

F. Azarpanah; M. Karavan

On nonregular ideals and z° -ideals in $C(X)$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 2, 397–407

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127986>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON NONREGULAR IDEALS AND z° -IDEALS IN $C(X)$

F. AZARPANAH and M. KARAVAN, Ahvaz

(Received July 25, 2002)

Abstract. The spaces X in which every prime z° -ideal of $C(X)$ is either minimal or maximal are characterized. By this characterization, it turns out that for a large class of topological spaces X , such as metric spaces, basically disconnected spaces and one-point compactifications of discrete spaces, every prime z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is either minimal or maximal. We will also answer the following questions: When is every nonregular prime ideal in $C(X)$ a z° -ideal? When is every nonregular (prime) z -ideal in $C(X)$ a z° -ideal? For instance, we show that every nonregular prime ideal of $C(X)$ is a z° -ideal if and only if X is a ∂ -space (a space in which the boundary of any zero set is contained in a zero set with empty interior).

Keywords: z° -ideal, prime z -ideal, nonregular ideal, almost P -space, ∂ -space, m -space

MSC 2000: 54C40

1. INTRODUCTION

Important ideals concerning primes in $C(X)$ are z -ideals. A special case of z -ideals consisting entirely of zero divisors are z° -ideals which play a fundamental role in studying nonregular prime ideals. We will investigate the relations between ideals consisting entirely of zero divisors, such as z° -ideals, nonregular prime ideals, prime z° -ideals and so on. We will also characterize the topological spaces X for which some of these ideals in $C(X)$ coincide. In a commutative ring R , an ideal I consisting entirely of zero divisors is called a nonregular ideal. For each $a \in R$, let P_a be the intersection of all minimal prime ideals containing a . A proper ideal I is called a z° -ideal if for each $a \in I$ we have $P_a \subseteq I$, see [3] and [4]. Clearly P_a itself is a z° -ideal. In $C(X)$, the ideal P_f , $f \in C(X)$ is both an algebraic and a topological object which is presented in Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 in [2] as follows:

The first author is partially supported by Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM), Tehran.

Proposition 1.1. *For every $f \in C(X)$, we have*

$$P_f = \{g \in C(X) : \text{Ann}(f) \subseteq \text{Ann}(g)\} = \{g \in C(X) : \text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g)\}.$$

It is easy to see that an ideal I in $C(X)$ is a z° -ideal if and only if $f \in I$ and $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g)$ imply that $g \in I$. For the other equivalent definitions of z° -ideals in $C(X)$, see Proposition 2.2 in [3]. Important z° -ideals in any ring are minimal prime ideals. For every $f \in C(X)$, $\text{Ann}(f)$ and $\forall x \in X, O_x$ are z° -ideals in $C(X)$. If $S \subseteq X$ is a regular closed set in X , i.e., if $\text{cl}(\text{int } S) = S$, then $M_S = \{f \in C(X) : S \subseteq Z(f)\}$ is also a z° -ideal in $C(X)$. In particular, whenever $Z(f)$ is regular closed, then $M_f = \{g \in C(X) : Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)\}$, the intersection of all maximal ideals containing f , is a z° -ideal. We recall that I is a z -ideal in a ring R if $a \in I$ implies that $M_a \subseteq I$, where M_a is the intersection of all maximal ideals containing a . Equivalently, I is a z -ideal in $C(X)$ if $f \in I$ and $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$ imply that $g \in I$. It is easy to see that every z° -ideal is a z -ideal but not conversely, see [3], Remark 2.4.

Nonregular ideals and z° -ideals are investigated in [3] and [4] in an arbitrary reduced commutative rings and in $C(X)$ and it is shown that every nonregular ideal (in a reduced ring with some property, see [4] and in $C(X)$, see [3]) is contained in a z° -ideal. We give a short proof for this result in $C(X)$.

Proposition 1.2. *If I is a nonregular ideal in $C(X)$, then I is contained in a z° -ideal.*

Proof. $J = \sum_{f \in I} P_f$ is a z° -ideal and $I \subseteq J$. To see this, we note that each element of J is a zero divisor, i.e., J is a proper ideal. Now let $h = h_1 + \dots + h_n$, where $h_i \in P_{f_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; then $h \in P_f$, where $f = f_1^2 + \dots + f_n^2$, i.e., $h \in J$. \square

The proof of the following proposition is similar to that of Theorem 14.7 in [7] and hence we leave it to the reader, see also [3] and [4].

