

Zuzana Bukovská; Tibor Šalát

Topological results on sequences  $\{n_k x\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and their applications in the theory of trigonometric series

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 1, 115–123

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128387>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

TOPOLOGICAL RESULTS ON SEQUENCES  $\{n_k x\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  AND THEIR  
APPLICATIONS IN THE THEORY OF TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES

Z. BUKOVSKÁ, Košice and T. ŠALÁT,\* Bratislava

(Received April 17, 1991)

0. INTRODUCTION

All results of this paper are based on Theorem 1.1 which describes from the topological point of view the behaviour of fractional parts of numbers  $n_k x$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a given sequence of positive integers. In the second part of the paper we give a new proof of the categorical analogue of the well-known theorem of Cantor and Lebesgue.

Let us recall the usual notation. In what follows under  $\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$  we understand the metric space of all real numbers endowed with the Euclidean metric. If  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of real numbers then  $\{a_n\}'_n$  denotes the set of all *limit* points of the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . For  $M \subset \mathbf{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  the symbol  $M + t$  denotes the set  $\{x + t : x \in M\}$ . The symbol  $Q$  stands for the set of all rational numbers. For  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  the symbol  $[t]$  denotes the integral part of  $t$  and  $(t) = t - [t]$  the fractional part of  $t$ . Further,  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\|x\|$  the distance of the real number  $x$  from the nearest integer, i.e.  $\|x\| = \min\{(t), 1 - (t)\}$ .

1. SEQUENCES  $\{(n_k x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

Metric properties of sequences

$$(1) \quad \{(n_k x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R});$$

$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$  being a fixed sequence of natural numbers, have been investigated in several papers (see e.g. [2], [4]). In the following theorem we describe the behaviour of these sequences from the topological point of view.

---

\*) Research supported in part by the Grant no. 1/47 of Slovak Ministry of Education and by the Grant no. 363 of Slovak Academy of Sciences.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$  be a sequence of positive integers. Denote by  $W(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  the set of all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  for which  $\{(n_k x)\}'_k = [0, 1]$ . Then  $W(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  is a residual set in  $\mathbf{R}$  which can be expressed as the union of a  $G_\delta$ -set and a countable set.

**Proof.** Denote by  $D_0$  the set of all numbers of the form  $\frac{v}{n_j}$ , where  $v$  is an integer,  $0 \leq v < n_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Put

$$D = \bigcup_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} (D_0 + h)$$

( $h$  runs over all integers). Obviously  $D$  is a countable set. Put  $S = \mathbf{R} \setminus D$ . In the sequel  $S$  will be regarded as a metric subspace of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Further,  $S$  is evidently a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

For  $r \in [0, 1]$  we put

$$A(r) = \{x \in S : r \in \{(n_k x)\}'_k\}.$$

We shall show that  $A(r)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $S$ .

By the definition of  $A(r)$  we have

$$(2) \quad A(r) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=p}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in S : |(n_j x) - r| < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

For a fixed  $j$  the function  $g_j : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $g_j(x) = (n_j x)$  is continuous on  $S$  and so according to (2) the set  $A(r)$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $S$ .

It is proved in [4] (see also [2]) that the set of all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  for which  $\{(n_k x)\}'_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$  has full measure.

This implies the density of  $W(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  in  $S$ . (Note that this fact can be obtained also from Theorem 4.1 of [6] p. 32 according to which the sequence  $\{n_k x\}'_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .) Hence  $A(r)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $S$  and therefore it is a residual set in  $S$  (cf. [7], p. 49). But then also the set  $A = \bigcap_{r \in \mathcal{Q} \cap [0, 1]} A(r)$  is residual in  $S$ . It can be easily checked that  $A = S \cap W(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  and so  $A$  is a residual  $G_\delta$ -set in  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\mathbf{R}$ , the set  $A$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\mathbf{R}$ . In the equality  $\mathbf{R} = A \cup (S \setminus A) \cup D$  each of the sets  $S \setminus A$ ,  $D$  is a set of the first Baire category. Therefore  $A$  is residual in  $\mathbf{R}$ . The assertion now follows at once from the equality

$$W(n_1, n_2, \dots) = A \cup [W(n_1, n_2, \dots) \cap D].$$

□

## 2. CATEGORICAL ANALOGUE OF THE CANTOR-LEBESGUE THEOREM

Recall that a set  $E \subseteq [0, 1]$  is said to be an  $R$ -set if there exists a trigonometric series

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos 2\pi n x + b_n \sin 2\pi n x)$$

which pointwise converges on  $E$  but  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$  does not hold (see [1], p. 721–722). We now introduce the following new notion:

A set  $E \subset [0, 1]$  is called a  $Y$ -set if there exists a trigonometric series (3) such that for each  $x \in E$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n \cos 2\pi n x + b_n \sin 2\pi n x) = 0$$

but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$$

does not hold.

In [3] a set  $E \subset [0, 1]$  has been called a weak  $D$ -set with respect to a sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  of natural numbers provided  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|n_k x\| = 0$  for each  $x \in E$ . Weak  $D$ -sets have been studied in the theory of trigonometric series as “sets admitting a sequence with limit zero” (cf. [1], p. 732; [3]).

It is easy to see that every  $R$ -set and also every weak  $D$ -set are  $Y$ -sets. According to [5] the converse is not true. The following theorems of Cantor and Lebesgue belong to classical results in the theory of trigonometric series.

**Theorem A.** *If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda(E) > 0$ , and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n \cos n x + b_n \sin n x) = 0$$

for each  $x \in E$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$$

(cf. [10], p. 279).

**Theorem A'.** *If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda(E) > 0$  and a trigonometric series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n x + b_n \sin n x)$  (pointwise) converges on  $E$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$$

(cf. [1], p. 174; [10], p. 279).

Obviously Theorem A' is an easy consequence of Theorem A. We introduce it for reasons which will become evident when we shall investigate the possibility of conversion of these theorems (see Remark 2.1 in the next part of the paper).

In connection with Theorems A, A' a question arises whether their categorical analogues are valid, i.e. whether such theorems hold which can be obtained from theorems A, A' if we replace the assumption  $\lambda(E) > 0$  by the assumption that  $E$  is a set of the second Baire category. In [1], (p. 736) it is proved that such an analogue is true for Theorem A'.

Theorem A can be formulated as "every  $Y$ -set has measure zero" while Theorem A' states that every  $R$ -set has measure zero.

Let us remark that Theorems A, A' have been generalized in several directions (see e.g. [8]). From the results of [9] the categorical analogue of Theorem A can be deduced (see Theorem 2.1 below). A proof of Theorem 2.1 was published already in the year 1909 in [11]. Here we give a simple proof of this theorem based on our Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a set of the second Baire category and let*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) = 0$$

for each  $x \in A$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ .

From Theorem 2.1 we immediately get

**Theorem 2.1'.** *Let  $A$  be a set of the second Baire category and let a trigonometric series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$  converge pointwise on  $A$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ .*

Thus Theorem 2.1 asserts that every  $Y$ -set is a set of the first Baire category while Theorem 2.1' states that every  $R$ -set is a set of the first Baire category.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Write  $a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx$  in the form

$$a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx = \varrho_n \cos(nx - \alpha_n),$$

where

$$\varrho_n = \sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2}, \quad a_n = \varrho_n \cos \alpha_n, \quad b_n = \varrho_n \sin \alpha_n, \quad \alpha_n \in [0, 2\pi] \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

The assertion of Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the assertion that  $\varrho_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

We prove that  $\varrho_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). We shall proceed indirectly. Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_n = 0$  does not hold. Then there exists a number  $\eta > 0$  and a sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  of natural numbers such that

$$(4) \quad \varrho_{n_k} \geq \eta > 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We can already assume that  $\{\alpha_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges and

$$(5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_k} = \alpha \in [0, 2\pi].$$

The assumption of Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_n \cos(nx - \alpha_n) = 0$$

for  $x \in A$ . From this by virtue of (4) we get (for  $x \in A$ )

$$(6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \cos(n_k x - \alpha_{n_k}) = 0.$$

Put for brevity

$$V_k = V_k(x) = \cos(n_k x - \alpha_{n_k}) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we have

$$(7) \quad V_k = \cos n_k x \cos \alpha_{n_k} + \sin n_k x \sin \alpha_{n_k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Put  $t = \frac{x}{2\pi}$ . Then  $t \in [0, 1]$  if  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ . We have  $n_k x = 2\pi n_k t$ ,  $n_k t = [n_k t] + (n_k t)$  and so we get  $\cos n_k x = \cos 2\pi(n_k t)$ ,  $\sin n_k x = \sin 2\pi(n_k t)$ .

Further, put

$$X_k = X_k(t) = \cos 2\pi(n_k t) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then according to (7) we obtain

$$\sin \alpha_{n_k} \cdot \sqrt{1 - X_k^2} = V_k - X_k \cos \alpha_{n_k}.$$

Squaring and calculating a little we get

$$(8) \quad X_k^2 - 2X_k V_k \cos \alpha_{n_k} + (V_k^2 - \sin^2 \alpha_{n_k}) = 0.$$

This is a quadratic equation for  $X_k$ , and so

$$(9) \quad X_k = \frac{2V_k \cos \alpha_{n_k} \pm \sqrt{D_k}}{2}$$

where

$$(10) \quad D_k = 4V_k^2 \cos^2 \alpha_{n_k} - 4V_k^2 + 4 \sin^2 \alpha_{n_k} = 4(1 - V_k^2) \sin^2 \alpha_{n_k}.$$

According to (6) for all sufficiently large  $k$  (e.g. for  $k > k_0$ ) the inequality  $V_k^2 < 1$  holds. Hence for  $x \in A$  and  $k > k_0$  we have  $D_k \geq 0$  and so we can calculate  $X_k$  from (9). If  $k \rightarrow \infty$  then by virtue of (5)–(7) and (10) we obtain

$$(11) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \cos(n_k x - \alpha_{n_k}) = \pm |\sin \alpha|.$$

We have proved that if  $x \in A \cap [0, 2\pi]$  then (11) holds, i.e.

$$(11') \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \cos 2\pi(n_k t) = \pm |\sin \alpha|$$

where  $t = \frac{x}{2\pi} \in [0, 1]$ .

Since the functions  $g_k(x) = (n_k x)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are periodic (with the period 1), Theorem 1.1 implies that the set  $M$  of all  $t \in [0, 1]$  with

$$\{(n_k t)\}'_k = [0, 1]$$

is residual in  $[0, 1]$ . By virtue of continuity of the function cosine the limit points of the sequence  $\{\cos 2\pi(n_k t)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  fill up the whole interval  $[-1, 1]$  if  $t$  belongs to  $M$ . Therefore if  $x \in A \cap [0, 2\pi]$ , then  $t = \frac{x}{2\pi}$  belongs to  $[0, 1] \setminus M$  (see (11')) and  $[0, 1] \setminus M$  is a set of the first category. Further, the function  $t = \frac{x}{2\pi}$  ( $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ ) is a homeomorphism of  $[0, 2\pi]$  onto  $[0, 1]$ . Hence according to the previous considerations the set of all  $x \in A \cap [0, 2\pi]$  is a set of the first category. By periodicity of the functions cosine, sine we see that the set  $A$  is a set of the first category in  $\mathbf{R}$ . However, this contradicts the assumption of the theorem.  $\square$

Using the method of the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can give a new proof of Theorem A.

**Proof of Theorem A.** We proceed indirectly. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can derive the relations (4)–(11'). Then we apply the quoted result from [6] (Theorem 4.1, p. 32). Owing to this result the set of all  $y \in [0, 2\pi]$  for which  $\{(n_k y)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$  is a set of full measure. Hence by continuity of cosine we see that the set of all  $t \in [0, 1]$  for which (11') holds is a null set, and so the set  $[0, 2\pi] \cap E$  is also a null set. Owing to periodicity of cosine we deduce from this that  $\lambda(E) = 0$ . This contradicts the assumption of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** Theorems A and 2.1 can be conversed. This is not true for Theorems A' and 2.1'. This is shown by the following example given by H. Steinhaus (cf. [10], p. 474–477):

The trigonometric series

$$\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\cos k(x - \ln \ln k)}{\ln k} = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx),$$

$$a_k = \frac{\cos(k \ln \ln k)}{\ln k}, \quad b_k = \frac{\sin(k \ln \ln k)}{\ln k}$$

( $k = 3, 4, \dots$ ) satisfies the condition  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = 0$ , but as is proved in [10], it converges nowhere.

### 3. WEAK $D$ -SETS

We can deduce from Theorem 2.1 that every weak  $D$ -set has Lebesgue measure zero. Actually, it is known that a weak  $D$ -set is an  $H_\sigma$ -set (see [1], p. 732) and therefore it has Lebesgue measure zero. We present here a direct proof of this fact based on our Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  be a sequence of natural numbers. Then  $\lambda(E(n_1, n_2, \dots)) = 0$ , where  $E(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  is a weak  $D$ -set with respect to the sequence  $n_1, n_2, \dots$*

*Proof.* Choose a fixed  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and put

$$M(\alpha) \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha \notin \{(n_k x)\}'_k\}.$$

As we have already seen, for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\{(n_k x)\}'_k = [0, 1]$  (cf. [4] or [6], p. 32). Hence it suffices to show that

$$(12) \quad E(n_1, n_2, \dots) \subset M(\alpha).$$

Let  $x \in E(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  and suppose that  $x$  does not belong to  $M(\alpha)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  of natural numbers such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (n_{k_j} x) = \alpha.$$

Since  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there is an  $\eta > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $j$  (e.g. for  $j > j_0$ ) we have

$$(13) \quad (n_{k_j} x) \in (\eta, 1 - \eta).$$



This yields

$$(13') \quad 1 - (n_k, x) \in (\eta, 1 - \eta).$$

From (13), (13') we get (for  $j > j_0$ ).

$$\|n_k, x\| = \min \{(n_k, x), 1 - (n_k, x)\} \in (\eta, 1 - \eta)$$

and this contradicts the fact that  $x$  belongs to  $E(n_1, n_2, \dots)$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  be a sequence of natural numbers. Then the set  $E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  of all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|n_k x\| = 0$$

is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set of the first Baire category in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** By the definition of the set  $E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  we have

$$(14) \quad E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=s}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \|n_j x\| \leq \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

For a fixed  $j$  the function  $h_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_j(x) = \|n_j x\|$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Owing to this fact and to (14) it is obvious that  $E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set. Further,  $E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  is a subset of the complement of the set  $W(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  occurring in Theorem 1.1. So by Theorem 1.1 the set  $E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  is a set of the first Baire category in  $\mathbb{R}$ . □

**Corollary.** *Every weak  $D$ -set is a set of the first category.*

**Remark 3.1.** By a suitable choice of the numbers  $n_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) the set  $E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots)$  can be made dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For example, choose  $n_k = k!$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then all rational numbers belong to  $E^*(n_1, n_2, \dots)$ .

### References

- [1] *N.K. Bari*: Trigonometric series, Gos. Izd. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moskva, 1961. (In Russian.)
- [2] *B. K. Bel'nov*: Some Theorems on distribution of fractional parts, *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* 18 (1977), 512–521. (In Russian.)
- [3] *Z. Bukovská*: Thin sets in trigonometrical series and quasinormal convergence, *Math. Slov.* 40 (1990), 53–62.
- [4] *G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood*: Some problems on diophantine approximation, *Acta Math.* 37 (1913), 155–239.
- [5] *S. Kahane*: Ideaux de compacts et applications a l'analyse harmonique, Thèse de doctorat, Paris, 1990.
- [6] *L. Kuipers - H. Niederreiter*: Uniform Distribution of Sequences, John Wiley, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1974.
- [7] *C. Kuratowski*: Topologie I., PWN, Warszawa, 1958.
- [8] *Th. E. Mott*: Some generalizations of the Cantor-Lebesgue theorem, *Math. Ann.* 152 (1963), 95–119.
- [9] *W. Orlicz*: Une generalisation d'un thèoreme de Cantor-Lebesgue, *Ann. Soc. Pol. Math.* 21 (1948), 38–45.
- [10] *I.P. Natanson*: Theory of functions of real variable, Nauka, Moskva, 1974. (In Russian.)
- [11] *W.H. Young*: A note on trigonometrical series, *Messenger of Math.* 38 (1909), 44–48.

*Authors' addresses*: Z. Bukovská, Katedra matematickej analýzy PF UPJŠ, Jesenná 5, 04 154 Košice, Slovenská republika; T. Šalát, Katedra algebry a teórie čísel MFF UK, Mlynská dolina, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovenská republika.