

Kamil John

On a result of J. Johnson

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 2, 235–240

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128513>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON A RESULT OF J. JOHNSON

KAMIL JOHN, Praha

(Received March 3, 1993)

J. Johnson proved in [4] that if  $Y$  is a Banach space having the bounded approximation property then the annihilator  $K(X, Y)$  in  $L(X, Y)^*$  is the kernel of a projection  $P$  in  $L(X, Y)^*$ . Here  $X$  is an arbitrary Banach space and  $K(X, Y) = K$ ,  $L(X, Y) = L$ , denote respectively the space of all compact or bounded operators  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Moreover, the range space of the projection  $P$  is isomorphic to  $K^*$ . In [3] the same statement was shown to be true for the spaces  $X = P$  and  $Y = P^*$  where  $P$  is any separable Pisier space. Notice that here Johnson's result cannot be applied since  $P^*$  (and  $P$ ) do not even have the approximation property. The proof in [3] was based on the fact that every  $f: P \rightarrow P^*$  is factorable through a Hilbert space. In this note we observe (see Proposition 2 and Remarks 1 and 2) that Johnson's result holds for any couples of Banach spaces  $X, Y$  such that any  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is factorable through a Banach space  $Z, Z^*$  having the bounded approximation property and  $Z^*$  being separable. In fact much weaker assumptions are shown to be sufficient for J. Johnson's result (Proposition 1 and Remark 5).

Following N. Kalton [6] we denote on by  $w'$  the topology  $L(X, Y) = L$  (projectively) generated by all  $x^{**} \otimes y^*$  where  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  and  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Thus we write  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$  to denote that for any  $x^{**}$ , and  $y^*$  we have  $x^{**}(f_n^*(y^*)) \rightarrow x^{**}(f^*(y^*))$ . We will make crucial use of the following result of Kalton:

(K) If  $\{f_n\} \subset K$  is a sequence of compact operators such that  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$  and if  $f: x \rightarrow y$  is compact then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in the weak topology of  $L(X, Y)$ .

We say that the operator  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is factorable through a Banach space  $Z$  if  $f = f_1 f_2$  where  $f_2: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $f_1: Z \rightarrow Y$  are operators. All operators in the paper are bounded linear operators.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces such that for every  $f \in L(X, Y) = L$  there is a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset K(X, Y) = K$  such that  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$ . Then there exists a continuous bilinear form  $J: K^* \times L \rightarrow R$  (scalars) and a number  $c > 0$  such that*

- a) if  $f \in K$  and  $\Phi \in K^*$  then  $J(\Phi, f) = \Phi(f)$ ;  
 b)  $|J(\Phi, f)| \leq c\|\Phi\| \cdot \|f\|$  for all  $f \in L$  and  $\Phi \in K^*$ ;  
 c)  $J(\Phi, f) = \lim \Phi(f_n)$  where  $\{f_n\}$  is any sequence of compact operators  $f_n \in K$  tending  $w'$  to  $f$ .

**Proof.** First we observe that if  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$ ,  $f \in L$  and  $f_n \in K$  then  $\lim \Phi(f_n)$  exists for all  $\Phi \in K^*$ . Indeed,  $\{\Phi(f_n)\}$  is bounded by the uniform boundedness principle and thus  $\limsup_n \Phi(f_n) = \lim_k \Phi(f_{n_k})$  and  $\liminf_n \Phi(f_n) = \lim_k \Phi(f_{m_k})$  for suitable subsequences  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{m_k\}$  of natural numbers. Thus  $\limsup \Phi(f_n) - \liminf \Phi(f_n) = \lim_k \Phi(f_{n_k} - f_{m_k}) = 0$ , because  $f_{n_k} - f_{m_k} \rightarrow 0$  weakly by (K). Similarly we show that if  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$  and  $g_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$  with  $\{f_n\} \subset K$  and  $\{g_n\} \subset K$  then  $\lim \Phi(f_n) = \lim \Phi(g_n)$  for any  $\Phi \in K^*$ . Thus we may define  $J(\Phi, f)$  by c).  $J$  is evidently bilinear and if  $f \in K$  then  $J(\Phi, f) = \lim \Phi(f_n) = \Phi(f)$  because  $f_n = f \xrightarrow{w'} f$ . To show b) let us assume

- (i) there is  $c > 0$  such that for any  $f \in L$  there is  $\{f_n\} \subset K$  with  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$  and  $\|f_n\| \leq c\|f\|$ .

If (i) is satisfied and  $\Phi \in K^*$  then

$$|J(\Phi, f)| = |\lim \Phi(f_n)| \leq \|\Phi\| \sup \|f_n\| \leq c\|\Phi\| \cdot \|f\|.$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient to show (i). □

**Lemma.** Let  $X, Y$  be such that for every  $f \in L(X, Y)$  there is a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset K(X, Y)$  such that  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$ . Then the condition (i) is satisfied. In deed, the norm  $\|\cdot\|$

$$\|f\| = \inf \left\{ \sup_n \|f_n\|; f_n \in K, f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f \right\} \quad \text{for } f \in L(X, Y)$$

is an equivalent norm on  $L(X, Y)$ .

