

Jaroslav Ježek

A note on idempotent modifications of groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 1, 229–231

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127879>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE ON IDEMPOTENT MODIFICATIONS OF GROUPS

J. JEŽEK, Praha

(Received July 19, 2001)

Abstract. The idempotent modification of a group is always a subdirectly irreducible algebra.

Keywords: simple algebra, idempotent, group

MSC 2000: 08B26

The *idempotent modification* of an algebra A is the algebra A' obtained from A by (preserving the underlying set and) modifying the basic operations in the following way: if f is an n -ary basic operation of A , then the operation f' defined by

$$f'(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } a_1 = \dots = a_n, \\ f(a_1, \dots, a_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a basic operation of A' .

Let us consider the following property of a class C of algebras: the idempotent modification of an arbitrary algebra from C is subdirectly irreducible. The aim of this paper is to prove that the variety of groups enjoys this property.

Theorem 1. *The idempotent modification of a group is a subdirectly irreducible algebra.*

The proof will be divided into several lemmas. Let (G, \cdot) be a group and (G, \circ) be its idempotent modification, i.e.,

$$a \circ b = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a = b, \\ ab & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

While working on this paper the author was partially supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, grant 201/99/0263 and by the institutional grant MSM113200007.

Let \sim be a congruence of (G, \circ) .

Lemma 2. $a \sim 1$ if and only if $a^{-1} \sim 1$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $a \sim 1$ implies $a^{-1} \sim 1$. If $a^{-1} = a$, there is nothing to prove. Let $a^{-1} \neq a$. Then $a^{-1} \circ a \sim a^{-1} \circ 1$ gives $1 \sim a^{-1}$. \square

Lemma 3. $a \sim 1$ implies $a^2 \sim 1$.

Proof. This is clear if $a^2 = 1$. Let $a^2 \neq 1$. We have $a \circ a^2 \sim 1 \circ a^2$, i.e., $a^3 \sim a^2$. If $a^3 = 1$, we are done. So, let $a^3 \neq 1$. We have $a^3 \circ a^{-1} \sim a^2 \circ a^{-1} = a^2 a^{-1} = a \sim 1$. If $a^3 \neq a^{-1}$, this means that $a^2 \sim 1$. If $a^3 = a^{-1}$, then $a^3 \sim 1$ by Lemma 2, and this together with $a^3 \sim a^2$ gives $a^2 \sim 1$. \square

Lemma 4. $\{a: a \sim 1\}$ is a subgroup of G .

Proof. By Lemma 2, it is sufficient to prove that $a \sim 1$ and $b \sim 1$ imply $ab \sim 1$. This is clear if $a \neq b$. If $a = b$, it follows from Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 5. If $a \sim b$ where $a \neq b$ and $a^2 \neq 1$, then $a \sim b \sim 1$.

Proof. We have $a \circ a \sim a \circ b$, i.e., $a \sim ab$. Hence $a^{-1} \circ a \sim a^{-1} \circ ab$, i.e., $1 \sim a^{-1} \circ ab$. If $a^{-1} \neq ab$, we get $1 \sim b$ and we are done. If $a^{-1} = ab$ then $a \sim ab = a^{-1}$, so that $a \circ a \sim a \circ a^{-1}$ and thus $a \sim 1$. \square

Lemma 6. If $a \sim b$ where $a \neq b$ and $a^2 \neq 1$, then $x \sim 1$ for all $x \in G$ such that $x^2 \neq 1$.

Proof. We have $a \sim b \sim 1$ by Lemma 5. Let $x^2 \neq 1$. We have $a \circ x \sim b \circ x$. If either $x = a$ or $x = b$, then $x \sim 1$ and we are done. Otherwise, $ax \sim bx$. Hence $a^{-1} \circ ax \sim a^{-1} \circ bx$. If $a^{-1} = ax$, then $x = a^{-2}$ and $x \sim 1$ by Lemma 4. Otherwise, $x \sim a^{-1} \circ bx$. If $x \neq a^{-1} \circ bx$, then we are done by Lemma 5. Let $x = a^{-1} \circ bx$. If $a^{-1} \neq bx$, then $x = a^{-1}bx$, so that $a = b$, a contradiction. Hence $a^{-1} = bx$. But then $x = a^{-1} \sim 1$. \square

Lemma 7. If $a \sim b$ where $a \neq b$, then $x \sim 1$ for all $x \in G$ such that $x^2 \neq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 6, it is sufficient to consider the case when $a^2 = b^2 = 1$. Let $x^2 \neq 1$. We have $a \circ x \sim b \circ x$, i.e., $ax \sim bx$. Hence $a \circ ax \sim a \circ bx$, i.e., $x \sim a \circ bx$. If $x \neq a \circ bx$, we can use Lemma 6. So, let $x = a \circ bx$.

If $a \neq bx$, we get $x = abx$, so that $ab = 1$ and $a = b$, a contradiction. Hence $a = bx$, i.e., $x = ba$. Since $a \circ a \sim b \circ a$, we have $a \sim ba = x$ and we can use Lemma 6. \square

Lemma 8. *If \sim is nontrivial, then $x^2 = 1$ for all $x \in G$.*

Proof. Suppose that \sim is nontrivial and there exists an element $x \in G$ with $x^2 \neq 1$. By Lemma 7, the block of \sim containing 1 contains all such elements x . Let y be an element outside this block, so that $y^2 = 1$ and $y \neq 1$. We have $y \circ 1 \sim y \circ x$, i.e., $y \sim yx$. Hence $y \circ y \sim y \circ yx$, i.e., $y \sim yyx = x$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 9. *Let G be a group satisfying $x^2 = 1$ for all x . Then $(G - \{1\})^2 \cup \text{id}$ is the only nontrivial congruence of (G, \circ) .*

Proof. Clearly, this relation is a congruence of (G, \circ) . Let \sim be a nontrivial congruence of (G, \circ) . If $x \sim 1$ for an element $x \neq 1$, then for any element $y \notin \{x, 1\}$ we have $xy \sim y$, $xy \circ y \sim y$, $xyy \sim y$, $x \sim y$, $y \sim 1$. If $x \sim y$ for two distinct elements x, y different from 1, then for any $z \notin \{x, y, 1\}$ we have $xz \sim yz$, $xxz \sim x \circ yz$, $z \sim x \circ yz$; if $x = yz$, we get $z \sim x$; otherwise, we get $z \sim xyz$, $z \sim xyz = xy \sim x$. \square

We have finished the proof of Theorem 1. In fact, we have proved more:

Theorem 10. *The idempotent modification of a group G is always simple, unless the group satisfies $x^2 = 1$ for all x ; in this last case, the congruence lattice of the idempotent modification is the three-element chain.*

It would be interesting to find other varieties with the property of Theorem 1. In particular, we can ask: Does there exist a variety V of quasigroups, not contained in the variety of groups, such that the idempotent modification of any quasigroup from V is subdirectly irreducible?

References

- [1] *R. McKenzie, G. McNulty and W. Taylor: Algebras, Lattices, Varieties, Volume I. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, 1987.*

Author's address: J. Ježek, MFF UK, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, e-mail: jezek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz.