

Ján Jakubík

Sequential convergences in  $\ell$ -groups without Urysohn's axiom

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 1, 101–116

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128306>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCES IN  $\ell$ -GROUPS  
WITHOUT URYSOHN'S AXIOM

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice\*)

(Received February 25, 1991)

The system  $\text{Conv } G$  of all sequential convergences on an  $\ell$ -group  $G$  satisfying Urysohn's axiom was investigated in the papers [4–9], [11], [12].

All  $\ell$ -groups which are considered in the present paper are assumed to be abelian. Let us denote by  $\text{conv } G$  the system of all sequential convergences on  $G$  which satisfy the usual conditions (as in the above mentioned papers) except Urysohn's axiom. (For a detailed definition cf. Section 1 below.)

One of the reasons for studying  $\text{conv } G$  is the fact that the  $\sigma$ -convergence on  $G$  belongs to  $\text{conv } G$ , but it need not belong in general to the system  $\text{Conv } G$ . For example, the  $\sigma$ -convergence on the vector lattice  $S$  does not satisfy Urysohn's axiom (cf. e.g., [13], Chap. III, §9).

Both the systems  $\text{Conv } G$  and  $\text{conv } G$  are partially ordered by inclusion.

For each  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  there exists a uniquely determined element  $\alpha^*$  of  $\text{Conv } G$  such that  $\alpha \leq \alpha^*$  and whenever  $\beta \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then  $\alpha^* \leq \beta$ . Hence the intersection of the interval  $[\alpha, \alpha^*]$  of  $\text{conv } G$  with the system  $\text{Conv } G$  is a one-element set.

Sample results:

For each cardinal  $m$  there exist an  $\ell$ -group  $H$  and  $\alpha \in \text{conv } H$  such that  $\text{card}[\alpha, \alpha^*] > m$ .

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\text{conv } G = \text{Conv } G$ ;    (ii)  $\text{card } \text{Conv } G = 1$ .

Let  $\text{conv } G \neq \text{Conv } G$ . Then the set  $\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G$  is infinite. Moreover, if the breadth of  $G$  is infinite, then

$$\text{card}(\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G) \geq 2^{\aleph_0}.$$

---

\*) Supported by SAV grant 362/91.

A constructive description of atoms of  $\text{Conv } G$  was given in [7]. It will be proved below that there are no atoms in  $\text{conv } G$ .

The system  $\text{conv } G$  is a lower semilattice, but it need not be a lattice. If  $\alpha_o$  is the  $\alpha$ -convergence on  $G$  and  $\beta \in \text{conv } G$ , then the join  $\alpha_o \vee \beta$  does exist in  $\text{conv } G$ . If  $G$  is  $(\aleph_o, 2)$ -distributive, then  $\text{conv } G$  is a complete lattice.

The system  $\text{Conv } G$  is in a certain sense a closed subset of  $\text{conv } G$  (cf. 2.9). Each interval of  $\text{conv } G$  is a Brouwerian lattice. For the corresponding dual infinite distributive law the following negative result will be proved. Let the breadth of  $G$  be infinite and suppose that  $G$  is archimedean, orthogonally complete and divisible; then there are  $\alpha_n$  ( $n \in N$ ) and  $\beta$  in  $\text{Conv } G$  such that both the elements  $\beta \vee (\bigwedge_{n \in N} \alpha_n)$  and  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} (\beta \vee \alpha_n)$  do exist in  $\text{conv } G$  (and in  $\text{Conv } G$ ), but these elements fail to be equal.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group. Next, let  $g \in G$  and  $(g_n) \in G^N$ . If  $g_n = g$  for each  $n \in N$ , then we write  $(g_n) = \text{const } g$ . For  $(h_n) \in G^N$  we set  $(h_n) \sim (g_n)$  if there is  $m \in N$  such that  $h_n = g_n$  for each  $n \in N$  with  $n \geq m$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a subset of the semigroup  $(G^N)^+$ . Consider the following conditions for the set  $\alpha$ :

- (I) If  $(g_n) \in \alpha$ , then each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  belongs to  $\alpha$ .
- (II) Let  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$ . If each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  has a subsequence belonging to  $\alpha$ , then  $(g_n)$  belongs to  $\alpha$ .
- (II') Let  $(g_n) \in \alpha$  and  $(h_n) \in (G^N)^+$ . If  $(h_n) \sim (g_n)$ , then  $(h_n) \in \alpha$ .
- (III) Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $\text{const } g$  belongs to  $\alpha$  if and only if  $g = 0$ .

The system of all convex semigroups  $\alpha$  of  $(G^N)^+$  which satisfy the conditions (I), (II) and (III) (or the conditions (I), (II') and (III)) will be denoted by  $\text{Conv } G$  (or  $\text{conv } G$ , respectively). (Cf. e.g., [10], Section 1.) It is obvious that  $\text{Conv } G \subseteq \text{conv } G$ .

For  $(g_n) \in G^N$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  we put  $g_n \rightarrow_\alpha g$  if and only if  $(|g_n - g|) \in \alpha$ .

Let  $\alpha(o)$  be the set of all sequences  $(g_n)$  in  $G^+$  having the property that there is  $(h_n) \in (G^N)^+$  such that (i)  $h_{n+1} \geq h_n$  is valid for each  $n \in N$ ; (ii)  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} h_n = 0$ ; (iii) there is  $m \in N$  such that  $h_n \geq g_n$  for each  $n \in N$  with  $n \geq m$ . (Then we clearly have  $\alpha(o) \in \text{conv } G$ .) The set  $\alpha(o)$  will be said to be the  $\alpha$ -convergence in  $G$ .

As we have already remarked above,  $\alpha(o)$  need not belong to  $\text{Conv } G$ .

Both  $\text{Conv } G$  and  $\text{conv } G$  are partially ordered by inclusion.

For  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  in  $\text{conv } G$  with  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  we denote by  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$  the corresponding interval of  $\text{conv } G$ . Let  $\alpha(d)$  be the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  such that the set  $\{n \in N : g_n \neq 0\}$  is finite. Then  $\alpha(d)$  is the least element of both  $\text{Conv } G$  and  $\text{conv } G$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ . We denote by  $\alpha^*$  the set of all elements  $(g_n)$  of  $(G^N)^+$  such that each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  has a subsequence belonging to  $\alpha$ . Clearly  $\alpha \subseteq \alpha^*$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ . Then  $\alpha^* \in \text{Conv } G$ . If  $\beta \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $\beta \geq \alpha$ , then  $\beta \geq \alpha^*$ .*

**Proof.** The first assertion is a consequence of [5], Theorem 2; the latter is obvious.  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.** In [12] the author studied several types of kernels in a convergence  $\ell$ -group, where "convergence  $\ell$ -group" denoted an  $\ell$ -group with a fixed convergence belonging to  $\text{Conv } G$ . Nevertheless, the condition (II) was not applied and thus the results and their proofs are valid also in the case when the convergence under consideration belongs to  $\text{conv } G$ . [In the original version (which concerns convergences belonging to  $\text{Conv } G$ ), Lemma 4.1 is to be cancelled; namely in the proof of this lemma the notion of  $\sigma$ -convergence was used. Lemma 4.1 was not applied in the proofs of further results of [12].]

## 2. THE PARTIALLY ORDERED SYSTEM $\text{conv } G$

Again, let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group. If  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a nonempty system of elements of  $\text{conv } G$ , then the set  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \alpha_i$  is nonempty and satisfies the conditions (I), (II') and (III). Hence we have

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be an upper-bounded subset of  $\text{conv } G$ . Then  $X$  is a complete lattice. If  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  is as above, then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \alpha_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \alpha_i$  is valid in  $\text{conv } G$ .*

We recall the following notation (cf. [5], Section 2).

Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ . We denote  $\delta A$  — the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  such that  $(g_n)$  is a subsequence of some sequence belonging to  $A$ ;

$\langle A \rangle$  — the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  having the property that there exist  $k \in N$  and  $(g_n^1), (g_n^2), \dots, (g_n^k) \in A$  such that  $g_n \leq g_n^1 + g_n^2 + \dots + g_n^k$  holds for each  $n \in N$ ;

$[A]$  — the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  having the property that there exists  $(h_n) \in A$  such that  $g_n \leq h_n$  is valid for each  $n \in N$ .

Now, let  $A^\circ$  be the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  that there exists  $(h_n) \in A$  with  $(g_n) \sim (h_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ . Put  $B = [(\delta A)]$ ,  $B_1 = B^\circ$ . Then*

(i)  $B = \delta B = \langle B \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $B_1 = B_1^\circ = \delta B_1 = \langle B_1 \rangle$ .

**Proof.** (i) is a consequence of 1.15 in [6]. It is obvious that  $\delta(A^\circ) = (\delta A)^\circ$ ,  $\langle A^\circ \rangle = \langle A \rangle^\circ$  and  $[A^\circ] = [A]^\circ$ . Hence (i) implies that (ii) holds.  $\square$

From the definition of  $\text{conv } G$  and from 2.2 we immediately obtain:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ . Put  $B = [(\delta A)]^\circ$ . If there exists  $0 \neq g \in G$  such that  $\text{const } g \in B$ , then there is no  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  with  $A \subseteq \alpha$ . If there is no element  $g \in G$  such that  $g \neq 0$  and  $\text{const } g \in B$ , then  $B \in \text{conv } G$ ; moreover, whenever  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  and  $A \subseteq \alpha$ , then  $B \subseteq \alpha$ .*

Next, 1.1 yields:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $A \subseteq \alpha$ .*
- (ii) *There exists  $\beta \in \text{conv } G$  with  $A \subseteq \beta$ .*

**Proposition 2.5.** *There exists an  $\ell$ -group  $G$  such that the partially ordered set  $\text{conv } G$  fails to be a lattice.*

**Proof.** In [6], Example 7.6, it was proved that there exists an  $\ell$ -group  $G$  having the property that there are  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  in  $\text{Conv } G$  such that whenever  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ , then  $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2$  fails to be a subset of  $\alpha$ . Now from 2.4 we obtain that whenever  $\beta \in \text{conv } G$ , then  $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2$  fails to be a subset of  $\beta$ . Therefore the join  $\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2$  does not exist in  $\text{conv } G$ .  $\square$

By applying 2.1, 2.4 and proceeding analogous by as in [5], Theorem 2.6 we obtain:

**Proposition 2.6.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\text{conv } G$  is a lattice.*
- (ii)  *$\text{conv } G$  is a complete lattice.*
- (iii)  *$\text{conv } G$  has a greatest element.*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a nonempty subset of  $\text{conv } G$ . Put  $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} \alpha_i$  and  $B = [(\delta A)]$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$B \in \text{conv } G$ .*
- (ii)  *$B = \bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ .*

**Proof.** The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is obvious. Clearly  $A^\circ = A$ . Thus, 2.2 and 2.3 yield that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is valid.  $\square$

From 2.1, 2.4, 2.7 and [5], 2.1, 2.2 and 2.5 we obtain:

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a nonempty subset of  $\text{Conv } G$ .*

(a) *The meet of the system  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\text{Conv } G$  coincides with the meet of this system in  $\text{conv } G$ .*

(b) *The join of the system  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\text{Conv } G$  exists if and only if the join of this system in  $\text{conv } G$  exists, and in this case these joins coincide.*

If  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  and  $H$  is an  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then we put

$$\alpha[H] = \alpha \cap (H^N)^+.$$

It is obvious that  $\alpha[H] \in \text{conv } H$ .

