

Dao Rong Tong

Some properties of an archimedean ℓ -group

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 2, 293–302

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128525>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOME PROPERTIES OF AN ARCHIMEDEAN ℓ -GROUP

DAO-RONG TON, Nanjing

(Received March 30, 1993)

1. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We will use the standard notation for ℓ -groups, cf. [5]. Throughout the paper G is an ℓ -group, R is the real group, Q is the rational group and Z is the integer group. If G and H are ℓ -groups, $G \boxplus H$ denotes their cardinal sum. Let $\{G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a system of ℓ -groups and let $\prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$ be their product. For an element $g \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$ we denote the α component of g by g_α . An ℓ -group G is said to be a subdirect sum of ℓ -groups G_α , in symbols $G \subseteq' \prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$, if G is an ℓ -subgroup of $\prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$ such that for each $\alpha \in A$ and each $g' \in G_\alpha$ there exists $g \in G$ with the property $g_\alpha = g'$. An ℓ -group G is said to be an ideal subdirect sum of ℓ -groups G_α , in symbols $G \subseteq^* \prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$, if $G \subseteq' \prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$ and G is an ℓ -ideal of $\prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$. We denote the ℓ -subgroup of $\prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$ consisting of the elements with only finitely many non-zero components by $\sum_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$. An ℓ -group G is said to be a completely subdirect sum, if G is an ℓ -subgroup of $\prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha \subseteq G$.

A subset $\{0\} \neq D \subset G$ is said to be disjoint, if $g_1 \wedge g_2 = 0$ for any pair of distinct elements $g_1, g_2 \in D$. For any $X \subset G$ we designate $X^\perp = \{g \in G \mid |g| \wedge |x| = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}$. For $g \in G$, $[g]$ is the convex ℓ -subgroup of G generated by g , $(g) = g^{\perp\perp}$ is the polar subgroup of G generated by g . We denote the least cardinal α such that $|A| \leq \alpha$ for each bounded disjoint subset A of G by vG , where $|A|$ denotes the cardinal of A . G is said to be v -homogeneous if $vH = vG$ for any convex ℓ -subgroup $H \neq \{0\}$ of G . If G is an archimedean v -homogeneous ℓ -group and $vG = \aleph_i$, we call G an archimedean v -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type.

In [9] we proved that an ℓ -group G is complete if and only if G is ℓ -isomorphic to an ideal subdirect sum of real groups, integer groups and continuous v -homogeneous complete ℓ -groups. By using this result, we described the structure of an archimedean

ℓ -group in [10]. Suppose that G is a subdirect sum of subgroups of reals and v -homogeneous ℓ -groups, $G \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta$. Let $\Delta_1 = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid T_\delta \text{ is a subgroup of reals}\}$. If $\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq G$, then G is said to be a semicomplete subdirect sum of subgroups of reals and v -homogeneous ℓ -groups of \aleph_i type, in symbols

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.7 of [10]). *An ℓ -group G is archimedean if and only if G is ℓ -isomorphic to a semicomplete subdirect sum of subgroups of reals and archimedean v -homogeneous ℓ -groups of \aleph_i type.*

Now let G be an archimedean ℓ -group. Then we have an ℓ -isomorphism ϱ such that

$$\sum_{\delta_1 \in \Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta} T_{\delta_1} \subseteq \varrho G \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta,$$

where T_{δ_1} is a subgroup of reals for each $\delta_1 \in \Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta$ and T_δ is an archimedean v -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type for each $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_1$. For $x \in G$ put $x^1 = (\dots x_\delta^1 \dots)$ such that

$$x_\delta^1 = \begin{cases} (\varrho x)_\delta & \delta \in \Delta_1, \\ 0 & \delta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_1. \end{cases}$$

We call x^1 the real part of x . If for any $x \in G$, the real part $x^1 \in \varrho G$, G is said to be real decomposable archimedean ℓ -group. In this case, if we put $x^2 = (\dots x_\delta^2 \dots)$ as follows:

$$x_\delta^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \delta \in \Delta_1, \\ (\varrho x)_\delta & \delta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_1 \end{cases}$$

then

$$\varrho x = x^1 + x^2,$$

and $x^2 = \varrho x - x^1 \in \varrho G$. Put

$$G_1 = \{\varrho x \in \varrho G \mid x \in G, (\varrho x)_\delta = 0 \text{ for } \delta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_1\},$$

$$G_2 = \{\varrho x \in \varrho G \mid x \in G, (\varrho x)_\delta = 0 \text{ for } \delta \in \Delta_1\}.$$

Then both G_1 and G_2 are ℓ -subgroups of ϱG , moreover,

$$\varrho G = G_1 \boxplus G_2.$$

It is clear that $G_2 = R(\varrho G)$ (the radical of G) and $G_1 = R(\varrho G)^\perp$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a real decomposable archimedean ℓ -group. Then G is ℓ -isomorphic to a cardinal sum $G_1 \boxplus G_2$, where G_1 is a completely subdirect sum of subgroups of reals and G_2 is a subdirect sum of archimedean v -homogeneous ℓ -groups of \aleph_i type.*

So, if G is a real decomposable archimedean ℓ -group, then $G = R(G) \boxplus R(G)^\perp$. However, in general, $R(G)$ is not a cardinal summand of G . If G is complete or laterally complete, then $R(G)$ is a cardinal summand.

2. A COMPLETELY SUBDIRECT SUM OF SUBGROUPS OF REALS

Now we can characterize those ℓ -groups which can be represented as completely subdirect sums of subgroups of reals.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $G \neq \{0\}$ be an archimedean ℓ -group. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) G is ℓ -isomorphic to a completely subdirect sum of subgroups of reals;
- (2) G is ℓ -isomorphic to an ideal subdirect sum of real groups and integer groups;
- (3) G has a basis.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Without loss of generality, assume

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta,$$

where each T_δ is a subgroup of R for $\delta \in \Delta$. Then

$$G^\wedge \subseteq^* \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta^\wedge,$$

where $T_\delta^\wedge = R$ or Z for $\delta \in \Delta$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): It is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): If we have the formula (1.1), then for each $\delta \in \Delta$ we choose a fixed t_δ with $0 < t_\delta \in T_\delta$; the system $\{t_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ is a basis for G .

(3) \Rightarrow (2): See Theorem 3.5 in [5]. □

By Theorem 4 and Corollary IV of Chapter 3 in [5] we see that an archimedean ℓ -group G has a finite basis if and only if G is ℓ -isomorphic to a completely subdirect sum of a finite number of subgroups of reals. However, a completely subdirect sum of a finite number of subgroups of reals is a cardinal sum of a finite number of subgroups of reals. So we get

Corollary 2.2. *An archimedean ℓ -group G has a finite basis if and only if G is ℓ -isomorphic to a cardinal sum of a finite number of subgroups of reals.*

3. HYPER-ARCHIMEDEAN PROPERTY

An ℓ -group G is called hyper-archimedean if each ℓ -homomorphic image of G is archimedean.

Proposition 3.1. *An ℓ -group G is hyper-archimedean if and only if G is projectable and $[g] = (g)$ for each $0 < g \in G$.*

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that G is hyper-archimedean. For any $0 < g \in G$ we have $g^\perp \boxplus (g) \subseteq G$. But $g^\perp \boxplus (g) \supseteq g^\perp \boxplus [g] = G$ by Theorem 2.4 in [5]. So $G = g^\perp \boxplus (g)$, and G is projectable. From $G = g^\perp \boxplus [g] = g^\perp \boxplus (g)$ we get $[g] = (g)$.

Sufficiency. If G is projectable and $0 < g \in G$, then $G = g^\perp \boxplus (g)$. Since $[g] = (g)$, $G = g^\perp \boxplus [g]$. Hence G is hyper-archimedean. \square

An ℓ -group G is an a -extension of an ℓ -group H if and only if H is an ℓ -subgroup of G and the map $L \rightarrow L \cap H$ is a one-to-one map of the set of all convex ℓ -subgroups of G onto those of H . G is a -closed if it admits no proper a -extension.

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a hyper-archimedean ℓ -group with a basis. If G is a -closed, then $G/P \simeq R$ for each proper prime P .*

Proof. Let G be an a -closed hyper-archimedean ℓ -group with a basis. By the above Theorem 2.1, without loss of generality, we have

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta,$$

where each T_δ is a subgroup of reals. Let P be a proper prime. By Theorem 2.4 in [5] P is maximal and $P = \{x \in G \mid x_{\delta_0} = 0 \text{ for some } \delta_0 \in \Delta\}$. So

$$G = T_{\delta_0} \boxplus P$$

and $G/P \simeq T_{\delta_0}$. If G/P_0 fails to be isomorphic to R for some proper prime P_0 , then $G' = R \boxplus P_0 \supseteq Q \boxplus P_0$ or $G' = R \boxplus P_0 \supseteq Z \boxplus P_0$ is clearly an a -extension of G , a contradiction. Therefore $G/P = R$ for each proper prime P . \square

This corollary partly answers the question of the Corollary 2 in [2].

Next we discuss the hyper-archimedean kernel $\text{Ar}(G)$ of an archimedean ℓ -group G . $\text{Ar}(G)$ is a convex ℓ -subgroup of G which is hyper-archimedean and contains every hyper-archimedean convex ℓ -subgroup of G . An ℓ -group G is continuous if for each $0 < x \in G$ there exist $x_1, x_2 \in G$ such that $x = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$, $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a complete (laterally complete and archimedean) divisible v -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. Then $\text{Ar}(G) = 0$.*

Proof. First we can show that a projectable v -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type is continuous. In fact, $v[x] = vG = \aleph_i$ for any $0 < x \in G$. So there exist $0 < a_1 < x$ and $0 < a_2 < x$ such that $a_1 \wedge a_2 = 0$. Then $G = a_1^\perp \boxplus a_2^{\perp\perp}$ and so $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in a_1^\perp$ and $x_2 \in a_1^{\perp\perp}$. It is clear that $x \notin a_1^\perp$ and $x \notin a_1^{\perp\perp}$. Hence $x_1 \neq 0$, $x_2 \neq 0$.

Now let G be a complete (laterally complete and archimedean) divisible v -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. Then G is projectable (see [5], [4]) and continuous. Consider the Bernau representation ([3])

$$\varrho: G \rightarrow \widehat{G} \subseteq D(X_G).$$

For any $0 < x \in G$ there exists a maximal disjoint subset X in G such that $x \in X$. By Theorem 3.3 in [6] we can choose ϱ such that \widehat{x} is the characteristic function of a clopen subset S in X_G . Since G is continuous, \widehat{G} is also continuous. So $\widehat{x} = x_1^1 + x_1^2$ with $x_1^1 \wedge x_1^2 = 0$ and $x_1^1 \neq 0$, $x_1^2 \neq 0$. For $0 < x_1^2 \in \widehat{G}$ we also have $x_1^2 = x_2^1 + x_2^2$ with $x_2^1 \wedge x_2^2 = 0$ and $x_2^1 \neq 0$, $x_2^2 \neq 0$. We continue to get a sequence $\{x_n^1 \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in \widehat{G} such that

$$x_n^1 = \chi_{S(x_n^1)}, \quad x_n^1 \wedge x_m^1 = 0 \quad (n \neq m)$$

and

$$S(x_n^1) \subseteq S(x), \quad S(x_n^1) \cap S(x_m^1) = \emptyset \quad (n \neq m),$$

where $S(x_n^1)$ is the support of x_n^1 and $\chi_{S(x_n^1)}$ is the characteristic function on $S(x_n^1)$. Put

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n} x_n^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (\widehat{G})x_n.$$

Then $x_n, \bar{x} \in G$. Now $(\widehat{x} \wedge n\bar{x})(t) = \frac{n}{n+1}$ for $t \in S(x_{n+1}^1)$. On the other hand $[\widehat{x} \wedge (n+1)\bar{x}](t) = 0$. Therefore

$$\widehat{x} \wedge n\bar{x} = \widehat{x} \wedge (n+1)\bar{x}.$$

This proves that $\text{Ar}(G) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1 in [8]. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a complete (laterally complete and archimedean) ν -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. Then $\text{Ar}(G) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $\text{Ar}(G^d) = 0$ where G^d is the divisible hull of G . For any $0 < x \in G$ and any $n \in N$ we have

$$[x]^G = \left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^{G^d} \quad \text{and} \quad x_G^\perp = \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)_{G^d}^\perp,$$

where $[x]^G$ is the convex ℓ -subgroup of G generated by x and $\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^{G^d}$ is the convex ℓ -subgroup of G^d generated by $\frac{x}{n}$, x_G^\perp and $\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)_{G^d}^\perp$ are polars in G and in G^d , respectively. Hence

$$[x]^G \boxplus x_G^\perp \subseteq \left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^{G^d} \boxplus \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)_{G^d}^\perp.$$

By Corollary 2.1.1 in [8] we get

$$\text{Ar}(G) = \bigcap_{0 < x \in G} \left([x]^G \boxplus x_G^\perp\right) = \bigcap_{\substack{0 < x \in G \\ n \in N}} \left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^{G^d} \boxplus \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)_{G^d}^\perp\right) = \text{Ar}(G^d) = 0.$$

□

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a complete ℓ -group. Then $\text{Ar}(G)$ is an ideal subdirect sum of real groups and integer groups.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 in [9], without loss of generality, we have

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq^* \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta,$$

where each T_δ ($\delta \in \Delta$) is R or Z or a complete ν -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. Put $\Delta_1 = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid T_\delta = R \text{ or } Z\}$, $\Delta_2 = \Delta \setminus \Delta_1$. Assume $x \in \text{Ar}(G)$. For any $\delta_0 \in \Delta_2$ and any $a_{\delta_0} \in T_{\delta_0}$ we have $\bar{a}_{\delta_0} = (\dots 0 \dots a_{\delta_0} \dots 0 \dots) \in G$. So there exists $n \in N$ such that

$$x \wedge n\bar{a}_{\delta_0} = x \wedge (n+1)\bar{a}_{\delta_0}.$$

Hence

$$x_{\delta_0} \wedge na_{\delta_0} = x_{\delta_0} \wedge (n+1)a_{\delta_0}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 in [8] this means that $x_{\delta_0} \in \text{Ar}(T_{\delta_0})$. However, by Proposition 3.4, $\text{Ar}(T_{\delta_0}) = 0$. So $x_{\delta_0} = 0$. Therefore

$$\text{Ar}(G) \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta.$$

By Lemma 2.1. in [8] it is clear that $\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq \text{Ar}(G)$. Since $\text{Ar}(G)$ is convex in G and G is convex in $\prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta$, $\text{Ar}(G)$ is convex in $\prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta$. So we have

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq \text{Ar}(G) \subseteq^* \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta.$$

□

Corollary 3.6. *If a complete ℓ -group G is hyper-archimedean, then G is an ideal subdirect sum of real groups and integer groups.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a complete ℓ -group. Then $\text{Ar}(G)$ is dense in G if and only if G is an ideal subdirect sum of real groups and integer groups.*

Proof. Necessity. By the proof of Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq \text{Ar}(G) \subseteq G \subseteq^* \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta$$

and

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq \text{Ar}(G) \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta,$$

where $T_\delta = R$ or Z ($\delta \in \Delta_1$). Since G is complete, $\text{Ar}(G)_{\perp G}^{\perp} \subseteq \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta$ by (3.1).

Since $\text{Ar}(G)$ is dense in G , $G = \text{Ar}(G)_{\perp G}^{\perp}$. Hence

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq \text{Ar}(G) \subseteq \text{Ar}(G)_{\perp G}^{\perp} = G \subseteq^* \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta.$$

Sufficiency. Let

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq^* \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta,$$

where each $T_\delta = R$ or Z ($\delta \in \Delta_1$). Since

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T_\delta \subseteq \text{Ar}(G) \subseteq G,$$

$\text{Ar}(G)$ is dense in G . □

Corollary 3.8. *Let G be a complete ℓ -group. Then $\text{Ar}(G)$ is dense in G if and only if G has a basis.*

Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 partly answer the question and conjecture in [8].

4. PROJECTABILITY

It is well known that a complete (σ -complete) ℓ -group is projectable. M. Anderson defined some weak concepts of projectability in [1]. An ℓ -group G is called subprojectable if for each $0 < x \in G$ and each non-zero polar $P \subseteq x^\perp$ there exists a non-zero polar Q such that $Q \subseteq P$ and $x = Q \boxplus Q^\perp$. G is called densely projectable if it has a family \mathcal{F} of non-trivial cardinal summands such that if $\{0\} \neq P \in P(G)$ then there exists a $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $Q \subseteq P$, where $P(G)$ is the Boolean algebra of all polars in G .

Suppose that H is an ℓ -subgroup of an ℓ -group G . H is called a signature for G if $P \rightarrow P \cap H$ is a Boolean isomorphism from $P(G)$ onto $P(H)$. An ℓ -group G is a specker group if it is generated as a group by its singular elements. Assume $0 < x \in G$. If $x = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$ in G , we call x_1 (and x_2) a component of x . We call $0 \leq x \in G$ a specker sign if for each $0 < y \leq x$ there exists a non-zero component x_1 of x in y^\perp . We will say that G has a specker signature if it has a signature if it has a signature which happens to be a specker ℓ -subgroup.

Let G be an archimedean ℓ -group. We denote by G^e the essential closure of G (see [6]). An element $0 < x \in G$ is said to be saturated if, whenever there exist $x_1, x_2 \in G^e$ with $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$ in G^e such that $x = x_1 + x_2$, then $x_1 \in G$. An archimedean ℓ -group G is said to be saturated if each $0 < x \in G$ is saturated. For example, a divisible complete ℓ -group is saturated.

Proposition 4.1. *A subprojectable v -homogeneous ℓ -group G of \aleph_i type is continuous.*

Proof. By Theorem 6 in [7] each $0 \leq x \in G$ is a specker sign. $v[x] = vG = \aleph_i$ implies that x is not basic. It follows from Lemma 9 of [7] that G is continuous. \square

Proposition 4.2. *A saturated archimedean ℓ -group is subprojectable.*

Proof. Let G be a saturated archimedean ℓ -group. Consider the Bernau representation

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: G &\rightarrow \widehat{G} \subseteq D(X_G), \\ x &\rightarrow \hat{x} \in \widehat{G}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < y \leq x \in G$. By Theorem 3.3 in [6] the ℓ -isomorphism π can be chosen so that \hat{y} is the characteristic function of a clopen subset S of the Stone space X_G . Put $S' = S(\hat{x}) \setminus S$ where $S(\hat{x})$ is the support of \hat{x} . Then S' is also a clopen subset of X_G and

$$S(\hat{x}) = S \cup S'.$$

So we have

$$D(S(\hat{x})) = D(S) \boxplus D(S'),$$

$$\hat{x} = \hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2,$$

where $\hat{x}_1 \in D(S)$, $\hat{x}_2 \in D(S')$ and $D(S)(D(S')) = \{f: S(S') \rightarrow (R, \pm\infty) \mid f \text{ is continuous and } f \text{ is real on a dense open subset of } S(S')\}$. Since G is saturated, so is \widehat{G} . Hence $\hat{x}_1 \in \widehat{G}$. It is clear that

$$y_{\widehat{G}}^{\perp\perp} = \{\hat{g} \in \widehat{G} \mid S(\hat{g}) \subseteq S\}$$

(see [3]). So we have $\hat{x}_1 \in y_{\widehat{G}}^{\perp\perp}$. This proves that \hat{x} is a specker sing. Hence each $0 \leq x \in G$ is a specker sign. By Theorem 6 in [7], G is subprojectable. \square

Corollary 4.3. *A saturated archimedean v -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type is continuous.*

From Theorem 7 in [7] we have

Corollary 4.4. *A saturated archimedean ℓ -group has a specker signature.*

In [1] M. Anderson proved that G is subprojectable if and only if each $[x]$ is densely projectable. so from Proposition 4.2 we have

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a saturated archimedean ℓ -group. Then each $[x]$ ($x \in G$) is densely projectable.*

Proposition 4.6. *Let G be an archimedean ℓ -group with a basis. Then G is subprojectable.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta,$$

where each T_δ ($\delta \in \Delta$) is a subgroup of reals. Then for each $\delta \in \Delta$ we choose a fixed t_δ with $0 < t_\delta \in T_\delta$; the system $\{t_\delta \in T_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ is a maximal disjoint subset and each t_δ is a specker sign (each basic is a specker sign). By 4(b) in [7], G has a specker signature. It follows from Theorem 7 in [7] that G is subprojectable. \square

References

- [1] *M. Anderson*: Subprojectable and locally flat lattice-ordered groups. University of Kansas Dissertation, 1977.
- [2] *M. Anderson and P. Conrad*: Epicomplete ℓ -group. *Algebra Universalis* 12 (1981), 224–241.
- [3] *S. J. Bernau*: Unique representations of archimedean lattice groups and normal archimedean lattice rings. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 15 (1965), 599–631.
- [4] *S. J. Bernau*: Lateral and Dedekind completion of archimedean lattice groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* 12 (1976), 320–322.
- [5] *P. Conrad*: Lattice-Ordered Groups. Lecture Notes, Tulane University, 1970.
- [6] *P. Conrad*: The essential closure of an Archimedean lattice-ordered group. *Duke Math. J.* 38 (1971), 151–160.
- [7] *P. Conrad and J. Martinez*: Signature and discrete lattice-ordered groups. To appear.
- [8] *J. Martinez*: The hyper-archimedean kernel sequence of a lattice-ordered group. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 10 (1974), 337–349.
- [9] *Dao-Rong Ton*: The structure of a complete ℓ -group. *Czech. Math. J.* (1993). To appear.
- [10] *Dao-Rong Ton*: Radical classes of ℓ -groups. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Science* 2(17) (1994), 361–374.
- [11] *E. C. Weinberg*: Free lattice-ordered abelian groups II. *Math. Annalen* 159 (1965), 217–222.

Author's address: 29-305,3 Xikang Road, Nanjing, 210024, People's Republic of China.