Miroslav Kureš; Włodzimierz M. Mikulski

Natural operators lifting vector fields to bundles of Weil contact elements

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 4, 855–867

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127935

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 54 (129) (2004), 855–867

NATURAL OPERATORS LIFTING VECTOR FIELDS TO BUNDLES OF WEIL CONTACT ELEMENTS

Miroslav Kureš, Brno, and Włodzimierz M. Mikulski, Kraków

(Received August 23, 2001)

Abstract. Let A be a Weil algebra. The bijection between all natural operators lifting vector fields from m-manifolds to the bundle functor K^A of Weil contact elements and the subalgebra of fixed elements SA of the Weil algebra A is determined and the bijection between all natural affinors on K^A and SA is deduced. Furthermore, the rigidity of the functor K^A is proved. Requisite results about the structure of SA are obtained by a purely algebraic approach, namely the existence of nontrivial SA is discussed.

Keywords: Weil algebra, Weil bundle, contact element, natural operator

MSC 2000: 58A32, 12D05, 58A20, 53A55

Introduction

A Weil algebra A is a local commutative $\mathbb{R}$-algebra with identity, the nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of which has a finite dimension as a vector space and $A/\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{R}$. We call the *order* of A the minimum $\operatorname{ord}(A)$ of the integers r satisfying $\mathfrak{n}^{r+1} = 0$ and the *width* of A $w(A) = \dim(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2)$.

One can assume that Weil algebras are finite dimensional factor $\mathbb{R}$-algebras of the algebra $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \ldots, t^k]$ of real polynomials in several indeterminates. That is, a Weil algebra A has the form $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \ldots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i}$, where $\mathfrak{m}^{r+1} \subset \mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ for some r, $\mathfrak{m} = \langle t^1, \ldots, t^k \rangle$ being the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \ldots, t^k]$ ($\mathfrak{i}$ with this property is called the *Weil ideal*). We consider only the case $w(A) \geqslant 1$ and the minimal number of indeterminates, i.e. $k = w(A)$ (then $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$). Of course, such an expression of the Weil algebra is not unique. Really, $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \ldots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i} \cong \mathbb{R}[t^1, \ldots, t^k]/\mathfrak{j}$ if and only if there is $G \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{R}[t^1 \ldots, t^k]$, $G(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{j}$.

The first author was supported by GA ČR, grant No. 201/99/0296.

Alternatively, one can assume that Weil algebras are finite dimensional factor $\mathbb{R}$-algebras of the algebra of germs $\mathscr{E}_k = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R})$, see [7, Proposition 35.5]. The fact that ideals in $\mathscr{E}_k$ can be generated by some polynomials induces the corresponding ideal $\underline{\mathfrak{i}}$ in $\mathscr{E}_k$ for every Weil ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \ldots, t^k]$.

Let $A = \mathscr{E}_k/\underline{\mathfrak{i}}$ be a Weil algebra and M an m-manifold. Two maps $g, h\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to M$, $g(0) = h(0) = x$ are said to be A-*equivalent* if $\alpha \circ g - \alpha \circ h \in \underline{\mathfrak{i}}$ for every germ α of a smooth function on M at x. Such an equivalence class will be denoted by $j^A g$ and called an A-*velocity* on M. The point $x = g(0)$ is said to be the *target* of $j^A g$. Denote by $T^A M$ the set of all A-velocities on M and by $T^A_x M$ the set of all A-velocities on M with the target x. T^A is a bundle functor on the category of all manifolds, see [7], and $T^A M$ is called the *Weil bundle*.

The theory of Weil bundles is a powerful tool for many problems in differential geometry. The important problem how a vector field on an m-manifold M can induce canonically a vector field on $T^A M$ has been solved completely by I. Kolář in [6] with the aid of the concept of natural operators. We remark that the best known example of a Weil bundle is the bundle $T^r_k M$ of k-dimensional velocities of order r on M, in particular, for $r = k = 1$ the tangent bundle on M.

Let $\operatorname{reg} T^A M \subset T^A M$ be the open subbundle of so-called *regular* A-velocities on M, i.e. if $A = \mathscr{E}_k/\underline{\mathfrak{i}}$, then $j^A g \in \operatorname{reg} T^A M \subset T^A M$ if and only if $g\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to M$ is of rank k at 0. The *contact element of type* A on M determined by $X \in \operatorname{reg} T^A M$ is the equivalence class $\operatorname{Aut} A_M(X) := \{\varphi(X);\ \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} A\}$, see [5]. We denote by $K^A M$ the set of all contact elements of type A on M. Quite recently, R. Alonso proved in [1] that $K^A M$ has a differentiable manifold structure and $\operatorname{reg} T^A M \to K^A M$ is a principal fiber bundle with structure group $\operatorname{Aut} A$. $K^A M$ is a generalization of higher order contact elements bundle $K^r_k M = \operatorname{reg} T^r_k M / G^r_k$ introduced by C. Ehresmann in [3].

In this paper, we study the problem how a vector field on an m-manifold M can induce canonically a vector field on $K^A M$. This problem is reflected in the concept of natural operators $\mathscr{A}\colon T_{|\mathscr{M}f_m} \rightsquigarrow TK^A$ in the sense of [7]. For $m \geqslant w(A) + 2$ we construct explicitly a bijection between all natural operators $\mathscr{A}\colon T_{|\mathscr{M}f_m} \rightsquigarrow TK^A$ and the subalgebra $SA = \{a \in A;\ \varphi(a) = a \text{ for all } \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} A\}$ of fixed elements of a Weil algebra A. This main result of the paper is stated in Section 2. In addition, the classification of natural affinors on K^A is established and a rigidity theorem for K^A is presented also in Section 2. Section 1 gives a purely algebraic description of A and can be read independently.

All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class C^∞.

1. On the subalgebra of fixed elements of a Weil algebra

1.1. Homogeneous Weil algebras.

We recall some known algebraic facts and formulate the definition of a homogeneous Weil algebra. First of all, the algebra $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$ is Noetherian. Thus every ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$ has a finite set of generators.