**Example 2.9.** Consider the vector lattice  $S$  (cf. [13], p. 79–80). Let  $m$  be a cardinal and let  $I$  be a set with  $\text{card } I > m$ . Next, let  $G_i = S$  for each  $i \in I$ . We denote by  $G$  the direct sum  $\sum_{i \in I} G_i$ .

Let  $i \in I$ . For  $g \in G$  let  $g_i$  be the component of  $g$  in  $G_i$ . We denote by  $\alpha_i$  the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  having the property that there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $(g_{ni})_{n \geq m}$  belongs to the set  $\alpha(o)[G_i]$ , and for each  $j \in I$  with  $j \neq i$ , the sequence  $(g_{nj})_{n \geq m}$  belongs to the set  $\alpha(d)[G_j]$ . From 2.9 we infer  $\alpha_i \in \text{conv } G$  and that, whenever  $i(1)$  and  $i(2)$  are distinct elements of  $I$ , then  $\alpha_{i(1)} \neq \alpha_{i(2)}$ . Next, it is easy to verify that  $\alpha_i^*$  consists of all  $(h_n) \in (G^N)^+$  having the property that there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $(h_n)_{n \geq m}$  belongs to  $\alpha(o)^*[G_i]$ , and for each  $j \in I$  with  $j \neq i$ , the sequence  $(h_{nj})_{n \geq m}$  belongs to  $\alpha(d)[G_j]$ .

Now let  $\alpha$  be the set of all  $(x_n) \in (G^N)^+$  which satisfy the following condition: there exist  $m \in N$  and a finite subset  $I_1$  of  $I$  such that  $(x_{ni})_{n \geq m} \in \alpha(o)[G_i]$  if  $i \in I_1$ , and  $(x_{ni})_{n \geq m} \in \alpha(d)[G_i]$  otherwise. Then in view of 2.3 we have  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ . Next,  $\alpha_i < \alpha$  and  $\alpha < \alpha \vee \alpha_i^*$  for each  $i \in I$ . Thus  $\alpha \vee \alpha_i^* \leq \alpha^*$  for each  $i \in I$ . If  $i(1)$  and  $i(2)$  are distinct elements of  $I$ , then  $\alpha \vee \alpha_{i(1)}^* \neq \alpha \vee \alpha_{i(2)}^*$ . This yields that the power of the interval  $[\alpha, \alpha^*]$  of  $\text{conv } G$  is greater or equal to  $\text{card } I > m$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Conv } G$  has a greatest element;
- (ii)  $\text{conv } G$  has a greatest element.

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of 1.1. □

**Proposition 2.11.** *Assume that the  $\ell$ -group  $G$  is  $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive. Then  $\text{conv } G$  is a complete lattice.*

**Proof.** In view of [12],  $\text{Conv } G$  is a complete lattice. Hence according to 2.10,  $\text{conv } G$  has a greatest element. Now 2.6 implies that  $\text{conv } G$  is a complete lattice. □

### 3. LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS HAVING FINITE BREADTH

A subset  $A$  of  $G^+$  is said to be disjoint if  $a_1 \wedge a_2 = 0$  whenever  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are distinct elements of  $A$ . If  $G$  has an infinite disjoint subset, then we say that the breadth of  $G$  is infinite; otherwise  $G$  is said to have a finite breadth.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a linearly ordered group,  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ ,  $\alpha \geq \alpha(o)$ . Then  $\alpha = \alpha(o)$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $\alpha = \alpha(d)$  being trivial we can suppose that  $\alpha > \alpha(d)$ , hence there exists  $(g_n) \in \alpha$  such that  $g_{n(1)} \neq g_{n(2)}$  whenever  $n(1)$  and  $n(2)$  are distinct elements of  $N$ . Let  $0 < g \in G$ . Proposition 2.3 yields that the set  $\{n \in N : g_n \geq g\}$  is finite. Thus for each  $n \in N$  there is  $m(n) \in N$  such that

$$g_{m(n)} = \max\{g_t : t \in N \text{ and } t \geq n\}.$$

If  $n(1)$  and  $n(2)$  are positive integers with  $n(1) < n(2)$ , then  $g_{m(n(1))} \geq g_{m(n(2))}$ . By applying 2.3 again we get that  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} g_{m(n)} = 0$ . Thus  $(g_n) \in \alpha(o)$  and hence  $\alpha \leq \alpha(o)$ .

Therefore in view of the assumption we have  $\alpha = \alpha(o)$ . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be  $\ell$ -groups,  $\alpha_i \in \text{conv } G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ . For  $g \in G$  let  $g^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be the component of  $g$  in  $G_i$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  having the property that there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $(g_n^i)_{n \geq m} \in \alpha_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  and the mapping  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow \alpha$  is an isomorphism of the partially ordered system  $\text{conv}(G_1 \times G_2)$  onto  $\text{conv } G$ .*

*Proof.* This can be verified by using 2.3 and applying analogous steps as in [4]. Section 4. □

Similarly, from 2.3 and by applying the same procedure as in the proof of [4], Section 5, we obtain:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be  $\ell$ -groups such that  $G$  is a lexico extension of  $H$ . Let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } H$ . Next, let  $\beta$  be the set of all  $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$  having the property that there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $(g_{n+m})_{n \in N}$  belongs to  $\alpha$ . Then  $\beta \in \text{conv } G$  and the mapping  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is an isomorphism of the partially ordered set  $\text{conv } H$  onto  $\text{conv } G$ .*

**Lemma 3.4.** (a) *Let  $G_1, G_2$  and  $G$  be as in 3.2. Let  $\alpha_i$  be the  $o$ -convergence on  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Next, let  $\alpha$  be as in 3.2. Then  $\alpha$  is the  $o$ -convergence on  $G$ .*

(b) *Let  $G$  and  $A$  be as in 3.3 and let  $\alpha$  be the  $o$ -convergence on  $H$ . Next, let  $\beta$  be as in 3.3. Then  $\beta$  is the  $o$ -convergence on  $G$ .*

The proof is easy.