Proposition 1.3. *If I is a z° -ideal and P is a prime ideal in $C(X)$ minimal over I , then P is also a z° -ideal.*

Corollary 1.4. *Every nonregular ideal in $C(X)$ is contained in a prime z° -ideal. In particular, every nonregular maximal ideal is z° -ideal.*

In [3], the spaces X in which every prime z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is minimal are investigated. By Proposition 1.26 and Theorem 1.28 in [4] and Corollary 5.5 in [8], the equivalence of the first two parts of the following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 1.5. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every prime z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is minimal.*
- (ii) *For any zero set Z in X there exists a zero set F in X such that $Z \cup F = X$ and $\text{int } Z \cap \text{int } F = \emptyset$.*
- (iii) *For any zero set Z in X , $\text{cl}(\text{int } Z)$ is the support of some zero set in X , i.e., there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that $\text{cl}(\text{int } Z(g)) = \text{cl}(X \setminus Z(g))$.*

Proof. (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) Suppose that $\forall f \in C(X)$, $\exists g \in C(X)$ such that $\text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f)) = \text{cl}(X \setminus Z(g))$. Then $\text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f)) = X \setminus \text{int } Z(g)$ implies that $\text{int } Z(f) \cap \text{int } Z(g) = \emptyset$ and $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \supseteq Z(f) \cup \text{int } Z(g) = Z(f) \cup (X \setminus \text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f))) \supseteq Z(f) \cup (X \setminus Z(f)) = X$. Conversely, suppose $\forall f \in C(X)$, $\exists g \in C(X)$ such that $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$ and $\text{int } Z(f) \cap \text{int } Z(g) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq X \setminus \text{int } Z(g) = \text{cl}(X \setminus Z(g)) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f))$ implies that $\text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f)) \subseteq \text{cl}(X \setminus Z(g)) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f))$ and hence $\text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f)) = \text{cl}(X \setminus Z(g))$. \square

By the above proposition, whenever X is a metric space or a basically disconnected space, then every prime z° -ideal of $C(X)$ is minimal. In this case, in fact for every zero set Z , $F = X \setminus \text{int } Z$ is also a zero set and clearly $Z \cup F = X$ and $\text{int } Z \cap \text{int } F = \emptyset$. Existence of spaces X in which every prime z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is minimal or maximal is shown in [3]. This kind of spaces are also investigated in [9] for prime z -ideals in $C(X)$. In [3], it is also shown that there exist spaces X with a prime z° -ideal in $C(X)$ which is neither a minimal nor a maximal ideal. Our aim in Section 3 is characterization of the spaces X in which every prime z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is either minimal or maximal.

We observe that every z° -ideal is a nonregular ideal, but every nonregular ideal need not be even a z -ideal. Clearly the first natural question concerning nonregular ideals, z -ideals and z° -ideals in $C(X)$ are as follows: When is every nonregular ideal (z -ideal) a z° -ideal? In [3], Proposition 2.12, it is shown that X is P -space if and only if every nonregular ideal in $C(X)$ is z° -ideal. In [3], Theorem 2.14, it is also proved that X is an almost P -space if and only if every z -ideal of $C(X)$ is z° -ideal. Now there are three other natural questions which are not answered in [3]. We present these questions as follows:

1. When is every nonregular z -ideal a z° -ideal?
2. When is every nonregular prime z -ideal a z° -ideal?
3. When is every nonregular prime ideal a z° -ideal?

We are going to answer these questions in Section 4. It turns out that for any metric space X , every nonregular prime ideal in $C(X)$ is z° -ideal. By our characterizations, it is also easy to see that for the non-almost P -space $Y = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \dots\}$, there is a nonregular z -ideal in $C(Y)$ which is not a z° -ideal.

In the next section, we will study the extension of ideals of $C^*(X)$ in $C(X)$ for later use. Throughout, X will denote a completely regular Hausdorff space and $C(X)$ ($C^*(X)$) is the ring of all (bounded) real valued continuous functions on X . Ideals in $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ are considered proper ideals and we refer the readers to [3] and [7] for undefined terms, notations and general information about $C(X)$.

