

Anatolij Dvurečenskij
Signed states on a logic

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 28 (1978), No. 1, 33--40

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128715>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SIGNED STATES ON A LOGIC

ANATOLIJ DVUREČENSKIJ

In the paper the notion of signed state on a logic will be studied. The decomposition of signed states as a difference of two positive signed states on a modular logic of finite rank will be shown. Finally, the important case of signed states on a logic $L(H)$ of all closed subspaces of a separable Hilbert space H of dimension at least 3 will be solved; it deals with a generalization of the known Gleason theorem. This paper is based on a dissertation [2].

1. Signed states on a logic

Let L be a σ — lattice with the first and the last elements 0 and 1, respectively, and an orthocomplementation $\perp : a \mapsto a^\perp$, $a, a^\perp \in L$, which satisfies

- (i) $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$ for all $a \in L$;
 - (ii) if $a < b$, then $b^\perp < a^\perp$;
 - (iii) $a \vee a^\perp = 1$ for all $a \in L$.
- (1)

We further assume that if $a, b \in L$ and $a < b$, then $b = a \vee (a^\perp \wedge b)$. A σ — lattice L satisfying the above axioms will be called a logic ([5]).

Let L be a logic. We say that $a, b \in L$ are orthogonal and write $a \perp b$ if $a < b^\perp$. An observable is a map x from the Borel sets $B(R_1)$ of R_1 into a logic L , which satisfies (i) $x(R_1) = 1$; (ii) $x(E) \perp x(F)$ if $E \cap F = \emptyset$; (iii) $x\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} x(E_i)$ if $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, $\{E_i\} \subset B(R_1)$.

A signed state is a map m from L into $R_1 \cup \{+\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that

- (i) $m(O) = O$;
 - (ii) $m\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(a_i)$, $a_i \perp a_j$, $i \neq j$,
 $\{a_i\} \subset L$;
- (2)

and it may attain at most one of the values $\pm\infty$. A signed state m is positive (negative) if $m(a) \geq 0$ ($m(a) \leq 0$) for all $a \in L$. A state is a positive signed state m such that $m(1) = 1$.

Lemma 1.1. Let m be a signed state, $a < b$, $|m(b)| < \infty$. Then $|m(a)| < \infty$.

Lemma 1.2. If $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of mutually orthogonal elements of L such that $\left| m \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \right) \right| < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |m(a_i)|$ converges.

Lemma 1.3. If $a_1 < a_2 < \dots$, $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i = a$, then $m(a) = \lim_i m(a_i)$. If $a_1 > a_2 > \dots$, $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i = a$, $|m(a_i)| < \infty$ at least for one i , then $m(a) = \lim_i m(a_i)$.

The proofs of the last three lemmas are omitted; they are the same as in [3].

Let $O(L)$ be the set of all observables of L . A signed state function is a map $P: x \mapsto P_x$, $x \in O(L)$, which assigns to each observable $x \in O(L)$ a signed measure P_x on $B(R_1)$ such that for any real valued function f on R_1 and any observable x one has

$$P_{f \circ x}(E) = P_x(f^{-1}(E)), \quad E \in B(R_1), \quad (3)$$

where $f \circ x$ is an observable $f \circ x: E \mapsto x(f^{-1}(E))$. The notion of the signed state function closely connects with the concept of the signed state:

Theorem 1.4. Let L be a logic, $O(L)$ the set of all observables and m be a signed state on a logic. If we define, for any observable $x \in O(L)$ and any Borel set $E \in B(R_1)$, a function

$$P_x^m: E \mapsto m(x(E)),$$

then P_x^m is a signed state function. Conversely, if P is a signed state function, then there is a unique signed state m on L such that $P_x(E) = m(x(E))$ for all x and E .

Proof. The first part of our theorem is evident.