It is well-known that each  $\ell$ -group having a finite breadth can be built up from a finite number of linearly ordered groups by forming direct products and lexico extensions (cf. [1], [2]). Next, if  $G$  is a linearly ordered group, then  $\alpha(o) \in \text{Conv } G$ . Thus Lemmas 3.1–3.4 and [4], Theorem 3.9 yield:

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group having a finite breadth. Then  $\text{conv } G = \text{Conv } G$ .*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group having a finite breadth. Then  $G$  is completely distributive.*

*Proof.* This is an easy consequence of the fact that each interval  $[u, v]$  of  $G$  with  $u < v$  has a subinterval  $[u_1, v_1]$  such that  $u_1 < v_1$  and  $[u_1, v_1]$  is linearly ordered.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group having a finite breadth. Then  $\text{conv } G$  is a complete lattice.*

*Proof.* It suffices to apply 2.12 and 3.6.  $\square$

#### 4. THE SYSTEM $\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G$

The main results of this section concern the case when the breadth of  $G$  is finite.

Let  $(x_n) \in (G^N)^+$ ,  $A = \{(x_n)\}$ . If  $\alpha = [(\delta A)]^\circ$  and  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ , then in view of 2.3,  $\alpha$  is the least element of  $\text{conv } G$  which contains  $(x_n)$ . In this case  $\alpha$  will be said to be a principal convergence generated by the sequence  $(x_n)$ .

The following assertion is obvious.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an atom of  $\text{conv } G$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a principal convergence generated by each sequence  $(x_n) \in \alpha$  with  $(x_n) \notin \alpha(d)(G)$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $(x_n), (y_n) \in (G^N)^+$ ,  $x_n \geq x_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in N$ . Put  $A = \{(x_n)\}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There are positive integers  $k_1$  and  $m$  such that  $y_{m+n} \leq k_1 x_n$  for each  $n \in N$ .*
- (ii)  *$(y_n) \in [(\delta A)]^\circ$ .*

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) obviously holds. Assume that (ii) is valid. Then there exist subsequences  $(z_n^1), (z_n^2), \dots, (z_n^t)$  of  $(x_n)$  and positive integers  $k$  and  $m$  such that

$$y_{m+n} \leq k(z_n^1 + z_n^2 + \dots + z_n^t)$$

is valid for each  $n \in N$ . Since  $z_n^j \leq x_n$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$  we infer that (i) holds.  $\square$



**Corollary 4.2.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a principal convergence in  $G$  which is generated by a strictly decreasing sequence  $(x_n)$ . Let  $(y_n) \in \alpha$ . Assume that  $(y_n)$  is strictly decreasing. Let  $m$  and  $k_1$  be as in 4.2. Then the set*

$$\{n \in N : y_{2n} < k_1 x_n\}$$

*is infinite.*

**Proof.** Let  $n \in N$ ,  $n > m$ . Then  $y_{2n} < y_{m+n}$ , in view of 4.2 the relation  $y_{2n} < k_1 x_n$  is valid.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that  $G$  is linearly ordered. Let  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ ,  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$ . Then there exists  $(x_n) \in \alpha$  such that  $x_n > x_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in N$ , and  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$  there is  $(y_n) \in \alpha$  such that  $y_n \neq 0$  for each  $n \in N$ . Denote  $z_n = y_1 \wedge y_2 \wedge \dots \wedge y_n$ . Hence  $0 < z_n \leq y_n$  for each  $n \in N$ ; thus  $(z_n) \in \alpha$ . According to 2.3, for each  $n \in N$  there is  $m \in N$  with  $m > n$  such that  $z_m < z_n$ . Thus there is a subsequence  $(x_n)$  of  $(z_n)$  such that  $x_n > x_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in N$ . Clearly  $(x_n) \in \alpha$ . Hence  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  and let  $(x_n)$  be a strictly decreasing sequence belonging to  $\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha$  fails to be an atom in  $\text{conv } G$ .*

**Proof.** By way of contradiction, suppose that  $\alpha$  is an atom of  $\text{conv } G$ . From  $(x_n) \in \alpha$  we infer that  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n = 0$ . Next,  $\alpha$  is a principal convergence generated by  $(x_n)$ . We construct by induction a subsequence  $(t_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  as follows.

We put  $t_1 = x_1$ . Suppose that  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  are already defined. From  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n = 0$  we obtain  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} (m+1)x_n = 0$ . Hence there is  $n(1) \in N$  such that  $x_{n(1)} < t_m$  and  $(m+1)x_{n(1)} \not\leq x_{2(m+1)}$ . We put  $t_{m+1} = x_{n(1)}$ .