Every element $P \in \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$ can be written in the form of a finite sum $P = P_0 + P_1 + \dots + P_j + \dots$, where P_j is either zero or a homogeneous polynomial of degree j. P_j is called the *homogeneous component of degree* j of P. An ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$ is said to be *homogeneous* if the relation $P \in \mathfrak{i}$ implies that all homogeneous components of P are in $\mathfrak{i}$. An ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$ is homogeneous if and only if $\mathfrak{i}$ possesses homogeneous generators, see [15, Theorem VII.2.7]. In general, $G \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$ does not preserve the homogeneity of ideals, see Example (ix). (Nevertheless, linear automorphisms preserve the homogeneity of ideals.)

Let A be a Weil algebra. If there is an expression of A as $A \cong \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i}$, where i is a homogeneous Weil ideal, we call A a *homogeneous Weil algebra.*

Examples.

(i) For $k = 1$, every Weil algebra $A = \mathbb{R}[t]/\mathfrak{i}$ is homogeneous. In this case, $\mathfrak{i}$ is a principal ideal and a monomial of the lowest degree in $\mathfrak{i}$ can be taken as its generator.

(ii) $\mathbb{D}^r_k$ are homogeneous, $\mathbb{D}^r_k$ being the Weil algebras of functors of k-dimensional velocities of order r. Indeed, $\mathbb{D}^r_k = \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ and a power of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials.

(iii) $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^r_k$ are homogeneous, $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^r_k$ being the Weil algebras of functors of nonholonomic k-dimensional velocities of order r. Of course, we can realize $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^r_k$ as the factor algebra of $\mathbb{D}^r_{rk}$ in the following way $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^r_k \cong \mathbb{R}[t^1_1, \dots, t^k_r]/\langle\langle t^1_1, \dots, t^k_1\rangle^2, \dots, \langle t^1_r, \dots, t^k_r\rangle^2\rangle$ and the ideal has homogeneous generators. (Let us notice that $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^r_k \cong \mathbb{D}^1_k \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{D}^1_k$ and the use of example (vii) is possible, too.)

(iv) $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^r_k$ are homogeneous, $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^r_k$ being the Weil algebras of functors of semiholonomic k-dimensional velocities of order r. The proof is rather long, see [9].

(v) The first author introduced Weil algebras $\overset{\omega}{\mathbb{D}}{}^r_k$ of functors of ω-holonomic k-dimensional velocities of order r, which include nonholonomic and semiholonomic velocities as special cases. They are homogeneous, see also [9].

(vi) $\mathbb{Q}^r_k$ are homogeneous, $\mathbb{Q}^r_k$ being the Weil algebras of functors of k-dimensional quasivelocities of order r. For the proof, it suffices to take the expression of $\mathbb{Q}^r_k$ in the form $\mathbb{Q}^r_k = \mathbb{D}^r_{k(2^r-1)}/\mathfrak{i}$, where the ideal $\mathfrak{i}$ has homogeneous generators described in [13, Proposition 5].

(vii) If A and B are homogeneous Weil algebras, then $A \otimes B$ is homogeneous. Indeed, if $A = \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i}$ and $B = \mathbb{R}[t^{k+1}, \dots, t^{k+l}]/\mathfrak{j}$, then $A \otimes B \cong R[t^1, \dots, t^{k+l}]/\langle \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j} \rangle$ where $\langle \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j} \rangle$ is the least ideal in $R[t^1, \dots, t^{k+l}]$ which contains $\mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j}$ and its generators are homogeneous ditto generators $\mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j}$.

(viii) If A is a homogeneous Weil algebra and $\mathfrak{n}$ the ideal of all its nilpotent elements, then q-th underlying Weil algebras $A_q = A/\mathfrak{n}^{q+1}$, [5], are homogeneous for all $q = 1, \dots, r-1$, as for $A = \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i}$ we have $A_q \cong \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{m}^{q+1}$.

(ix) Let $A = \mathbb{R}[s,t]/\langle s^2 + 2st^2 + t^4 \rangle + \mathfrak{m}^5$. We demonstrate that A is homogeneous. First, we prove the nonhomogeneity of $\mathfrak{i} = \langle s^2 + 2st^2 + t^4 \rangle + \mathfrak{m}^5$. As $s^2 + 2st^2 + t^4 \in \mathfrak{i}$, we assume $s^2 \in \mathfrak{i}$. Then $s^2 \in PQ + \mathfrak{m}^4$, where $P = P(s,t)$ is some polynomial in s, t and $Q = s^2 + 2st^2$. Hence $s^2 = (k_1 + k_2 s + k_3 t + k_4 s^2 + \dots)(s^2 + 2st^2) + \dots = k_1 s^2 + 2k_1 st^2 + \dots$. Thus $k_1 = 1$ and $2k_1 = 0$. This is a contradiction, so $s^2 \notin \mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{i}$ is nonhomogeneous. We take $G \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$ in this way: $\bar{s} = s + t^2$, $\bar{t} = t$. Then $G(i) = \langle \bar{s}^2 \rangle + \mathfrak{m}^5$ and this is a homogeneous ideal in $\mathbb{R}[\bar{s}, \bar{t}]$. Hence A is homogeneous.

If $H\colon A \to B$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras, then H induces the induced homomorphism $\overline{H}\colon A/\mathfrak{i} \to B/\mathfrak{j}$ if and only if $H(\mathfrak{i}) \subset \mathfrak{j}$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. It is evident that for a homogeneous Weil ideal $\mathfrak{i}$, the homomorphism $H_\tau\colon \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k] \to \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]$, $H_\tau\colon P(t^1, \dots, t^k) \mapsto P(\tau t^1, \dots, \tau t^k)$, induces the homomorphism $\overline{H}_\tau\colon \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i} \to \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i}$, and $\overline{H}_\tau$ is an element of $\operatorname{Aut} A$ for $\tau \neq 0$, $A = \mathbb{R}[t^1, \dots, t^k]/\mathfrak{i}$.

Let $SA = \{a \in A;\ \varphi(a) = a \text{ for all } \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} A\}$ be the subalgebra of fixed elements of a Weil algebra A. We find easily the following assertion.

Proposition 1. *If A is a homogeneous Weil algebra, then SA is the trivial subalgebra $\mathbb{R} \cdot 1$.*

Proof. We take an arbitrary $\tau \in \mathbb{R} - \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then only constants possess the property $\overline{H}_\tau(a) = a$. □

1.2. Nonhomogeneous Weil algebras.

Example *of a nonhomogeneous Weil algebra with trivial subalgebra of fixed elements.*

Let $A = \mathbb{R}[s,t]/\langle s^2 + t^3 \rangle + \mathfrak{m}^4$. We demonstrate that A is nonhomogeneous and $SA = \mathbb{R} \cdot 1$.

In the first instance, we presume the homogeneity of A. This means that there is $G \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{R}[s,t]$ such that $G(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{j}$, where $\mathfrak{i} = \langle s^2 + t^3 \rangle + \mathfrak{m}^4$ and $\mathfrak{j}$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_L$. We can assume that the matrix of the linear part of G is the identity matrix. (If not, we compose G with a linear automorphism.)

Since $G^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}^3) \subset \mathfrak{m}^3$ and $s^2+t^3 \in \mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{m}^3$, $\mathfrak{j} \not\subset \mathfrak{m}^3$. Thus there is a homogeneous generator of $\mathfrak{j}$ with degree 2 and we can suppose that it is P_1. We have $G^{-1}(P_1) \in P_1+\mathfrak{m}^3$ and $G^{-1}(P_1) \in QR+\mathfrak{m}^4$, where $Q=Q(s,t)$ is some polynomial in s,t and $R=s^2+t^3$. It follows that $P_1=as^2$. Thus, we assume $P_1=s^2$ hereafter. We have $G^{-1}(P_1) \in (s+\mathfrak{m}^2)^2$, i.e. $G^{-1}(P_1)=s^2+k_1s^3+k_2s^2t+k_3s^4+\ldots$. We have also $G^{-1}(P_1) \in QR+\mathfrak{m}^4$ as above, i.e. $G^{-1}(P_1)=(l_1+l_2s+l_3t+l_4s^2+\ldots)(s^2+t^3)+\ldots = l_1s^2+l_1t^3+\ldots$. Thus $l_1=1$ and $l_1=0$. This is a contradiction, hence A is nonhomogeneous.

The elements of A have the form

$$k_1+k_2s+k_3t+k_4s^2+k_5st+k_6t^2+k_7st^2$$

with all monomials of the fourth or higher order vanishing, in addition to s^3, s^2t and s^2+t^3. We shall describe the automorphisms of A. The starting point for their identification is the form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s} &= As+Bt+Cs^2+Dst+Et^2+Fst^2, \\ \bar{t} &= Gs+Ht+Is^2+Jst+Kt^2+Lst^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ G & H \end{pmatrix}$ of the linear part of an automorphism must be regular. We must now satisfy the conditions $\bar{s}^3=0$, $\bar{s}^2\bar{t}=0$, and $\bar{s}^2+\bar{t}^3=0$. The condition $\bar{s}^3=0$ gives $3AB^2st^2+B^3t^3=0$. It follows that $B=0$. Then $\bar{s}^2\bar{t}=0$ gives no new nontrivial relation. For the condition $\bar{s}^2+\bar{t}^3=0$, we obtain $A^2s^2+(2AE+3GH^2)st^2+H^3t^3=0$ and it follows that $A^2=H^3$ and $2AE+3GH^2=0$. It is impossible that $A=H=0$, hence $A=\tau^3$, $H=\tau^2$ for some $\tau \neq 0$ and $G=-\frac{2}{3}\tau E$.

Hence the automorphisms have the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s} &= \tau^3 s+Cs^2+Dst+Et^2+Fst^2, \\ \bar{t} &= -\frac{2}{3\tau}Es+\tau^2t+Is^2+Jst+Kt^2+Lst^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1A}$$

We choose the automorphism φ

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s} &= 8s, \\ \bar{t} &= 4t \end{aligned}$$

and it is not difficult to find that only constants possess the property $\varphi(a)=a$.

Now, it is not surprising that the following upgrade of Proposition 1 is possible by a relatively slight generalization. Let $\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_k \in \mathbb{R}$. We take as the homomorphism $H_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_k}\colon \mathbb{R}[t^1,\ldots,t^k] \to \mathbb{R}[t^1,\ldots,t^k]$, $H_{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_k}\colon P(t^1,\ldots,t^k) \mapsto P(\tau_1t^1,\ldots,\tau_kt^k)$.

Proposition 2. *If $A=\mathbb{R}[t^1,\dots,t^k]/\mathfrak{i}$ is a Weil algebra with $w(A)=k$ and if there exist some $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_k\in\mathbb{R}-[-1,1]$ (or $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_k\in(-1,1)-\{0\}$) such that $H_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_k}(\mathfrak{i})\subset\mathfrak{i}$, then SA is the trivial subalgebra $\mathbb{R}\cdot 1$.*

Proof. The idea is the same as in the proof of Proposition 1. □

Exercise 1. We leave it to the reader to prove that $A=\mathbb{R}[s,t]/\langle s^2+t^3,s^3+t^4\rangle+\mathfrak{m}^5$ is an example of a Weil algebra with these properties:

(α) A is nonhomogeneous,

(β) there are no $\tau_1,\tau_2\in\mathbb{R}-[-1,1]$ (or $\tau_1,\tau_2\in(-1,1)-\{0\}$) such that $H_{\tau_1,\tau_2}(\langle s^2+t^3,s^3+t^4\rangle+\mathfrak{m}^5)\subset\langle s^2+t^3,s^3+t^4\rangle+\mathfrak{m}^5$,

(γ) A has trivial subalgebra of fixed elements.

