

Bohdan Zelinka

Disconnected neighbourhood graphs

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 2, 109--110

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128747>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## DISCONNECTED NEIGHBOURHOOD GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA

Let  $G$  be an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges. Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$ . The subgraph of  $G$  induced by the set of all vertices adjacent to  $v$  in  $G$  is called the neighbourhood graph of  $v$  in  $G$  and denoted by  $N_G(v)$ .

At the Symposium on Graph Theory in Smolenice [1] in 1963 B. A. Trahtenbrot and A. A. Zykov suggested the problem: Which graphs  $H$  have the property that there exists a graph  $G$  such that  $N_G(v) \cong H$  for each vertex  $v$  of  $G$ .

The class of the above mentioned graphs  $H$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{N}$ . We shall study the case when a graph from  $\mathcal{N}$  is disconnected.

The direct product  $G_1 \times G_2$  of two graphs  $G_1, G_2$  with vertex sets  $V(G_1), V(G_2)$  is defined in the usual way; its vertex set is the Cartesian product  $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  and two vertices  $(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)$  are adjacent in it if and only if either  $u_1 = v_1$  and  $u_2, v_2$  are adjacent in  $G_2$ , or  $u_2 = v_2$  and  $u_1, v_1$  are adjacent in  $G_1$ . This definition can be easily extended for an arbitrary finite number of factors.

**Theorem.** *If  $H$  is a disconnected graph with the connected components  $H_1, \dots, H_k$ , where  $k$  is an arbitrary integer greater than one, and if  $H_i \in \mathcal{N}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then  $H \in \mathcal{N}$ , but not vice versa.*

**Proof.** The proof of the implication is easy. For  $i = 1, \dots, k$  let  $G_i$  be a graph with the property that  $N_{G_i}(v) \cong H_i$  for each vertex  $v$  of  $G_i$ . Let  $G \cong G_1 \times \dots \times G_k$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $N_G(v) \cong H$  for an arbitrary vertex  $v$  of  $G$ .

Now we shall show an example of a disconnected graph  $H$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$ , while none of its connected components does. Let  $m, n, p$  be three positive integers such that  $m < n < p$ . The graph  $H$  has three connected components which are the complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}, K_{m,p}, K_{n,p}$ . Now we shall describe a graph  $G$ . The vertex set  $V$  of the graph  $G$  is the set of all ordered sextuples  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ , where  $a_1 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, a_2 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, a_3 \in \{1, 2, 3\}, b_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}, b_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}, b_3 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ . If  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then each vertex  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$  is adjacent to all vertices  $(a_1 + 1, a_2, a_3, b_1, x_1, b_3), (a_1 + 2, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_1), (a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3, x_2, b_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2 + 2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_2), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 1, x_3, b_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 2, b_1, y_3, b_3)$ , where  $x_1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}, y_1 \in \{1, \dots, p\}, x_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}, y_2 \in \{1, \dots, p\}, x_3 \in \{1, \dots, m\}, y_3 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and the sums are taken modulo 3. If  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then each vertex  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$  is adjacent to all vertices  $(a_1 + 1, a_2, a_3, x_1, b_2, b_3), (a_1 + 2, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_1), (a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3, x_2, b_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2 + 2, a_3, b_1, y_2, b_3), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 1, b_1, x_3, b_3), (a_1, a_2, a_3 + 2, b_1, b_2, y_3)$ , where

$x_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $y_1 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $x_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $y_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $x_3 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $y_3 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  and the sums are again taken modulo 3. If  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then each vertex  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$  is adjacent to all vertices  $(a_1 + 1, a_2, a_3, x_1, b_2, b_3)$ ,  $(a_1 + 2, a_2, a_3, b_1, y_1, b_2)$ ,  $(a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3, b_1, x_2, b_3)$ ,  $(a_1, a_2 + 2, a_3, b_1, b_2, y_2)$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, a_3 + 1, x_3, b_2, b_3)$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, a_3 + 2, b_1, b_2, y_3)$ , where  $x_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $y_1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $x_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $y_2 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $x_3 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $y_3 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  and the sums are again taken modulo 3. For each vertex  $v$  of  $G$  we have  $N_G(v) \cong H$  and hence  $H \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Now suppose that  $K_{m,n} \in \mathcal{N}$ , i.e. that there exists a graph  $G_0$  such that  $N_{G_0}(v) \cong K_{m,n}$  for each vertex  $v$  of  $G_0$ . Let  $u_1$  be a vertex of  $G_0$ , let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ ,  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be the bipartition classes of  $N_{G_0}(u_1)$ . Now consider  $N_{G_0}(v_1)$ . This is also a graph isomorphic to  $K_{m,n}$  and contains an independent set  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . In  $K_{m,n}$  with  $m < n$  there is exactly one independent set with  $n$  vertices and this is a bipartition class of  $K_{m,n}$ . Hence  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  is the bipartition class of  $N_{G_0}(v_1)$  with  $n$  vertices. The other bipartition class of  $N_{G_0}(v_1)$  contains  $u_1$  and no vertex from  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ . Therefore there exist vertices  $u_2, \dots, u_m$  such that  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$  is a bipartition class of  $N_{G_0}(v_1)$ . Now consider  $N_{G_0}(w_1)$ . This graph contains a subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{m,n}$  with the bipartition classes  $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ ,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ . As  $N_{G_0}(w_1)$  is isomorphic to  $K_{m,n}$ , one of these sets, say  $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ , is one of its bipartition classes and there exist vertices  $v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n$  such that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is the other bipartition class of  $N_{G_0}(w_1)$ . Each of the vertices  $v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n$  is adjacent to all vertices  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  and is different from the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ ,  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . But then these vertices belong to  $N_{G_0}(u_1)$  and this is a contradiction with the assumption that the vertex set of  $N_{G_0}(u_1)$  is  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . Hence  $G_0$  does not exist and  $K_{m,n} \notin \mathcal{N}$ . Analogously  $K_{m,p} \notin \mathcal{N}$  and  $K_{n,p} \notin \mathcal{N}$ .

#### REFERENCE

- [1] Theory of Graphs and Its Applications. Proc. Symp. Smolenice 1963 (ed. by M. Fiedler), Academia, Prague 1964.

Received February 1, 1984

*Katedra tváření a plastů  
Vysoké školy strojní a textilní  
Studentská 1292  
460 01 Liberec 1*

#### НЕСВЯЗНЫЕ ГРАФЫ ОКРЕСТНОСТЕЙ

Bohdan Zelinka

Резюме

Статья занимается классом  $\mathcal{N}$  графов  $H$ , обладающих тем свойством, что существует граф  $G$ , в котором окрестность каждой вершины порождает граф, изоморфный графу  $H$ . Доказано, что если все компоненты графа  $H$  принадлежат классу  $\mathcal{N}$ , то граф  $H$  принадлежит классу  $\mathcal{N}$ , но не обратно.