The relation  $x_{2(m+1)} \not\leq (m+1)t_{m+1}$  is valid for each  $m \in N$ . Hence if  $k_1 \in N$ ,  $m \in N$  and  $m+1 > k_1$ , then

$$x_{2(m+1)} \not\leq k_1 t_{m+1}.$$

In view of 2.3 there exists a principal convergence  $\beta$  which is generated by  $(t_n)$ . Clearly  $(t_n) \in \alpha$  and hence  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . Next, according to 4.2.1 the sequence  $(x_n)$  does not belong to  $\beta$ . Hence  $\beta < \alpha$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a linearly ordered. Then  $\text{conv } G$  has no atom.*

**Proof.** This is a consequence of 4.3 and 4.4.

In the remaining part of the present section we assume that  $G$  is a nonzero  $\ell$ -group having a finite breadth. Thus (cf. [1] or [2]) there are nonzero linearly ordered convex  $\ell$ -subgroups  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  of  $G$  such that

(i) if  $H \neq \{0\}$  is a convex linearly ordered subgroup of  $G$ , then there is a uniquely determined  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $H \subseteq G_i$ ;

(ii) if  $0 < g_i \in G_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , then  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$  is a maximal disjoint subset of  $G$ .

Let  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$  be a fixed subset of  $G$  with the property as in (ii). □

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a principal element of  $\text{conv } G$  which is generated by  $(x_n)$ ,  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$ . Then there are  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $(y_n) \in \alpha$  such that  $0 < y_n \in G_i$  for each  $n \in N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Denote  $x_n^i = x_n \wedge g_i$ . In view of the condition (ii) above we conclude that for some  $i$ , the set  $\{n \in N : x_n^i \neq 0\}$  is infinite. Hence for this  $i$ , there is a subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n^i)$  having the desired properties.

For  $i \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $\beta \in \text{conv } G_i$  we denote by  $f(\beta)$  the set of all sequences  $(v_n)$  in  $G^+$  which have the following property: there exists  $m \in N$  (depending on  $(v_n)$ ) such that the sequence  $(v_n \wedge g_i)_{n \geq m}$  belongs to  $\beta$ , and  $v_n \wedge g_j = 0$  whenever  $n \leq m$  and  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ . □

The following lemma is an obvious consequence of 2.3.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $i \in I$ ; next, let  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  be the elements of  $\text{conv } G_i$ . Then  $f(\beta_i) \in \text{conv } G$ . If  $\beta_1 < \beta_2$ , then  $f(\beta_1) < f(\beta_2)$ .*

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group of a finite breadth. Then  $\text{conv } G$  has no atom.*

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, suppose that  $\alpha$  is an atom of  $\text{conv } G$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is principal. Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be as in 4.6. Then there is a principal element  $\beta$  of  $\text{conv } G_i$  which is generated by  $(y_n)$ . Hence  $f(\beta) \leq \alpha$  and  $\alpha(d) \neq f(\beta)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an atom we infer that  $\alpha = f(\beta)$ . Also,  $\beta$  fails to be the least element of  $\text{conv } G_i$ . Thus according to 4.5 there is  $\beta_1 \in \text{conv } G_i$  with  $\beta_1 < \beta$ . In view of 4.7 we obtain that  $f(\beta_1) \in \text{conv } G$  and  $f(\beta_2) < \alpha$ , which is a contradiction.

Let us remark that the above lemma will be sharpened in Section 5 below. □

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group having a finite breadth. Assume that  $\text{card } \text{Conv } G > 1$ . Then the set  $\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* According to [4], Theorem 6.5, the set  $\text{Conv } G$  is finite. Next, in view of  $\text{card } \text{Conv } G > 1$  there is  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$ . Hence the assertion follows from 4.8. □

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group having a finite breadth. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{card Conv } G = 1$ .
- (ii) *The set  $\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G$  is finite.*

**Proof.** The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is obvious. Let (i) be valid. By way of contradiction, suppose that  $\text{card conv } G > 1$ . Hence there is  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$  with  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\alpha$  is principal. Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be as in 4.6. There exists  $\beta \subset \text{conv } G_i$  such that  $(y_n) \in \beta$ . Hence according to 4.3 there is  $(t_n) \in (G_i^N)^+$  such that  $t_n > t_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in N$  and  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} t_n = 0$ . By applying [5], Theorem 2.2 we get the  $\text{Conv } G \neq \{\alpha(d)\}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group of finite breadth. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{card Conv } G > 1$ .
- (ii) *The set  $\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G$  is infinite.*

**Proof.** This is a consequence of 4.9 and 4.10.  $\square$

## 5. THE CASE OF $\ell$ -GROUPS HAVING INFINITE BREADTH

We denote by  $D$  the system of all sequences  $(x_n) \in (G^N)^+$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $x_n > 0$  for each  $n \in N$ ;
- (ii)  $x_n \wedge x_m = 0$  whenever  $n$  and  $m$  are distinct positive integers.

Hence  $D \neq \emptyset$  if and only if the breadth of  $G$  is infinite.

From [4], Theorem 7.3 we obtain

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $(x_n) \in D$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  such that  $(x_n) \in \alpha$ .*

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $(x_n) \in D$ ,  $A = \{(x_n)\}$ . Then  $[(\delta A)]^\circ \in \text{conv } G$ .*

**Proof.** This is a consequence of 5.1 and 2.3.  $\square$

Let  $(x_n) \in D$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= x_1; \\ y_2 &= y_3 = x_2; \\ y_4 &= y_5 = y_6 = x_3; \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $(x_n)$ ,  $(y_n)$  and  $A$  be as above. Then  $(y_n)$  does not belong to  $[(\delta A)]^\circ$ .*

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, assume that  $(y_n)$  belongs to  $[(\delta A)]^\circ$ . Hence there exist subsequences  $(x_n^1)$ ,  $(x_n^2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(x_n^m)$  of  $(x_n)$  and positive integers  $k, k_1, m$  such that

$$y_n \leq k_1(x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k)$$

is valid for each  $n \in N$  with  $n > m$ .

