

Maria Joița

Tensor products of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 3, 727–737

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127924>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF HILBERT MODULES OVER  
LOCALLY  $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

MARIA JOIȚA, Bucharest

(Received December 14, 2001)

*Abstract.* In this paper the tensor products of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras are defined and their properties are studied. Thus we show that most of the basic properties of the tensor products of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules are also valid in the context of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Keywords:* locally  $C^*$ -algebras, continuous  $*$ -morphism, inverse system of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, exterior tensor product of Hilbert modules, interior tensor product of Hilbert modules

*MSC 2000:* 46L08, 46M05, 46A13

1. INTRODUCTION

Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras generalize the notion of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules by allowing the inner product to take values in a locally  $C^*$ -algebra. They were first considered independently by A. Mallios in [7] and N. C. Phillips in [8], where the latter showed that most of the basic properties of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules are valid for Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras. The Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras are also studied in [4], [5] and elsewhere. Thus in [4] the present author proved a stabilization theorem for countably generated Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras and in [5] she proved a version of the classical KSGNS (Kasparov, Stinespring, Gel'fand, Segal, Naimark) construction in the context of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras.

In this paper we will define the exterior tensor product and the interior tensor product of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras and we will show that some properties of the tensor products of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules are valid in the context of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A locally  $C^*$ -algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex topological  $*$ -algebra  $A$  whose topology is determined by its continuous  $C^*$ -seminorms in the sense that the net  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  converges to 0 if and only if the net  $\{p(a_i)\}_{i \in I}$  converges to 0 for every continuous  $C^*$ -seminorm  $p$  on  $A$ .

If  $A$  is a locally  $C^*$ -algebra and  $S(A)$  is the set of all continuous  $C^*$ -seminorms on  $A$ , then for each  $p \in S(A)$ ,  $A_p = A / \ker(p)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra in the norm induced by  $p$  and  $A = \varprojlim_p A_p$ . The canonical map from  $A$  onto  $A_p$ ,  $p \in S(A)$ , will be denoted by  $\pi_p$ , and the image of  $a$  under  $\pi_p$  will be denoted by  $a_p$ . The connecting maps of the inverse system  $\{A_p\}_{p \in S(A)}$  will be denoted by  $\pi_{pq}$ ,  $q, p \in S(A)$ ,  $p \geq q$ .

A continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\varphi$  from  $A$  into  $L(H)$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space  $H$ , is called a  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on  $H$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are locally  $C^*$ -algebras we will denote by  $A \otimes B$  the injective tensor product of  $A$  and  $B$  which is the completion of  $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$  in the topology induced by the family of  $C^*$ -seminorms  $\{\vartheta_{(p,q)}\}_{(p,q) \in S(A) \times S(B)}$ , where  $\vartheta_{(p,q)}(c) = \sup\{\|((\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ (\pi_p \otimes \pi_q))(c)\|\}$ ;  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $A_p$  and  $\psi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $B_q$ . Moreover,  $A \otimes B = \varprojlim_{(p,q)} A_p \otimes B_q$ , where  $A_p \otimes B_q$  is the injective tensor product of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_p$  and  $B_q$  (see [1]).

Now we recall some results about Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras from [8].

**Definition 2.1.** A pre-Hilbert  $A$ -module is a complex vector space  $E$  which is also a right  $A$ -module, compatible with the complex algebra structure, equipped with an  $A$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow A$  which is  $\mathbb{C}$ - and  $A$ -linear in its second variable and satisfies the following relations:

- (i)  $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$  for every  $x, y \in E$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $x \in E$ ;
- (iii)  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

We say that  $E$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module if  $E$  is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms  $\|x\|_p = \sqrt{p(\langle x, x \rangle)}$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $p \in S(A)$ .

Given a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E$ , then for  $p \in S(A)$ ,  $N_p^E = \{x \in E; p(\langle x, x \rangle) = 0\}$  is a closed submodule of  $E$  and  $E_p = E / N_p^E$  is a Hilbert  $A_p$ -module with  $(x + N_p^E)\pi_p(a) = xa + N_p^E$  and  $\langle x + N_p^E, y + N_p^E \rangle = \pi_p(\langle x, y \rangle)$ . The canonical map from  $E$  onto  $E_p$ ,  $p \in S(A)$ , will be denoted by  $\sigma_p^E$ , and the image of  $x$  under  $\sigma_p^E$  will be denoted by  $x_p$ .

For  $p, q \in S(A)$ ,  $p \geq q$  there is a canonical surjective linear map  $\sigma_{pq}^E : E_p \rightarrow E_q$  such that  $\sigma_{pq}^E(x_p) = x_q$ ,  $x_p \in E_p$ . Then  $\{E_p; A_p; \sigma_{pq}^E, p \geq q, p, q \in S(A)\}$  is an inverse system of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules in the following sense:  $\sigma_{pq}^E(x_p a_p) = \sigma_{pq}^E(x_p)\pi_{pq}(a_p)$  for every  $x_p \in E_p$  and for every  $a_p \in A_p$ ;  $\langle \sigma_{pq}^E(x_p), \sigma_{pq}^E(y_p) \rangle = \pi_{pq}(\langle x_p, y_p \rangle)$  for every

$x_p, y_p \in E_p$ ;  $\sigma_{qr}^E \circ \sigma_{pq}^E = \sigma_{pr}^E$ ,  $p \geq q \geq r$ ;  $\sigma_{pp}^E = \text{id}_{E_p}$ , and  $\lim_{\leftarrow p} E_p$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module with  $((x_p)_p)((a_p)_p) = (x_p a_p)_p$  and  $\langle (x_p)_p, (y_p)_p \rangle = \langle (x_p, y_p)_p \rangle_p$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{\leftarrow p} E_p$  may be identified with  $E$ .

As in the case of the  $C^*$ -algebras, the set  $H_A$  of all sequences  $(a_n)_n$  with  $a_n$  in  $A$  such that  $\sum_n a_n^* a_n$  converges in  $A$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module with  $((a_n)_n)b = (a_n b)_n$  and  $\langle (a_n)_n, (b_n)_n \rangle = \sum_n a_n^* b_n$ . Moreover, for each  $p \in S(A)$ ,  $(H_A)_p = H_{A_p}$ .

Given Hilbert  $A$ -modules  $E$  and  $F$ , a map  $T: E \rightarrow F$  is adjointable if there is a map  $T^*: F \rightarrow E$  such that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x \in E$  and for all  $y \in F$ . Moreover,  $T$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ - and  $A$ -linear continuous map. We denote by  $L_A(E, F)$  the set of all adjointable maps from  $E$  into  $F$  and write  $L_A(E)$  for  $L_A(E, E)$ .

For  $p \in S(A)$ , since  $T(N_p^E) \subseteq N_p^F$  for all  $T \in L_A(E, F)$ , we can consider the linear map  $(\pi_p)_*: L_A(E, F) \rightarrow L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$  defined by  $(\pi_p)_*(T)(\sigma_p^E(x)) = \sigma_p^F(T(x))$ ,  $T \in L_A(E, F)$ ,  $x \in E$ .

We topologize  $L_A(E, F)$  via the seminorms  $\tilde{p}(T) = \|(\pi_p)_*(T)\|$ ,  $T \in L_A(E, F)$ ,  $p \in S(A)$ . In this way  $L_A(E, F)$  may be identified with  $\lim_{\leftarrow p} L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$  and  $L_A(E)$  becomes a locally  $C^*$ -algebra. The connecting maps of the inverse system  $\{L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)\}_{p \in S(A)}$  will be denoted by  $(\pi_{pq})_*$ ,  $p, q \in S(A)$ ,  $p \geq q$  and  $(\pi_{pq})_*(T_p)(\sigma_q^E(x)) = \sigma_{pq}^F(T_p(\sigma_p^E(x)))$ ,  $T_p \in L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ ,  $x \in E$ . For  $x \in E$  and  $y \in F$  we consider the rank one homomorphism  $\theta_{y,x}$  from  $E$  into  $F$  defined by  $\theta_{y,x}(z) = y\langle x, z \rangle$ . Evidently,  $\theta_{y,x} \in L_A(E, F)$  and  $\theta_{y,x}^* = \theta_{x,y}$ . We denote by  $K_A(E, F)$  the closed linear subspace of  $L_A(E, F)$  spanned by  $\{\theta_{y,x}; x \in E, y \in F\}$ , and write  $K_A(E)$  for  $K_A(E, E)$ . Moreover,  $K_A(E, F)$  may be identified with  $\lim_{\leftarrow p} K_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ .

We say that the Hilbert  $A$ -modules  $E$  and  $F$  are unitarily equivalent if there is a unitary element  $U$  in  $L_A(E, F)$  (namely,  $U^*U = \text{id}_E$  and  $UU^* = \text{id}_F$ ).

### 3. EXTERIOR TENSOR PRODUCT

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module and let  $F$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module. The algebraic tensor product  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F$  is a right-module over  $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$  in the obvious way:  $(x \otimes y)(a \otimes b) = xa \otimes yb$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in F$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ .

We consider the map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: (E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F) \times (E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F) \rightarrow A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$  defined by

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes z_i, \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \otimes t_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \langle x_i, y_j \rangle \otimes \langle z_i, t_j \rangle.$$

In the same way as in the case of the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules (see, for example, [6, Chapter 4]), using [4, Theorem 6], we show that this map defines an inner product

on  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F$ . Since  $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$  is dense in  $A \otimes B$ ,  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F$  becomes a pre-Hilbert  $A \otimes B$ -module. We denote by  $E \otimes F$  the completion of  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F$ . We call  $E \otimes F$  the exterior tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$ .

**Remark 3.1.** If  $B$  is a locally  $C^*$ -algebra and  $H$  is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then exactly as in the case of the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules we deduce that the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $H \otimes B$  and  $H_B$  are unitarily equivalent.

For  $p \in S(A)$  and  $q \in S(B)$  we denote by  $E_p \otimes F_q$  the exterior tensor product of the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules  $E_p$  and  $F_q$ .

Let  $p_1, p_2 \in S(A)$ ,  $p_1 \geq p_2$  and  $q_1, q_2 \in S(B)$ ,  $q_1 \geq q_2$ . Then the linear map  $\sigma_{p_1 p_2}^E \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F: E_{p_1} \otimes_{\text{alg}} F_{q_1} \rightarrow E_{p_2} \otimes_{\text{alg}} F_{q_2}$  defined by  $(\sigma_{p_1 p_2}^E \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F)(x_{p_1} \otimes y_{q_1}) = \sigma_{p_1 p_2}^E(x_{p_1}) \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1})$  may be extended by continuity to a linear map  $\sigma_{p_1 p_2}^E \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F$  from  $E_{p_1} \otimes F_{q_1}$  into  $E_{p_2} \otimes F_{q_2}$ . It is easy to verify that  $\{\sigma_{p_1 p_2}^E \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F, p_1, p_2 \in S(A), p_1 \geq p_2, q_1, q_2 \in S(B), q_1 \geq q_2\}$  is an inverse system of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. We will show that the Hilbert  $A \otimes B$ -modules  $E \otimes F$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} (E_p \otimes F_q)$  are unitarily equivalent.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A, B, E$  and  $F$  be as above. Then the Hilbert  $A \otimes B$ -modules  $E \otimes F$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} (E_p \otimes F_q)$  are unitarily equivalent.*

*Proof.* First we will show that for each  $p \in S(A)$  and  $q \in S(B)$  the Hilbert  $A_p \otimes B_q$ -modules  $(E \otimes F)_{(p,q)}$  and  $E_p \otimes F_q$  are unitarily equivalent.

Let  $p \in S(A)$  and  $q \in S(B)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{(p,q)}(\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y \rangle) &= \|\pi_p(\langle x, x \rangle) \otimes \pi_q(\langle y, y \rangle)\|_{A_p \otimes B_q} \\ &= \|\langle \sigma_p^E(x), \sigma_p^E(x) \rangle \otimes \langle \sigma_q^F(y), \sigma_q^F(y) \rangle\|_{A_p \otimes B_q} \\ &= \|\langle \sigma_p^E(x) \otimes \sigma_q^F(y), \sigma_p^E(x) \otimes \sigma_q^F(y) \rangle\|_{A_p \otimes B_q} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in E$  and  $y \in F$ , we can define a linear map  $U_{(p,q)}: (E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F)/N_{(p,q)}^{E \otimes F} \rightarrow E_p \otimes_{\text{alg}} F_q$  by

$$U_{(p,q)}(x \otimes y + N_{(p,q)}^{E \otimes F}) = \sigma_p^E(x) \otimes \sigma_q^F(y).$$

Evidently  $U_{(p,q)}$  is a surjective  $A_p \otimes_{\text{alg}} B_q$ -linear map and

$$\left\| U_{(p,q)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i + N_{(p,q)}^{E \otimes F} \right) \right\|_{E_p \otimes F_q} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i + N_{(p,q)}^{E \otimes F} \right\|_{(E \otimes F)_{(p,q)}}$$

for all  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F$ . From these facts, taking into account that  $A_p \otimes_{\text{alg}} B_q$  is dense in  $A_p \otimes B_q$ ;  $(E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F)/N_{(p,q)}^{E \otimes F}$  is dense in  $(E \otimes F)_{(p,q)}$  and  $E_p \otimes_{\text{alg}} F_q$  is dense

in  $E_p \otimes F_q$ , we conclude that  $U_{(p,q)}$  may be extended by continuity to an isometric surjective  $A_p \otimes B_q$ -linear map  $U_{(p,q)}$  from  $(E \otimes F)_{(p,q)}$  onto  $E_p \otimes F_q$ . According to [6, Theorem 3.5],  $U_{(p,q)}$  is a unitary element in  $L_{A_p \otimes B_q}((E \otimes F)_{(p,q)}, E_p \otimes F_q)$ .

It is easy to verify that  $(\sigma_{p_1 p_2}^E \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F) \circ U_{(p_1, q_1)} = U_{(p_2, q_2)} \circ \sigma_{(p_1, q_1)(p_2, q_2)}^{E \otimes F}$  and  $(U_{(p_2, q_2)})^* \circ (\sigma_{p_1 p_2}^E \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F) = \sigma_{(p_1, q_1)(p_2, q_2)}^{E \otimes F} \circ (U_{(p_1, q_1)})^*$  for all  $p_1, p_2 \in S(A)$ ,  $p_1 \geq p_2$  and  $q_1, q_2 \in S(B)$ ,  $q_1 \geq q_2$ . Therefore  $(U_{(p,q)})_{(p,q) \in S(A) \times S(B)}$  is an inverse system of adjointable maps of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules.

Let  $U = \lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} U_{(p,q)}$ . It is easy to see that  $U$  is an adjointable map from  $\lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} (E \otimes F)_{(p,q)}$  into  $\lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} (E_p \otimes F_q)$  and  $U^* = \lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} (U_{(p,q)})^*$ . Therefore  $U$  is a unitary element in  $L_{A \otimes B}(\lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} (E \otimes F)_{(p,q)}, \lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} (E_p \otimes F_q))$  and Proposition 3.2 is proved.  $\square$

Using the above and [8, Theorem 4.2], we obtain:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module and let  $F$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module. Then the locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $L_{A \otimes B}(E \otimes F)$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} L_{A_p \otimes B_q}(E_p \otimes F_q)$  as well as  $K_{A \otimes B}(E \otimes F)$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} K_{A_p \otimes B_q}(E_p \otimes F_q)$  are isomorphic.*

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module and let  $F$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module. Then there is a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $j$  from  $L_A(E) \otimes L_B(F)$  into  $L_{A \otimes B}(E \otimes F)$  such that*

$$j(T \otimes S)(x \otimes y) = Tx \otimes Sy, \quad T \in L_A(E), \quad S \in L_B(F), \quad x \in E, \quad y \in F.$$

Moreover,  $j$  is injective and  $j(K_A(E) \otimes K_B(F)) = K_{A \otimes B}(E \otimes F)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in S(A)$  and  $q \in S(B)$ . Then, since  $A_p$  and  $B_q$  are  $C^*$ -algebras,  $E_p$  is a Hilbert  $A_p$ -module and  $F_q$  is a Hilbert  $B_q$ -module, there is an injective morphism of  $C^*$ -algebras  $j_{(p,q)}$  from  $L_{A_p}(E_p) \otimes L_{B_q}(F_q)$  into  $L_{A_p \otimes B_q}(E_p \otimes F_q)$  such that

$$j_{(p,q)}(T_p \otimes S_q)(x_p \otimes y_q) = T_p x_p \otimes S_q y_q$$

for all  $T_p \in L_{A_p}(E_p)$ ,  $S_q \in L_{B_q}(F_q)$ ,  $x_p \in E_p$ ,  $y_q \in F_q$  and

$$j_{(p,q)}(K_{A_p}(E_p) \otimes K_{B_q}(F_q)) = K_{A_p \otimes B_q}(E_p \otimes F_q)$$

(see, for instance, [6, pp. 35–37]).

It is easy to verify that

$$j_{(p_2, q_2)} \circ ((\pi_{p_1 p_2})_* \otimes (\pi_{q_1 q_2})_*) = (\pi_{(p_1, q_1)(p_2, q_2)})_* \circ j_{(p_1, q_1)}$$

for all  $p_1, p_2 \in S(A)$ ,  $p_1 \geq p_2$  and  $q_1, q_2 \in S(B)$ ,  $q_1 \geq q_2$ . Then  $(j_{(p,q)})_{(p,q) \in S(A) \times S(B)}$  is an inverse system of morphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $j = \lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} j_{(p,q)}$ . Evidently  $j$  is an injective continuous  $*$ -morphism from  $L_A(E) \otimes L_B(F)$  into  $L_{A \otimes B}(E \otimes F)$  and

$$j(T \otimes S)(x \otimes y) = Tx \otimes Sy, \quad T \in L_A(E), \quad S \in L_B(F), \quad x \in E, \quad y \in F.$$

Now, since

- for each  $p \in S(A)$  and for each  $q \in S(B)$ ,

$$j_{(p,q)}|_{K_{A_p(E_p) \otimes K_{B_q(F_q)}} : K_{A_p}(E_p) \otimes K_{B_q}(F_q) \rightarrow K_{A_p \otimes B_q}(E_p \otimes F_q)$$

is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras;

- $K_A(E) \otimes K_B(F) = \lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} K_{A_p}(E_p) \otimes K_{B_q}(F_q)$

and

- $K_{A \otimes B}(E \otimes F) = \lim_{\leftarrow (p,q)} K_{A_p \otimes B_q}(E_p \otimes F_q)$ ,

we deduce that  $j(K_A(E) \otimes K_B(F)) = K_{A \otimes B}(E \otimes F)$ . □

#### 4. INTERIOR TENSOR PRODUCT

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module, let  $F$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module and let  $\Phi: A \rightarrow L_B(F)$  be a continuous  $*$ -morphism. We can regard  $F$  as a left  $A$ -module, the action being given by  $(a, y) \rightarrow \Phi(a)y$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $y \in F$ , and we can form the algebraic tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$  over  $A$ ,  $E \otimes_A F$ . It is the quotient of the vector space tensor product  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F$  by the vector subspace  $N_\Phi$  generated by elements of the form  $xa \otimes y - x \otimes \Phi(a)y$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in F$ . Now,  $E \otimes_A F$  is a right  $B$ -module in the obvious way, the action of  $B$  being given by  $(x \otimes y + N_\Phi, b) \rightarrow x \otimes yb + N_\Phi$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in F$ .

Exactly as in the case of the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, we show:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $\Phi$  be as above. Then  $E \otimes_A F$  is a pre-Hilbert  $B$ -module with the inner product given by*

$$\langle x \otimes y, z \otimes t \rangle = \langle y, \Phi(\langle x, z \rangle)t \rangle, \quad x \in E, \quad y \in F.$$

In the particular case when  $F = B$ , this proposition was proved in [8, pp. 181].

We denote by  $E \otimes_\Phi F$  the completion of  $E \otimes_A F$ . We call  $E \otimes_\Phi F$  the interior tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$  using  $\Phi$ . For the element  $x \otimes y + N_\Phi$  we use the notation  $x \dot{\otimes} y$ .

For each  $q \in S(B)$ , the map  $\Phi_q: A \rightarrow L_{B_q}(F_q)$  defined by  $\Phi_q = (\pi_q)_* \circ \Phi$  is a continuous  $*$ -morphism.

Let  $q_1, q_2 \in S(B)$ ,  $q_1 \geq q_2$ . Define a linear map  $\psi_{q_1 q_2}: E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F_{q_1} \rightarrow E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F_{q_2}$  by

$$\psi_{q_1 q_2}(x \otimes y_{q_1}) = x \otimes \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{q_1 q_2}(x \otimes y_{q_1}), \psi_{q_1 q_2}(x \otimes y_{q_1}) \rangle &= \langle \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1}), \Phi_{q_2}(\langle x, x \rangle) \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1}), (\pi_{q_2})_*(\Phi(\langle x, x \rangle)) \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1}), \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F((\pi_{q_1})_*(\Phi(\langle x, x \rangle)) y_{q_1}) \rangle \\ &= \pi_{q_1 q_2}(\langle y_{q_1}, \Phi_{q_1}(\langle x, x \rangle) y_{q_1} \rangle) \\ &= \pi_{q_1 q_2}(\langle x \otimes y_{q_1}, x \otimes y_{q_1} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in E$  and  $y_{q_1} \in F_{q_1}$ ,  $\psi_{q_1 q_2}$  may be extended to a linear map  $\psi_{q_1 q_2}: E \otimes_{\Phi_{q_1}} F_{q_1} \rightarrow E \otimes_{\Phi_{q_2}} F_{q_2}$  such that

$$\psi_{q_1 q_2}(x \dot{\otimes} y_{q_1}) = x \dot{\otimes} \sigma_{q_1 q_2}^F(y_{q_1}).$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $A, B, E, F$  and  $\Phi$  be as above. Then*

$$\{E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q; B_q; \psi_{q_1 q_2}, q_1 \geq q_2, q_1, q_2 \in S(B)\}$$

is an inverse system of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, and the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} (E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  are unitarily equivalent.

*Proof.* The fact that  $\{E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q; B_q \psi_{q_1 q_2}, q_1 \geq q_2, q_1, q_2 \in S(B)\}$  is an inverse system of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules is a simple verification.

To show that the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} (E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  are unitarily equivalent, first we will show that for each  $q \in S(B)$  the Hilbert  $B_q$ -modules  $(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)_q$  and  $E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q$  are unitarily equivalent.

Let  $q \in S(B)$ . Define a linear map  $U_q: E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F \rightarrow E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F_q$  by

$$U_q(x \otimes y) = x \otimes \sigma_q^F(y), \quad x \in E, \quad y \in F.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_q(x \otimes y), U_q(x \otimes y) \rangle &= \langle \sigma_q^F(y), \Phi_q(\langle x, x \rangle) \sigma_q^F(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma_q^F(y), (\pi_q)_*(\Phi(\langle x, x \rangle)) \sigma_q^F(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma_q^F(y), \sigma_q^F(\Phi(\langle x, x \rangle) y) \rangle \\ &= \pi_q(\langle x \otimes y, x \otimes y \rangle) \end{aligned}$$



for all  $x \in E$  and  $y \in F$ ,  $U_q$  may be extended by continuity to an isometric  $B_q$ -linear map  $U_q: (E \otimes_{\Phi} F)_q \rightarrow E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q$  such that

$$U_q(x \dot{\otimes} y) = x \dot{\otimes} \sigma_q^F(y), \quad x \in E, \quad y \in F$$

and, moreover, it is surjective. Then according to [6, Theorem 3.5],  $U_q$  is a unitary element in  $L_{B_q}((E \otimes_{\Phi} F)_q, E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$ . It is easy to verify that  $(U_q)_{q \in S(B)}$  is an inverse system of adjointable maps of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules.

Let  $U = \lim_{\leftarrow q} U_q$ . A simple calculation shows that  $U$  is a unitary element in  $L_B\left(\lim_{\leftarrow q} (E \otimes_{\Phi} F)_q, \lim_{\leftarrow q} (E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)\right)$ . Therefore the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} (E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $A, B, E, F$  and  $\Phi$  be as above. Then the locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $L_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} L_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  as well as  $K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} K_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  are isomorphic.*

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module, let  $F$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module and let  $\Phi: A \rightarrow L_B(F)$  be a continuous  $*$ -morphism.*

1. *Then there is a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\Phi_*: L_A(E) \rightarrow L_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$  such that*

$$\Phi_*(T)(x \dot{\otimes} y) = T(x) \dot{\otimes} y, \quad x \in E, \quad y \in F, \quad T \in L_A(E).$$

*Moreover, if  $\Phi$  is injective, then  $\Phi_*$  is injective.*

2. *If  $\Phi(A) \subseteq K_B(F)$ , then  $\Phi_*(K_A(E)) \subseteq K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ . Moreover, if  $\Phi(A)$  is dense in  $K_A(F)$ , then  $\Phi_*(K_A(E))$  is dense in  $K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ .*

*Proof.* First we suppose that  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

(1) The continuity of  $\Phi$  implies that there is a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\Psi_p: A_p \rightarrow L_B(F)$  such that  $\Psi_p \circ \pi_p = \Phi$ . Then, since  $A_p$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\Psi_p: A_p \rightarrow L_B(F)$  is a morphism of  $C^*$ -algebras, there is a morphism of  $C^*$ -algebras  $(\Psi_p)_*: L_{A_p}(E_p) \rightarrow L_B(E_p \otimes_{\Psi_p} F)$  such that  $(\Psi_p)_*(T_p)(\sigma_p^E(x) \dot{\otimes} y) = T_p(\sigma_p^E(x)) \dot{\otimes} y$  (see, for instance, [6, pp. 42–43]). It is easy to verify that the linear map  $U: E \otimes_{\Phi} F \rightarrow E_p \otimes_{\Psi_p} F$  defined by  $U(x \dot{\otimes} y) = \sigma_p^E(x) \dot{\otimes} y$  is a unitary element in  $L_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F, E_p \otimes_{\Psi_p} F)$  and the map  $\Phi_*: L_A(E) \rightarrow L_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$  defined by  $\Phi_*(T) = U^* \circ (\Psi_p)_* \circ ((\pi_p)_*(T)) \circ U$  is a continuous  $*$ -morphism and

$$\Phi_*(T)(x \dot{\otimes} y) = T(x) \dot{\otimes} y, \quad x \in E, \quad y \in F, \quad T \in L_A(E).$$

If  $\Phi$  is injective, then it is easy to see that  $\Phi_*$  is injective.

(2) If  $\Phi(A) \subseteq K_B(F)$ , then  $\Psi_p(A_p) \subseteq K_B(F)$  and according to [6, Proposition 4.7],  $(\Psi_p)_*(K_{A_p}(E_p)) \subseteq K_B(E_p \otimes_{\Psi_p} F)$ . Since  $(\pi_p)_*(K_A(E)) = K_{A_p}(E_p)$ , it is easy to see that  $\Phi_*(K_A(E)) \subseteq K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ .

If  $\Phi(A)$  is dense in  $K_A(F)$  then  $\Psi_p(A_p) = K_B(F)$  and according to [6, Proposition 4.7],  $(\Psi_p)_*(K_{A_p}(E_p)) = K_B(E_p \otimes_{\Psi_p} F)$ . Therefore  $\Phi_*(K_A(E)) = K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ .

Now we will suppose that  $B$  is an arbitrary locally  $C^*$ -algebra.

(1) For each  $q \in S(B)$  we consider the map  $\Phi_q: A \rightarrow L_{B_q}(F_q)$  defined by  $\Phi_q = (\pi_q)_* \circ \Phi$ . Evidently  $\Phi_q$  is a continuous  $*$ -morphism and according to the first half of this proof, there is a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $(\Phi_q)_*: L_A(E) \rightarrow L_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  such that

$$(\Phi_q)_*(T)(x \dot{\otimes} \sigma_q^F(y)) = T(x) \dot{\otimes} \sigma_q^F(y), \quad x \in E, y \in F, T \in L_A(E).$$

It is easy to see that  $(\pi_{q_1 q_2})_* \circ (\Phi_{q_1})_* = (\Phi_{q_2})_*$  for all  $q_1, q_2 \in S(B)$ ,  $q_1 \geq q_2$ . Therefore there is a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\Psi: L_A(E) \rightarrow \varprojlim_q L_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  such that  $(\pi_q)_* \circ \Psi = (\Phi_q)_*$  for all  $q \in S(B)$ . Identifying the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$  and  $\varprojlim_q (E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  (cf. Proposition 4.2) and the locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$  and  $\varprojlim_q L_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  (cf. Corollary 4.3) we can identify the continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\Psi$  with a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\Phi_*: L_A(E) \rightarrow L_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ . It is easy to see that  $\Phi_*(T)(x \dot{\otimes} y) = T(x) \dot{\otimes} y$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in F$ ,  $T \in L_A(E)$ . Also it is easy to verify that if  $\Phi$  is injective, then  $\Phi_*$  is injective.

(2) If  $\Phi(A) \subseteq K_B(F)$ , then  $\Phi_q(A) \subseteq K_{B_q}(F_q)$  for each  $q \in S(B)$ , and according to the first part of this proof,  $(\Phi_q)_*(K_A(E)) \subseteq K_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$ . This implies that  $\Phi_*(K_A(E)) \subseteq K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ , since  $K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F) = \varprojlim_q K_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  and  $(\pi_q)_* \circ \Phi_* = (\Phi_q)_*$  for each  $q \in S(B)$ .

If  $\Phi(A)$  is dense in  $K_A(F)$  then for each  $q \in S(B)$ ,  $\Phi_q(A)$  is dense in  $K_{B_q}(F_q)$  and according to the first half of this proof,  $(\Phi_q)_*(K_A(E))$  is dense in  $K_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$ . Thus we have

$$\overline{(\Phi_q)_*(K_A(E))} = \varprojlim_q \overline{(\Phi_q)_*(K_A(E))} = \varprojlim_q K_{B_q}(E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q) = K_B(E \otimes_{\Phi} F).$$

□

**Remark 4.5.** In the case when  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $F = B$ , the proposition was proved in [8, pp. 184–185].

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module, let  $F$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module and let  $\Phi: A \rightarrow L_B(F)$  be a continuous  $*$ -morphism such that  $\Phi(A) = K_B(F)$ . If for each  $q \in S(B)$  there is  $p_q \in S(A)$  such that  $\tilde{q}(\Phi(a)) = p_q(a)$  for all  $a \in A$  and if  $\{p_q; q \in S(B)\}$  is a cofinal subset of  $S(A)$ , then  $\Phi_*(K_A(E)) = K_B(E \otimes_\Phi F)$ .*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 4.4 (2),  $\overline{\Phi_*(K_A(E))} = K_B(E \otimes_\Phi F)$ . We will show that  $\Phi_*(K_A(E))$  is closed. Let  $q \in S(A)$ . We know that there is  $p_q \in S(A)$  such that  $\tilde{q}(\Phi(a)) = p_q(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Therefore there is a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\Phi_{p_q}: A_{p_q} \rightarrow L_{B_q}(F_q)$  such that  $\Phi_{p_q} \circ \pi_{p_q} = (\pi_q)_* \circ \Phi$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_{p_q}(A_{p_q}) = K_{B_q}(F_q)$  and then according to [6, Proposition 4.7],  $\|(\Phi_{p_q})_*(T)\| = \|T\|$  for all  $T$  in  $K(E_{p_q})$ . It is easy to verify that  $(\Phi_{p_q})_* \circ (\pi_{p_q})_* = (\pi_q)_* \circ (\Phi)_*$ . Then for each  $T \in K_A(E)$  we have

$$\tilde{q}((\Phi)_*(T)) = \|(\pi_q)_*((\Phi)_*(T))\| = \|(\Phi_{p_q})_*((\pi_{p_q})_*(T))\| = \|(\pi_{p_q})_*(T)\| = \tilde{p}_q(T).$$

From this, since  $\{p_q; q \in S(B)\}$  is a cofinal subset of  $S(A)$ , it follows that  $\Phi_*(K_A(E))$  is closed.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras, let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module, let  $F$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module and let  $\Phi: A \rightarrow L_B(F)$  be a continuous  $*$ -morphism such that  $\Phi(A)F$  is dense in  $F$ . Then the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $H_A \otimes_\Phi F$  and  $H \otimes F$ , where  $H$  is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space (as well as  $A \otimes_\Phi F$  and  $F$ ) are unitarily equivalent.*

*Proof.* First we suppose that  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

The continuity of  $\Phi$  implies that there is a continuous  $*$ -morphism  $\Psi_p: A_p \rightarrow L_B(F)$  such that  $\Psi_p \circ \pi_p = \Phi$ . Since  $\pi_p$  is surjective,  $\Psi_p(A_p)F$  is dense in  $F$ . Then, since  $A_p$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\Psi_p: A_p \rightarrow L_B(F)$  is a morphism of  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $\Psi_p(A_p)F$  is dense in  $F$ , the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules  $H_{A_p} \otimes_{\Psi_p} F$  and  $H \otimes F$  (as well as  $A_p \otimes_{\Psi_p} F$  and  $F$ ) are unitarily equivalent (see, for instance, [6, pp. 41–42]).

On the other hand, we know that the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules  $H_A \otimes_\Phi F$  and  $H_{A_p} \otimes_{\Psi_p} F$  (as well as  $A \otimes_\Phi F$  and  $A_p \otimes_{\Psi_p} F$ ) are unitarily equivalent (see the proof of the Proposition 4.4). Therefore the proposition is proved in this case.

Now we suppose that  $B$  is an arbitrary locally  $C^*$ -algebra.

For each  $q \in S(B)$ ,  $\Phi_q(A)F_q$  is dense in  $F_q$ , where  $\Phi_q$  is a continuous  $*$ -morphism from  $A$  into  $L_{B_q}(F_q)$  defined by  $\Phi_q = (\pi_q)_* \circ \Phi$ , since  $\Phi_q(A)F_q = (\pi_q)_*(\Phi(A))F_q = \sigma_q^F(\Phi(A)F)$  and  $\Phi(A)F$  is dense in  $F$ . Then, according to the first half of this proof, the Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules  $H_A \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q$  and  $H \otimes F_q$  (as well as  $A \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q$  and  $F_q$ ) are unitarily equivalent. It is easy to see that the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $\lim_{\longleftarrow q} (H_A \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$

and  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} (H \otimes F_q)$  (as well as  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} (A \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q)$  and  $\lim_{\leftarrow q} F_q$ ) are unitarily equivalent and thus the proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** Putting  $F = B$  in Proposition 4.7 and using Remark 3.1 we deduce that the Hilbert  $B$ -modules  $H_A \otimes_{\Phi} B$  and  $H_B$  (as well as  $A \otimes_{\Phi} B$  and  $B$ ) are unitarily equivalent.

### *References*

- [1] *M. Fragoulopoulou*: Tensor products of enveloping locally  $C^*$ -algebras. *Schriftenreihe. Univ. Münster*, 1997, pp. 1–81.
- [2] *A. Inoue*: Locally  $C^*$ -algebras. *Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A 75* (1971), 197–235.
- [3] *K. K. Jensen and K. Thomsen*: *Elements of  $KK$ -theory*. Birhäuser-Verlag, 1991.
- [4] *M. Joița*: The stabilization theorem for Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras. The 3rd International Conference on Topological Algebra and Applications, (ICTAA3), Oulu, Finland, July 2–6, 2001.
- [5] *M. Joița*: Strict completely positive linear maps between locally  $C^*$ -algebras and representations on Hilbert modules. *J. London Math. (2) 66* (2002), 421–432.
- [6] *E. C. Lance*: *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 210. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [7] *A. Mallios*: Hermitian  $K$ -theory over topological  $*$ -algebras. *J. Math. Anal. Appl. 106* (1985), 454–539.
- [8] *N. C. Phillips*: Inverse limits of  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Operator Theory 19* (1988), 159–195.

*Author's address*: M. Joița, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Bd. Regina Elisabeta Nr. 4–12, Bucharest, Romania, e-mail: mjoita@fmi.unibuc.ro.