2. EXTENSION OF AN IDEAL OF $C^*(X)$ IN $C(X)$

In [11] Lemma 0.2, it is shown that $C(X)$ is the ring of fractions of $C^*(X)$ with respect to the multiplicatively closed set $S = \{f \in C^*(X) : Z(f) = \emptyset\}$. In this section we will investigate the extension of nonregular ideals of $C^*(X)$ in $C(X)$. The *extension* of an ideal I of $C^*(X)$ in $C(X)$ is denoted by $I^e = IC(X)$. For an ideal I of $C^*(X)$, we have $I^e \neq C(X)$ if and only if $I \cap S = \emptyset$. We denote $I^e \cap C^*(X)$ by I^{ec} and call an ideal I in $C^*(X)$ with $I \cap S = \emptyset$ is *contracted* if $I = I^{ec}$. In commutative rings, it is well-known that prime ideals, semiprime ideals and primary ideals disjoint from S are contracted, see [1]. Since for every nonregular ideal I in $C^*(X)$, we have $I \cap S = \emptyset$ and every z° -ideal (minimal prime ideal) in $C^*(X)$ is a nonregular semiprime ideal, see [4], Remark 1.6, the following result is evident.

Proposition 2.1. *z° -ideals and minimal prime ideals of $C^*(X)$ are contracted.*

Proposition 2.2. *If $S^{-1}R$ is the ring of fractions of a commutative ring R with respect to a saturated multiplicatively closed set $S \subseteq R$, and $S^{-1}R \setminus R$ has nonunits, then each ideal I with $I \cap S = \emptyset$ is contracted if and only if $R = S^{-1}R$.*

Proof. If $R = S^{-1}R$, then we are through. Conversely, let $a/s \in S^{-1}R$ with $a/s \notin R$ and also we may assume that $a \notin S$. Now we must have $(as)^{ec} = (as)$. But $a = as/s$ shows that $a \in (as)^{ec} = (as)$, i.e., $a = ast$, $t \in R$. Hence $a/s = at \in R$, which is impossible. □

Now the above fact implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Every ideal I in $C^*(X)$ with $I \cap S = \emptyset$ is contracted if and only if X is pseudocompact. (Note that $S = \{f \in C^*(X) : Z(f) = \emptyset\}$.)*

Proposition 2.4. *Let I be an ideal in $C^*(X)$ and suppose $S = \{f \in C^*(X) : Z(f) = \emptyset\}$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If I is a z° -ideal, then I^e is also a z° -ideal. Whenever I is contracted, the converse is also true.*
- (ii) *If $I \cap S = \emptyset$ and I is prime, then I^e is. The converse is true if I is contracted.*

- (iii) If I is a minimal prime ideal, then I^e is also a minimal prime ideal. The converse is true if I is contracted.
- (iv) If I is a nonregular prime ideal, then I^e is. The converse is true if I is contracted.
- (v) If $I \cap S = \emptyset$ and I is maximal, then I^e is. The converse is true if I is contracted.

P r o o f. Parts (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are true in any commutative ring of fractions. We will prove part (i) and for the other parts we refer the reader to [1]. If I is a z° -ideal, then it is contracted by Proposition 2.1 and hence $I = I^e \cap C^*(X)$. Let $f \in I^e$, $g \in C(X)$ and $\text{Ann}_{C(X)}(f) = \text{Ann}_{C(X)}(g)$. Therefore $\text{Ann}_{C^*(X)}\left(\frac{f}{1+|f|}\right) = \text{Ann}_{C^*(X)}\left(\frac{g}{1+|g|}\right)$ and $\frac{f}{1+|f|} \in I$ implies that $\frac{g}{1+|g|} \in I$, see Proposition 1.4 in [4]. Hence $g \in I^e$ implies that I^e is a z° -ideal in $C(X)$. Conversely, let I^e be a z° -ideal in $C(X)$, $f \in I$, $g \in C^*(X)$ and $\text{Ann}_{C^*(X)}(f) = \text{Ann}_{C^*(X)}(g)$. Clearly $\text{Ann}_{C(X)}(f) = \text{Ann}_{C(X)}(g)$ and since $f \in I \subseteq I^e$, then $g \in I^e \cap C^*(X)$, implies that $g \in I$, i.e., I is a z° -ideal in $C^*(X)$. \square

3. SPACES X IN WHICH EVERY PRIME z° -IDEAL IN $C(X)$ IS EITHER MINIMAL OR MAXIMAL

In Proposition 1.5, we observed that every prime z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is minimal if and only if for every zeroset Z in X there exists a zeroset F in X such that $Z \cup F = X$ and $\text{int } Z \cap \text{int } F = \emptyset$. By Corollary 5.5 in [8], this is equivalent to compactness of the space of minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$. Let us call a space X *m-space* if every prime z° -ideal of $C(X)$ is minimal. We will also call a space X *quasi m-space* if every prime z° -ideal of $C(X)$ is either minimal or maximal. Clearly every *m-space* is a *quasi m-space*, but a *quasi m-space* need not be an *m-space*, see Examples 3.3. Our aim in this section is to recognize most of these spaces by a topological characterization. To prove the main result of this section, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in C(X)$, then $\sum_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2} = \bigcup_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2}$ is a z° -ideal in $C(X)$.*

P r o o f. Clearly $\bigcup_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2} \subseteq \sum_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2}$. Now we let

$$g \in \sum_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2},$$

then $g = g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n$, where $g_i \in P_{f^2+h_i^2}$ for $h_i \in \text{Ann}(f)$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If we define $h = h_1^2 + \dots + h_n^2$, then $h \in \text{Ann}(f)$ and $\text{int } Z(f^2 + h^2) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int } Z(h_i)\right) \cap$

$\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int } Z(g_i) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g)$ imply that $g \in P_{f^2+h^2}$ by Proposition 1.1. This means that $\sum_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2} \subseteq \cup_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2}$. Finally, since every $P_{f^2+h^2}$ is a z° -ideal, clearly $\bigcup_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2}$ is also a z° -ideal. \square

Next we prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is quasi m -space.
- (ii) $\forall p \in \beta X$ and $\forall f, g \in M^p, \exists h \in \text{Ann}(f)$ and $k \notin M^p$ such that $\text{Ann}(f^2 + h^2) \subseteq \text{Ann}(gk)$.
- (iii) $\forall p \in \beta X$ and every two zerosets Z and F in X with $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} F$, there exist zerosets Z' and F' such that $Z \cup Z' = X, p \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} F'$ and $\text{int}_X Z \cap \text{int}_X Z' \subseteq \text{int}_X (F \cup F')$.

Proof. The equivalence of parts (ii) and (iii) is evident by Lemma 2.1 in [3]. We will show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. First suppose that (ii) holds and P is a prime z° -ideal, $P \subseteq M^p$ for some $p \in \beta X$ and $P \neq M^p$. Then $\exists g \in M^p$ such that $g \notin P$. If P is not minimal, then $\exists f \in C(X)$ such that $(f, \text{Ann}(f)) \subseteq P$. Now by part (ii), $\exists h \in \text{Ann}(f)$ and $k \notin M^p$ such that $\text{Ann}(f^2 + h^2) \subseteq \text{Ann}(gk)$. Since $f^2 + h^2 \in P$ and P is z° -ideal, then $gk \in P$ (note that for $u, v \in C(X)$, $\text{Ann}(u) \subseteq \text{Ann}(v)$ if and only if $\text{int } Z(u) \subseteq \text{int } Z(v)$, see also Proposition 2.2 in [3]). But $k \notin P$, for $k \notin M^p$, hence $g \in P$, a contradiction. Conversely, let every prime z° -ideal of $C(X)$ be minimal or maximal. Assume that part (ii) does not hold; then $\exists p \in \beta X$ and $\exists f, g \in M^p$ such that $\forall h \in \text{Ann}(f)$ and $k \notin M^p, \text{Ann}(f^2 + h^2) \not\subseteq \text{Ann}(gk)$. Consider $S = \{g^n k : k \notin M^p, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $I = \bigcup_{h \in \text{Ann}(f)} P_{f^2+h^2}$. Obviously S is closed under multiplication. We also have $I \cap S = \emptyset$, for if $g^n k \in P_{f^2+h^2}$ for some n and $h \in \text{Ann}(f)$, then by Proposition 1.1, $\text{Ann}(f^2 + h^2) \subseteq \text{Ann}(gk)$ which is impossible by our hypothesis. So there exists a prime ideal P which $I \subseteq P$ and $P \cap S = \emptyset$. We have already observed in Lemma 3.1 that I is a z° -ideal and hence by Proposition 1.3, P is also a z° -ideal, for we may assume that P is minimal over I . Now $P \cap S = \emptyset$ and $C(X) \setminus M^p \subseteq S$ imply that $P \subseteq M^p$. On the other hand, since $(f, \text{Ann}(f)) \subseteq P$, then P is not minimal and hence it must be maximal, i.e., $P = M^p$. This implies that $g \in M^p = P$, a contradiction. \square