Let  $n \in N$  be such that  $n > m$  and  $n > 2$ . Then  $y_n = x_{n(1)}$  for some  $n(1) < n$ . Hence  $y_n \wedge x_n^j = 0$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and therefore  $y_n \not\leq k_1(x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k)$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $(x_n)$  and  $A$  be as above. Then  $[(\delta A)]^\circ$  does not belong to  $\text{Conv } G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(y_n)$  be as above. Each subsequence  $(z_n)$  of  $(y_n)$  has a subsequence  $(t_n)$  such that  $(t_n)$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , whence  $(t_n) \in [(\delta A)]^\circ$ . On the other hand, in view of 5.3 the sequence  $(y_n)$  does not belong to  $[(\delta A)]^\circ$ . Hence  $[(\delta A)]^\circ$  fails to be an element of  $\text{Conv } G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(x'_n)$  be sequences belonging to  $D$ . Assume that  $x_n \wedge x'_m = 0$  whenever  $n$  and  $m$  are positive integers. Let  $A = \{(x_n)\}$ ,  $A' = \{(x'_n)\}$ . Then  $[(\delta A)]^\circ \neq [(\delta A')]^\circ$ .*

*Proof.* By an obvious verification.  $\square$

If  $G$  has infinite breadth, then there exist  $(x_n^m)$  in  $D$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $x_{n(1)}^{m(1)} \wedge x_{n(2)}^{m(2)} = 0$  whenever  $m(1)$  and  $m(2)$  are distinct positive integers and  $n(1), n(2)$  are arbitrary positive integers. Hence 5.4 and 5.5 yield

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group with infinite breadth. Then the set  $\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G$  is infinite.*

This result can be slightly sharpened if we apply the following argument. Let  $(x_n^m)$  be as above. For  $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq N$  let  $\alpha_M$  be the convergence which is generated by the  $S(M) = \{(x_n^m)\}_{m \in M}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_M = [(\delta S(M))]^\circ$ . (From 2.3 we infer that, in fact,  $\alpha_M \in \text{conv } G$ .) Next, if  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are nonempty subsets of  $N$  with  $M_1 \neq M_2$ , then  $\alpha_{M_1} \neq \alpha_{M_2}$ . Moreover, analogously as in 5.5 we have  $\alpha_M \notin \text{Conv } G$ .

Thus we obtain

**Theorem 5.6.1.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group with infinite breadth. Then  $\text{card}(\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G) \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

From 5.6 and 4.11 we obtain

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group. Then either (i)  $\text{conv } G = \text{Conv } G$ , or (ii) the set  $\text{conv } G \setminus \text{Conv } G$  is infinite. The condition (i) is valid if and only if  $\text{Conv } G$  is a one-element set.*

The following assertion is easy to verify.

**Lemma 5.8.** *An  $\ell$ -group  $G$  has a finite breadth if and only if there exists a finite set  $M = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$  in  $G$  such that  $M$  is a maximal disjoint subset of  $G$  and each interval  $[0, a_i]$  ( $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ) is a chain.*

Let us denote by  $S(G)$  the set of all  $x \in G^+$  such that the interval  $[0, x]$  of  $G$  is a chain, and whenever  $(x_n)$  is a sequence of elements in  $[0, x]$  with  $x_n > x_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in N$ , then the relation  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n = 0$  fails to hold.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group. Then  $\text{conv } G = \text{Conv } G$  if and only if there exists a finite subset  $S_1$  of  $S(G)$  such that  $S_1$  is a maximal disjoint subset of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $G = \{0\}$  is trivial; suppose that  $G \neq \{0\}$ .

Assume that  $\text{conv } G = \text{Conv } G$ . Hence in view of 5.6, the breadth of  $G$  is finite. Thus there exists a set  $M$  with the properties as in 5.8. Put  $S_1 = M$ . Let  $i \in I$  and suppose that  $a_i$  does not belong to  $S(G)$ . Then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $[0, a_i]$  such that  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n = 0$ . There exists  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $(x_n) \in \alpha$ . Clearly  $(x_n) \notin \alpha(d)$ , whence  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$ , which contradicts 5.7. Therefore  $S_1 \subseteq S(G)$ .

Conversely, assume that there is a finite subset  $S_1 = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  of  $S(G)$  such that  $S_1$  is a maximal disjoint subset of  $G$ . By 5.8 the breadth of  $G$  is finite. By virtue of 3.5 the relation  $\text{conv } G = \text{Conv } G$  is valid.  $\square$

Again, let  $(x_n) \in D$ . Put  $z_n = x_{2n}$  for each  $n \in N$ . We denote by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  the elements of  $\text{conv } G$  generated by  $(x_n)$  and  $(z_n)$ , respectively. Using this notation we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.10.**  $\beta < \alpha$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(z_n)$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , the relation  $(z_n) \in \alpha$  holds. Thus  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . By way of contradiction, suppose that  $\beta = \alpha$ . Then there are  $k, k_1, m \in N$  and subsequences  $(z_n^1), (z_n^2), \dots, (z_n^k)$  of  $(z_n)$  such that

$$x_n \leq k_1(z_n^1 + z_n^2 + \dots + z_n^k)$$

is valid for each  $n \in N$  with  $n > m$ . But the relation  $(x_n) \in D$  implies that if  $n$  is odd, then this relation cannot hold.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.11.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  contains a sequence belonging to  $D$ . Then  $\alpha$  fails to be an atom of  $\text{conv } G$ .*

**Lemma 5.12.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ ,  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$ . Then at least one of the following conditions is valid:*

- (i)  $\alpha$  contains a strictly decreasing sequence.
- (ii)  $\alpha$  contains a sequence belonging to  $D$ .

**Proof.** Assume that (i) does not hold. We have to verify that (ii) is valid. Since  $\alpha \neq \alpha(d)$  there exists  $(x_n) \in \alpha$  such that  $x_n > 0$  for each  $n \in N$ . We construct a sequence  $(y_n)$  as follows.

When defining  $y_1$  we distinguish two cases.

(a) First, suppose that the set  $\{n \in N : x_1 \wedge x_n = 0\}$  is infinite. Then we put  $y_1 = x_1$ . Further, for constructing  $y_2, y_3, \dots$  we apply the subsequence of  $(x_n)$  consisting of those  $x_n$  which satisfy the condition  $x_1 \wedge x_n = 0$ .

(b1) Suppose that the set  $\{n \in N : x_1 \wedge x_n = 0\}$  is finite and that the interval  $[0, x_1]$  is a chain. Then by the same argument as in the proof 4.3 we can verify that there exists a strictly decreasing subsequence of the sequence  $(x_1 \wedge x_n)$  such that all elements of this subsequence belong to the interval  $[0, x_1]$ . This subsequence obviously belongs to  $\alpha$ , which is a contradiction.

(b2) Assume that the set  $\{n \in N : x_1 \wedge x_n = 0\}$  is finite and that the interval  $[0, x_1]$  fails to be a chain. Hence there are elements  $x_{11}$  and  $x_{12}$  such that  $0 < x_{1i} < x_1$  is valid for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $x_{11} \wedge x_{12} = 0$ .

If the set  $\{n \in N : x_{11} \wedge x_n = 0\}$  is finite, then we put  $y_1 = x_{12}$  and for constructing  $y_2, y_3, \dots$  we apply the sequence consisting of those  $x_{11} \wedge x_n$  which are distinct from 0.

If the set  $\{n \in N : x_{11} \wedge x_n = 0\}$  is infinite, then we put  $y_1 = x_{11}$  and for constructing  $y_2, y_3, \dots$  we apply the sequence consisting of those  $x_n$  which satisfy the condition  $x_{11} \wedge x_n = 0$ .

The next induction step is obvious. In this way we arrive at a sequence which belongs to  $\alpha \cap D$ . □

**Theorem 5.13.** *The partially ordered set  $\text{conv } G$  has no atom.*

**Proof.** This is a consequence of 5.12, 5.11 and 4.4. □

## 6. INFINITE DISTRIBUTIVE LAWS

In this section we shall investigate the question whether the infinite distributive laws must be valid in  $\text{conv } G$ .

Let  $\alpha_i (i \in I)$  and  $\beta$  be elements of  $\text{conv } G$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Assume that  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i$  does exist in  $\text{conv } G$ . Then both  $\beta \wedge (\bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i)$  and  $\bigvee_{i \in I} (\beta \wedge \alpha_i)$  exist in  $\text{conv } G$  and*

$$(1) \quad \beta \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (\beta \wedge \alpha_i).$$

**Proof.** In view of 2.1, the element  $\gamma = \beta \wedge (\bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i)$  exists in  $\text{conv } G$ . Clearly  $\beta \wedge \alpha_i \leq \gamma$  for each  $i \in I$ . Hence  $\bigvee_{i \in I} (\beta \wedge \alpha_i)$  exists in  $\text{conv } G$  and  $\bigvee_{i \in I} (\beta \wedge \alpha_i) \leq \gamma$ . Let  $(x_n) \in \gamma$ . Thus  $(x_n) \in \beta$  and in view of 2.7 there are  $i(1), i(2), \dots, i(m)$  in  $I$ ,  $(y_n^1) \in \alpha_{i(1)}, \dots, (y_n^m) \in \alpha_{i(m)}$  such that  $x_n \leq y_n^1 + y_n^2 + \dots + y_n^m$  is valid for each  $n \in N$ . Hence there are elements  $x_n^j$  in  $G$  with  $0 \leq x_n^j \leq y_n^j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m; n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $x_n = x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^m$  for each  $n \in N$ . Then  $(x_n^j) \in \beta$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and hence  $(x_n) \in \bigvee_{i \in I} (\beta \wedge \alpha_i)$ . Thus the relation (1) holds.  $\square$

In view of 2.8 we obtain

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $\alpha_i (i \in I)$  and  $\beta$  be elements of  $\text{Conv } G$  such that  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \alpha_i$  does exist in  $\text{Conv } G$ . Then the relation (1) is valid in  $\text{Conv } G$ .*

**Corollary 6.3.** *Each interval of  $\text{conv } G$  is a Brouwerian lattice.*

**Corollary 6.4.** (Cf. [5], Theorem 2.5.) *Each interval of  $\text{Conv } G$  is a Brouwerian lattice.*

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $G$  be a lattice ordered group of infinite breadth. Assume that  $G$  is orthogonally complete and divisible. Then there are  $\beta$  and  $\alpha_n (n \in N)$  in  $\text{Conv } G$  such that both  $\beta \vee (\bigwedge_{n \in N} \alpha_n)$  and  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} (\beta \vee \alpha_n)$  do exist in  $\text{Conv } G$ , but these elements fail to be equal.*

For proving this we need some auxiliary results.

For a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $(G^N)^+$  we denote by  $A^*$  the system of all  $(x_n) \in (G^N)^+$  such that for each subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  there exists a subsequence  $(z_n)$  of  $(y_n)$  with  $(z_n) \in A$ .

We shall apply the following (slightly modified) version of 2.3. (Cf. also [4].)

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $(G^N)^+$ .*

(i) *If there is  $0 \neq g \in G^+$  such that  $\text{const } g \in [(\delta A)]^*$ , then there is no  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $A \subseteq \alpha$ .*

(ii) *If there is no element  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 0$  such that  $\text{const } g \in [(\delta A)]^*$ , then  $[(\delta A)]^* \in \text{Conv } G$  and whenever  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $A \subseteq \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \supseteq [(\delta A)]^*$ .*

If the condition from (ii) is satisfied, then  $A$  is said to be regular and the system  $[(\delta A)]^*$  is called the convergence in  $\text{Conv } G$  which is generated by  $A$ . If, moreover,  $A = \{(x_n)\}$  is a one-element set, then  $(x_n)$  is said to be regular.

Now assume that  $G$  has an infinite breadth and that  $G$  is orthogonally complete, divisible and archimedean.

There exists  $(x_n)$  in  $(G^N)^+$  such that  $(x_n) \in D$ . Next, because  $G$  is orthogonally complete, for each  $t \in N$  there exists  $y_t = \vee x_n (n \in N, n > t)$ .

For each fixed  $t \in N$  we consider the sequence  $(\frac{1}{n}y_t)$ .

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $t \in N$ . Then the sequence  $(\frac{1}{n}y_t)$  is regular.*

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of 6.6 and of the fact that  $G$  is archimedean.

In view of 6.7 there exists  $\alpha_t \in \text{Conv } G$  such that  $\alpha_t$  is generated by the sequence  $(\frac{1}{n}y_t)$  in  $\text{Conv } G$ . □

The above Lemmas 6.8 – 6.11 are also consequences of 6.6.

**Lemma 6.8.** *Let  $t \in N$ . Next, let  $0 < a \in G$ ,  $a \wedge y_t = 0$  and  $(u_n) \in \alpha_t$ . Then there is  $m \in N$  such that  $a \wedge u_n = 0$  for each  $n \in N$  with  $n \geq m$ .*

**Corollary 6.8.1.**  $\bigwedge_{n \in N} \alpha_n = \alpha(d)$ .

For  $t \in N$  we put  $z_t = x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \dots \vee x_t$ . Let  $A$  be the system of all sequences  $(\frac{1}{n}z_t)_{n \in N}$ , where  $t$  runs over  $N$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** *The set  $A$  is regular.*

According to 6.9 there exists  $\beta \in \text{Conv } G$  which is generated by  $A$  in  $\text{Conv } G$ .

**Lemma 6.10.** *Let  $(v_n) \in \beta$ . Then there are  $m(1)$  and  $m(2) \in N$  such that, whenever  $n \in N$ ,  $n \geq m(1)$  and  $0 < a \in G$ ,  $a \wedge x_m = 0$  for each  $m < m(2)$ , then  $v_n \wedge a = 0$ .*

Put  $x = \bigvee_{n \in N} x_n$ . From 6.10 we infer

**Corollary 6.10.1.** *The sequence  $(\frac{1}{n}x)$  does not belong to  $\beta$ .*

**Lemma 6.11.** *Let  $t \in N$ . Then the set  $A \cup \alpha_t$  is regular.*



**Corollary 6.12.** *Let  $t \in N$ . Then the join  $\beta \vee \alpha_t$  does exist in  $\text{Conv } G$ .*

**Lemma 6.13.** *Let  $t \in N$ . Then  $(\frac{1}{n}x) \in \beta \vee \alpha_t$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $x = z_t + y_t$ . Next,  $(\frac{1}{n}z_t) \in \beta$  and  $(\frac{1}{n}y_t) \in \alpha_t$ . Therefore  $(\frac{1}{n}x_n) \in \beta \vee \alpha_t$ .  $\square$

*Proof of 6.5.* In view of 6.13 the relation  $(\frac{1}{n}x) \in \bigwedge_{i \in N} (\beta \vee \alpha_i)$  is valid. Next, 6.8.1 yields that  $\beta \vee (\bigwedge_{i \in N} \alpha_i) = \beta$ . Thus according to 6.10.1 the sequence  $(\frac{1}{n}x_n)$  does not belong to  $\beta \vee (\bigwedge_{i \in N} \alpha_i)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, 6.5 and 2.8 yield:

**Corollary 6.14.** *In 6.5, the set  $\text{Conv } G$  can be replaced by  $\text{conv } G$ .*

#### References

- [1] P. Conrad: The structure of a lattice-ordered group with a finite number of disjoint elements, *Michigan Math. J.* 7 (1960), 171–180.
- [2] L. Fuchs: Partially ordered algebraic systems, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [3] M. Harminc: Sequential convergence on abelian lattice-ordered groups, *Convergence structures 1984. Matem. Research, Band 24, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 153–158.*
- [4] M. Harminc: The cardinality of the system of all convergences on an abelian lattice ordered group, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 37 (1987), 533–546.
- [5] M. Harminc: Sequential convergences on lattice ordered groups, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 39 (1989), 232–238.
- [6] M. Harminc: Convergences on lattice ordered groups, *Disertation, Math. Inst. Slovak Acad. Sci., 1986. (In Slovak.)*
- [7] M. Harminc, J. Jakubík: Maximal convergences and minimal proper convergences in  $\ell$ -groups, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 39 (1989), 631–640.
- [8] J. Jakubík: Konvexe Ketten in  $\ell$ -Gruppen, *Časop. pěst. matem.* 84 (1959), 53–63.
- [9] J. Jakubík: Convergences and complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups, *Math. Slovaca* 38 (1988), 269–272.
- [10] J. Jakubík: On some types of kernels of a convergence  $\ell$ -group, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 39 (1989), 239–247.
- [11] J. Jakubík: Lattice ordered groups having a largest convergence, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 39 (1989), 717–729.
- [12] J. Jakubík: Convergences and higher degrees of distributivity of lattice ordered groups and of Boolean algebras, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 40 (1990), 453–458.
- [13] Б. З. Вулух: Введение в теорию полуупорядоченных пространств, Москва, 1961.

*Author's address:* Matematický ústav SAV, dislokované pracovisko v Košiciach, Grešáková 6, 040 01 Košice, Czechoslovakia.