The second part. Let P be a signed state function. Let us put

$$m(a) = P_{q_a}(\{1\}) \quad (4)$$

for each $a \in L$, where q_a is a question, that is such a unique observable that $q_a(\{0\}) = a^\perp$, $q_a(\{1\}) = a$. Then m is a signed state on L . Indeed, $m(O) = P_{q_a}(\{1\}) = 0$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of mutually orthogonal elements of L and $a = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$. Let x be a unique observable such that $x(\{0\}) = a^\perp$, $x(\{i\}) = a_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. If $f_i = X_{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $f = X_{(1,2,\dots)}$, then $f_i \circ x$ is a question q_{a_i} , $f \circ x$ is a question q_a and, by (4) and (3), we have $m(a_i) = P_x(\{i\})$, $m(a) = P_x(\{1, 2, \dots\})$. Since P_x is a signed measure it follows that $m(a) = P_{q_a}(\{1\}) = P_{f \circ x}(\{1\}) = P(\{1, 2, \dots\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_x(\{i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(a_i)$.

The uniqueness of m follows from the equation (4).

Q.E.D.

Theorem 1.5. Let $M(L)$ be the set of all bounded signed states on L , then $M(L)$ is a real vector space with respect to the usual addition and the multiplication by real scalars. The number $\|m\| = \sup_{a \in L} |m(a)|$, $m \in M(L)$, defines the norm of m with respect to which $M(L)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Only the completeness of the norm. Let $\{m_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence of elements from $M(L)$. There is a number $m(a) = \lim_n m_n(a)$ for any $a \in L$. We shall show that a function $m: a \mapsto m(a)$, $a \in L$, is a bounded signed state. We have $m(O) = 0$ and m is finitely additive function.

Now let $a = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$, $a_i \perp a_j$, $i \neq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| m(a) - \sum_{i=1}^k m(a_i) \right| &\leq |m(a) - m_n(a)| + \left| m_n(a) - m_n \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k a_i \right) \right| + \\ &+ \left| m_n \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k a_i \right) - m \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k a_i \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, then the first and the third member is smaller than $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for some n , by the uniform convergence of $\{m_n\}$. The middle member is smaller than $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, by the σ — additivity of m_n . Hence m is a bounded signed state on L and the property $\|m_n - m\| \rightarrow 0$ follows from the uniform convergence.

Q.E.D.

2. Decomposition of signed states on a modular logic of finite rank

Let L be a logic. By a chain in L we mean a strictly increasing finite sequence of nonzero elements, that is, $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$, $a_1 \neq O$, $a_i \neq a_j$ if $i \neq j$. We shall say that L has a finite rank if there is an integer k such that every chain in L has at most k elements. A logic L is modular if for any three elements $a, b, c \in L$ for which $c < a$, one has

$$a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee c. \quad (5)$$

A nonzero element x in a logic L is called an atom if for any element $y < x$ either $y = x$ or $y = O$.

By a valuation on a logic L we mean a real valued function v defined on L with the following properties

- (i) $v(O) = 0$, $v(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in L$;

- (ii) if $a < b$, $a \neq b$, then $v(a) < v(b)$;
 (iii) $v(a \wedge b) + v(a \vee b) = v(a) + v(b)$ for all $a, b \in L$. (6)

In [5] it is shown that if L is a modular logic of finite rank, then every nonzero element of L is a lattice sum of orthogonal atoms. Moreover, there exists a unique valuation v on L such that $v(x) = 1$ for every atom $x \in L$, and a logic of finite rank is modular if and only if it possesses a valuation (Theorem 2.8 [5]).

From this proposition it follows that if $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$ are sets of orthogonal atoms such that $a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n = b_1 \vee b_2 \vee \dots \vee b_m$, then $n = m$ and if v is a valuation from the above proposition, then $v(b)$ is the maximal number of orthogonal atoms $x < b$.

Now we are in a position to say the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *Let L be a modular logic of finite rank. Then every bounded signed state m on L may be expressed as a difference of two positive signed states m_1, m_2 , that is,*

$$m = m_1 - m_2. \quad (7)$$

Proof. From our assumption it follows that there is a valuation v on L such that $v(x) = 1$ for every atom $x \in L$. Let m be an arbitrary bounded signed state. We define a real valued function w_m on atoms of L by $w_m(a) = m(a)$, a — atom. w_m is a bounded function on atoms of L . Let $K = -\inf \{w_m(a) : a \text{ — atom}\}$, then $K \geq 0$ and $w_1(\cdot) = w_m(\cdot) + K$ is a nonnegative function. The function $m_2(b) = Kv(b)$ is a positive signed state and so is also $m_1 = m + m_2$, because if $b \in L$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a set of orthogonal atoms such that $\bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i = b$, then $m_1(b) = m(b) + m_2(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(a_i) + Kv(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n (m(a_i) + K) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_1(a_i) \geq 0$.

It is easily seen that $m = m_1 - m_2$ is the searched decomposition.

Q.E.D.

In the third section of this paper the decomposition of bounded states on a logic of a separable Hilbert space will be investigated (Theorem 3.5).

3. Signed states on a logic of a Hilbert space

Let H be a separable Hilbert space over complex or real scalars with the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . The complete lattice $L(H)$ of all closed subspaces M of a Hilbert space H is a logic. This logic is one of the most important examples of logics. Modern quantum theory works with the assumption that the logic of any atomic system is isomorphic to logic $L(H)$ for some separable Hilbert space H .

Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between the closed subspace M of H and its projector P^M , we shall write M for a subspace as well as its projector.

The excellent theorem of Gleason ([5]) asserts that every state m on $L(H)$ (that is, positive signed state m such that $m(H) = 1$) is of the form $m(M) = \text{tr}(TM)$, $M \in L(H)$, where T is a Hermitean positive operator of trace class, $\text{tr}(T) = 1$.

A Hermitean operator T on H is an operator of trace class if there is an orthonormal bas $\{f_i\}$ such that $\sum_i |(Tf_i, f_i)| < \infty$, then the sum $\text{tr}(T) = \sum_i (Tf_i, f_i)$ is called a trace of T and it is independent of the used basis ([4]).

Let T be a Hermitean operator of trace class, then the function $m_T(M) = \text{tr}(TM)$ is a bounded signed state ([4]). A signed state m is called regular if there is a Hermitean operator of trace class such that $m = m_T$. Then T is unique (use all one-dimensional subspaces of H), and $m_T + m_S = m_{T+S}$, $\alpha m_T = m_{\alpha T}$ holds, where T, S are Hermitean operators of trace class and α is a real scalar.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $R(H)$ be the set of all regular signed states on $L(H)$ and $M(H)$ be the set of all bounded signed states on $L(H)$. Then $R(H)$ is a Banach subspace of $M(H)$.*

Proof. Let $\{m_{T_n}\}$ be a Cauchy sequence of regular signed states. There is a bounded signed state $m \in M(H)$ such that $\|m - m_{T_n}\| \rightarrow 0$. We shall show that m is regular. Let us denote by \hat{f} the projector operator generated by a unit vector f , that is, $\hat{f}x = (x, f)f$ for $x \in H$. Then $m(\hat{f}) = \lim_n m_{T_n}(\hat{f}) = \lim_n \text{tr}(T_n \hat{f}) = \lim_n (T_n f, f)$. Hence there is a Hermitean operator $T = w - \lim_n T_n$.

If $\{f_i\}$ is an orthonormal base, then $(Tf_i, f_i) = \lim_n (T_n f_i, f_i) = m(\hat{f}_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$

The series $\sum_i (Tf_i, f_i)$ converges absolutely because $\sum_i (Tf_i, f_i) = \sum_i m(\hat{f}_i) = m(H)$ and the series $\sum_i m(\hat{f}_i)$ converges absolutely, by Lemma 1.2. Hence T is an operator of trace class.

It remains to show that $m = m_T$. Let $M \in L(H)$ and let $\{g_j\}$ be a base in M . Then $m(M) = \sum_j m(\hat{g}_j) = \sum_j (Tg_j, g_j) = \text{tr}(TM)$.

Q.E.D.

There arises a natural question: is $R(H)$ equal to $M(H)$? For the definite answer we need the following notions and lemmas.

Let H be a Hilbert space, by $G(H)$ we denote the unit sphere in a Hilbert space. A real valued function w on $G(H)$ is called a weight function if

- (i) $w(cf) = w(f)$, $|c| = 1$, $f \in G(H)$;
- (ii) there is a constant W such that $\sum_i w(f_i) = W$ for every orthonormal base $\{f_i\}$.

The number W is called the weight of the weight function w .

Suppose T to be a Hermitean operator of trace class, then $w_T(f) = (Tf, f)$, $f \in G(H)$, is a bounded weight function with the weight $\text{tr}(T)$. A weight function w is regular if there is a Hermitean operator T of trace class such that $w = w_T$.

Let m be a bounded signed state, then a function $w_m(f) = m(\hat{f})$ is a bounded weight function, too. To prove the equality $R(H) = M(H)$ it is necessary and sufficient to show that every w_m is a regular weight function.

Lemma 3.2. *Let w be a bounded weight function on $G(H)$, $\dim H = 3$. Then w is regular.*

Proof. Denote $k = \inf_{\|f\|=1} w(f)$, then $w_1(f) = w(f) - k$ is a positive weight function. By Lemma 7.22 ([5]), w_1 is regular and hence there is a Hermitean operator T on H such that $w_1(f) = (Tf, f)$. Therefore $w(f) = w_1(f) + k = (Tf, f) + k(f, f) = ((T + kI)f, f)$, where I is the identic operator on H . We have proved to w is a regular weight function.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.3. *Let H be a separable Hilbert space of dimension at least 3. Then every bounded weight function w on $G(H)$ is regular.*

Proof. The restriction of a bounded weight function w on any subspace is a bounded weight function, too. Since every two-dimensional subspace N may be imbedded in a threedimensional subspace, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that the restriction of w to the unit sphere $G(N)$ of N is regular, and consequently there is a unique symmetric bilinear form Φ_N such that $\Phi_N(u, u) = w(u)$, $u \in G(N)$.

We shall now define a bilinear functional $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$ on H as follows: let f, g be two vectors of H and N be a two-dimensional subspace containing f and g , then $\Phi(f, g) = \Phi_N(f, g)$.

Φ is well defined if f and g are linearly independent, since then N is unique. If at most one of them is zero, then $\Phi_N(f, g) = 0$ for every subspace N . If f, g are dependent and nonzero, then they span a one-dimensional subspace. Let now N_1, N_2 be two-dimensional subspaces containing f, g and let M be a three-dimensional subspace containing N_1 and N_2 . For a symmetric bilinear form Φ_M we have $\Phi_M(a, a) = w(a)$, $a \in G(M)$. The restrictions of Φ_M to N_1 and N_2 are symmetric bilinear forms whose quadratic forms coincide with w on $G(N_1)$ and $G(N_2)$, respectively. Therefore $\Phi_{N_1}(f, g) = \Phi_M(f, g)$, $\Phi_{N_2}(f, g) = \Phi_M(f, g)$, which proves that Φ is well defined.

Φ is symmetric and homogeneous. We claim to show that $\Phi(f, g+h) = \Phi(f, g) + \Phi(f, h)$ for any three vectors f, g, h . Let N_1, N_2, N_3 be two dimensional subspaces containing $f, g; f, h$ and $f, g+h$, respectively, and let M be a three-dimensional subspace containing f, g, h . For a bilinear form Φ_M on M we have $\Phi_M(f, g) = \Phi_{N_1}(f, g)$, $\Phi_M(f, h) = \Phi_{N_2}(f, h)$, $\Phi_M(f, g+h) = \Phi_{N_3}(f, g+h)$.

We see that $\Phi(f, g + h) = \Phi_{N_3}(f, g + h) = \Phi_M(f, g + h) = \Phi_M(f, g) + \Phi_M(f, h)$
 $= \Phi_{N_1}(f, g) + \Phi_{N_2}(f, h) = \Phi(f, g) + \Phi(f, h)$.

A symmetric bilinear form Φ is bounded because $|\Phi(f, f)| = |w(f)| \leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} |w(f)| < \infty$. Therefore there is a unique Hermitean operator T on H such that $\Phi(f, g) = (Tf, g)$ ([4]). If $\{f_i\}$ is an orthonormal base, then $\sum_i (Tf_i, f_i) = \sum_i w(f_i) = W$ and the series $\sum_i (Tf_i, f_i)$ converges absolutely because of absolute convergence of $\sum_i w(f_i)$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.4. *Let H be a separable Hilbert space over complex or real scalars of dimension at least 3 and $L(H)$ be its logic of all closed subspaces of H . If T is a Hermitean operator of trace class, then $m_T: m_T(M) = \text{tr}(TM), M \in L(H)$, is a bounded signed state on $L(H)$.*

Conversely, for every bounded signed state m there is a unique Hermitean operator T of trace class such that $m(M) = \text{tr}(TM), M \in L(H)$.

Proof. The first part of our theorem is evident.

Let now m be a signed state from $M(H)$. Then $w_m(f) = m(\hat{f}), f \in G(H)$, is a bounded weight function with the weight $m(H)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3 there is a unique Hermitean operator T of trace class such that $w_m(f) = (Tf, f), f \in G(H)$.

Let now $M \in L(H)$ and let $\{g_j\}$ be an orthonormal base in M , then $m(M) = \sum_j m(\hat{g}_j) = \sum_j (Tg_j, g_j) = \text{tr}(TM)$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.4 does not hold for a two-dimensional Hilbert space as can easily be seen from the following. Let f, g be an orthonormal base in a two-dimensional Hilbert space H . We define the state m by $m(O) = 0, m(H) = 1, m(\hat{g}) = 0, m(\hat{f}) = 1$ and $m(M) = \frac{1}{2}$ for other one-dimensional subspaces of H .

If the state m was regular, then the respective weight function $w_m(h) = m(\hat{h}), \|\hat{h}\| = 1$, would be continuous, which contradicts our example.

The Gleason theorem follows from Theorem 3.4, but the proof of Lemma 3.2 is based on the important Lemma 7.22 [5] from Gleason's proof. In paper [1] there was given a proof of Gleason theorem for any separable complex Hilbert space (for a two-dimensional space, too) which is incorrect, of course.

Theorem 3.5. *Let H be a separable Hilbert space (real or complex) and $L(H)$ be a logic of all closed subspaces of H . Then every bounded signed state m on $L(H)$ may be expressed as a difference of two positive signed states m_1, m_2 .*

Proof. If H is not a two-dimensional Hilbert space, then every bounded signed state is regular, by Theorem 3.4, that is, m is of the form $m(M) = \text{tr}(TM)$, $M \in L(H)$. A Hermitean operator T of trace class may be written as $T = T^+ - T^-$, where T^+ , T^- are positive Hermitean operators of trace class ([4]). Therefore $m = m_T = m_{T^+} - m_{T^-} = m_1 - m_2$, where $m_1 = m_{T^+}$, $m_2 = m_{T^-}$ are positive signed states.

For a two-dimensional Hilbert space the proposition follows from Theorem 2.1.
Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [1] BROWN, C. C.: On the finite measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert space. PAMS, 19, 1968, 2, 470—472.
- [2] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.: Vyšetovanie vlastností zovšeobecnených stavov na logike. Kandidátska dizertačná práca. Bratislava. ÚMMT, 1976.
- [3] HALMOS, P. R.: Teorija mery. IIL Moskva 1953.
- [4] SCHATTEN, R.: Norm ideals of completely continuous operators. 2nd ed. Springer, 1970.
- [5] VARADARAJAN, V. S.: Geometry of quantum theory. Van Nostrand, New York, 1968.

Received May 17, 1976

*Ústav merania a meracej techniky SAV
Dúbravská cesta
885 27 Bratislava*

ОБОБЩЕННЫЕ СОСТОЯНИЯ НА ЛОГИКЕ

Анатолий Двуреченский

Резюме

В работе исследуется понятие обобщенного состояния на логике. Показано здесь разложение обобщенного состояния в виде разности двух позитивных обобщенных состояний на модулярной логике конечного ранга и исследуются обобщенные состояния на логике $L(H)$, $\dim H \geq 3$.