**Proof.** The uniform boundedness theorem yields that if  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$  then  $\{f_n\}$  is bounded in the norm so that  $\|f\|$  is finite. We observe that  $\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|$  on  $L$ . In fact for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and  $\|y^*\| \leq 1$  be such that

$$\|f\| - \varepsilon \leq |y^*(f(x))| = \lim |y^*(f_n(x))| \leq \sup \|f_n\|.$$

Passing to the infimum gives the claim. Evidently  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on  $L$ . Now we observe that  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is complete. To prove this it is sufficient to show that if  $f_p \in L$ ,  $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \|f_p\| < \infty$  then  $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f_p \in L$  exists in  $L$  and  $\|\sum f_p\| \leq \sum \|f_p\|$  (cf. Theorem 6.2.3

[7]). To see this let  $f_{np} \in K$  be such that  $f_{np} \xrightarrow{w'} f_p$ ,  $\sup_n \|f_{np}\| \leq \|f_p\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^p}$ . If  $\|x^{**}\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|y^*\| \leq 1$  then we have

$$|x^{**}(f_{np}^*(y^*))| \leq \|f_p\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^p} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Thus  $\sum_p x^{**}(f_{np}^*(y^*))$  converges uniformly in  $n$  and

$$(1) \quad \lim_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} x^{**}(f_{np}^*(y^*)) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lim_n x^{**}(f_{np}^*(y^*)) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} x^{**}(f_p^*(y^*)).$$

Observe now that  $\sum_p f_p \in L$  exists because  $\|f_p\| \leq \|f_p\|$  and similarly also  $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f_{np} \in K$  exists because  $K$  is  $\|\cdot\|$ -complete. Thus (1) implies that

$$\sum_p f_{np} \xrightarrow{w'} \sum_p f_p.$$

Then  $\|\sum_p f_p\| \leq \sup_n \|\sum_p f_{np}\| \leq \sup_n \sum_p \|f_{np}\| \leq \varepsilon + \sum_p \|f_p\|$ , showing that  $\|\sum_p f_p\| \leq \sum_p \|f_p\|$ . Finally, the open mapping theorem gives that  $\|\cdot\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\cdot\|$  which implies (i). □

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose that every  $f \in L(X, Y)$  is factorable through a Banach space  $Z$ ,  $f = f_1 f_2$  ( $Z$  depending on  $f$ ) such that  $Z^*$  is separable and has the approximation property. Then for every  $f \in L$  there is a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset K$  with  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$ , i.e. the assumptions of Proposition 1 are satisfied.*

**Proof.** Under the assumptions  $Z^*$  has the metric approximation property. Let  $f = f_1 f_2$  be any factorization of  $f \in L$  through the Banach space  $Z$ , let  $p_n(z^*) \rightarrow z^*$  for every  $z^* \in Z^*$ . We may suppose that  $p_n = P_n^*$  where  $P_n \in K(Z)$ ,  $\|P_n\| \leq 1$  are finite-dimensional operators [5]. Let us define  $f_n = f_1 P_n f_2 \in K$ . Then  $f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f$ . □

**Remark 1.**  $J$  gives rise to two isomorphic imbeddings:

$$J_K: K^* \rightarrow L^* \quad J_K \Phi(f) = J(\Phi, f)$$

and

$$J_L: L \rightarrow K^{**} \quad J_L f(\Phi) = J(\Phi, f), \quad J_L = J_K^*/L.$$

Evidently  $J_L f = f$  if  $f \in K$ .

Moreover,

$$\|\Phi\| \leq \|J_K \Phi\| \leq c\|\Phi\| \quad \text{for all } \Phi \in K^*$$

and

$$\|f\| \leq \|J_L f\| \leq c\|f\| \quad \text{for all } f \in L.$$

Thus  $J_K$  and  $J_L$  are  $c$  isomorphisms and  $\|J_K\| \leq c, \|J_L\| \leq c$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, given  $f \in L$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have for suitable  $\|x\| = 1, \|y^*\| = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| - \varepsilon &\leq |y^*(f(x))| = |\lim x(f_n^*(y^*))| \\ &= |J(x \otimes y^*, f)| = |J_L f(x \otimes y^*)| \\ &\leq \sup \{|J_L f(\Phi)|; \|\Phi\| \leq 1\} = \|J_L f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $\|\Phi\| = \sup \{|\Phi(f)|; f \in K; \|f\| \leq 1\}$ . But  $\Phi(f) = J(\Phi, f) = J_K \Phi(f)$ . Thus

$$\|\Phi\| \leq \sup \{|J_K \Phi(f)|; f \in L; \|f\| \leq 1\} = \|J_K \Phi\|.$$

□

**Remark 2.** If  $\text{Re} : L^* \rightarrow K^*$  is the restriction operator then  $P = J_K \text{Re}$  is a projection in  $L^*$  whose range is  $c$ -isomorphic to  $K^*$  and  $\text{Ker } P = K^\circ$ .

This is J. Johnson's type of statement and it follows immediately from Remark 1.

**Remark 3.** Let every  $f \in L(X, Y)$  be factorable as indicated in the assumption of Proposition 2. Let us put

$$p(f) = \inf \|f_1\| \cdot \|f_2\|$$

where the infimum is taken over all factorizations of  $f$  through any  $Z$  such that  $Z^*$  has the bounded approximation property and is separable. Then

- a)  $p$  is an equivalent norm on  $L(X, Y)$ ;
- b) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $f_n \in K$  such that

$$f_n \xrightarrow{w'} f \quad \text{and} \quad p(f_n) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p(f).$$

Thus

$$b_1) \quad |J(\Phi, f)| \leq p^*(\Phi)p(f) \quad \text{for } f \in L \text{ and } \Phi \in K^*.$$

Easy observations similar as in Remark 1 give that  $J_K$  and  $J_L$  are  $p$ -isometries and  $p(P) = 1$ . Thus  $K$  is an ideal in  $(L, p)$  in the terminology of [2]. The question when e.g.  $(L, p)$  is a  $u$ -ideal or an  $M$ -ideal will be treated in a subsequent paper.

*Proof.* We show e.g. a). As in the proof of Proposition 1 we have  $\|\cdot\| \leq p(\cdot)$  on  $L$ . Evidently  $p$  is subadditive. In fact, let  $f_i = B_i A_i$  be factorizations of  $f_i$  through suitable  $Z_i$  so that  $\sum_i \|A_i\| \cdot \|B_i\| \leq \varepsilon + \sum_i p(f_i)$ ,  $\|A_i\| = \|B_i\|$ . Let us put

$$Z = (Z_i)_{\ell_2} \quad \text{and} \quad A = (A_i): X \rightarrow Z,$$

$B: Z \rightarrow Y$ ,  $B(\{z_i\}) = \sum B_i(z_i)$ . Then  $\|A\|^2 \leq \sum \|A_i\|^2$  and  $\|B\|^2 = \|B^*\|^2 \leq \sum \|B_i\|^2$ . Thus

$$p\left(\sum f_i\right) \leq \|A\| \cdot \|B\| \leq \sum \|A_i\| \cdot \|B_i\| \leq \varepsilon + \sum p(f_i).$$

To see that  $(L, p)$  is complete it suffices as in the proof of the Lemma to show the following: Let  $f_i \in L$  be such that  $\sum p(f_i) < \infty$ . Then  $\sum f_i \in L$  and  $p(\sum f_i) \leq \sum p(f_i)$ . But this is exactly the above proof of the subadditivity of  $p$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.** The isomorphism  $J_L: L \rightarrow K^{**}$  together with the local reflexivity of  $K$  gives: Under the assumptions of Proposition 1 the Banach space  $L$  is  $(c+\varepsilon)$ -finitely representable in  $K$  so that the representations are the identity on  $K$ .

**Remark 5.** It is not necessary to assume in Proposition 2 that  $Z^*$  is separable. In fact, the following is sufficient for the statement of Proposition 2 (and for Remark 3): Every  $f \in L$  is factorable through a Banach space  $Z$ ,  $f = f_1 f_2$  ( $Z$  depending on  $f$ ) such that  $Z^*$  has the bounded approximation property and  $f_1^*(Y^*) \subset Z^*$  is separable.

**Remark 6.** Another modification of Proposition 2 is the following:

Suppose that every  $f \in L(X, Y)$  is factorable through a Banach space  $Z$ , ( $Z$  depending on  $f$ ) such that there is a sequence  $\{P_n\}$  in the unit ball of  $K(Z)$  such that  $P_n \rightarrow Id_Z$  in the weak operator topology and such that  $Z$  has the property  $(**)$  defined in [1, p. 678]. Then the assumptions of Proposition 1 are satisfied.

In order to have  $(**)$  it is sufficient that  $Z$  has the unique extension property in the sense of [1].

**Acknowledgement.** The author is indebted to Gerhard Racher and Dirk Werner for valuable discussions on the subject.

### References

- [1] *G. Godefroy, P. Saphar*: Duality in spaces of operators and smooth norms on Banach spaces. *Illinois Journal Math.* 32 No. 4 (1988), 672–695.
- [2] *G. Godefroy, N.J. Kalton, P.D. Saphar*: Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces. *Studia Math.* 104 (1993), 13–59.
- [3] *K. John*: On the space  $K(P, P^*)$  of compact operators on Pisier space  $P$ . *Note di Matematica* 12 (1992), 69–75.
- [4] *J. Johnson*: Remarks on Banach spaces of compact operators. *Funct. Anal.* 32 (1979), 304–311.
- [5] *W.B. Johnson, H.P. Rosenthal, M. Zippin*: On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces. *Israel J. M.* 9 (1971), 488–506.
- [6] *N.J. Kalton*: Spaces of compact operators. *Math. Ann.* 208 (1974), 267–278.
- [7] *A. Pietsch*: *Operator Ideals*. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.

*Author's address*: Mathematical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic.