

Shang Jun Yang; George Phillip Barker
Generalized Green's relations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 2, 211–224

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128334>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

GENERALIZED GREEN'S RELATIONS

SHANGJUN YANG, Hefei, GEORGE P. BARKER, Kansas City

(Received October 23, 1990)

1. INTRODUCTION

We wish to extend the concept of Green's relation which plays an important role in the algebraic theory of semigroups [cf. [2], [3], and [4]]. Let T be a set and W a monoid whose identity is denoted by 1 or 1_w if necessary. We say that W acts on T from the left (right) iff there is a map $\phi: W \times T \rightarrow T$ such that for all $t \in T$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ we have

$$\phi(1, t) = t,$$

$$\phi(w_1 w_2, t) = \phi(w_1, \phi(w_2, t)) \quad [\phi(w_1 w_2, t) = \phi(w_2, \phi(w_1, t))].$$

If W is a group this definition reduces to the usual concept of a group acting on a set [5, p. 70]. It is convenient to denote $\phi(w, t)$ by wt (tw) if W acts on T from the left (right) and to call the operation *left (right) multiplication of t by w* . If two monoids U, V act on the same set T from the left and right, respectively, then for $t \in T, u_i \in U, v_i \in V (i = 1, 2)$ we have

$$(1.1) \quad 1_U t = t = t 1_V,$$

$$(1.2) \quad (u_1 u_2) t = u_1 (u_2 t),$$

$$(1.3) \quad t (v_1 v_2) = (t v_1) v_2.$$

Further, if

$$(1.4) \quad u(tv) = (ut)v, \quad u \in U, \quad v \in V, \quad t \in T,$$

then we say that U and V act *associatively* on T , and we call T a $U - V$ combine. There are numerous examples of this kind of algebraic structure. For instance,

(a) Any monoid M is obviously an $M - M$ combine.

(b) Let $M_{s,t}(R)$ denote the set of all $s \times t$ matrices with entries from a commutative ring R with unity. Then $M_s(R) = M_{s,s}(R)$ is a monoid under matrix multiplication, and for any positive integers m, n the set $M_{m,n}(R)$ is a $M_m(R) - M_n(R)$ combine if the left (right) action is defined as left (right) matrix multiplication.

(c) Let $Z[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in Z\}$ be the ring of Gaussian integers. Then $Z[i]$ is a $Z[i] - Z[i]$ combine where the left multiplication is ordinary multiplication of

complex numbers but the right multiplication is defined by

$$(a + bi)(v_1 + iv_2) = v_1a + iv_1b.$$

It is easy to verify that (1.1) through (1.4) hold.

(d) A matrix $S = [s_{ij}]$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is called *substochastic* if $\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} \leq 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and stochastic is equality holds for all i . S is called *doubly substochastic* if both S and S^T are substochastic. The set of all (square) substochastic (resp. doubly substochastic) matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{R}^+)$ forms a compact Hausdorff semigroup which is denoted by \mathcal{S}_n [\mathcal{D}_n resp.] under matrix multiplication [cf. [7]]. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all substochastic matrices in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. It is easy to check that

$$SA \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{S}_m;$$

$$AS \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{S}_n.$$

Therefore \mathcal{S} is an $\mathcal{S}_m - \mathcal{S}_n$ combine. Similarly, the set of all doubly substochastic matrices in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a $\mathfrak{S}_m - \mathfrak{S}_n$ combine. If we consider the semigroup \mathfrak{S}_n of stochastic matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then the set of all stochastic matrices in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_m - \mathfrak{S}_n$ combine.

The following propositions indicate ways to construct new combines from given ones. Since the proofs are immediate, they are omitted.

Proposition 1.1. *If U and V are monoids and T_1, \dots, T_k are $U - V$ combines, then the direct product $T = T_1 \times \dots \times T_k$ is a $U - V$ combine if the multiplications are defined coordinatewise.*

Proposition 1.2. *If the monoid acts from the left on a set T_1 and the monoid V acts from the right on a set T_2 , then the direct product $T = T_1 \times T_2$ is a $U - V$ combine if the multiplications are defined by*

$$u(t_1, t_2) = (ut_1, t_2), \quad (t_1, t_2)v = (t_1, t_2v)$$

for $t_1 \in T_1, t_2 \in T_2, u \in U$, and $v \in V$.

As an example let T_1 be the set of all m -dimensional stochastic column vectors, T_2 be the set of all n -dimensional stochastic row vectors, $V = \mathfrak{S}_n$, the set of all $n \times n$ (row) stochastic matrices, and $V = \mathfrak{S}^T$ the set of all $m \times m$ column stochastic matrices. Then $T_1 \times T_2$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_m^T - \mathfrak{S}_n$ combine if the left and right multiplications are defined as

$$P(x, y) = (Px, y) \quad \text{and} \quad (x, y)Q = (x, yQ)$$

for $P \in \mathfrak{S}_m^T, Q \in \mathfrak{S}_n, x \in T_1, y \in T_2$.

If T is a $U - V$ combine the Green's relations $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D}$, and \mathcal{H} on T are defined as follows: for any two elements $a, b \in T$

- (i) $a\mathcal{R}b$ iff $a = bv_1$ and $b = av_2$ for some $v_1, v_2 \in V$;
- (ii) $a\mathcal{L}b$ iff $a = u_1b$ and $b = u_2a$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in U$;
- (iii) $a\mathcal{I}b$ iff $a = u_1bv_1$ and $b = u_2av_2$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in U, v_1, v_2 \in V$;

- (iv) $a\mathcal{H}b$ iff $a\mathcal{R}b$ and $a\mathcal{L}b$;
- (v) $a\mathcal{D}b$ iff $a\mathcal{R}c$ and $c\mathcal{L}b$ for some $c \in T$.

Again by way of example suppose that $T_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$, the set of $m \times n$ matrices over a field \mathbb{F} and that U, V are the general linear groups of the appropriate orders. Then $a\mathcal{R}b$ iff a and b are column equivalent. Similarly, $a\mathcal{L}b$ iff a and b are row equivalent, and $a\mathcal{J}b$ iff a and b are (row-column) equivalent.

In Section 2 we investigate the Green's relations on a $U - V$ combine T with special reference to the question “When does $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L}$?” In Section 3 we investigate the Green's relations on the set of $m \times n$ nonnegative matrices $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as an $M_m(\mathbb{R}^+) - M_n(\mathbb{R}^+)$ combine. In Section 4 we study the regular elements in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

2. GREEN'S RELATIONS AND TOPOLOGY ON A GENERAL COMBINE

Throughout this section we assume U and V are monoids acting associatively on a set T , in other words T is a $U - V$ combine. The equality of \mathcal{D} with \mathcal{J} for the stochastic matrices (cf. [2]) or more generally for a compact topological semigroup (cf. [3]) is known. We transfer the latter development to the case of a combine, and refer to [3] for the notions of topological semigroups.

Definition 2.1. A $U - V$ combine T is *stable* iff

- (a) $a \in T, v \in V$, and $Ua \subset Uav$ imply that $Ua = Uav$; and
- (b) $a \in T, u \in U$, and $aV \subset uaV$ imply that $aV = uaV$.

Lemma 2.2. Let T be a stable $U - V$ combine, and let $a, b \in T$. Then

- (a) $aV \subset bV \subset UaV$ implies $aV = bV$; and
- (b) $Ua \subset Ub \subset UaV$ implies $Ua = Ub$.

Proof. If $aV \subset bV \subset UaV$, then $b = uav$ for some $u \in U, v \in V$. Thus $aV \subset bV = uavV \subset uaV$. Since T is stable we have $aV = uaV$, whence $aV = bV$. Thus (a) holds. The proof of (b) is analogous.

Theorem 2.3. If T is a stable $U - V$ combine, then $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ in T .

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any $a, b \in T$, $a\mathcal{J}b$ implies $a\mathcal{D}b$. If $a\mathcal{J}b$, then $UaV = UbV$, and $a = ubv$ for some $u \in U, v \in V$. Hence $aV = ubV$ by Lemma 2.2(a). So $a\mathcal{R}(ub)$. On the other hand we have

$$Uub \subset Ub \subset UbV = UaV = UubV \subset UubV,$$

whence $Uub = Ub$ by Lemma 2.2(b). The latter equality yields $(ub)\mathcal{L}b$. Therefore, $a\mathcal{J}b$ implies that $a\mathcal{R}(ub)$ and $(ub)\mathcal{L}b$, or $a\mathcal{D}b$.

Theorem 2.4. Let T be a $U - V$ combine. If U is a compact monoid such that for any $a, b \in T$, $\{x \in U \mid bV \subset xaV\}$ is a closed subset of U , and if V is a compact monoid such that for any $a, b \in T$, $\{y \in V \mid Ub \subset Uay\}$ is a closed subset of V , then T is stable and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ in T .

Proof. Suppose $aV \subset uaV$ for some $a \in T$ and $u \in U$. By hypothesis

$$A = \{x \in U \mid uaV \subset xaV\}$$

is a closed, hence compact, subset of U . For any $x, y \in A$ we have

$$uaV \subset xaV \subset xuaV \subset xyaV,$$

which yields $xy \in A$. Thus A is a compact subsemigroup of U , and so (cf. Theorem 1.8 of [3, p. 13]) there exists an idempotent $e \in A$. So from the definition of A we obtain that $aV \subset uaV \subset eaV$, or for any $v \in V$ there exists a $v' \in V$ such that $av = eav'$. Now $eav = e^2av' = eav' = av$, whence $aV = eaV$. Thus $aV = uaV$. This proves that $aV \subset uaV$ implies $aV = uaV$. Similarly, we can show that $Ua \subset Uav$ implies $Ua = Uav$. Therefore the $U - V$ combine T is stable, and the remaining assertion follows from Theorem 2.3.

Let us return to example (d) of Section 1 of the $\mathcal{S}_m - \mathcal{S}_n$ combine

$$T = \{a \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+) \mid a \text{ is substochastic}\}.$$

We wish to show that T is stable. As noted previously \mathcal{S}_m and \mathcal{S}_n are compact monoids. For any $a, b \in T$, the set

$$X = \{x \in \mathcal{S}_m \mid b\mathcal{S}_n \subset xa\mathcal{S}_n\}$$

is closed. To see this observe that if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a sequence which converges to $x \in \mathcal{S}_m$, then for a fixed $z \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and for each k , there is a $v_k \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that

$$(*) \quad bz = x_k a v_k.$$

Since $\{v_k\}$ is a sequence in the compact set \mathcal{S}_n it has a subsequence which we again denote by $\{v_k\}$ which converges to $v \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Pass to the limit in $(*)$ to obtain

$$(**) \quad bz = x a v.$$

But $z \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is arbitrary so that $b\mathcal{S}_n \subset xa\mathcal{S}_n$. Thus X is closed. Similarly, the set $\{y \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \mathcal{S}_m b \subset \mathcal{S}_m a y\}$ is closed. Thus the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied.

Clearly $a\mathcal{D}b$ in a general combine T is equivalent with $a\mathcal{F}b$ plus some other condition. Such a condition is given in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let a and b be elements of the $U - V$ combine T . Then $a\mathcal{D}b$ iff there $u, u' \in U, v, v' \in V$ such that*

$$(i) \quad a = ubv, \quad b = u'av'$$

and

$$(ii) \quad av'v = a.$$

Proof. If $a\mathcal{D}b$, then for some $c \in T$ we have $a\mathcal{H}c$ and $c\mathcal{L}b$. Thus there exists $u, u' \in U, v, v' \in V$ such that

$$a = cv, \quad c = av', \quad c = ub, \quad b = u'c,$$

whence

$$a = ubv, \quad b = u'av', \quad \text{and} \quad av'v = a.$$

Conversely, (i) and (ii) imply

$$u'a = u'av'v = bv, \text{ and } b = u'av' = bvv'.$$

Therefore

$$av' = ubvv' = ub \text{ and } b = u'(av'),$$

while

$$a = (av')v \text{ and } (av') = a(v').$$

Consequently, $a\mathcal{R}(av')$ and $(av')\mathcal{L}b$. Thus $a\mathcal{D}b$.

Remark. Condition (i) is of course the statement that $a\mathcal{J}b$. The additional condition (ii) could be replaced in Theorem 2.5 by any one of the five equalities

$$uu'a = a, \quad u'ub = b, \quad bvv' = b, \quad ub = av', \quad \text{or } u'a = bv.$$

In fact if (i) and any one of these six equalities holds, the remaining are true.

Corollary 2.6. *The relation \mathcal{D} in T is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. We consider only transitivity. By Theorem 2.5, $a\mathcal{D}b$ and $b\mathcal{D}c$ in T imply

$$\begin{aligned} a &= u_1bv_1, \quad b = u_2av_2, \quad av_2 = u_1b; \\ b &= u_3cv_3, \quad c = u_4bv_4, \quad \text{and } bv_4v_3 = b, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} a &= u_1u_3cv_3v_1, \quad c = u_4u_2av_2v_4, \quad \text{and} \\ a(v_2v_4v_3v_1) &= u_1(bv_4v_3)v_1 = u_1bv_1 = a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a\mathcal{D}c$.

Corollary 2.7. *$a\mathcal{D}b$ in T iff*

$$(iii) \quad av' = ub, \quad av'v = a,$$

$$(iv) \quad u'a = bv, \quad bvv' = b,$$

where $u, u' \in U$ and $v, v' \in V$.

Proof. Use Theorem 2.5 and the observations that (iii) implies $a = ubv$, while (iv) implies $b = u'av'$.

Note that we can, of course, replace $av'v = a$ by $uu'a = a$ and $bvv' = b$ by $u'ub = b$.

A $U - V$ combine T has several kinds of subobjects. If a subset T_1 of T is a $U - V$ combine we call it a $U - V$ subcombine of T . If $U_1(V_1)$ is a submonoid of $U(V)$ then the $U - V$ combine T is also a $U_1 - V_1$ combine, the latter is called a *sub $U - V$ combine of the former*. When a and b in T have some Green's relation relative to a sub $U - V$ combine, they obviously have the same relation in the original $U - V$ combine. Since each monoid has a special submonoid – its maximal subgroup, which is the set of all invertible elements, each $U - V$ combine has a special sub $U - V$ combine, namely a $U^0 - V^0$ combine where $U^0(V^0)$ is the maximal subgroup of $U(V)$. Denote the Green's relation on the $U^0 - V^0$ combine by $\mathcal{R}^0, \mathcal{L}^0, \mathcal{J}^0, \mathcal{H}^0$, and \mathcal{D}^0 . We have the following summary.

Proposition 2.8.

- (a) $\mathcal{R}^0 \subset \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L}^0 \subset \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{F}^0 \subset \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{H}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{D}^0 \subset \mathcal{D}$.
- (b) $a\mathcal{R}^0b$ iff $a = bv$ for some $v \in V^0$.
- (c) $a\mathcal{L}^0b$ iff $a = ub$ for some $u \in U^0$.
- (d) $a\mathcal{F}^0b$ iff $b = uav$ for some $u \in U^0$, $v \in V^0$.
- (e) $a\mathcal{H}^0b$ iff $a = bv$ and $a = ub$ for some $u \in U^0$ and $v \in V^0$.
- (f) $a\mathcal{D}^0b$ iff $b = uav$ for some $u \in U^0$, $v \in V^0$.

Proof. (a)–(e) are immediate. For (f) note that if $b = uav$, then $a\mathcal{R}^0(av)$ and $(av)\mathcal{L}^0b$ by (b) and (c). whence $a\mathcal{D}^0b$. Conversely, $a\mathcal{D}^0b$ implies $a\mathcal{F}^0b$ by Theorem 2.5, so that $b = uav$ by (d).

Corollary 2.9. $\mathcal{D}^0 = \mathcal{F}^0$.

3. GREEN'S RELATIONS ON $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$

In the remainder of this paper we shall concentrate on a particularly important combine, namely the $\mathcal{N}_m - \mathcal{N}_n$ combine $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, where $\mathcal{N}_k = M_k(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the multiplicative monoid of $k \times k$ nonnegative matrices and the left and right actions are the usual matrix multiplications. We shall investigate the generalized Green's relations on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$

First let us note how we are employing the terms *nonsingular* and *invertible*. If $A \in \mathcal{N}_k$, then A is *nonsingular* iff $\det A \neq 0$. However, A is *invertible* (in \mathcal{N}_k) iff A^{-1} exists and is an element of \mathcal{N}_k . If A is invertible, then A is a monomial matrix (cf. [2, p. 67]), that is

$$A = P \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

where $a_j > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$) are the nonzero entries of a diagonal matrix and P is a permutation matrix.

Following [2] and [7] we shall say that a (finite) set S of vectors in $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ is *cone independent* iff no vector in S lies in the polyhedral cone generated by the remaining ones. Equivalently, S is cone independent iff no vector of S is a nonnegative linear combination of the remaining. If S consists of the columns of $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then we denote by $d(A)$ the maximum number of cone independent columns of A . Consequently, $d(A^T)$ is the maximum number of cone independent rows of A . Let A' denote an $m \times d(A)$ submatrix of A with cone independent columns; \tilde{A}' denotes a $d(A^T) \times n$ submatrix of A with cone independent rows; and A_0 denotes the $d(A^T) \times d(A)$ submatrix of A which is a submatrix of both A' and \tilde{A}' . Such an A' (\tilde{A}') is called a *greatest column (row) cone independent submatrix of A* , while A_0 is called a *greatest cone independent submatrix of A* . An important fact is that each $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is uniquely determined by A' and \tilde{A}' (cf. [8, p. 97]).

It is easily seen that $A\mathcal{R}B$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ iff the polyhedral cone $G(A)$ in \mathbb{R}^m generated by the columns of A coincides with the polyhedral cone $G(B)$ generated by the columns

of B . Equivalently, $A\mathcal{R}B$ iff $d(A) = d(B)$ and $A' = B'M$ where $A'(B')$ is a greatest cone independent submatrix of $A(B)$ and M is a $d(A) \times d(A)$ (nonnegative) monomial matrix. Therefore the next two results concerning the structure of \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{H} classes in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ follow.

Theorem 3.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $A\mathcal{R}B$ [$A\mathcal{L}B$] in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$;
- (ii) $G(A) = G(B)$ [$G(A^T) = G(B^T)$];
- (iii) *There exists an invertible matrix M in $\mathcal{N}_{d(A)}$ [$\mathcal{N}_{d(A^T)}$] such that*

$$A' = B'M \quad [\tilde{A}' = \tilde{M}B'];$$

- (iv) $A'\mathcal{R}^0B'$ [$\tilde{A}'\mathcal{L}^0\tilde{B}'$] in $M_{n,d(A)}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ [*in $M_{d(A^T),n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$]* .

Theorem 3.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A\mathcal{H}B$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$;
- (ii) $G(A) = G(B)$ and $G(A^T) = G(B^T)$;
- (iii) *There exist invertible matrices $M \in \mathcal{N}_{d(A)}$ and $N \in \mathcal{V}_{d(A^T)}$ such that*

$$B' = A'M, \quad \tilde{B}' = N\tilde{A}', \quad B_0 = A_0M = NA_0;$$

- (iv) $A'\mathcal{H}^0B'$, $\tilde{A}'\mathcal{L}^0\tilde{B}'$, and $A_0\mathcal{H}^0B_0$.

These two results are generalizations of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 of [8] on which the other results in [8] are based. Therefore all the results obtained in [8] are true for the generalized Green's relations on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. For instance we have

- (a) $d(A) = d(B)$ and $d(A^T) = d(B^T)$ if $A\mathcal{L}B$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

(b) Let V_k be the maximal subgroup of \mathcal{N}_k whose elements are all the invertible (monomial) matrices; let $W = V_{d(A)} \times V_{d(A^T)}$ be the group direct product of $V_{d(A)}$ and $V_{d(A^T)}$. The set

$$W_{A_0} = \{(M, N) \in W \mid A_0M = NA_0\}$$

is a subgroup of W . The \mathcal{H} class containing A , \mathcal{H}_A , consists of all matrices $B \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$B' = A'M, \quad \tilde{B}' = N\tilde{A}', \quad \text{and} \quad (M, N) \in W_{A_0}.$$

Finally, the mapping $f: W_{A_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A$ with $f(M, N) = B$ is bijective.

The following two theorems concerning the structure of \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{L} classes in the combine $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ are generalizations of Theorem 3.2, Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 of [1]. We can prove them by almost the same arguments as used in [1].

Theorem 3.3. *$A\mathcal{J}B$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ iff there exist nonnegative matrices X_1, Y_1, X'_1, Y'_1 of sizes $d(A^T) \times d(B^T)$, $d(B) \times d(A)$, $d(B^T) \times d(A^T)$, and $d(A) \times d(B)$ respectively such that*

$$A_0 = X_1B_0Y_1, \quad B_0 = X'_1A_0Y'_1.$$

Theorem 3.4. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A\mathcal{L}B$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$;

- (ii) $A_0 \mathcal{D} B_0$ in $M_{d(A\top), d(B\top)}(\mathbb{R}^+)$;
 (iii) There exist $X_1, X'_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{d(A\top)}, Y_1, Y'_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{d(A)}$ such that

$$A_0 = X_1 B_0 Y_1, \quad B_0 = X'_1 A_0 Y'_1,$$

and any one of the following equalities holds:

$$X_1 X'_1 A_0 = A_0, \quad A_0 Y'_1 Y_1 = A_0, \quad X'_1 X_1 B_0 = B_0,$$

$$B_0 Y_1 Y'_1 = B_0, \quad X_1 B_0 = A_0 Y'_1, \quad X'_1 A_0 = B_0 Y_1;$$

- (iv) $A_0 \mathcal{L} B_0$ in $M_{d(A\top), d(A)}(\mathbb{R}^+)$;
 (v) There exist invertible matrices $X \in \mathcal{V}_{d(A\top)}, Y \in \mathcal{N}_{d(A)}$ such that

$$B_0 = X A_0 Y.$$

Remark. If A_0 is a greatest cone independent submatrix of $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ then any greatest cone independent submatrix A_0^* of A can be expressed as

$$A_0^* = M_1 A_0 M_2$$

where $M_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{d(A\top)}$ and $M_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{d(A)}$ are monomial (cf. Theorem 3.1 of [1]). Thus $A_0^* \mathcal{L}^0 A_0$ or $A_0^* \mathcal{D}^0 A_0$ in $M_{d(A\top), d(A)}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Therefore Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 remain true if A_0, B_0 there are replaced by any other greatest cone independent submatrices A_0^*, B_0^* respectively.

Proposition 3.5. *If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\text{rank } A = n \leq m$, then*

- (i) $A \mathcal{R} B$ iff $A \mathcal{R}^0 B$;
 (ii) if A has a nonnegative left inverse, so does any B in \mathcal{R}_A .

Proof. Since

$$n \geq d(A) \geq \text{rank } A = n,$$

we have $d(A) = n$, $A' = A$, and $B' = B$. Then by Theorem 3.1, $A \mathcal{R} B$ implies $A' \mathcal{R}^0 B'$, or $A \mathcal{R}^0 B$. This proves (i).

Let $Z \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be a left inverse of A so that $ZA = I_n$. Then for any $B \in \mathcal{R}_A$ there is by (i) an invertible matrix $M \in \mathcal{N}_{d(A)}$ such that $B = AM$. Therefore $M^{-1}Z$ is a nonnegative left inverse of B , and the proof is complete.

The next two results follow immediately.

Proposition 3.6. *If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\text{rank } A = m \leq n$, then*

- (i) $A \mathcal{L} B$ iff $A \mathcal{L}^0 B$;
 (ii) If A has a nonnegative right inverse, so does any B in \mathcal{L}_A .

Proposition 3.7. *If $A \in \mathcal{N}_n$ is nonsingular, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \mathcal{L} B$;
 (ii) $A \mathcal{L}^0 B$;
 (iii) There exist invertible matrices X, Y in \mathcal{N}_n such that $B = XAY$.

Note that Proposition 3.7 contains the known result given in Corollary (3.4.7) of [2, p. 73].

4. REGULAR ELEMENTS IN $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$

Recall that an element a of a semigroup T is regular iff $axa = a$ is solvable for some $x \in T$. Regularity is an important concept in the theory of semigroups, especially in the study of Green's relations. Regularity in \mathcal{N}_n has been studied in [1] and [2]. We restate the main results as:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{N}_n$ be of rank r . The following are equivalent:*

- (a) A is regular in \mathcal{N}_n .
- (b) A has a semi-inverse in \mathcal{N}_n of the form $D_1 A^\top D_2$, where $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{N}_n$ are diagonal.
- (c) A has a semi-inverse in \mathcal{N}_n which is r – monomial, that is, the largest nonzero submatrix of the semi-inverse is a monomial matrix of order r .
- (d) A has a monomial submatrix of order r .
- (e) $A \mathcal{L} E_r$, where E_r is the canonical idempotent of rank r given by

$$E_r = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = I_r \oplus 0.$$

- (f) $A \mathcal{J} E_r$.
- (g) $d(A) = d(A^\top) = r$ and A_0 is regular in \mathcal{N}_r , where A_0 is a greatest cone independent submatrix of A .

To formulate regularity in a general $U - V$ combine we need to add to the structure, specifically, we require T to have a conjugate combine, that is, a $V - U$ combine T' which satisfies the following condition: there exist surjective maps $\lambda: T \times T' \rightarrow U$ and $\mu: T' \times T \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(t_1 t'_1) t_2 = t_1 (t'_1 t_2), \quad (t'_1 t_1) t'_2 = t'_1 (t_1 t'_2)$$

for any $t_1, t_2 \in T, t'_1, t'_2 \in T'$, where $t_1 t'_1$ and $t'_1 t_1$ denote $\lambda(t_1, t'_1)$ and $\mu(t'_1, t_1)$ respectively. The $V - U$ combine T' is called a *conjugate* of the $U - V$ combine T . As examples we may take $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as a conjugate of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and each semigroup which is considered as a combine may be considered as a conjugate of itself.

We now define regular elements in a combine T which has a conjugate T' . This definition reduces to the original one when T is a self conjugate semigroup.

Definition 4.2. The element $a \in T$ is *regular* iff $axa = a$ is solvable for some $x \in T'$. Further, if $axa = a$ and $xax = x$ for some $x \in T'$ and $a \in T$, then a and x are said to be *semi-inverses* of each other.

It is easily seen that each regular element in a general combine has a semi-inverse. It can be shown that in a general combine if one element of a \mathcal{D} class is regular, then all the elements in the \mathcal{D} class are regular (cf. exercises (3.6.1) and (3.6.3) of [2, p. 83]). On the other hand elements in a general combine T which has a conjugate T' may have one sided invertibility. If $ax = 1_U$ [$xa = 1_V$] is solvable for some $a \in T$ and $x \in T'$, then $x \in T'$ is said to be a *right* [*left*] *inverse* of $a \in T$. An element in T

is half invertible if it has a right or left inverse. For example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2,3}(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

is half invertible because it has a right inverse

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Proposition 4.3. *If $U = V$ and an element a in a $U - V$ combine T has a right inverse x and a left inverse y , then $x = y$; that is, the half inverse is unique.*

Suppose a $U - U$ combine T is self conjugate. An element $a \in T$ is said to be invertible iff there exists an $x \in T$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad ax = xa = 1_U.$$

By Proposition 4.3 the element satisfying (4.1) is unique. We call this unique x the inverse of a . When a semigroup T is considered as a $T - T$ combine, the concept of invertibility conforms to the common one.

The next result is immediate.

Proposition 4.4. *If an element $a \in T$ has a left [right] inverse, and if $b \mathcal{R}^0 a$ [$b \mathcal{L}^0 a$] in T , then b has a left [right] inverse.*

We return to consideration of the $\mathcal{A}_m - \mathcal{A}_n$ combine $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ whose conjugate we take to be $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. In the remainder of this paper we assume, without loss of generality, that $m \geq n$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then*

- (i) *A is regular in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ iff $[A \ 0]$ is regular in \mathcal{A}_m , where 0 denotes the $m \times (m - n)$ zero matrix.*
- (ii) *A has a semi-inverse in $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ iff $[A \ 0]$ has a semiinverse in \mathcal{A}_m . Further A has a semi-inverse which is $r -$ monomial, where $r = \text{rank } A$, iff $[A \ 0]$ has a semi-inverse which is $r -$ monomial.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 it suffices to prove (ii). If $X_1 \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a semi-inverse of A , that is, $AX_1A = A$ and $X_1AX_1 = X_1$, then the two $m \times m$ nonnegative matrices

$$[A \ 0] \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfy

$$(4.2) \quad [A \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [A \ 0] = [A \ 0],$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [A \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore $[A \ 0]$ has a semi-inverse $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathcal{N}_m . It is clear that if X_1 is r -monomial, then so is

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand if $[A \ 0]$ has a semi-inverse

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

in \mathcal{V}_m , where X_1 is $n \times m$ and X_2 is $(m - n) \times n$, then

$$(4.4) \quad [A \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} [A \ 0] = [A \ 0],$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} [A \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since (4.4) and (4.5) obviously imply (4.2) and (4.3), $X_1 \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is therefore a semi-inverse of $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. If

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is r -monomial, then X_1 must be r -monomial, otherwise $\text{rank } X_1 < r = \text{rank } A$, which contradicts $A = AX_1A$.

Lemma 4.6. $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has a semi-inverse in $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of the form $\text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n) A^T \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_m)$ iff $[A \ 0] \in \mathcal{N}_m$ has a semi-inverse of the form $\text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_m) [A \ 0]^T \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, where all the diagonal matrices are non-negative.

Proof. If $X = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n) A^T \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_m)$ satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad AXA = A \quad \text{and} \quad XAX = X,$$

then we have

$$(4.7) \quad [A \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [A \ 0] = [A \ 0] \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [A \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n, 0, \dots, 0) [A \ 0]^T \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_m)$$

is a semi-inverse of $[A \ 0]$ in \mathcal{N}_m . Conversely, if $[A \ 0]$ has a semi-inverse

$$\text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_m) [A \ 0]^T \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_m) = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in \mathcal{N}_m where X denotes $\text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n) A \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then (4.7).

holds. Since (4.6) is implied by (4.7), the matrix X is a semi-inverse of A which satisfies the desired conditions.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $r = \text{rank } A$, and $I_r \in \mathcal{N}_r$ be the identity matrix. Then*

- (i) $A \mathcal{D} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ iff $[A \ 0] \mathcal{D} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathcal{N}_m ;
- (ii) $A \mathcal{J} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ iff $[A \ 0] \mathcal{J} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathcal{N}_m .

Proof. (i) By Theorem 3.4(ii), $A \mathcal{D}(I_r \oplus 0)$ implies that $d(A) = r = d(A^T)$ and $A_0 \mathcal{D} I_r$ in \mathcal{N}_r , where A_0, I_r are greatest cone independent submatrices of A and $I_r \oplus 0$ respectively. But A_0, I_r are also greatest cone independent submatrices of $[A \ 0]$ and $I_r \oplus 0$ in \mathcal{N}_m , whence $(A \oplus 0) \mathcal{J}(I_r \oplus 0)$ are in \mathcal{N}_m by Theorem 3.4. This proves the „only if” statement. The „if” statement is proved similarly.

(ii) By Theorem 3.3, $A \mathcal{J}(I_r \oplus 0)$ is equivalent to

$$(4.8) \quad A_0 = X_1 I_r Y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad I_r = X'_1 A_0 Y'_1,$$

where X_1, Y_1, X'_1, Y'_1 are nonnegative of respective sizes $d(A^T) \times r$, $r \times d(A)$, $r \times d(A^T)$, and $d(A) \times r$. It is clear from Theorem 3.3 that (4.8) is equivalent to $(A \oplus 0) \mathcal{J}(I_r \oplus 0)$ in \mathcal{N}_m .

Lemma 4.8. *If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has a monomial of order $r = \text{rank } A$, then this submatrix is a greatest cone independent submatrix of A .*

Proof. We have

$$PAQ = \begin{bmatrix} M & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where P and Q are permutation matrices, $M \in \mathcal{N}_r$ is monomial with $M^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_r$. Let $B_2 = MC_2$, $B_3 = C_3M$; then $C_2 = M^{-1}B_2$ and $C_3 = B_3M^{-1}$ are nonnegative. Since $r = \text{rank } A = \text{rank}(PAQ)$ we have

$$[B_3, B_4] = X[M, B_2],$$

where X is some real but not necessarily nonnegative matrix. Now $B_3 = XM$ and $B_4 = C_3M$ yield $X = C_3MM^{-1} = C_3$, whence

$$PAQ = \begin{bmatrix} M & MC_2 \\ C_3M & C_3MC_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This shows that M is a greatest cone independent submatrix of A .

Finally Theorem 4.1 and the lemmas of this section imply the following generalization of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be of rank r and let A_0 be a greatest cone independent submatrix of A . The following are equivalent.*

- (a) A is regular in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

- (b) $[A \ 0]$ is regular in \mathcal{N}_m .
- (c) A has a semi-inverse in $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of the form $D_1 A^\top D_2$, where $D_1 \in \mathcal{N}_n$ and $D_2 \in \mathcal{N}_m$ are diagonal.
- (d) A has a semi-inverse in $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ which is r -monomial.
- (e) A has a monomial submatrix of order r .
- (f) $A \mathcal{D} E_r$, where

$$E_r = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+) \quad (E_0 = 0).$$

- (g) $A \mathcal{J} E_r$.
- (h) $d(A) = d(A^\top) = r$ and A_0 is regular in \mathcal{N}_r .
- (i) $A_0 \mathcal{D}^0 I_r$ in \mathcal{N}_r .
- (j) $A_0 \mathcal{J}^0 I_r$ in \mathcal{N}_r .

Remark. Using the same argument as stated in the remark after Theorem 3.4, we claim that if $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of rank r is regular, then any greatest cone independent submatrix A_0 is regular in \mathcal{N}_r , is monomial, and satisfies $A_0 \mathcal{D}^0 I_r$ and $A_0 \mathcal{J}^0 I_r$ in \mathcal{N}_r .

Corollary 4.10. *If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is regular, then the \mathcal{D} class containing A and the \mathcal{J} class containing A are the same; that is $\mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{J}_A$. Further, all the elements of $\mathcal{D}_A = \mathcal{J}_A$ are regular.*

We call a $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J})$ class in a combine a regular $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J})$ class iff all its elements are regular.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $b = \min\{m, n\}$. The combine $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has exactly $b + 1$ regular \mathcal{J} classes: \mathcal{J}_{E_r} ($r = 0, 1, \dots, b$) and hence $b + 1$ regular \mathcal{D} classes.*

The next theorem shows that half invertibility and regularity for a matrix in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of full rank are actually the same.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be of rank $\min\{m, n\}$. Then A is regular iff A has a nonnegative left inverse when $m > n$, or a nonnegative right inverse when $m < n$, or a nonnegative inverse when $m = n$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this when $m > n$. If A is regular, then A has a monomial submatrix M of order $n = \text{rank } A$ by Theorem 4.9(e). Then there is an $n \times n$ permutation matrix P such that

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} M \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

whence $X = [M^{-1} \ 0] P^{-1} \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is obviously a left inverse of A .

Conversely, if A has a left inverse $X \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ so that $XA = I_n$, then $AXA = A$, and A is regular.

References

- [1] *G. P. Barker and Yang Shangjun: Structure of \mathcal{F} -classes in the semigroup of nonnegative matrices (submitted).*
- [2] *A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons: Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. Academic Press, Inc. New York. 1979.*
- [3] *J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrandt and R. J. Koch: The Theory of Topological Semigroups. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York. 1983.*
- [4] *A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston: The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, I. Math. Surveys No. 7. American Math. Soc. Providence, RI. 1961.*
- [5] *D. J. Hartfiel, C. J. Maxson and R. J. Plemmons: A Note on Green's relations on the semigroup U_n . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60, 11–15, (1976).*
- [6] *N. Jacobson: Basic Algebra, I. W. H. Freeman and Co. San Francisco, CA. 1974.*
- [7] *C. E. Robinson, Jr. Green's relations for substochastic matrices, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 80, 39–53 (1986).*
- [8] *Yang Shangjun: Structure of \mathcal{H} -classes in the semigroup of nonnegative matrices, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 60, 91–111 (1984).*

Author's addresses: S. Yang, Department of Mathematics, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui, China; G. P. Barker, Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City, MO 64110, U.S.A.