (1.5)]:

$$\Re \left(\frac{(1 - \lambda)z(\mathcal{D}^n f(z))' + \lambda z(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1 - \lambda)\mathcal{D}^n f(z) + \lambda \mathcal{D}^{n+1} f(z)} \right) > \alpha \tag{1.6}$$

$(z \in \mathcal{U}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$

The present paper aims at providing a systematic investigation of the various interesting properties and characteristics of the general class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, which we have introduced here. Our results involving the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ provide improvements and generalizations of those given by (for example) the aforesaid earlier authors.

2. Coefficient inequalities and other basic properties of the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

THEOREM 1. *Let the function f be defined by (1.4). Then $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}a_j \leq \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha). \tag{2.1}$$

The result is sharp.

P r o o f. Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds true. Then, for $|z| = r < 1$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_{n,\lambda}(z) - \psi_{n,\lambda}(z)| - \beta|\gamma\phi_{n,\lambda}(z) + \{1 - (1 + \gamma)\alpha\}\psi_{n,\lambda}(z)| \\ = & \left| - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)(j - 1)a_j z^{j-1} \right| \\ & - \beta \left| (1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(1 - \alpha) + (j - \alpha)\gamma\}a_j z^{j-1} \right| \\ \leq & \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)(j - 1)a_j \\ & - \beta \left[(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(1 - \alpha) + (j - \alpha)\gamma\}a_j \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}a_j - \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality (2.1). Hence, by the *Maximum Modulus Theorem* (cf., e.g., [5]) and (1.3), $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Conversely, we assume that the function f is in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{F_{n,\lambda}(z) - 1}{\gamma F_{n,\lambda}(z) + 1 - (1 + \gamma)\alpha} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{- \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)(j - 1)a_j z^{j-1}}{(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(1 - \alpha) + (j - \alpha)\gamma\}a_j z^{j-1}} \right| \\ &< \beta \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\Re(z)| \leq |z|$ for all z , we obtain the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\frac{\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)(j - 1)a_j z^{j-1}}{(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(1 - \alpha) + (j - \alpha)\gamma\}a_j z^{j-1}} \right) < \beta \\ (z \in \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Now choose values of z on the real axis so that $F_{n,\lambda}(z)$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.2) and letting $z \rightarrow 1-$ through real values, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)(j - 1)a_j \\ &\leq \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) - \beta \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(1 - \alpha) + (j - \alpha)\gamma\}a_j, \end{aligned}$$

which leads us readily to the inequality (2.1).

Finally, by noting that the function f given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = z - \frac{\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}{j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}} z^j \\ (j \geq k + 1; k \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

is an extremal function for the assertion of Theorem 1, we complete our proof of Theorem 1. □

COROLLARY 1. *Let the function f defined by (1.4) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then*

$$a_j \leq \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}} \quad (2.4)$$

$(j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}).$

The equality in (2.4) is attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.3).

Remark 1. Since

$$1-\lambda+\lambda j \leq 1-\mu+\mu j \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq \mu \leq 1),$$

we have the inclusion property:

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\mu(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq \mu \leq 1).$$

Furthermore, for $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < 1$, it is easily verified that

$$\frac{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha_1)}{1-\alpha_1} \leq \frac{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha_2)}{1-\alpha_2},$$

so that, with the aid of Theorem 1, we obtain the inclusion property:

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_2, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_1, \beta, \gamma) \quad (0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < 1).$$

THEOREM 2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\xi, \beta, \gamma),$$

where

$$\xi := \frac{(1+\beta\gamma)(k+\alpha)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta\gamma)(k+1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Suppose that the function f defined by (1.4) belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then, by Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^{n+1}(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}a_j \leq \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha). \quad (2.5)$$

To prove that $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$, it is sufficient to find the largest ξ such that

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\xi)\}a_j \leq \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\xi). \quad (2.6)$$

In view of (2.5), (2.6) will hold true if

$$\frac{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\xi)}{1-\xi} \leq \frac{j[(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)]}{1-\alpha}$$

$$(j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

that is, if

$$\xi \leq \frac{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1+\alpha) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta\gamma)j + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.7)$$

Since the right-hand side of (2.7) is an increasing function of j , letting $j = k+1$ in (2.7), we obtain

$$\xi \leq \frac{(1+\beta\gamma)(k+\alpha) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta\gamma)(k+1) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)},$$

which proves the main assertion of Theorem 2.

Finally, by taking the function f given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}} z^{k+1} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (2.8)$$

we can see that the result of Theorem 2 is sharp. □

Remark 2. Since $\xi > \alpha$, it follows from Remark 1 that

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

and hence that

$$\mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

where ξ is defined with Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. Let $0 \leq \alpha_j < 1$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $0 < \beta_j \leq 1$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_1, \beta_1, 1) = \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_2, \beta_2, 1) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \quad (2.9)$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_1(1-\alpha_1)}{1+\beta_1} = \frac{\beta_2(1-\alpha_2)}{1+\beta_2}. \quad (2.10)$$

In particular, if $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, 1) = \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda\left(\frac{1-\beta+2\alpha\beta}{1+\beta}, 1, 1\right) = \mathcal{P}\left(k, \lambda, \frac{1-\beta+2\alpha\beta}{1+\beta}, n\right) \quad (2.11)$$

$$(n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Proof. Let us first assume that the function f defined by (1.4) is in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_1, \beta_1, 1)$ and let the condition (2.10) hold true. Then, by (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta_2)+2\beta_2(1-\alpha_2)\}}{2\beta_2(1-\alpha_2)} a_j \\ &= \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta_1)+2\beta_1(1-\alpha_1)\}}{2\beta_1(1-\alpha_1)} a_j \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_2, \beta_2, 1)$, again with the aid of Theorem 1.

Reversing the above steps, we can similarly prove that, under the condition (2.10),

$$f \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_2, \beta_2, 1) \implies f \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha_1, \beta_1, 1).$$

Conversely, the assertion (2.9) can easily be shown to imply the condition (2.10). The proof of Theorem 3 is thus completed by observing that (2.11) is a special case of (2.9) when

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha, \quad \beta_1 = \beta, \quad \beta_2 = 1.$$

□

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta_j \leq 1$, and $0 \leq \gamma_j \leq 1$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then*

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta_1, \gamma_1) = \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta_2, \gamma_2) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \tag{2.12}$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\beta_1(1+\gamma_1)}{1-\beta_1} = \frac{\beta_2(1+\gamma_2)}{1-\beta_2}.$$

In particular, if $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda\left(\alpha, \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)}{2-\beta+\beta\gamma}, 1\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \tag{2.13}$$

3. Inclusion properties associated with modified Hadamard products

Let $f(z)$ be defined by (1.4) and let

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_j z^j \quad (b_j \geq 0; \quad j = k+1, k+2, k+3, \dots; \quad k \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{3.1}$$

Then the *modified* Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined here by

$$(f * g)(z) := z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j \tag{3.2}$$

$$(a_j \geq 0; b_j \geq 0; j = k + 1, k + 2, k + 3, \dots; k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We now prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. *Let the function f defined by (1.4) and the function g defined by (3.1) belong to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\eta, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the modified Hadamard product $f * g$ defined by (3.2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\eta, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$\eta := \frac{(k + 1)^n(1 + \lambda k)\{(1 + \beta\gamma)k + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}^2 - \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)^2\{(1 + \beta\gamma)k + \beta(1 + \gamma)\}}{(k + 1)^n(1 + \lambda k)\{(1 + \beta\gamma)k + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}^2 - \{\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}^2}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Employing the technique used earlier by Schild and Silverman [10], we need to find the largest η such that

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \eta)\}a_j b_j \leq \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \eta). \tag{3.3}$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}a_j \leq \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}b_j \leq \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha),$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j^n(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}}{\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)} \sqrt{a_j b_j} \leq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \eta)}{1 - \eta} a_j b_j \\ & \leq \frac{(j - 1)(1 + \beta\gamma) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \sqrt{a_j b_j} \quad (j \geq k + 1; k \in \mathbb{N}), \end{aligned}$$

ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

that is, that

$$\sqrt{a_j b_j} \leq \frac{(1-\eta)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{(1-\alpha)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\eta)\}} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Since (3.4) implies that

$$\sqrt{a_j b_j} \leq \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

we need only to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}} \\ & \leq \frac{(1-\eta)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{(1-\alpha)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\eta \leq \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(j-1)(1+\beta\gamma) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - \{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.5)$$

Since the right-hand side of (3.5) is an increasing function of j , by letting $j = k+1$ in (3.5), we obtain

$$\eta \leq \frac{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - \{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2},$$

which proves the main assertion of Theorem 5.

The sharpness of the result of Theorem 5 follows if we take

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= g(z) \\ &= z - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}} z^{k+1} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

□

THEOREM 6. *If each of the functions f and g belongs to the same class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then $(f * g)(z)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\rho, 1, 1)$ or, equivalently, $\mathcal{P}(k, \lambda, \rho, n)$, where*

$$\rho := \frac{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - (k+1)\{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - \{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

The result is the best possible for the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ defined by (3.6).

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5, we get

$$\rho \leq \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - j\{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - \{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.8)$$

The right-hand side of (3.8) being an increasing function of j , setting $j = k+1$ in (3.8), we obtain (3.7).

This completes the proof of Theorem 6. □

THEOREM 7. *Let the function f defined by (1.4) and the function g defined by (3.1) be in the same class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by*

$$h(z) := z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (a_j^2 + b_j^2)z^j$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\sigma, \beta, \gamma)$, where

$$\sigma := \frac{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - 2\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)\}}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - 2\{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ defined by (3.6).

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right)^2 a_j^2 \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_j \right)^2 \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right)^2 b_j^2 \leq 1. \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right)^2 (a_j^2 + b_j^2) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest σ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\sigma)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\sigma)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right)^2 \\ & \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \leq & \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - 2\{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2} \\ & (j \geq k+1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since the right-hand side of (3.11) is an increasing function of j , we readily have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \leq & \frac{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2 - 2\{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and Theorem 7 follows at once. □

4. A family of integral operators

THEOREM 8. *Let the function f defined by (1.4) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, and let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$F(z) := \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > -1; f \in \mathcal{A}_k) \tag{4.1}$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\kappa, \beta, \gamma)$, where

$$\kappa := \frac{(1+\beta\gamma)\{k+(c+1)\alpha\} + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta\gamma)(k+c+1) + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}.$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.8).

P r o o f. From the representation (4.1) of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+j}\right) a_j z^j.$$

We need to find the largest κ such that

$$\frac{\{(1 + \beta\gamma)(j - 1) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \kappa)\}(c + 1)}{(1 - \kappa)(c + j)} \leq \frac{(1 + \beta\gamma)(j - 1) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \quad (j \geq k + 1; k \in \mathbb{N})$$

or, equivalently,

$$\kappa \leq \frac{(1 + \beta\gamma)\{\alpha(c + 1) + (j - 1)\} + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}{(1 + \beta\gamma)(c + j) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)} \quad (j \geq k + 1; k \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{4.2}$$

The right-hand side of (4.2) being an increasing function of j , setting $j = k + 1$ in (4.2), we obtain

$$\kappa \leq \frac{(1 + \beta\gamma)\{k + (c + 1)\alpha\} + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}{(1 + \beta\gamma)(k + c + 1) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 8. □

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 8, we can deduce:

THEOREM 9. If $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then the function $F(z)$ defined by (4.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\mu, 1, 1)$ or, equivalently, $\mathcal{P}(k, \lambda, \mu, n)$, where

$$\mu := \frac{(1 + \beta\gamma)(c + k + 1) - c\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}{(1 + \beta\gamma)(c + k + 1) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}.$$

The result is sharp, the extremal function $f(z)$ being given by (2.8).

THEOREM 10. Let the function $F(z)$ given by

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} d_j z^j \quad (d_j \geq 0; j = k + 1, k + 2, k + 3, \dots; k \in \mathbb{N})$$

be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, and let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $f(z)$ defined by (4.1) is univalent in $|z| < R$, where

$$R := \inf_{j \geq k+1} \left(\frac{j^{n-1}(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)\{(1 + \beta\gamma)(j - 1) + \beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)\}(c + 1)}{\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha)(c + j)} \right)^{1/(j-1)} \tag{4.3}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. We find from (4.1) that

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c}(z^c F(z))'}{c+1} = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+j}{c+1}\right) d_j z^j.$$

In order to obtain the desired result, it suffices to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1 \quad \text{whenever } |z| < R,$$

where R is given by (4.3). Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j(c+j)}{c+1} d_j |z|^{j-1}.$$

Thus we have $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$ if

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j(c+j)}{c+1} d_j |z|^{j-1} < 1. \tag{4.4}$$

But, by Theorem 1, we know that

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} d_j \leq 1.$$

Hence (4.4) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{j(c+j)}{c+1} |z|^{j-1} < \frac{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)},$$

that is, if

$$|z| < \left(\frac{j^{n-1}(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}(c+1)}{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)(c+j)} \right)^{1/(j-1)} \tag{4.5}$$

$(j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}).$

Therefore, the function $f(z)$ given by (4.1) is univalent in $|z| < R$, where R is defined by (4.3). The sharpness of the result follows if we take

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)(c+j)}{j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}(c+1)} z^j \tag{4.6}$$

$(j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}).$

□

5. Applications of fractional calculus

Many essentially equivalent definitions of fractional calculus (that is, fractional derivatives and fractional integrals) have been given in the literature (cf., e.g., [8] and [13]). We find it to be convenient to recall here the following definitions which were used earlier by O w a [7] (and, more recently, by S r i v a s t a v a and A o u f [12]; see also A o u f [2]).

DEFINITION 1. The *fractional integral of order μ* is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{-\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\mu}} d\zeta \quad (\mu > 0), \tag{5.1}$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the complex z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\mu-1}$ is removed, by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $z-\zeta > 0$.

DEFINITION 2. The *fractional derivative of order μ* is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^\mu f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(z-\zeta)^\mu} \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1), \tag{5.2}$$

where $f(z)$ is constrained, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{-\mu}$ is removed, as in Definition 1.

DEFINITION 3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the *fractional derivative of order $n + \mu$* is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{n+\mu} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \{ D_z^\mu f(z) \} \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \tag{5.3}$$

THEOREM 11. Let the function f defined by (1.4) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_z^{-\mu} (D^i f(z))| \\ & \geq \frac{r^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^k \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

($|z| = r < 1; \mu > 0; i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$)

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_z^{-\mu} (D^i f(z))| \\ & \leq \frac{r^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left(1 + \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^k \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

($|z| = r < 1; \mu > 0; i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$).

Each of the assertions (5.4) and (5.5) is sharp.

Proof. We observe that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \iff \mathcal{D}^i f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{n-i,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

and that (cf. Equation (1.2))

$$\mathcal{D}^i f(z) = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^i a_j z^j \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^i a_j \\ & \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^n(1-\lambda+\lambda j)\{(1+\beta\gamma)(j-1)+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\} a_j \\ & \leq \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^i a_j \leq \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Consider the function $G(z)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &:= \Gamma(2+\mu)z^{-\mu}D_z^{-\mu}(\mathcal{D}^i f(z)) \\ &= z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(j+1+\mu)} j^i a_j z^j \\ &= z - \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \Phi(j) j^i a_j z^j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(j) := \frac{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(j+1+\mu)} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}; \mu > 0).$$

Since $\Phi(j)$ is a decreasing function of j , we get

$$0 < \Phi(j) \leq \Phi(k+1) = \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} \quad (j \geq k+1; k \in \mathbb{N}; \mu > 0). \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, by using (5.6) and (5.7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G(z)| &\geq r - \Phi(k+1)r^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^i a_j \\
 &\geq r - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^{k+1} \\
 &\quad (|z| = r < 1; \mu > 0; i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\})
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G(z)| &\leq r + \Phi(k+1)r^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^i a_j \\
 &\leq r + \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^{k+1} \\
 &\quad (|z| = r < 1; \mu > 0; i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which prove the inequalities (5.4) and (5.5) of Theorem 11.

The equalities in (5.4) and (5.5) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{D}^i f(z) &= z - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(k+1)^{n-1}\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}(1+\lambda k)} z^{k+1} \\
 &\quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 11. □

Setting $i = 0$ in Theorem 11, we obtain:

COROLLARY 2. *Let the function f defined by (1.4) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|D_z^{-\mu} f(z)| \\
 &\geq \frac{r^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^k \right) \\
 &\quad (|z| = r < 1; \mu > 0)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|D_z^{-\mu} f(z)| \\
 &\leq \frac{r^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left(1 + \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k + \beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^k \right) \\
 &\quad (|z| = r < 1; \mu > 0).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

The estimates in (5.9) and (5.10) are sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (5.8) with $i = 0$.

THEOREM 12. *Let the function f defined by (1.4) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_z^\mu(\mathcal{D}^i f(z))| \\ \geq & \frac{r^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^k \right) \\ & (|z|=r < 1; \ 0 \leq \mu < 1; \ i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_z^\mu(\mathcal{D}^i f(z))| \\ \leq & \frac{r^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left(1 + \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2+\mu)} r^k \right) \\ & (|z|=r < 1; \ 0 \leq \mu < 1; \ i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Each of the assertions (5.11) and (5.12) is sharp.

P r o o f. Consider the function $H(z)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) & := \Gamma(2-\mu)z^\mu D_z^\mu(\mathcal{D}^i f(z)) \\ & = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{\Gamma(j+1-\mu)} j^i a_j z^j = z - \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty \Psi(j)j^{i+1} a_j z^j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi(j) := \frac{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{\Gamma(j+1-\mu)} \quad (j \geq k+1; \ k \in \mathbb{N}; \ 0 \leq \mu < 1). \tag{5.13}$$

It is easily seen from (5.13) that

$$0 < \Psi(j) \leq \Psi(k+1) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{\Gamma(k+2-\mu)} \quad (j \geq k+1; \ k \in \mathbb{N}; \ 0 \leq \mu < 1). \tag{5.14}$$

Consequently, with the aid of (5.6) and (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H(z)| & \geq r - \Psi(k+1)r^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty j^{i+1} a_j \\ & \geq r - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2-\mu)} r^{k+1} \\ & \quad (|z|=r < 1; \ 0 \leq \mu < 1; \ i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H(z)| &\leq r + \Psi(k+1)r^{k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} j^{i+1} a_j \\
 &\leq r + \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(k+1)^{n-i}(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2-\mu)} r^{k+1} \\
 &\quad (|z|=r < 1; 0 \leq \mu < 1; i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.16}$$

The estimates in (5.11) and (5.12) follow from (5.15) and (5.16), respectively. Each of these estimates is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (5.8). \square

Letting $i = 0$ in Theorem 12, we have:

COROLLARY 3. *Let the function f defined by (1.4) be in the class $T_{n,k}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|D_z^\mu f(z)| \\
 &\geq \frac{r^{1-\mu}}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2-\mu)} r^k \right) \\
 &\quad (|z|=r < 1; 0 \leq \mu < 1)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|D_z^\mu f(z)| \\
 &\leq \frac{r^{1-\mu}}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \left(1 + \frac{\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(k+1)^n(1+\lambda k)\{(1+\beta\gamma)k+\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\}\Gamma(k+2-\mu)} r^k \right) \\
 &\quad (|z|=r < 1; 0 \leq \mu < 1).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.18}$$

The estimates in (5.17) and (5.18) are sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (5.8) with $i = 0$.

Remark 3. Many of the results of this section can suitably (and fairly easily) be extended to hold true for such generalized fractional calculus operators as those with the Gauss hypergeometric function kernel, which were considered earlier by Aouf and Srivastava [3; p. 786, Definition 4] (see also Srivastava and Owa [13]).

REFERENCES

- [1] ALTINTAŞ, O.: *On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), 489–495.
- [2] AOUF, M. K.: *On fractional derivatives and fractional integrals of certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions*, Math. Japon. **35** (1990), 831–837.
- [3] AOUF, M. K.—SRIVASTAVA, H. M.: *Some families of starlike functions with negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **203** (1996), 762–790.
- [4] CHATTERJEA, S. K.: *On starlike functions*, J. Pure Math. **1** (1981), 23–26.
- [5] DUREN, P. L.: *Univalent Functions*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1983.
- [6] KANAS, S.—SRIVASTAVA, H. M.: *Some criteria for univalence related to Ruscheweyh and Sălăgean derivatives*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **38** (1999), 263–275.
- [7] OWA, S.: *On distortion theorems. I*, Kyungpook Math. J. **18** (1978), 55–59.
- [8] PODLUBNY, I.: *Fractional Differential Equations*. Math. Sci. Engrg. 198, Academic Press, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1999.
- [9] SĂLĂGEAN, G. ST.: *Subclasses of univalent functions*. In: Complex Analysis. Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part I (Bucharest, 1981). Lecture Notes in Math. 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983, pp. 362–372.
- [10] SCHILD, A.—SILVERMAN, H.: *Convolutions of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **29** (1975), 99–106.
- [11] SILVERMAN, H.: *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 109–116.
- [12] SRIVASTAVA, H. M.—AOUF, M. K.: *A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients. I; II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **171**; **192** (1992; 1995), 1–13; 673–688.
- [13] *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications* (H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, eds.), Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane-Toronto, 1989.
- [14] *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory* (H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, eds.), World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hong Kong, 1992.
- [15] SRIVASTAVA, H. M.—OWA, S.—CHATTERJEA, S. K.: *A note on certain classes of starlike functions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **77** (1987), 115–124.

Received May 22, 2000

* *Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Victoria
Victoria
British Columbia V8W 3P4
CANADA
E-mail: harimsri@math.uvic.ca*

** *Post-Graduate Department of Mathematics
Utkal University
Vani Vihar
Bhubaneswar 751004
Orissa
INDIA
E-mail: j_patel@123india.com*