

Bohdan Zelinka

Semidomatic numbers of directed graphs

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 4, 371--374

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129146>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SEMIDOMATIC NUMBERS OF DIRECTED GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA

In [1] E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi have introduced the concept of the domatic number of an undirected graph. In [2] this concept was transferred to directed graphs. Here we shall define two generalizations of the domatic numbers of directed graphs.

Let G be a directed graph with the vertex set $V(G)$. A subset D of $V(G)$ is called inside-semidominating (or outside-semidominating) in G if to each vertex $x \in V(G) - D$ there exists a vertex $y \in D$ such that the edge \overrightarrow{xy} (or \overrightarrow{yx} , respectively) belongs to G . An inside-domatic (or outside-domatic) partition of G is a partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are inside-semidominating (or outside-semidominating) sets in G . The maximum number of classes of an inside-semidomatic (or outside-semidomatic) partition of G is called the inside-semidomatic (or outside-semidomatic) number of G and is denoted by $d^-(G)$ (or $d^+(G)$, respectively). Note that these numbers are defined for all directed graphs, because a partition of $V(G)$ consisting of one class is simultaneously an inside-semidomatic partition of G and an outside-semidomatic one.

Now a dominating set in a directed graph G can be defined as a subset of $V(G)$ which is simultaneously inside-semidominating and outside-semidominating. The domatic number $d(G)$ of G is the maximum number of classes of a domatic partition of G , i.e. of a partition, all of whose classes are dominating sets in G . This implies the following assertion.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a directed graph, let $d^-(G)$, $d^+(G)$, $d(G)$ be its inside-semidomatic, outside-semidomatic and domatic number respectively. Then*

$$d^-(G) \geq d(G),$$

$$d^+(G) \geq d(G).$$

Also the following assertion is evident.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a directed graph, let \hat{G} be the graph obtained from G by reversing orientations of all edges. Then*

$$d^-(\hat{G}) = d^+(G),$$

$$d^+(\hat{G}) = d^-(G).$$

Proposition 3. Let G be a directed graph, let $\delta^+(G)$ (or $\delta^-(G)$) be the minimum outdegree (or indegree, respectively) of a vertex of G . Then

$$d^-(G) \leq \delta^+(G) + 1,$$

$$d^+(G) \leq \delta^-(G) + 1.$$

Proof. Let $d^-(G) = d$ and let $\mathcal{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_d\}$ be an inside-semidomatic partition of G with d classes. Let $x \in V(G)$; without loss of generality we may suppose that $x \in D_d$. Then in each D_i for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ there exists a vertex y_i such that $\overrightarrow{xy_i}$ is an edge of G . The vertices y_1, \dots, y_{d-1} are pairwise distinct, therefore the outdegree of x is at least $d-1$. As x was chosen arbitrarily, we have $\delta^+(G) \geq d^-(G) - 1$, which implies the first inequality. The second inequality is dual to the first.

Proposition 4. Let G be a directed graph in which any two vertices are joined by at most one edge, let n be its number of vertices, $n \geq 2$. Then

$$d^-(G) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor,$$

$$d^+(G) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor.$$

Proof. Suppose that $d^-(G) > \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. As $n \geq 2$, we have $d^-(G) > 1$. Any inside-semidomatic partition \mathcal{D} of G with $d^-(G)$ classes contains at least one class consisting of one vertex. If u is such a vertex, then for each $y \neq u$ there exists the edge \overrightarrow{yu} . As $d^-(G) > 1$, there exists a class $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $u \notin D$. As D is inside-semidominating and $u \notin D$, there exists $x \in D$ such that \overrightarrow{ux} is an edge of G . But then there are both the edges $\overrightarrow{ux}, \overrightarrow{xu}$ in G , which is a contradiction. The proof for $d^+(G)$ is dual to the preceding.

Corollary 1. If a directed graph G contains a source (or a sink), then $d^+(G) = 1$ (or $d^-(G) = 1$, respectively).

Theorem 1. Let d_1, d_2, n be three positive integers such that $d_1 \leq n/2, d_2 \leq n/2$. Then there exists a tournament T with n vertices such that $d^-(T) = d_1, d^+(T) = d_2$.

Proof. First suppose $d_1 \leq d_2, d_1 < n/2$. Let $U = \{u_i | i = 1, \dots, d_2\}, V = \{v_i | i = 1, \dots, d_2\}, W = \{w_i | i = 1, \dots, n - 2d_2 - 1\}$ and $Z = \{z\}$ be pairwise disjoint sets. (W is empty if $n = 2d_2 + 1$.) Put $V(T) = U \cup V \cup W \cup Z$ and construct a tournament T with the vertex set $V(T)$. The edge set of T will contain the edges $\overrightarrow{u_i u_j}$ for $i < j, \overrightarrow{v_i v_j}$ for $i < j, \overrightarrow{u_i v_j}$ for $i \geq j, \overrightarrow{v_i u_j}$ for $i > j, \overrightarrow{u_i w_j}$ for all i and $j, \overrightarrow{w_i v_j}$ for all i and $j, \overrightarrow{w_i w_j}$ for $i < j, \overrightarrow{z v_i}$ for $i \leq d_1 - 1, \overrightarrow{v_i z}$ for $i \geq d_1, \overrightarrow{u_i z}$ for each $i, \overrightarrow{w_i z}$ for each i .

Now we shall prove that $d^+(T) = d_2$. Put $D_i^+ = \{u_i, v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d_2 - 1$ and $D_{d_2}^+ = \{u_{d_2}, v_{d_2}\} \cup W \cup Z$. Consider D_i^+ for fixed $i \leq d_2 - 1$ and let $x \in V(T) - D_i^+$.

Then $x \in D_j^+$ for $j \neq i$. If $j < i$, then either $x = u_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{v_i x} = \overrightarrow{v_i u_j}$, $v_i \in D_i^+$, or $x = v_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{u_i x} = \overrightarrow{u_i v_j}$, $u_i \in D_i^+$. If $j > i$, then either $x = u_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{u_i x} = \overrightarrow{u_i u_j}$, $u_i \in D_i^+$, or $x = v_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{v_i x} = \overrightarrow{v_i v_j}$, $v_i \in D_i^+$, or $x \in W \cup Z$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{u_i x}$, $u_i \in D_i^+$. Now consider $D_{d_2}^+$. If $x \in V(T) - D_{d_2}^+$, then $x \in D_j^+$ for $j \leq d_2 - 1$. Again either $x = u_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{v_{d_2} u_j} = \overrightarrow{v_{d_2} x}$, $v_{d_2} \in D_{d_2}^+$, or $x = v_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{u_{d_2} x} = \overrightarrow{u_{d_2} v_j}$, $u_{d_2} \in D_{d_2}^+$. Hence $\mathcal{D}^+ = \{D_1^+, \dots, D_{d_2}^+\}$ is an outside-semidomatic partition of T . As the indegree of u_{d_2} is $d_2 - 1$, we have $d^+(T) = d_2$.

Now let $D_i^- = D_i^+$ for $i = 1, \dots, d_1 - 1$ and $D_{d_1}^- = \bigcup_{j=d_1}^{d_2} D_j^+$. Consider D_i^- for fixed $i \leq d_1 - 1$. Let $x \in V(T) - D_i^-$; then $x \in D_j^-$ for $j \neq i$. If $j < i$, then either $x = u_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{x u_i} = \overrightarrow{u_j u_i}$, $u_i \in D_i^-$, or $x = v_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{x v_i} = \overrightarrow{v_j v_i}$, $v_i \in D_i^-$. If $j > i$, then either $x = u_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{x v_i} = \overrightarrow{u_j v_i}$, $v_i \in D_i^-$, or $x = v_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{x u_i} = \overrightarrow{v_j u_i}$, $u_i \in D_i^-$, or $x \in W \cup Z$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{x v_i}$, $v_i \in D_i^-$. Now consider $D_{d_1}^-$. If $x \in V(T) - D_{d_1}^-$, then $x \in D_j^-$ for $j \leq d_1 - 1$. Again either $x = u_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{x u_{d_1}} = \overrightarrow{u_j u_{d_1}}$, $u_{d_1} \in D_{d_1}^-$, or $x = v_j$ and there exists the edge $\overrightarrow{x v_{d_1}} = \overrightarrow{v_j v_{d_1}}$, $v_{d_1} \in D_{d_1}^-$. Hence $\mathcal{D}^- = \{D_1^-, \dots, D_{d_1}^-\}$ is an inside-semidomatic partition of T and, as the outdegree of z is $d_1 - 1$, we have $d^-(T) = d_1$. We have proved the assertion for the case $d_1 \leq d_2$.

If $d_1 > d_2$, $d_2 < n/2$, we construct a tournament \hat{T} such that $d^-(\hat{T}) = d_2$, $d^+(\hat{T}) = d_1$. By reversing the orientation of all edges of \hat{T} we obtain the required tournament T .

If $d_1 = d_2 = n/2$, we take $W = Z = \emptyset$. Then we may put $D_i^+ = D_i^- = \{u_i, v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n/2$; these sets form a partition of $V(T)$ which is simultaneously inside-semidomatic and outside-semidomatic, hence $d^+(T) \geq n/2$, $d^-(T) \geq n/2$. From Proposition 4 we obtain the equalities.

The following theorem is an analogon of a theorem in [2] concerning the domatic number.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a directed graph. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) G contains a factor G_0 which is bipartite and has no sink.
- (ii) $d^-(G) \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose that G contains the described factor G_0 . It is a bipartite graph, hence there exists a partition $\{D_1, D_2\}$ of $V(G_0) = V(G)$ such that each edge of G_0 joins two vertices of distinct classes of this partition. As G_0 has no sink, each vertex of $D_2 = V(G) - D_1$ is an initial vertex of an edge of G_0 and the terminal edge of this edge is in D_1 ; hence D_1 is inside-semidominating in G_0 and analogously so is D_2 . Thus $\{D_1, D_2\}$ is an inside-semidomatic partition of G_0 and also of G and $d^-(G) \geq 2$.

Now suppose that $d^-(G) \geq 2$. Then there exists an inside-semidomatic partition

$\{D_1, D_2\}$ of G . By G_0 we denote the factor of G whose edge set is the set of edges of G joining vertices of D_1 with vertices of D_2 ; this is a bipartite graph. Suppose that G_0 has a sink u ; without loss of generality let $u \in D_2$. Then there exists no edge from u to a vertex of D_1 and D_1 is not inside-semidominating, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2'. *Let G be a directed graph. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (i') G contains a factor G_0 which is bipartite and contains no source.
- (ii') $d^+(G) \geq 2$.

This theorem is dual to Theorem 2.

Corollary 2. *If every cycle of a directed graph G has an odd length, then $d^-(G) = d^+(G) = 1$.*

A question may be asked, whether $d^-(G) \geq 2$ and $d^+(G) \geq 2$ imply $d(G) \geq 2$. We shall show that this is not true. Let $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$ and let the edges of G be $\overrightarrow{u_1u_2}, \overrightarrow{u_2u_3}, \overrightarrow{u_3u_4}, \overrightarrow{u_3u_5}, \overrightarrow{u_4u_5}, \overrightarrow{u_5u_1}$. The reader may verify himself that $d^-(G) = d^+(G) = 2$ and $d(G) = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] COCKAYNE, E. J.—HEDETNIEMI, S. T.: Towards a theory of domination in graphs. *Networks* 7, 1977, 247—261.
- [2] ZELINKA, B.: Domatic numbers of directed graphs. *Czech. Math. J.* (to appear).

Received May 3, 1982

*Katedra matematiky
Vysoké školy strojní a textilní
Felberova 2
460 01 Liberec 1*

ПОЛУДОМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ЧИСЛА ОРИЕНТИРОВАННЫХ ГРАФОВ

Bohdan Zelinka

Резюме

Подмножество D множества $V(G)$ вершин ориентированного графа G называется внутренне полудоминантным (или внешне доминантным), если для всякой вершины $x \in V(G) - D$ существует вершина $y \in D$ такая, что дуга \vec{xy} (или \vec{yx} , соответственно) принадлежит графу G . Максимальное число классов разбиения множества $V(G)$, все классы которого являются внутренне полудоминантными (или внешне доминантными) множествами в G , называется внутренне полудоматическим (или внешне полудоматическим) числом графа G и обозначается через $d^-(G)$ (или $d^+(G)$ соответственно). Исследуются свойства чисел $d^-(G)$ и $d^+(G)$.