Example *of a nonhomogeneous Weil algebra with a nontrivial subalgebra of fixed elements.*

Let $A=\mathbb{R}[s,t]/\langle st^2+s^4,s^2t+t^5\rangle+\mathfrak{m}^6$. We demonstrate that $SA\supsetneqq\mathbb{R}\cdot 1$. (Then the nonhomogeneity of A is a consequence of this fact.) The elements of A have the form

$$k_1+k_2s+k_3t+k_4s^2+k_5st+k_6t^2+k_7s^3+k_8s^2t+k_9st^2+k_{10}t^3+k_{11}t^4$$

with all monomials of the sixth or higher order vanishing, in addition to s^5, s^3t, s^2t^2, st^3, st^2+s^4 and s^2t+t^5. We shall describe the automorphisms of A. The starting point for their identification is the form

$$\begin{aligned}\bar s&=As+Bt+Cs^2+Dst+Et^2+Fs^3+Gs^2t+Hst^2+It^3+Jt^4,\\ \bar t&=Ks+Lt+Ms^2+Nst+Ot^2+Ps^3+Qs^2t+Rst^2+St^3+Tt^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

The matrix $\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\K&L\end{pmatrix}$ of the linear part of an automorphism must be regular. We must now satisfy the conditions $\bar s^5=0$, $\bar s^3\bar t=0$, $\bar s^2\bar t^2=0$, $\bar s\bar t^3=0$, $\bar s\bar t^2+\bar s^4=0$, and $\bar s^2\bar t+\bar t^5=0$. The condition $\bar s^5=0$ gives $B^5t^5=0$. It follows that $B=0$. The condition $\bar s^3\bar t=0$ gives $A^3Ks^4=0$. It follows that $K=0$. Then $\bar s^2\bar t^2=0$ gives no new nontrivial relation. The condition $\bar s\bar t^3=0$ gives $EL^3t^5=0$. It follows that $E=0$. For the condition $\bar s\bar t^2+\bar s^4=0$ we obtain $AL^2st^2+IL^2t^5+A^4s^4=0$ and it follows that $L^2=A^3$ and $I=0$. Finally, for the condition $\bar s^2\bar t+\bar t^5=0$, we obtain $A^2Ls^2t+A^2Ms^4+L^5t^5=0$ and it follows that $A^2=L^4$ and $M=0$. The conditions $L^2=A^3$ and $A^2=L^4$ give $A=1$ and $L=\pm1$.

Hence the automorphisms have the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\bar s&=s+Cs^2+Dst+Fs^3+Gs^2t+Hst^2+Jt^4,\\ \bar t&=\pm t+Nst+Ot^2+Ps^3+Qs^2t+Rst^2+St^3+Tt^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2A}$$

Consequently, we solve the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&k_1 + k_2\bar{s} + k_3\bar{t} + k_4\bar{s}^2 + k_5\bar{s}\bar{t} + k_6\bar{t}^2 + k_7\bar{s}^3 + k_8\bar{s}^2\bar{t} + k_9\bar{s}\bar{t}^2 + k_{10}\bar{t}^3 + k_{11}\bar{t}^4 \\
&= k_1 + k_2 s + k_3 t + k_4 s^2 + k_5 st + k_6 t^2 + k_7 s^3 + k_8 s^2 t + k_9 st^2 + k_{10} t^3 + k_{11} t^4
\end{aligned}$$

for k_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 11$, using (2A). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&k_1 + k_2(s + Cs^2 + Dst + Fs^3 + Gs^2t + Hst^2 + Jt^4) \\
&\quad + k_3(\pm t + Nst + Ot^4 + Ps^3 + Qs^2t + Rst^2 + St^3 + Tt^4) \\
&\quad + k_4(s^2 + 2Cs^3 + 2Ds^2t + 2Fs^4 + C^2s^4) \\
&\quad + k_5(\pm st + Ns^2t + Ost^2 + Ps^4 \pm Cs^2t \pm Dst^2 \pm Jt^5) \\
&\quad + k_6(t^2 \pm 2Nst^2 \pm 2Ot^3 \pm 2St^4 \pm 2Tt^5 + O^2t^4 + 2OSt^5) \\
&\quad + k_7(s^3 + 3Cs^4) + k_8(\pm s^2 t) + k_9 st^2 \\
&\quad + k_{10}(\pm t^3 + 3Ot^4 + 3St^5 \pm 3O^2t^5) + k_{11}(t^4 \pm 4Ot^5) \\
&= k_1 + k_2 s + k_3 t + k_4 s^2 + k_5 st + k_6 t^2 + k_7 s^3 + k_8 s^2 t + k_9 st^2 + k_{10} t^3 + k_{11} t^4
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients standing at powers of s and t, we find that $k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_5 = k_6 = k_7 = k_8 = k_{10} = k_{11} = 0$ and k_1, k_9 are arbitrary real coefficients. This means that

$$SA = \{k_1 + k_9 st^2;\ k_1, k_9 \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{3}$$

and we have obtained the description of the subalgebra of fixed elements. Naturally, SA is nontrivial, i.e. $SA \supsetneqq \mathbb{R} \cdot 1$. This proves our claim.

Proposition 3. *There are Weil algebras with nontrivial subalgebras of fixed elements.*

2. The classification theorems

2.1. (a)-lifts and $\langle a\rangle$-lifts. Affinors $\mathrm{af}(a)$ and $\mathrm{Af}(a)$.

Let $X\colon M \rightsquigarrow TM$ be a vector field on an m-manifold M. Given a natural bundle F over m-manifolds, one general operator $T \to TF$ is the *flow operator* $\mathscr{F}$, which is defined by

$$\mathscr{F}_M(X) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\Big|_0 F(F1^X_s),$$

where $F1^X_s$ means the flow of a vector field X. The vector field $\mathscr{F}_M(X)$ on FM is called the *complete lift* of X to FM.

Let A be a Weil algebra and $a \in A$. Then a determines the following action on $TT^A\mathbb{R}^m\colon (p_1,\dots,p_m,v_1,\dots,v_m) \mapsto (p_1,\dots,p_m,av_1,\dots,av_m)$. This implies that the action of any $a \in A$ on TT^AM is a natural affinor $\mathrm{af}_M(a)\colon TT^AM \to TT^AM$, see [2], [4]. The vector field $X^{(a)}$ on T^AM defined as

$$X^{(a)} := \mathrm{af}_M(a) \circ \mathscr{T}^A_M(X)$$

is called the (a)-*lift* of X to T^AM. This lift was introduced by I. Kolář in [6], cf. also [4]. Immediately, $X^{(1)}$ is the complete lift.

So, let $a \in SA$. $\pi\colon \operatorname{reg} T^AM \to K^AM$ is a principal fiber bundle with structure group $\operatorname{Aut} A$. Let $u \in TK^AM$. Choose $v \in T(\operatorname{reg} T^AM)$ with $T\pi(v) = u$ and put

$$\mathrm{Af}_M(a)(u) := T\pi(\mathrm{af}_M(a)(v)).$$

We prove that our definition is correct. Let $w \in T(\operatorname{reg} T^AM)$ be another vector with $T\pi(w) = u$. Let $w_t, v_t \in \operatorname{reg} T^AM$ be the curves representing w and v, respectively. Since π is a submersion, we can assume $\pi(w_t) = \pi(v_t)$. Then there exists a smoothly parametrized family $\varphi_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ such that $w_t = \varphi_t(v_t)$. We define a vector field Y on T^AM by $Y_y = \mathrm{af}_M(a)(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t\big|_0 \varphi_t(y))$, where $y \in T^AM$. Then Y is an absolute vector field on T^AM and the flow $F_s = F1^Y_s$ of Y belongs to $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$. Thus, $T\pi(\mathrm{af}_M(a)(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t\big|_0 \varphi_t(v_0))) = T\pi(Y_{v_0}) = T\pi(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}s\big|_0 F_s(v_0)) = \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}s\big|_0(\pi \circ F_s(v_0)) = 0$ as $\pi \circ F_s = \pi$ and $T\pi(\mathrm{af}_M(a)(w)) = T\pi(\mathrm{af}_M(a)(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t\big|_0 \varphi_t(v_t))) = T\pi(\mathrm{af}_M(a)(T\varphi_0(v))) + T\pi(\mathrm{af}_M(a)(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t\big|_0 \varphi_t(v_0))) = T\pi(T\varphi_0 \circ \mathrm{af}_M(\varphi_0^{-1}(a))(v)) = T\pi(\mathrm{af}_M(a)(v))$ as $T\varphi_0 \circ \mathrm{af}_M(\varphi_0^{-1}(a)) \circ T\varphi_0^{-1} = \mathrm{af}_M(a)$, $\varphi_0^{-1}(a) = a$ and $\pi \circ \varphi_0^{-1} = \pi$. Hence the definition is correct.

The family $\mathrm{Af}(a) = \{\mathrm{Af}_M(a)\}$ is a natural affinor on K^A depending linearly on $a \in SA$. If $a = 1$, $\mathrm{Af}(1)$ is the identity natural affinor on K^A and $\mathrm{Af}_M(1)$ is the identity map on TK^AM.

The vector field $X^{\langle a\rangle}$ on K^AM defined as

$$X^{\langle a\rangle} := \mathrm{Af}_M(a) \circ \mathscr{K}^A_M(X)$$

is called the $\langle a\rangle$-*lift* of X to K^AM. The correspondence $\mathscr{A}^{\langle a\rangle}\colon T_{|\mathscr{M}f_m} \to TK^A$, $X \to X^{\langle a\rangle}$ is a linear natural operator depending linearly on $a \in SA$. If $a = 1$, $\mathscr{A}^{\langle a\rangle}$ is the flow operator $\mathscr{K}^A$ and $X^{\langle 1\rangle}$ is the complete lift.

Exercise 2. Verify that another equivalent way how to define correctly $X^{\langle a\rangle}$ for $a \in SA$ is the following. Let $u \in K^AM$. Choose $v \in \operatorname{reg} T^AM$ with $\pi(v) = u$ and put $X^{\langle a\rangle}_{|u} := T\pi(X^{(a)}_{|v})$.

2.2. Liftings of vector fields to K^A.

The first main result of this paper is the following classification theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a Weil algebra, $m \geqslant w(A)+2$. Then for every natural operator $\mathscr{A}\colon T_{|\mathscr{M}fm} \rightsquigarrow TK^A$ there exists uniquely determined $a \in SA$ such that $\mathscr{A} = \mathrm{Af}(a) \circ \mathscr{K}^A$.*

P r o o f. *Step 1. The choice of σ.*

We denote by $t^1, \ldots, t^k$ and $x^1, \ldots, x^m$ the coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$, respectively, $k = w(A)$. Since $m \geqslant k+2$, we have the embedding $\tilde{\sigma}\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\tilde{\sigma}(t^1, \ldots, t^k) = (0, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then $j^A\tilde{\sigma}$ has 0 as the target and it is regular, i.e. $j^A\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{reg} T_0^A\mathbb{R}^m$. It follows $\sigma = i(j^A\tilde{\sigma}) \in K_0^A\mathbb{R}^m$.

Step 2. $\mathscr{A}$ is determined by $\mathscr{A}(\partial/\partial x^1)_{|\sigma}$.

Consider a natural operator $\mathscr{A}\colon T_{|\mathscr{M}fm} \rightsquigarrow TK^A$. We prove that $\mathscr{A}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathscr{A}(\partial/\partial x^1)_{|\sigma}$. Every vector field X with non-zero value at x can be expressed in a suitable local coordinate system centered at x as the constant vector field $\partial/\partial x^1$. In addition, the well-known fact following from the theory of natural operators is that $\mathscr{A}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathscr{A}(\partial/\partial x^1)_{|K_0^A\mathbb{R}^m}$. We need to show that the orbit through $\sigma \in K_0^A\mathbb{R}^m$ with respect to the diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ preserving $\mathrm{germ}_0(\partial/\partial x^1)$ forms a dense subset in $K_0^A\mathbb{R}^m$. We consider an arbitrary map $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\gamma(t^1, \ldots, t^k) = (\gamma^1(t), \ldots, \gamma^m(t))$ such that $\gamma(0) = 0$ and the map $p\circ\gamma\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ is of rank k at 0 (where $p\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, $p(x^1, \ldots, x^m) = (x^2, \ldots, x^m)$, is the canonical projection). Since all $\pi(j^A\gamma)$ with such a γ form a dense subset in $K_0^A\mathbb{R}^m$, it is sufficient to verify that $\pi(j^A\gamma)$ is in the mentioned orbit. We deduce this as follows. Since $k \geqslant 1$ and $m \geqslant k+1$, we have a diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\varphi(x^1, \ldots, x^m) = (x^1 + \gamma^1(x^2, \ldots, x^{k+1}), x^2, \ldots, x^m)$. Evidently, φ preserves $\mathrm{germ}_0(\partial/\partial x^1)$ and $K^A\varphi \circ \pi(j^A(\tilde{\sigma})) = \pi(j^A(\varphi\circ\tilde{\sigma})) = \pi(j^A(\gamma_1(t), t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0))$. On the other hand, since $p\circ\gamma$ is of rank k near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, there is a diffeomorphism $\psi\colon \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ such that $p \circ \gamma = \psi \circ (t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0)$ near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then $id_{\mathbb{R}} \times \psi$ preserves $\mathrm{germ}_0(\partial/\partial x^1)$ and sends $\pi(j^A(\gamma_1(t), t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0))$ into $\pi(j^A(\gamma))$. Hence $\pi(j^A\gamma)$ is in the orbit.

Step 3. $\mathscr{A}$ is sum of a vertical operator and a multiple of the flow operator.

We prove that $\mathscr{A} = \alpha\mathscr{A}^{\langle 1\rangle} + \mathscr{V}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and some Π-vertical operator $\mathscr{V}\colon T_{|\mathscr{M}fm} \rightsquigarrow TK^A$, where Π is the bundle functor projection of K^A. Let $\alpha^i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ be the coordinates of the vector $Z = T\Pi(\mathscr{A}(\partial/\partial x^1)_{|\sigma})$. For $\tau \neq 0$, we take the $\mathcal{M}f_m$-maps $c_\tau\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $c_\tau(x^1, \ldots, x^m) = (\tau x^1, \ldots, x^m)$. The maps c_τ preserve σ, send $\partial/\partial x^1$ into $\tau\partial/\partial x^1$ and send Z into $\overline{Z}$, the coordinates of which are $\tau\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^m$. Hence $\overline{Z} = T\Pi(\mathscr{A}(\tau\partial/\partial x^1)_{|\sigma})$. For $\tau \to 0$, we obtain $T\Pi(\mathscr{A}(0)_{|\sigma})$, but $\mathscr{A}(0)$ is an absolute operator and, consequently, a Π-vertical operator. Thus, $\alpha^2 = \ldots = \alpha^m = 0$. As the (first) coordinate of the vector $T\Pi(\mathscr{A}^{\langle 1\rangle}(\partial/\partial x^1)_{|\sigma})$ equals 1, $V := \mathscr{A} - \alpha^1\mathscr{A}^{\langle 1\rangle}$ is Π-vertical.

Step 4. The expression of the flow of $\mathscr{V}(\partial/\partial x^1)$.

In view of the previous step of the proof, we shall investigate only the Π-vertical operator $\mathscr{V}$ from now on. We study the flow $F_s = F1_s^{\mathscr{V}(\partial/\partial x^1)}$ of $\mathscr{V}(\partial/\partial x^1)$, and it suffices to study $F_s(\sigma)$ for small s thanks to the step 2. We can write $F_s(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\tilde\sigma + \tilde\sigma_s))$, where $\tilde\sigma_s\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is some family of maps smoothly parametrized by s, with $\tilde\sigma_s(0) = 0$ and $\tilde\sigma_0(t) = 0$. For $\tau \neq 0$, we take the $\mathcal{M}f_m$-maps $b_\tau\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $b_\tau(x^1, \ldots, x^m) = (x^1, \ldots, x^{k+1}, \tau x^{k+2}, \ldots, \tau x^m)$. The maps b_τ preserve σ and $\partial/\partial x^1$. Hence b_τ preserve also $F_s(\sigma)$, which means that $F_s(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(b_\tau \circ (\tilde\sigma + \tilde\sigma_s)))$. For $\tau \to 0$ we get $F_s(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0))$, where s is so small that $j^A(\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \operatorname{reg} T^A\mathbb{R}^m$.

Step 5. The invariance of $\underline{\mathfrak{i}}$ *with respect to* $(\varrho_s)^*$.

Let $\varrho_s\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $\varrho_s(t^1, \ldots, t^k) = (t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1})$, $(\varrho_s)^*\colon \mathscr{E}_k \to \mathscr{E}_k$ be the pullback of ϱ_s and $A = \mathscr{E}_k/\underline{\mathfrak{i}}$ the Weil algebra in question. We prove that $(\varrho_s)^*(\underline{\mathfrak{i}}) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{i}}$. We consider a map $\eta\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\operatorname{germ}_0(\eta) \in \underline{\mathfrak{i}}$. Since $m \geqslant k + 2$, we have a diffeomorphism $\chi\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\chi(x^1, \ldots, x^m) = (x^1, \ldots, x^{k+1}, x^{k+2} + \eta(x^2, \ldots, x^{k+1}), x^{k+3}, \ldots, x^m)$. Clearly, χ preserves $\partial/\partial x^1$ and χ preserves σ as $\operatorname{germ}_0(\eta) \in \underline{\mathfrak{i}}$. Hence χ preserve also $F_s(\sigma)$. Furthermore, $\chi(\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0) = (\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, \eta \circ \varrho_s, 0 \ldots, 0)$. Then we have $F_s(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0)) = \pi(j^A(\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, \eta \circ \varrho_s, 0 \ldots, 0))$. Then there is some $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} A$ such that $\varphi(j^A(\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0)) = j^A((\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, \eta \circ \varrho_s, 0 \ldots, 0))$. This means that $j^A(0) = j^A(\eta \circ \varrho_s)$ and that is why $\operatorname{germ}_0(\eta \circ \varrho_s) \in \underline{\mathfrak{i}}$, in other words $(\varrho_s)^*(\underline{\mathfrak{i}}) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{i}}$.

Step 6. The expression of the flow of $\mathscr{V}(\partial/\partial x^1)$ *anew.*

Let $[(\varrho_s)^*]\colon A \to A$ be the quotient homomorphism. A is finite dimensional and $(\varrho_s)^{-1}$ exists near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ if s is small. Thus $[(\varrho_s)^*] \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ and $[(\varrho_s)^*]^{-1} = [((\varrho_s)^{-1})^*]$. Hence $F_s(\sigma) = \pi([(\varrho_s)^*]^{-1}(j^A(\tilde\sigma_s^1, t^1 + \tilde\sigma_s^2, \ldots, t^k + \tilde\sigma_s^{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0))) = \pi(j^A(\tilde\sigma_s^1 \circ (\varrho_s)^{-1}, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0)) = \pi(j^A(\eta_s, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0))$, where $\eta_s\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is some family, smoothly parametrized by s, with $\eta_s(0) = 0$ and $\eta_0(t) = 0$.

Step 7. $[\operatorname{germ}_0(\eta_s)]_{|\underline{\mathfrak{i}}}$ *belongs to* SA.

Let us denote $a_s = [\operatorname{germ}_0(\eta_s)]_{|\underline{\mathfrak{i}}}$. We take a diffeomorphism $\tilde\varphi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$ preserving 0 such that $\underline{\mathfrak{i}}$ is invariant with respect to the pullback $\tilde\varphi^*\colon \mathscr{E}^k \to \mathscr{E}^k$. Let $\varphi = [\tilde\varphi^*]\colon A \to A$ be its quotient map. Then $\varphi^{-1} = [(\tilde\varphi^{-1})^*]$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} A$. Let $\Phi\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\Phi(x^1, \ldots, x^m) = (x^1, \tilde\varphi^1(x^2, \ldots, x^{k+1}), \ldots, \tilde\varphi^k(x^2, \ldots, x^{k+1}), x^{k+2}, x^m)$. Evidently, $\Phi(0) = 0$ and Φ preserves $\partial/\partial x^1$. Φ preserves also σ as $K^A\Phi(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\Phi \circ \tilde\sigma)) = \pi(\varphi^{-1}(j^A(\Phi \circ \tilde\sigma))) = \pi(j^A(\Phi \circ \tilde\sigma \circ \tilde\varphi^{-1})) = \pi(j^A(0, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0))$. Hence Φ preserves $F_s(\sigma)$. Now $F_s(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\Phi \circ (\eta_s, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0))) = \pi(j^A(\eta_s, \tilde\varphi^1, \ldots, \tilde\varphi^k, 0, \ldots, 0)) = \pi(\varphi^{-1}(j^A(\eta_s, \tilde\varphi^1, \ldots, \tilde\varphi^k, 0, \ldots, 0))) = \pi(j^A(\eta_s \circ \tilde\varphi^{-1}, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0))$. Hence there is some $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} A$ such that $\psi(j^A(\eta_s, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0)) = j^A(\eta_s \circ \tilde\varphi^{-1}, t^1, \ldots, t^k, 0, \ldots, 0)$. It follows that $\psi(j^A\eta_s) = j^A(\eta_s \circ$

$\tilde\varphi^{-1}$). In addition, we obtain $\psi(j^At^1)=j^At^1$, …, $\psi(j^At^k)=j^At^k$, i.e. ψ is nothing but the identity. Thus, $j^A\eta_s=j^A(\eta_s\circ\tilde\varphi^{-1})$, which means that $\varphi(a_s)=a_s$ for any $\varphi\in\operatorname{Aut}A$. Thus $a_s\in SA$.

Step 8. $\mathscr{A}$ *equals* $\mathscr{A}^{\langle a\rangle}$.

Let $\tilde\eta\colon\mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}$, $\tilde\eta:=\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}s\big|_0\eta_s$, $a:=\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}s\big|_0a_s$. Then $a=[\mathrm{germ}_0(\tilde\eta)]_{|\underline{\mathrm{i}}}\in SA$. We have $\mathscr{V}(\partial/\partial x^1)_{|\sigma}=\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}s\big|_0F_s(\sigma)=\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}s\big|_0(\pi(j^A(\eta_s,t^1,\dots,t^k,0,\dots0)))=\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}s\big|_0(\pi(j^A(s\tilde\eta,t^1,\dots,t^k,0,\dots,0)))=A^{\langle a\rangle}(\partial/\partial x^1)_{|\sigma}$. Hence $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}^{\langle a\rangle}$ as in the steps 2 and 3.

Step 9. a is uniquely determined.

To prove that a is uniquely determined it suffices to show that $\mathscr{A}^{\langle a\rangle}=0$ implies $a=0$. Let $A^{\langle a\rangle}=0$, $a\in SA$. There exists $\eta\colon\mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $a=[\mathrm{germ}_0(\eta)]_{|\underline{\mathrm{i}}}$. Let φ_s be the flow of $(\partial/\partial x^1)^{\langle a\rangle}$. Then $\varphi_s(\sigma)=\pi(j^A(s\eta,t^1,\dots,t^k,0,\dots,0))$. For sufficiently small $s_0\neq0$, we have $\varphi_{s_0}(\sigma)=\sigma$ as $\mathscr{A}^{\langle a\rangle}=0$. We obtain $\varphi(j^A(0,t^1,\dots,t^k,0,\dots,0))=j^A(s_0\eta,t^1,\dots,t^k,0,\dots,0)$ for some $\varphi\in\operatorname{Aut}A$. Thus, $j^A\eta=j^A0$. Hence $a=0$. □

2.3. Natural affinors on K^A.

The second main result of this paper is the following classification theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a Weil algebra, $m\geqslant w(A)+2$. Then for every natural affinor $\mathscr{Q}$ on K^A there exists uniquely determined $a\in SA$ such that $\mathscr{Q}=\mathrm{Af}(a)$.*

Proof. Using $\mathscr{Q}$ we define natural operator $\mathscr{Q}\circ A^{\langle1\rangle}\colon T\rightsquigarrow TK^A$. Then there exists a uniquely determined $a\in SA$ such that $\mathscr{Q}\circ\mathscr{A}^{\langle1\rangle}=\mathscr{A}^{\langle1\rangle}=\mathrm{Af}(a)\circ\mathscr{A}^{\langle1\rangle}$. Let $\tilde\sigma$ and σ be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Clearly, $(\partial/\partial x^1)^{(1)}_{|j^A(\tilde\sigma)}\in TT^A\mathbb{R}^m$ has dense orbit. Then $\varrho:=(\partial/\partial x^1)^{\langle1\rangle}_{|\sigma}\in TK^A\mathbb{R}^m$ has dense orbit, too. But $\mathscr{Q}(\varrho)=\mathrm{Af}(a)(\varrho)$. Consequently, $\mathscr{Q}=\mathrm{Af}(a)$. □

2.4. Corollaries.

Corollary 1. *Let A be a Weil algebra, $m\geqslant w(A)+2$ and $SA=\mathbb{R}\cdot1$. Then every natural operator $T_{|\mathscr{M}f_m}\rightsquigarrow TK^A$ is a constant multiple of the flow operator.*

Corollary 2. *Let A be a homogeneous Weil algebra and $m\geqslant w(A)+2$. Then every natural operator $T_{|\mathscr{M}f_m}\rightsquigarrow TK^A$ is a constant multiple of the flow operator.*

Corollary 3. *Let $m\geqslant k_1+\dots+k_l+2$. Then every natural operator $T_{|\mathscr{M}f_m}\to TK^A$, where A is the Weil algebra of the functor $T^{r_1}_{k_1}\circ\dots\circ T^{r_l}_{k_l}$, is a constant multiple of the flow operator.*

Corollary 4. *Let A be a Weil algebra, $m \geqslant w(A)+2$. Then every canonical vector field on K^A is the zero vector field.*

Corollary 5. *Let A be a Weil algebra, $m \geqslant w(A)+2$ and $SA = \mathbb{R}\cdot 1$. Then every natural affinor on K^A is a constant multiple of the identity affinor.*

Corollary 6. *Let A be a homogeneous Weil algebra and $m \geqslant w(A)+2$. Then every natural affinor on K^A is a constant multiple of the identity affinor.*

Corollary 7. *Let $m \geqslant k_1+\ldots+k_l+2$. Then every natural affinor on K^A, where A is the Weil algebra of the functor $T^{r_1}_{k_1}\circ\ldots\circ T^{r_l}_{k_l}$, is a constant multiple of the identity affinor.*

Proofs. Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 1 immediately. Corollary 2 follows from Corollary 1 and Proposition 1. Corollary 3 follows from Corollary 2, because the Weil algebra of the functor $T^{r_1}_{k_1}\circ\ldots\circ T^{r_l}_{k_l}$ is homogeneous as in examples (ii) and (vii). Corollary 4 follows from Theorem 1 immediately. Corollary 5 follows from Theorem 2 immediately. Corollary 6 follows from Corollary 5 and Proposition 1. Corollary 7 follows from Corollary 6. □

Remark 1. Up to now, only the special case $l=1$ of Corollary 3 has been known, see [7, Proposition 44.4]. As well, up to now, only the special case $l=1$, of Corollary 7 has been known, see [8].

2.5. The rigidity of K^A.

Corollary 4 shows that the group of all automorphisms $K^A \to K^A$ is discrete. Modifying the steps 4, 5 and 6 of the proof of Theorem 1 we can obtain the following strict result.

Theorem 3 (Rigidity Theorem). *Let A be a Weil algebra, $m \geqslant w(A)+1$. Then every natural transformation $\mathscr{C}\colon K^A \to K^A$ is the identity one.*

Proof. Define $\sigma = \pi(j^A(\tilde\sigma)) \in K^A_0\mathbb{R}^m$, $\tilde\sigma\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\tilde\sigma(t^1,\ldots,t^k) = (t^1,\ldots,t^k,0,\ldots,0)$. Since $K^A_0\mathbb{R}^m$ is the orbit of σ, it suffices to show that $\mathscr{C}(\sigma)=\sigma$.

We can write $\mathscr{C}(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\xi))$, where $\xi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is of rank k at 0 and $\xi(0)=0$. Applying (if needed) a linear isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ preserving σ to both sides of the equality $\mathscr{C}(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\xi))$, we can assume that $\varrho\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $\varrho = (\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^k)$ is an embedding. Then similarly as in Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 1 we can write $\mathscr{C}(\sigma) = \pi(j^A(\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^k,0,\ldots,0))$. Similarly as in Step 5, $(\varrho)^*(\underline{\mathfrak{i}}) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{i}}$. Then similarly as in Step 6 we have $\mathscr{C}(\sigma) = \pi([\varrho^*]^{-1}(j^A(\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^k,0,\ldots,0))) = \sigma$. □

Remark 2. In Corollary 4 we can assume that $m \geqslant w(A)+1$. Indeed, if $m \geqslant w(A)+1$, then every one-parameter group of natural automorphisms $K^A \to K^A$ is trivial thanks to the Rigidity Theorem.

Remark 3. Up to now, only the special case of Rigidity Theorem for $A = \mathbb{D}^r_k$ has been known, see [8] (see also [10] for $A = \mathbb{D}^1_k$).

References

[1] *R. J. Alonso*: Jet manifolds associated to a Weil bundle. Arch. Math. (Brno) *36* (2000), 195–199.

[2] *M. Doupovec and I. Kolář*: Natural affinors on time-dependent Weil bundles. Arch. Math. (Brno) *27* (1991), 205–209.

[3] *C. Ehresmann*: Introduction à la théorie des structures infinitésimales et des pseudo-groupes de Lie. Colloque du C.N.R.S., Strasbourg.

[4] *J. Gancarzewicz, W. M. Mikulski and Z. Pogoda*: Lifts of some tensor fields and connections to product preserving functors. Nagoya Math. J. *135* (1994), 1–41.

[5] *I. Kolář*: Affine structure on Weil bundles. Nagoya Math. J. *158* (2000), 99–106.

[6] *I. Kolář*: On the natural operators on vector fields. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. *6* (1988), 109–117.

[7] *I. Kolář, P. W. Michor and J. Slovák*: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry. Springer Verlag, 1993.

[8] *I. Kolář and W. M. Mikulski*: Contact elements on fibered manifolds. Czechoslovak Math. J *53(128)* (2003), 1017–1030.

[9] *M. Kureš*: Weil algebras of generalized higher order velocities bundles. Contemp. Math. *288* (2001), 358–362.

[10] *W. M. Mikulski*: Natural differential operators between some natural bundles. Math. Bohem. *118(2)* (1993), 153–161.

[11] *A. Morimoto*: Prolongations of connections to bundles of infinitely near points. J. Differential Geom. *11* (1976), 479–498.

[12] *J. Muñoz, J. Rodrigues and F. J. Muriel*: Weil bundles and jet spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. *50* (2000), 721–748.

[13] *J. Tomáš*: On quasijet bundles. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. *63* (2000), 187–196.

[14] *A. Weil*: Théorie des points sur les variétés différentiables. Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle. Colloque du C.N.R.S., Strasbourg, 1953, pp. 111–117.

[15] *O. Zariski and P. Samuel*: Commutative algebra, Vol. II. D. Van Nostrand Company, 1960.

Authors' addresses: M. Kureš, Department of Mathematics, Brno University of Technology, Technická 2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic, e-mail: kures@mat.fme.vutbr.cz; W. M. Mikulski, Institute of Mathematics, Jagiellonian University, Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland, e-mail: mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl.