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# CORRECTION TO THE PAPER <br> "EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM WITH SUPERLINEAR NONLINEARITIES"* 

Andrzej Nowakowski and Andrzej Rogowski, Łódź

## 5. Example

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+W_{x}(t, x(t))=0 \quad \text { a.e. in }[0, T],  \tag{5.1}\\
x(0)=0=x(T)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $W(t, \cdot), t \in[0, T]$, is a convex, Frechet continuously differentiable function, $W(\cdot, x)$ is a measurable function for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, W_{x}(\cdot, 0)$ is continuous in $[0, T]$. Moreover $W$ satisfies the following growth condition:
there exist $0<\beta_{1}, 0<\beta_{2}, q_{1}>1, q>2, k_{1} \leqslant 0 \leqslant k_{2}$ such that for $t \in[0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
k_{1}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{q_{1}}|x|^{q_{1}} \leqslant W(t, x) \leqslant \frac{\beta_{2}}{q}|x|^{q}+k_{2} .
$$

In the notation of the paper we have $L\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ and $V(t, x)=W(t, x)$. It is easily seen that assumptions (H) and (H1) are satisfied. Therefore what we have to do is to construct a nonempty set $X$ defined in Section 1. To this effect let us take any $k>0$ and let $\bar{X}$ denote the same as in Section 1 with the new $L$ and $V$. We assume the hypotheses
( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ) $T^{2}\left(\beta_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\left(k+k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+1\right)^{q-1} \leqslant k$.
(H2) $W_{x}(0,0) \neq 0$, or $W_{x}(T, 0) \neq 0$.
We shall show that the set

$$
\tilde{X}=\left\{v \in \bar{X}: 0<\|v\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant k, v^{\prime} \in A\right\}
$$

[^0]is the set $X$ which we are looking for. That means: we must prove that for each function $x \in \tilde{X}$ the function
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
w: t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} W_{x}(\tau, x(\tau)) d \tau+a t=w_{0}(t)+a t \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

belongs to $\tilde{X}$ for $a=-T^{-1} w_{0}(T)$. We easily observe that $w \in A_{0,0}$ and $w^{\prime}$ is absolutely continuous. Note, that in view of our assumption on $W$ we get the estimation

$$
\left\|W_{x}(\cdot, x(\cdot))\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant\left(\beta_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\left(\|x(\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}}+k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+1\right)^{q-1}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant \frac{T^{2}}{2}\left(\beta_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\left(\|x(\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}}+k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+1\right)^{q-1}
$$

Hence, as $x \in \tilde{X}$, we have

$$
\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant \frac{T^{2}}{2}\left(\beta_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\left(k+k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+1\right)^{q-1}
$$

and, by $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right),\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left|w_{0}(T)\right| \leqslant k$. Moreover, by (H2) $w$ is not identically zero. Actually, if $w(t) \equiv 0$ for some $x \in X$ then $W_{x}(t, x(t))=0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Taking into account (H2), the latter equality is in contrary to boundary values of $x$ $(x(0)=0$ and $x(T)=0)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant k \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that if we take $k_{3}$ sufficiently large then

$$
\int_{0}^{T} W(t, z(t)) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{T}\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+k_{3}
$$

for all $z$ satisfying (5.3). Therefore $w \in \tilde{X}$, and we can put $X=\tilde{X}$. It is also clear that the set $X=\tilde{X}$ is nonempty. Thus all assumptions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, so we come to the following theorem with $L=\frac{1}{2}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}$.

Theorem 5.1. There exists a pair $\left(\bar{x}, \bar{p}+d_{\bar{p}}\right)$ which is a solution to (5.1) such that $\bar{x} \neq 0$ and

$$
J(\bar{x})=\min _{x \in X} J(x)=\min _{p \in X^{d}} \max _{d \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} J_{D}(p, d)=J_{D}\left(\bar{p}, d_{\bar{p}}\right) .
$$

The authors would like to thank to Prof. S. Walczak for pointing that the example needs correction.
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