

Zhe Dong

Finite rank operators in Jacobson radical $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 2, 287–298

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128067>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

FINITE RANK OPERATORS IN JACOBSON RADICAL $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$

DONG ZHE, Hangzhou

(Received June 20, 2003)

Abstract. In this paper we investigate finite rank operators in the Jacobson radical $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, where \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} are nests. Based on the concrete characterizations of rank one operators in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$, we obtain that each finite rank operator in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ can be written as a finite sum of rank one operators in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ and the weak closure of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ equals $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ if and only if at least one of \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} is continuous.

Keywords: Jacobson radical, finite rank operator

MSC 2000: 47L75

1. INTRODUCTION

Finite rank operators and rank one operators have played a central role in the theory of nest algebras since the inception of that theory. For example, Ringrose make very effective use of the rank one operators in a nest algebra in his characterization of the radical of a nest algebra [10] and in his theorem that algebraic isomorphisms of nest algebras are necessarily spatial [11]. In a nest algebra, any finite rank operator is a finite sum of rank one operators from the nest algebra [2]. The theorem has been verified for special cases of reflexive algebras, namely algebras whose subspace lattice \mathcal{L} forms an atomic Boolean algebra [9] or \mathcal{L} is commutative and has finite width [6].

Recall that the Jacobson radical of a Banach algebra coincides with the elements T such that AT is quasinilpotent for every A in the algebra. The Jacobson radical of a Banach algebra is a structural object that has been frequently studied over the years. In [10], Ringrose characterized the Jacobson radical of a nest algebra. In [1], Davidson and Orr pushed the characterization further to the case of all width two

Project supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10401030) and the Zhejiang Nature Science Foundation (No. M103044).

CSL algebras. The result is essential to our paper. For a subspace lattice \mathcal{L} , we denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}$ the Jacobson radical of $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$.

The main purpose of this paper is to study finite rank operators in the radical $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$. As we know, each finite rank operator in the radical of a nest algebra can be written as a finite sum of rank one operators in this radical. This result owes much to the total order of \mathcal{N} . In the case of $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, the key to the main result is Lemma 4 which gives a concrete description of rank one operators in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$. As an application of Lemma 4, we give a simple proof of the tensor product formula in [3]. At last, we compute the weak closure of the radical $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ and show that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ if and only if at least one of \mathcal{N} , \mathcal{M} is continuous.

Let us introduce some notation and terminology. \mathcal{H} represents a complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of finite-rank operators on \mathcal{H} . A sublattice \mathcal{L} of the projection lattice of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a subspace lattice if it contains 0 and I and is strongly closed, where we identify projections with their ranges. If the elements of \mathcal{L} pairwise commute, \mathcal{L} is a commutative subspace lattice (CSL). A subspace lattice is completely distributive if distributive laws are valid for families of arbitrary cardinality (see [8]). A nest \mathcal{N} is a totally ordered subspace lattice. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$, we define

$$L_- = \bigvee \{E \in \mathcal{L} : L \not\leq E\}.$$

In the case of nests, either N_- is the immediate predecessor of N or $N = N_-$. If $N = N_-$ for any $N \in \mathcal{N}$, \mathcal{N} is called a continuous nest. If \mathcal{L} is a subspace lattice, $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ denotes the set of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that leave the elements of \mathcal{L} invariant. If \mathcal{L} is a CSL, $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is said to be a CSL algebra. If \mathcal{L} is a nest, $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is said to be a nest algebra.

Let \mathcal{H}_i ($i = 1, 2$) be complex Hilbert spaces. If $\mathcal{L}_i \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are subspace lattices, $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ is the subspace lattice in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ generated by $\{L_1 \otimes L_2 : L_i \in \mathcal{L}_i, i = 1, 2\}$. If $\mathcal{S}_i \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are subspaces, then $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2$ denotes the linear span of $\{S_1 \otimes S_2 : S_i \in \mathcal{S}_i\}$; $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_w \mathcal{S}_2$ denotes the weak closure of $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$.

2. FINITE RANK OPERATORS

In the sequel we suppose that \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} are nests on \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 respectively; and that $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is the tensor product of \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} . $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ denote Jacobson radicals of $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, $\text{Alg } \mathcal{M}$ and $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ respectively.

For $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, the rank-one operator xy^* is defined by the equation

$$(xy^*)(z) = \langle z, y \rangle x \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Lemma 1. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice and let $\{N_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of elements in \mathcal{L} . Then $\left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha\right)_- = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (N_\alpha)_-$.

Proof. For any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, since $N_\alpha \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$, it follows that if $F \not\geq N_\alpha$ then $F \not\geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$; hence $(N_\alpha)_- \leq \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha\right)_-$. So $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (N_\alpha)_- \leq \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha\right)_-$.

Conversely, suppose that $F \not\geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$. If $F \geq N_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $F \geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha$; hence, there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $F \not\geq N_{\alpha_0}$. Thus $F \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (N_\alpha)_-$. Thus, $\left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha\right)_- = \bigvee\{F: F \not\geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha\} \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (N_\alpha)_-$ and we are done. \square

Set $\mathcal{N} \otimes I = \{N \otimes I: N \in \mathcal{N}\}$; $\mathcal{N} \otimes I$ is a nest on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$, then $(N \otimes M)_- = (N_- \otimes I) \vee (I \otimes M_-)$ and $(N \otimes M)^\perp = N^\perp \otimes M^\perp$ in $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. First, we prove the following assertion:

$$(N \otimes M)_- = \bigvee\{F: F \not\geq N \otimes M\} = \bigvee\{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \not\geq N \otimes M\}.$$

Indeed, suppose that $F \not\geq N \otimes M$. For any $E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq F$ we have $E_1 \otimes E_2 \not\geq N \otimes M$. Thus,

$$\{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq F\} \subseteq \{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \not\geq N \otimes M\}.$$

Hence it follows from [3] Proposition 2.4 that

$$F = \bigvee\{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq F\} \leq \bigvee\{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \not\geq N \otimes M\}$$

and

$$(N \otimes M)_- = \bigvee\{F: F \not\geq N \otimes M\} \leq \bigvee\{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \not\geq N \otimes M\}.$$

The converse inequality is obvious.

Secondly, we show that $E_1 \otimes E_2 \geq N \otimes M$ if and only if $E_1 \geq N$ and $E_2 \geq M$. Suppose that $E_1 \otimes E_2 \geq N \otimes M$. If $E_1 < N$, choose nonzero vectors $x_1 \in N \ominus E_1$ and $x_2 \in M$. Thus $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in N \otimes M \subseteq E_1 \otimes E_2$. But $(E_1 \otimes E_2)(x_1 \otimes x_2) = 0$ shows that $x_1 \otimes x_2 \notin E_1 \otimes E_2$. This contradiction shows that $E_1 \geq N$. Similarly, $E_2 \geq M$.

The converse implication is obvious. Hence $E_1 \otimes E_2 \not\leq N \otimes M$ if and only if $E_1 \not\leq N$ or $E_2 \not\leq M$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (N \otimes M)_- &= \bigvee \{E_1 \otimes E_2 : E_1 \otimes E_2 \not\leq N \otimes M\} \\ &= \bigvee \{E_1 \otimes E_2 : E_1 < N \text{ or } E_2 < M\} \\ &= (N_- \otimes I) \vee (I \otimes M_-). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily prove that $(N_- \otimes I)^\perp = N_-^\perp \otimes I$, thus

$$(N \otimes M)_-^\perp = (N_- \otimes I)^\perp \wedge (I \otimes M_-)^\perp = (N_-^\perp \otimes I) \wedge (I \otimes M_-^\perp) = N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp.$$

□

The following result of Longstaff [8] is essential to this paper.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice. Then $xy^* \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ if and only if there is an element $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L^\perp$.*

Lemma 4. *The rank one operator xy^* belongs to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ if and only if there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in N \otimes M$ and $y \in N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{N} \otimes I) \vee (I \otimes \mathcal{M})$, so

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes I) \cap \text{Alg}(I \otimes \mathcal{M}).$$

Now suppose that $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$. Thus $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes I)$; by the definition of $\mathcal{N} \otimes I$ and Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, there is an element $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \otimes I$ and $y \in (N \otimes I)^\perp = N_-^\perp \otimes I$. Similarly, there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in I \otimes M$ and $y \in I \otimes M_-^\perp$. Hence, $x \in N \otimes M$ and $y \in N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp$.

For the converse, if $x \in N \otimes M$ and $y \in N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp$ then, in particular, $x \in N \otimes I$ and $y \in N_-^\perp \otimes I$. Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 show that $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes I)$. Similarly, $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(I \otimes \mathcal{M})$. Hence

$$xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes I) \cap \text{Alg}(I \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}).$$

□

As an application of Lemma 4 we give a simple proof of the tensor product formula in [3].

Theorem 5 ([3], Theorem 2.6). $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M}$.

P r o o f. Each of the operators which generate $\text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M}$ leaves invariant each of the projections which generate $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$; therefore

$$\text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}).$$

It remains to show that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \subseteq \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M}$. It follows from [5, Theorem 10] that $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is a completely distributive CSL. Thus, by virtue of [7, Theorem 3], $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ is weakly generated by the rank one operators in itself. So it suffices to show that each rank one operator in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ belongs to $\text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M}$. Now for any $N \in \mathcal{N}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (N \otimes M)[(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2)^*](N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp) \\ &= (N \otimes M)[(x_1 y_1^*) \otimes (x_2 y_2^*)](N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp) \\ &= N(x_1 y_1^*)N_-^\perp \otimes M(x_2 y_2^*)M_-^\perp \in \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

(It is routine to verify that $(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2)^* = (x_1 y_1^*) \otimes (x_2 y_2^*)$.)

For any rank one operator $zw^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, it follows from Lemma 4 that there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $z \in N \otimes M$ and $w \in N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp$. Since $z, w \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, there exist sequences $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ such that

$$z_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} z \quad \text{and} \quad w_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} w,$$

where $\{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ are finite linear combinations of simple tensors. Thus,

$$(N \otimes M)(z_n w_n^*)(N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} (N \otimes M)(z w^*)(N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp) = z w^*.$$

The above paragraph shows that

$$(N \otimes M)(z_n w_n^*)(N_-^\perp \otimes M_-^\perp) \in \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M},$$

so $z w^* \in \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \otimes_w \text{Alg}\mathcal{M}$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6. *If $(N \ominus N_-) \otimes (M \ominus M_-) \neq 0$, then it is an atom of $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$.*

P r o o f. Recall that an atom P of $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is an interval projection from $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that for any $E \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, either $P \leq E$ or $PE = 0$ (see [4]). Set $P = (N \ominus N_-) \otimes (M \ominus M_-)$. $P = N \otimes M - [(N_- \otimes M) \vee (N \otimes M_-)]$ is an interval projection. For any $E = E_1 \otimes E_2 \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, since \mathcal{N} is totally ordered, either $E_1 \leq N_-$ or $E_1 \geq N$. If $E_1 \leq N_-$ then $P(E_1 \otimes E_2) = 0$; if $E_1 \geq N$, since \mathcal{M} is also

totally ordered, either $E_2 \leq M_-$ or $E_2 \geq M$. If $E_2 \leq M_-$ then $P(E_1 \otimes E_2) = 0$; and if $E_2 \geq M$ then $P \leq E_1 \otimes E_2$. Hence for any $E = E_1 \otimes E_2$, either $P \leq E_1 \otimes E_2$ or $P(E_1 \otimes E_2) = 0$.

Now for any $E \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, by virtue of [3, Proposition 2.4] we have

$$E = \bigvee \{E_1 \otimes E_2 : E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E\}.$$

If $P(E_1 \otimes E_2) = 0$ for any $E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E$, then $PE = 0$; if there exist E_1, E_2 with $E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E$ such that $P(E_1 \otimes E_2) \neq 0$ then it follows from the result of the above paragraph that $P \leq E_1 \otimes E_2$ and $P \leq E$. \square

Proposition 7. *If a rank-one operator xy^* belongs to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) $xy^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$;
- 2) there exists $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L^\perp$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Since $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, it follows from Lemma 4 that there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in N \otimes M$ and $y \in N^\perp \otimes M^\perp$. Set $G_1 = (N \ominus N_-) \otimes (M \ominus M_-)$, $G_2 = (N \otimes M) \ominus G_1 = (N_- \otimes M) \vee (N \otimes M_-)$ and $G_3 = (N^\perp \otimes M^\perp) \ominus G_1 = (N^\perp \otimes M^\perp) \vee (N^\perp \otimes M^\perp)$. If $G_1 = 0$ then $N \ominus N_- = 0$ or $M \ominus M_- = 0$. In this case $L = N \otimes M$ satisfies the condition in 2). Now we suppose that $G_1 \neq 0$. Since $N \otimes M = G_1 + G_2$ and $N^\perp \otimes M^\perp = G_1 + G_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} xy^* &= (G_1 + G_2)(xy^*)(G_1 + G_3) \\ &= (N \otimes M)(xy^*)G_3 + G_2(xy^*)G_1 + G_1(xy^*)G_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $xy^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ and G_1 is an atom of $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, it follows from [1, Theorem 4.8] that $G_1(xy^*)G_1 = 0$. Hence $x \in G_1^\perp$ or $y \in G_1^\perp$. If $x \in G_1^\perp$ then $x \in G_2$ and $y \in G_1 + G_3 = N^\perp \otimes M^\perp \subseteq G_2^\perp$; if $y \in G_1^\perp$, then $y \in G_3 \subseteq (N \otimes M)^\perp$ and $x \in N \otimes M$.