Examples 3.3. We observed in Section 1 that every metric space and every basically disconnected space is an m -space and the space Σ (see [7], 4M for details) is an m -space which is not metrizable. By the following proposition, βX is also an m -space, whenever X is an m -space. In particular $\beta \mathbb{R}$ is an m -space. If X is the one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space, then X is a quasi

m -space which is not an m -space. To see this, let $p \in X$ be the only nonisolated point of X , then $\forall f \in M_p$, $X \setminus Z(f)$ is countable, for $Z(f)$ is a G_δ -set. Since $\forall f \in M_p$, $\text{int } Z(f) \neq \emptyset$, then M_p is a nonregular ideal and according to Corollary 1.4, M_p is a z° -ideal. On the other hand, M_p is not minimal, then X is not an m -space. Now we show that X is a quasi m -space. Let $f, g \in M_p$, since $X \setminus Z(g)$ is countable, then $Z(f) \setminus Z(g)$ is also countable. We define $h \in C(X)$ such that $X \setminus Z(h) = Z(f) \setminus Z(g)$. Hence $h \in \text{Ann}(f)$ and $Z(f^2 + h^2) \subseteq Z(g)$ implies that $\text{int } Z(f^2 + h^2) \subseteq \text{int } Z(gk)$, $\forall k \in C(X)$. Therefore by Theorem 3.2, X is a quasi m -space. For an example which is not a quasi m -space, let D be the one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space X with the only nonisolated point δ . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose D_n is a copy of D with nonisolated point δ_n . Let Y be the quotient space of the free union $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \cup \mathbb{R}$ by identifying each point $\frac{1}{n}$ with the point δ_n . Since \mathbb{R} and D_n , $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ are normal, clearly Y is also a normal space. To see that Y is not a quasi m -space, suppose it is. Consider $f, g \in C(Y)$ where $Z(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \cup \{0\}$, $Z(g) = \{0\}$ and there exists $h \in \text{Ann}(f)$ and $k \notin M_0$ such that $\text{int } Z(f) \cap \text{int } Z(h) \subseteq \text{int}[Z(g) \cup Z(k)]$. Since $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq Z(h)$, then $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \text{cl}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \subseteq Z(h)$ and hence $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \text{int } Z(h)$. On the other hand, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \subseteq \text{int } Z(f)$ implies that $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \text{int } Z(f) \cap \text{int } Z(h)$. Hence $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq Z(k)$ implies that $0 \in Z(k)$ which is a contradiction. For another example which is not a quasi m -space, see [3].

By Proposition 2.4 and the fact that $C(X)$ is a ring of fractions of $C^*(X)$, the following result is clear.

Proposition 3.4.

- (i) X is an m -space if and only if βX is.
- (ii) X is a quasi m -space if and only if βX is.

Remark 3.5. It is easy to check that X is basically disconnected if and only if $\forall f \in C(X)$, $\exists g \in C(X)$ such that $\text{int } Z(f) \cup \text{int } Z(g) = X$ and $\text{int } Z(f) \cap \text{int } Z(g) = \emptyset$. Therefore every basically disconnected space is an m -space and hence a quasi m -space. Since every metric space is an m -space, not every m -space is basically disconnected.

Remark 3.6. A point $p \in X$ is said to be an *almost P -point* if $\forall f \in M_p$, $\text{int}_X Z(f) \neq \emptyset$, and X is called an *almost P -space* if every point of X is an almost P -point. Now if the compact space X has no almost P -point, then every maximal ideal in $C(X)$ is regular and hence $C(X)$ has no maximal z° -ideal. In fact, if X is a quasi m -space but not an m -space, then X has at least one almost P -point.

4. NONREGULAR IDEALS AND z° -IDEALS

In this section we are going to answer the questions which are mentioned in Section 1. It is easy to see that a space X is an almost P -space if every zeroset in X is a regular closed. We refer the reader to [2], [5], [10] and [12] for more details and properties of almost P -spaces. Now we want to define a weak almost P -space, namely *w.almost P -space*. A w.almost P -space is a topological space X in which for every two zerosets Z and F , whenever $\text{int } Z \subseteq \text{int } F$, then there exists a zeroset E in X with empty interior such that $Z \subseteq F \cup E$. Clearly every almost P -space is w.almost P -space, for if $\text{int } Z \subseteq \text{int } F$, then $Z = \text{cl}(\text{int } Z) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } F) = F$ and hence we consider $E = \emptyset$. But every w.almost P -space is not necessarily an almost P -space, for example consider $\alpha\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots\}$. More generally, any space in which every closed set (boundary of any zeroset) is contained in a zeroset with empty interior (for example a metric space) is a w.almost P -space. To see this let $f, g \in C(X)$ and $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g)$. Then $Z(f) \setminus Z(g) \subseteq Z(f) \setminus \text{int } Z(g) \subseteq Z(f) \setminus \text{int } Z(f)$ and the closed set $Z(f) \setminus \text{int } Z(f)$ is contained in a zeroset with empty interior, say $Z(h)$. Hence $Z(f) \setminus Z(g) \subseteq Z(h)$ with $\text{int } Z(h) = \emptyset$ which implies that $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$, i.e., X is a w.almost P -space.

To prove the first theorem of this section, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If every zeroset in X with nonempty interior is open (regular closed), then every zeroset in X is open (regular closed).*

Proof. Let $0 \neq f \in C(X)$; then $\exists g \in C(X)$ such that $\text{int } Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$. First suppose that every zeroset with nonempty interior is open. Since $Z(g)$ and $Z(fg) = Z(f) \cup Z(g)$ are open sets, then $Z(f)$ is also open, for $Z(f)$ and $Z(g)$ are disjoint. Now let every zeroset with nonempty interior be regular closed and suppose that $Z(f)$ is not empty but $\text{int } Z(f) = \emptyset$. Since $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$, it is easy to see that $\text{int}(Z(f) \cup Z(g)) = \text{int } Z(g)$. Now we have $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = Z(fg) = \text{cl}(\text{int } Z(fg)) = \text{cl}(\text{int}(Z(f) \cup Z(g))) = \text{cl}(\text{int } Z(g)) = Z(g)$. This implies that $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$ which is impossible for $Z(f)$ and $Z(g)$ are disjoint. Therefore $\text{int } Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $Z(f)$ is also regular closed by our hypothesis. \square

Theorem 4.2.

- (i) *Every nonregular z -ideal in $C(X)$ is a z° -ideal if and only if X is an almost P -space.*
- (ii) *Every nonregular prime z -ideal in $C(X)$ is a z° -ideal if and only if X is a w.almost P -space.*

Proof. (i) Let every nonregular z -ideal in $C(X)$ be a z° -ideal. By Lemma 4.1, it is enough to show that every zeroset with nonempty interior is a regular closed. Hence

suppose that $f \in C(X)$ and $\text{int } Z(f) \neq \emptyset$. Since M_f is a nonregular z -ideal in $C(X)$, then by our hypothesis it is a z° -ideal. Suppose that $\text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f)) \neq Z(f)$, then $\exists x \in Z(f) \setminus \text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f))$. Define $h \in C(X)$ such that $h(x) = 1$ and $h(\text{cl}(\text{int } Z(f))) = 0$. Since $Z(h)$ does not contain $Z(f)$, then $h \notin M_f$, but $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(h)$, a contradiction for M_f is a z° -ideal. Therefore $Z(f)$ is a regular closed, i.e., X is an almost P -space. Conversely, if X is an almost P -space, then every z -ideal in $C(X)$ is a z° -ideal; see [3], Theorem 2.14.

(ii) First suppose that every nonregular prime z -ideal in $C(X)$ is a z° -ideal. To the contrary, suppose that $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g)$ and for every $h \in C(X)$ with $\text{int } Z(h) = \emptyset$, $Z(gh)$ does not contain $Z(f)$. Therefore $gh \notin M_f, \forall h \in C(X)$ with $\text{int } Z(h) = \emptyset$. Now consider $S = \{g^n h : \text{int } Z(h) = \emptyset, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$. Clearly S is closed under multiplication and $M_f \cap S = \emptyset$, for M_f is a z -ideal and $Z(g^n h) = Z(gh), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now by Theorem 14.7 in [7], there exists a prime z -ideal P such that $M_f \subseteq P$ and $P \cap S = \emptyset$. $P \cap S = \emptyset$ implies that P is also a nonregular ideal and hence by our hypothesis, P must be a z° -ideal. But $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g), f \in P$ and $g \notin P$, a contradiction. Conversely, let X be a w.almost P -space, P be a nonregular z -ideal in $C(X)$, $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g)$ and $f \in P$. By our hypothesis, $\exists h \in C(X)$ with $\text{int } Z(h) = \emptyset$ and $Z(f) \subseteq Z(gh)$. Since P is a z -ideal, then $gh \in P$. But $h \notin P$, for h is not a zero divisor, hence $g \in P$, i.e., P is a z° -ideal. \square

Corollary 4.3. *X is a w.almost P -space if and only if $\forall f, g \in C(X)$, whenever $\text{int } Z(f) = \text{int } Z(g)$, then there exists a regular $h \in C(X)$ such that $Z(fh) = Z(gh)$.*

Proof. Let X be a w.almost P -space and $\text{int } Z(f) = \text{int } Z(g)$; then by the above theorem, there exist regular functions $h, k \in C(X)$ such that $Z(f) \subseteq Z(gk)$ and $Z(g) \subseteq Z(fh)$. Hence $Z(fhk) \subseteq Z(ghk) \subseteq Z(fhk)$, i.e., $Z(fhk) = Z(ghk)$, where hk is regular. Conversely, if $\text{int } Z(f) \subseteq \text{int } Z(g)$, then $\text{int } Z(f) = \text{int } Z(f^2 + g^2)$ implies that $Z(fh) = Z((f^2 + g^2)h)$ for some regular $h \in C(X)$ and hence $Z(f) \subseteq Z(fh) = Z((f^2 + g^2)h) \subseteq Z(gh)$, i.e., X is a w.almost P -space. \square

Next we prove the main theorem of this section.

First, let us call the space X a ∂ -space if the boundary of any zeroset in X is contained in a zeroset with empty interior. The class of topological ∂ -spaces includes metric spaces and more generally, the perfectly normal spaces. We have already shown that every ∂ -space X is a w.almost P -space; see the introduction of Section 4. But every w.almost P -space, even every (compact) almost P -space is not necessarily a ∂ -space. For example let X be an uncountable discrete space and $Y = X \cup \{p\}$ be the one-point compactification of the space X . Then clearly Y is an almost P -space, but $\forall f \in C(Y)$ with $f(p) = 0$ and infinite cozeroset, we have $\partial Z(f) = Z(f) \setminus \text{int } Z(f) = \{p\}$ which is not contained in a zeroset in Y with empty

interior; i.e., Y is not a ∂ -space. More generally, it is easy to see that the space X is an almost P -space and a ∂ -space if and only if X is P -space. This shows that there are almost P -spaces which are not ∂ -spaces and there are ∂ -spaces which are not almost P -spaces. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Every nonregular prime ideal of $C(X)$ is a z° -ideal if and only if X is a ∂ -space.*

Proof. We first suppose that there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $\partial Z(f) = Z(f) \setminus \text{int } Z(f)$ is not contained in a zeroset in X with empty interior. We will show that there is a nonregular prime ideal in $C(X)$ which is not even a z -ideal. To see this, let $l \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $Z(l) = \{0\}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} l^n(x)/x = \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$; see [7], 2G. Now consider $S = \{hl^n \circ f : \text{int } Z(h) = \emptyset, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $I = (f)$; note that $l^0 \circ f = 1$. Clearly S is closed under multiplication and $S \cap I = \emptyset$, for otherwise if $S \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then $hl^n \circ f = kf$, for some $k \in C(X)$ and $n \neq 0$. (In the case $n = 0$ we have $\text{int } Z(f) = \emptyset$ and $\partial Z(f) = Z(f)$ which contradicts our hypothesis). By our hypothesis, there exists $x \in Z(f) \setminus \text{int } Z(f)$ such that $x \notin Z(h)$. Now let (x_α) be a net in $X \setminus (Z(f) \cup Z(h))$ such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$. This shows that

$$k(x_\alpha) = h(x_\alpha) \frac{l^n(f(x_\alpha))}{f(x_\alpha)} \rightarrow \infty$$

which contradicts the continuity of k at x . Hence $S \cap I = \emptyset$ and therefore there exists a prime ideal P such that $P \cap S = \emptyset$ and $I = (f) \subseteq P$. Since S contains all non-zero divisors of $C(X)$, then P is a nonregular prime ideal. On the other hand $l \circ f \notin P$, $Z(l \circ f) = Z(f)$ and $f \in P$ which imply that P is not a z -ideal. Conversely suppose that X is a ∂ -space and let P be a nonregular prime ideal in $C(X)$, $\text{int } Z(f) = \text{int } Z(g)$ and $f \in P$. Since X is a ∂ -space, then there exists a nonzerodivisor $h \in C(X)$ such that $\partial Z(f) \subseteq Z(h)$ and $\partial Z(fg) \subseteq Z(h)$. Now we define $k(x) = h(x)f(x), \forall x \in \text{Coz}(fg)$ and $k(x) = h(x), \forall x \in Z(fg)$. Obviously k is continuous on $\text{Coz}(fg)$ and on $\text{int } Z(fg)$ and it is not hard to show that k is also continuous on $\partial Z(fg) \subseteq Z(h)$. We show that $fgk = fg$. For $x \notin Z(fg)$, we have $k = fh$ and equality holds. Now suppose that $x \in Z(fg) = Z(f) \cup Z(g)$. If $x \in Z(g)$, then $(fgk)(x) = (kg)(x) = 0$ and if $x \in Z(f)$, then either $x \in \text{int } Z(f) = \text{int } Z(g)$ which again $(fgk)(x) = (kg)(x) = 0$ or $x \in \partial Z(f)$ which implies that $x \in Z(h)$ and hence $(fgk)(x) = (kg)(x) = 0$. Therefore $fgk = fg$ and then $gk \in P$. But $\text{int } Z(k) = \emptyset$ implies that $k \notin P$ and consequently $g \in P$, i.e., P is a z° -ideal. \square

Corollary 4.5. *The only nonregular prime ideals of $C(X)$ are minimal prime ideals if and only if X is a ∂ -space and an m -space.*

By Proposition 2.4, the following corollary is evident.

Corollary 4.6. *X is a ∂ -space if and only if βX is.*

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Professor O. A. S. Karamzadeh for his advice and encouragement on this article. We are also indebted to Dr. A. R. Aliabad for useful conversation.

References

- [1] *M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald:* Introduction to Commutative Algebra. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969.
- [2] *F. Azarpanah:* On almost P -spaces. Far East J. Math. Sci, Special volume (2000), 121–132.
- [3] *F. Azarpanah, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and A. Rezaei Aliabad:* On z° -ideals in $C(X)$. Fund. Math. 160 (1999), 15–25.
- [4] *F. Azarpanah, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and A. Rezaei Aliabad:* On ideals consisting entirely of zero divisors. Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), 1061–1073.
- [5] *F. Dashiell, A. Hager and M. Henriksen:* Order-Cauchy completions and vector lattices of continuous functions. Canad. J. Math. XXXII (1980), 657–685.
- [6] *R. Engelking:* General Topology. PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
- [7] *L. Gillman and M. Jerison:* Rings of Continuous Functions. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1976.
- [8] *M. Henriksen and M. Jerison:* The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 110–130.
- [9] *M. Henriksen, J. Martinz and R. G. Woods:* Spaces X in which all prime z -ideals of $C(X)$ are minimal or maximal. International Conference on Applicable General Topology, Ankara. 2001.
- [10] *R. Levy:* Almost P -spaces. Canad. J. Math. 2 (1977), 284–288.
- [11] *M. A. Mulero:* Algebraic properties of rings of continuous functions. Fund. Math. 149 (1996), 55–66.
- [12] *A. I. Veksler:* P' -points, P' -sets, P' -spaces. A new class of order-continuous measures and functionals. Sov. Math. Dokl. 14 (1973), 1445–1450.

Authors' addresses: F. Azarpanah, Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM) and Department of Mathematics, Chamran University, Ahvaz, Iran, e-mail: azarpanah@ipm.ir; M. Karavan, Department of Mathematics, Chamran University, Ahvaz, Iran, e-mail: azarpanah@cua.ac.ir.