2) \Rightarrow 1) If there exists $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L^\perp$, then for any $T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ we have $L^\perp T L = 0$ and

$$[(xy^*)T]^n = [L(xy^*)L^\perp T]^n = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

So $(xy^*)T$ is quasinilpotent. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ and from $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ that $xy^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$. \square

Theorem 8. *Each finite rank operator in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ can be written as a finite sum of rank one operators in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$.*

Proof. Suppose that F is a finite rank operator in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$. Since $F \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, it follows from [6, Corollary 7] that F can be written as a finite sum of rank one operators in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$. Write

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i^*, \quad \text{where } x_i y_i^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For any fixed i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), since $x_i y_i^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, it follows from Lemma 4 that there exist $N_i \in \mathcal{N}$ and $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$x_i \in N_i \otimes M_i \quad \text{and} \quad y_i \in N_{i-}^\perp \otimes M_{i-}^\perp.$$

If $N_i = N_{i-}$ or $M_i = M_{i-}$, Proposition 7 shows that $x_i y_i^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $N_i \neq N_{i-}$ and $M_i \neq M_{i-}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} G_i^{(1)} &= (N_i \ominus N_{i-}) \otimes (M_i \ominus M_{i-}), \\ G_i^{(2)} &= (N_i \otimes M_i) \ominus G_i^{(1)}, \\ G_i^{(3)} &= (N_{i-}^\perp \otimes M_{i-}^\perp) \ominus G_i^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_i y_i^* &= (G_i^{(1)} + G_i^{(2)})(x_i y_i^*)(G_i^{(1)} + G_i^{(3)}) \\ &= (N_i \otimes M_i)(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(3)} + G_i^{(2)}(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(1)} + G_i^{(1)}(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_i \otimes M_i \perp G_i^{(3)}$, $G_i^{(2)} \perp G_i^{(1)}$ and $x_i y_i^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, so $(N_i \otimes M_i)(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(3)}$ and $G_i^{(2)}(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(1)}$ belong to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ by Proposition 7. Now we consider the operator $G_i^{(1)}(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(1)}$.

Set $\Lambda_i = \{j: G_j^{(1)} = G_i^{(1)}\}$. Since $G_i^{(1)}$ is an atom of $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ and $G_i^{(1)} \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, we have

$$G_i^{(1)} F G_i^{(1)} = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_i} G_j^{(1)}(x_j y_j^*)G_j^{(1)} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}.$$

By virtue of [1, Theorem 4.8], $G_i^{(1)} F G_i^{(1)} = 0$. Owing to the arbitrariness of i , we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n G_j^{(1)}(x_j y_j^*)G_j^{(1)} = 0.$$

Hence

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n (N_i \otimes M_i)(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(3)} + G_i^{(2)}(x_i y_i^*)G_i^{(1)}.$$

Thus, F can be written as a finite sum of rank one operators in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$. □

Lemma 9. Suppose that \mathcal{U}_τ is a weakly closed $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ -module determined by an order homomorphism τ from $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ into itself. Then a rank one operator xy^* belongs to \mathcal{U}_τ if and only if there exists an element $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L_\sim^\perp$, where $L_\sim = \bigvee \{G: L \not\leq \tau(G)\}$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an element $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L_\sim^\perp$. For any $G \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, if $L \leq \tau(G)$ then

$$(xy^*)G = L(xy^*)L_\sim^\perp G \leq L \leq \tau(G);$$

if $L \not\leq \tau(G)$, then $G \leq L_\sim$ and

$$(xy^*)G = L(xy^*)L_\sim^\perp G = (0) \subseteq \tau(G).$$

Thus the rank one operator xy^* belongs to \mathcal{U}_τ .

Conversely, suppose that $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}_\tau$. Set $L = \bigwedge \{G \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}: Gx = x\}$, certainly $x \in L$. For any $G \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ and $L \not\leq \tau(G)$, it follows from the definition of L that $\tau(G)x \neq x$. If $Gy \neq 0$, since $(xy^*)G = \tau(G)(xy^*)G$, we have that

$$[(xy^*)G](Gy) = [\tau(G)(xy^*)G](Gy)$$

and

$$\|Gy\|^2 x = \|Gy\|^2 \tau(G)x.$$

This contradicts $\tau(G)x \neq x$, so $Gy = 0$. From the definition of L_\sim we have $L_\sim y = 0$ and $y \in L_\sim^\perp$. □

Lemma 10. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2): TL \subseteq L_- \ \forall L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}\}$. Then a rank one operator xy^* belongs to \mathcal{U} if and only if there exists $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L^\perp$.

Proof. Necessity. It follows from Lemma 9 that if $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}$ then there is $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L_\sim^\perp$, where $L_\sim = \bigvee \{E: L \not\leq E_-\}$. Now we compute L_\sim . Since $L = \bigvee \{L_1 \otimes L_2: L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L\}$, it is easy to show that

$$\{E: L \not\leq E_-\} = \bigcup_{L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L} \{E: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq E_-\}.$$

Since $E = \bigvee \{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E\}$, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$E_- = \bigvee \{(E_1 \otimes E_2)_-: E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E\}.$$

We first verify the following assertion:

$$\bigvee\{E: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq E_-\} = \bigvee\{N \otimes M: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq (N \otimes M)_-\}.$$

For $E \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ and $L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq E_- = \bigvee\{(E_1 \otimes E_2)_-: E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E\}$, we have

$$L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq (E_1 \otimes E_2)_- \quad \text{for any } E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E.$$

Thus

$$E_1 \otimes E_2 \in \{N \otimes M: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq (N \otimes M)_-\}$$

and

$$E = \bigvee\{E_1 \otimes E_2: E_1 \otimes E_2 \leq E\} \leq \bigvee\{N \otimes M: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq (N \otimes M)_-\}.$$

Hence

$$\bigvee\{E: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq E_-\} \leq \bigvee\{N \otimes M: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq (N \otimes M)_-\}.$$

The converse inequality is obvious. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\sim} &= \bigvee\{E: L \not\leq E_-\} = \bigvee \bigcup_{L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L} \{E: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq E_-\} \\ &= \bigvee_{L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L} \bigvee\{E: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq E_-\} \\ &= \bigvee_{L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L} \bigvee\{N \otimes M: L_1 \otimes L_2 \not\leq (N \otimes M)_-\} \\ &= \bigvee_{L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L} \bigvee\{N \otimes M: N_- < L_1 \quad \text{or} \quad M_- < L_2\} \\ &= \bigvee\{(L_1 \otimes I) \vee (I \otimes L_2): L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L\} \\ &\geq \bigvee\{L_1 \otimes L_2: L_1 \otimes L_2 \leq L\} = L. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth equality follows from $(N \otimes M)_- = (N_- \otimes I) \vee (I \otimes M_-)$. Hence $L_{\sim}^{\perp} \leq L^{\perp}$.

Sufficiency. Suppose that there exists $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L^{\perp}$. For any $M \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, if $M \leq L$, then $(xy^*)M = L(xy^*)L^{\perp}M = (0) \subseteq M_-$; if $M \not\leq L$, then $(xy^*)M \subseteq L \leq M_-$. Thus, by the definition of \mathcal{U} , $xy^* \in \mathcal{U}$. \square

Theorem 11.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w &= \{T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}): T(N \otimes M) \subseteq (N \otimes M)_- \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{N}, M \in \mathcal{M}\} \\ &= \{T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}): TL \subseteq L_- \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [3, Proposition 2.4], $L = \bigvee\{N \otimes M: N \otimes M \leq L\}$ for all $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $L_- = \bigvee\{(N \otimes M)_-: N \otimes M \leq L\}$. Thus it is routine to prove that $\{T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}): T(N \otimes M) \subseteq (N \otimes M)_- \quad \forall N \in \mathcal{N}, M \in \mathcal{M}\} = \{T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}): TL \subseteq L_- \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}\}$.

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ be the linear span of rank one operators in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$. It follows from [7, Theorem 3] that there exists a net $\{F_\alpha\} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ such that

$$F_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} I,$$

where F_α is a finite linear combination of rank one operators in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$. Thus

$$F_\alpha T \xrightarrow{w} T$$

and $F_\alpha T$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w \supseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w.$$

If $xy^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}} \subseteq \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, then there exists $E \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in E$ and $y \in E^\perp$ by Proposition 7. For any $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, if $L \leq E$ then $(xy^*)L = E(xy^*)E^\perp L = (0)$; if $L \not\leq E$ then $(xy^*)L = E(xy^*)E^\perp L \subseteq E \subseteq L_-$. Thus

$$xy^* \in \{T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}): TL \subseteq L_- \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w \subseteq \{T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}): TL \subseteq L_- \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Conversely, set $\mathcal{U} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2): TL \subseteq L_-\}$. Then $\mathcal{U} \cap \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ is a weakly closed module of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$. Just like in the above paragraph, we can show that $\mathcal{U} \cap \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ is weakly generated by rank one operators in itself. For any rank one operator $xy^* \in \mathcal{U} \cap \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, it follows from Lemma 10 that

there exists $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in L$ and $y \in L^\perp$. Since $xy^* \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, so $xy^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ by Proposition 7. Hence

$$\mathcal{U} \cap \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w = \{T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) : TL \subseteq L_- \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}\}.$$

□

Corollary 12. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w$ have the same rank one operators.

Proof. If $xy^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w$, it follows from Lemma 10 and Theorem 11 that there exist $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in L$ and $y \in L^\perp$. By Proposition 7, $xy^* \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}$. □

Corollary 13. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ if and only if at least one of \mathcal{N} , \mathcal{M} is continuous.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose that \mathcal{N} is continuous. It follows from Lemma 2 that for any $T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}, M \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$T(N \otimes M) \subseteq N \otimes M \subseteq (N \otimes I) \vee (I \otimes M_-) = (N \otimes M)_-.$$

So $T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w$ by Theorem 11 and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$ and \mathcal{N} , \mathcal{M} are not continuous. Thus there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $N \neq N_-$ and $M \neq M_-$. Thus we can choose non-zero vectors $x_1 \in N \ominus N_-$ and $x_2 \in M \ominus M_-$. By virtue of Lemma 4, the rank one operator $(x_1 \otimes x_2)(x_1 \otimes x_2)^*$ belongs to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}}^w$. But it follows from Lemma 10 and Theorem 11 that there exists $L \in \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in L$ and $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in L^\perp$. This contradiction shows that at least one of \mathcal{N} , \mathcal{M} is continuous. □

References

- [1] *K. Davidson, J. Orr*: The Jacobson radical of a CSL algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **344** (1994), 925–947. [Zbl 0812.47046](#)
- [2] *J. A. Erdos*: On finite rank operators in nest algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 391–397. [Zbl 0169.17501](#)
- [3] *F. Gilfeather, A. Hopenwasser, and D. Larson*: Reflexive algebras with finite width lattices: tensor products, cohomology, compact perturbation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **55** (1984), 176–199. [Zbl 0564.47021](#)
- [4] *A. Hopenwasser*: The radical of a reflexive algebra. *Pacific J. Math.* **65** (1976), 375–392. [Zbl 0321.46054](#)

- [5] *A. Hopenwasser, R. Moore*: Finite rank operators in reflexive operator algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.* *27* (1983), 331–338. [Zbl 0488.47004](#)
- [6] *C. Laurie, W. Longstaff*: A note on rank-one operators in reflexive algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* *89* (1983), 293–297. [Zbl 0569.47009](#)
- [7] *W. Longstaff*: Strongly reflexive lattices. *J. London Math. Soc.* *11* (1975), 491–498. [Zbl 0313.47002](#)
- [8] *W. Longstaff*: Operators of rank one in reflexive algebras. *Canadian J. Math.* *28* (1976), 19–23. [Zbl 0317.46052](#)
- [9] *J. R. Ringrose*: On some algebras of operators. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* *15* (1965), 61–83. [Zbl 0135.16804](#)
- [10] *J. R. Ringrose*: On some algebras of operators II. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* *15* (1965), 61–83. [Zbl 0156.14301](#)

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, P.R. China, e-mail: dongzhe@zju.edu.cn.