

Cihan Orhan; Şeyhmus Yardimci

Banach and statistical cores of bounded sequences

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 1, 65–72

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127864>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## BANACH AND STATISTICAL CORES OF BOUNDED SEQUENCES

C. ORHAN and Ş. YARDIMCI, Ankara

(Received March 22, 2001)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we are mainly concerned with characterizing matrices that map every bounded sequence into one whose Banach core is a subset of the statistical core of the original sequence.

*Keywords:* almost convergent sequence, statistically convergent sequence, core of a sequence

*MSC 2000:* 40A05

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $T = (t_{nk})$  is an infinite matrix with real entries, and if  $x = (x_k)$  is a sequence of real numbers, then  $Tx$  denotes the transformed sequence whose  $n$ -th term is given by  $(Tx)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{nk}x_k$ . In order to investigate the effect of such transformations upon the derived set, Knopp [14] introduced the idea of the core ( $\mathcal{K}$ -core) of a sequence and proved the well-known Core Theorem. That theorem asserts that  $\mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Tx\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{x\}$ , whenever  $Tx$  exists for the nonnegative regular matrix  $T$ . Some variants of the Core Theorem may be found in [4], [19], [23], [26].

Considering the method of almost convergence Loone [17] and Das [4] introduced the Banach core ( $\mathcal{B}$ -core) of a bounded sequence and proved some analogues of the assertions for the  $\mathcal{K}$ -core (see also [12], [23], [26], [27]).

In [10], [11], the notion of statistical core of a sequence is introduced and a statistical core theorem is proved.

Section 2 of the present paper presents a result which is complementary to [17] and [23], while Section 3 deals with characterizing matrices that map every bounded sequence into one whose  $\mathcal{B}$ -core is a subset of the statistical core of the original

sequence. Before proceeding further we recall some notation and terminology. By  $l^\infty$  and  $c$  we denote the spaces of all bounded and convergent real sequences, respectively.

Let  $T = (t_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix, and let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two sequence spaces. If  $Tx$  exists for each  $x \in X$  and  $Tx \in Y$  then we say that  $T$  maps  $X$  into  $Y$ . The set of matrices that map  $X$  into  $Y$  is denoted by  $(X, Y)$ . The set of matrices that map  $X$  into  $Y$  and leave the limit or sum invariant is denoted by  $(X, Y; p)$ .

For example, if  $T \in (c, c; p)$ , then  $\lim Tx = \lim x$  for every  $x \in c$ . In this case  $T$  is called regular (see [3], [24]). If it is regular and satisfies  $\lim_n \sum_k |t_{nk} - t_{n,k+1}| = 0$ , then  $T$  is called strongly regular [24].

## 2. $\mathcal{B}$ -core AND ABSOLUTE EQUIVALENCE

This section is complementary to [23] and [17]. It is well-known [18], [24] that the functional

$$q(x) = \inf_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} \limsup_k \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r x_{k+n_i}$$

is sublinear on  $l^\infty$ . We also consider the following functionals on  $l^\infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L(x) &= \limsup x_n, \\ l^*(x) &= \liminf_n \sup_i \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} x_r, \\ L^*(x) &= \limsup_n \sup_i \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} x_r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Corollary to Theorem 1 of [5] that  $q(x) = L^*(x)$ . If  $q(x) = -q(-x) = s$ , then  $x$  is called almost convergent to  $s$  [18], and in this case we write  $F\text{-}\lim x = s$ . By  $F$  we denote the set of all almost convergent sequences.

The Banach core ( $\mathcal{B}$ -core) of a bounded sequence  $x$  is defined to be the closed interval  $[-q(-x), q(x)]$  (see Loone [17], Das [4]). Since  $q(x) \leq L(x)$  for every  $x \in l^\infty$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{x\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{x\}$  where  $\mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{x\}$  is the Knopp core and it is given by  $\mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{x\} = [\liminf x, \limsup x]$ . It is shown in [23], [17] that

$$\mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Ax\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{x\} \quad (\text{for every } x \in l^\infty)$$

if and only if  $A$  is strongly regular and  $\lim_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| = 1$ .

Now we have the following

**Theorem 1.** Let  $x \in l^\infty$  and let  $A$  be a strongly regular matrix. Then  $\mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Ax\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{x\}$  if and only if  $A$  is absolutely equivalent to a non-negative strongly regular matrix  $B$  for all bounded sequences.

*Proof. Sufficiency.* Since  $A$  is absolutely equivalent to a nonnegative strongly regular matrix  $B$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \lim_n \{(Ax)_n - (Bx)_n\} = 0 \quad (\text{for every } x \in l^\infty).$$

Now Theorem 6.5.I of Cooke [3] implies that

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Ax\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{x\}, \quad (\text{for every } x \in l^\infty).$$

Since  $B$  is a non-negative strongly regular matrix, it follows from Theorem 3 of [23] that, for every  $x \in l^\infty$ ,

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Bx\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{x\}.$$

Since (1) holds, Theorem 6.3.II of Cooke [3] implies that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Ax\} = \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Bx\}.$$

Now (3) and (4) imply  $\mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Ax\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{x\}$ .

*Necessity.* Let  $x \in l^\infty$  and let  $A$  be a strongly regular matrix. By hypothesis,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{Ax\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{x\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}\text{-core}\{x\}.$$

Now, there is a non-negative regular matrix  $B$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are absolutely equivalent on  $l^\infty$  (see Theorem 6.5.I of [3]). So, by Theorem 5.4.I of Cooke [3], we have

$$(6) \quad \lim_n \sum_k |b_{nk} - a_{nk}| = 0.$$

It remains to show that

$$(7) \quad \lim_n \sum_k |b_{nk} - b_{n,k+1}| = 0.$$

To see this, we first write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |b_{nk} - b_{n,k+1}| &\leq \sum_k |b_{nk} - a_{nk}| + \sum_k |a_{n,k+1} - b_{n,k+1}| + \sum_k |a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}| \\ &= c_n^1 + c_n^2 + c_n^3, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

By (6),  $c_n^1 \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). By the strong regularity of  $A$ ,  $c_n^3 \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and by the absolute equivalence

$$c_n^2 = \sum_k |a_{n,k+1} - b_{n,k+1}| \leq \sum_k |a_{nk} - b_{nk}| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

hence (7) holds. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. STATISTICAL AND BANACH CORES

If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  then let  $K_n := \{k \in K : k \leq n\}$ ; and  $|K_n|$  will denote the cardinality of  $K_n$ . The natural density [22] of  $K$  is given by  $\delta(K) := \lim_n n^{-1}|K_n|$ , if it exists.

In [9] a statistical cluster point of a sequence  $x$  is defined as a number  $\gamma$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - \gamma| < \varepsilon\}$  does not have density zero. In [10] the sequence  $x$  is defined to be statistically bounded if  $x$  has a bounded subsequence of density one; and the statistical core of such an  $x$  of real values is the closed interval  $[\text{st-lim inf } x, \text{st-lim sup } x]$ , where  $\text{st-lim inf } x$  and  $\text{st-lim sup } x$  are the least and greatest statistical cluster points of  $x$  (see [6], [10], [11], [16]). Recall [10] that, for a sequence  $x$  the number  $\beta$  is the  $\text{st-lim sup } x$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\delta\{k : x_k > \beta - \varepsilon\} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\{k : x_k > \beta + \varepsilon\} = 0.$$

The dual statement for  $\text{st-lim inf } x$  is as follows: The number  $\alpha$  is the  $\text{st-lim inf } x$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\delta\{k : x_k < \alpha + \varepsilon\} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\{k : x_k < \alpha - \varepsilon\} = 0.$$

A statistically bounded sequence  $x$  is statistically convergent if and only if  $\text{st-lim sup } x = \text{st-lim inf } x$  [10]. Some results on statistical convergence may be found in [2], [8], [9], [10], [20], [21], [25].

In this section we are mainly concerned with characterizing matrices that map every bounded sequence into one whose  $\mathcal{B}$ -core is a subset of the statistical core of the original sequence. The final result follows a result of Choudhary [1] in giving conditions on matrices  $T$  and  $H$  so that the Banach core of  $Tx$  is contained in the statistical core of  $Hx$ .

We note that statistical convergence and almost convergence are incomparable [21].

By  $\text{st}(b)$  we denote the set of all bounded statistically convergent sequences. It follows from Theorem 4.1 of [15] that  $T \in (\text{st}(b), F; p)$  if and only if  $T \in (c, F; p)$  and  $T^{[K]} \in (l^\infty, F)$  for every  $K$  of density zero where  $T^{[K]} = (d_{nk})$  is given by  $d_{nk} = t_{nk}$  if  $k \in K$  and  $d_{nk} = 0$  otherwise.

By [13] and [7], this is equivalent to the following

**Proposition 2.**  $T \in (\text{st}(b), F; p)$  if and only if

- (i)  $\sup_n \sum_k |t_{nk}| < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $F\text{-}\lim t_{nk} = 0$  for every  $k$ ,
- (iii)  $F\text{-}\lim \sum_k t_{nk} = 1$ , and
- (iv)  $\lim_r \sum_{k \in K} \left| \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^r t_{n+i,k} \right| = 0$ , uniformly in  $n$  for every  $K$  of density zero.

Now we have

**Theorem 3.** Let  $T: l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty$  and  $\beta(x) := \text{st}\text{-}\lim \sup x$ . Then

$$(8) \quad L^*(Tx) \leq \beta(x) \quad (\text{for every } x \in l^\infty),$$

if and only if

- (a)  $T \in (\text{st}(b), F; p)$ ,
- (b)  $\lim_r \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left| \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^r t_{n+i,k} \right| = 1$ , uniformly in  $n$ .

**Proof.** Assume (8) holds and  $x \in l^\infty$ . Then  $Tx \in l^\infty$ ; and also we have

$$-\beta(-x) \leq -L^*(-Tx) \leq L^*(Tx) \leq \beta(x).$$

If  $x \in \text{st}(b)$ , then  $\beta(x) = -\beta(-x)$ , hence  $T$  maps  $\text{st}(b)$  into  $F$  and  $F\text{-}\lim Tx = \text{st}\text{-}\lim x$ , which proves (a). To prove (b), we first observe that Proposition 2 implies the conditions of Lemma 2 of [4]. Hence, from that Lemma, there is a bounded sequence  $x$  such that  $\|x\|_\infty := \sup_k |x_k| \leq 1$  and

$$(9) \quad \limsup_n \sup_i \sum_k b_{nk}(i)x_k = \limsup_n \sup_i \sum_k |b_{nk}(i)|$$

where  $b_{nk}(i) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} t_{rk}$ .

Hence, by Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \liminf_n \sup_i \sum_k b_{nk}(i) \leq \liminf_n \sup_i \sum_k |b_{nk}(i)| \\ &\leq \limsup_n \sup_i \sum_k |b_{nk}(i)| \\ &= \limsup_n \sup_i \sum_k b_{nk}(i)x_k, \quad \text{by (9)} \\ &\leq \beta(x), \quad \text{by hypothesis} \\ &\leq \|x\|_\infty \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

from which we get (b).

Conversely assume (a) and (b) hold, and let  $x \in l^\infty$ . Then  $Tx \in l^\infty$  and  $\beta(x)$  is finite. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $E := \{k: x_k > \beta(x) + \varepsilon\}$ . Hence  $\delta(E) = 0$ , and if  $k \notin E$  then  $x_k \leq \beta(x) + \varepsilon$ . For any real number  $z$  we write  $z^+ := \max\{z, 0\}$  and  $z^- := \max\{-z, 0\}$ , whence

$$|z| = z^+ + z^-, \quad z = z^+ - z^-, \quad |z| - z = 2z^-.$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} b_{rk}(i) &:= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n=i}^{i+r} t_{nk}, \\ (b_{rk}(i))^+ &:= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n=i}^{i+r} t_{nk}^+, \\ (b_{rk}(i))^- &:= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n=i}^{i+r} t_{nk}^-, \end{aligned}$$

then for a fixed positive integer  $m$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n=i}^{i+r} (Tx)_n &= \sum_{k < m} b_{rk}(i) x_k + \sum_{\substack{k \geq m \\ k \in E}} (b_{rk}(i))^+ x_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k \geq m \\ k \notin E}} (b_{rk}(i))^+ x_k - \sum_{k \geq m} (b_{rk}(i))^- x_k \\ &\leq \|x\|_\infty \sum_{k < m} |b_{rk}(i)| + (\beta(x) + \varepsilon) \sum_{k \geq m} |b_{rk}(i)| \\ &\quad + \|x\|_\infty \sum_{k \geq m} |b_{rk}(i)| + \|x\|_\infty \sum_{k \geq m} (|b_{rk}(i)| - b_{rk}(i)). \end{aligned}$$

On applying the operator  $\limsup_r \sup_i$  and considering Proposition 2, we get

$$L^*(Tx) \leq \beta(x) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary we conclude that (8) holds, whence the result.  $\square$

Similarly we could get  $\alpha(x) \leq l^*(Tx)$ , and hence we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $T: l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty$ , then*

$$\mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{Tx\} \subseteq \text{st-core}\{x\} \quad \text{for every } x \in l^\infty$$

*if and only if conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 3 hold.*

**Theorem 5.** Let  $H$  be a triangular matrix with non-zero diagonal entries, and denote its triangular inverse by  $H^{-1}$ . For an arbitrary matrix  $T$ , in order that, whenever  $Hx \in l^\infty$ ,  $Tx$  should exist and be bounded and satisfy

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{Tx\} \subseteq \text{st-core}\{Hx\},$$

it is necessary and sufficient that

- (i)  $C := TH^{-1}$  exists;
- (ii)  $C \in (\text{st}(b), f; p)$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^r c_{n+i,k} \right| = 1$ ;
- (iv) for any fixed  $n$ ,

$$\lim_{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \left| \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{\infty} t_{nj} h_{jk}^{-1} \right| = 0.$$

**Proof.** *Necessity.* If  $(Tx)_n$  exists for each  $n$  whenever  $Hx \in l^\infty$ , then by Lemma 2 of Choudhary [1], (i) and (iv) hold. By the same Lemma, we also have  $Tx = Cy$  where  $y = Hx$ . By hypothesis  $Tx \in l^\infty$  hence  $Cy \in l^\infty$ . Now (10) implies that  $\mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{Cy\} \subseteq \text{st-core}\{y\}$ . By Theorem 4, we get (ii) and (iii).

*Sufficiency.* Conditions (i)–(iv) imply the conditions of Lemma 2 of Choudhary [1]; so, it follows from that Lemma that  $Cy \in l^\infty$ , and hence  $Tx \in l^\infty$ . Now Theorem 4 yields that  $\mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{Cy\} \subseteq \text{st-core}\{y\}$ , and since  $y = Hx$  and  $Cy = Tx$ , we have  $\mathcal{B}\text{-core}\{Tx\} \subseteq \text{st-core}\{Hx\}$ , whence the result.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

#### References

- [1] *B. Choudhary:* An extension of Knopp's Core Theorem. *J. Math. Appl.* 132 (1988), 226–233.
- [2] *J. Connor:* The statistical and strong  $p$ -Cesàro convergences. *Analysis* 8 (1988), 47–63.
- [3] *R. G. Cooke:* Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces. Macmillan, 1950.
- [4] *G. Das:* Sublinear functionals and a class of conservative Matrices. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 15 (1987), 89–106.
- [5] *G. Das and S. K. Mishra:* A note on a theorem of Maddox on strong almost convergence. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 89 (1981), 393–396.
- [6] *K. Demirci:* A statistical core of a sequence. *Demonstratio Math.* 33 (2000), 343–353.
- [7] *J. P. Duran:* Infinite matrices and almost convergence. *Math. Z.* 128 (1972), 75–83.
- [8] *J. A. Fridy:* On statistical convergence. *Analysis* 5 (1985), 301–313.
- [9] *J. A. Fridy:* Statistical limit points. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1993), 1187–1192.
- [10] *J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan:* Statistical limit superior and limit inferior. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), 3625–3631.



- [11] *J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan*: Statistical core theorems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *208* (1997), 520–527.
- [12] *M. Jerison*: The set of all generalized limits of bounded sequences. *Canad. J. Math.* *9* (1957), 79–89.
- [13] *J. P. King*: Almost summable sequences. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* *17* (1996), 1219–1225.
- [14] *K. Knopp*: Zur theorie der limitierungsverfahren (Erste Mitteilung). *Math. Z.* *31* (1930), 97–127.
- [15] *E. Kolk*: Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences. *Analysis* *13* (1993), 77–83.
- [16] *J. Li and J. A. Fridy*: Matrix transformations of statistical cores of complex sequences. *Analysis* *20* (2000), 15–34.
- [17] *L. Loone*: Knopp’s core and almost-convergence core in the space  $m$ . *Tartu Riikl. All. Toimetised* *335* (1975), 148–156.
- [18] *G. G. Lorentz*: A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. *Acta Math.* *80* (1948), 167–190.
- [19] *I. J. Maddox*: Some analogues of Knopp’s Core Theorem. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* *2* (1979), 605–614.
- [20] *H. I. Miller*: A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* *347* (1995), 1811–1819.
- [21] *H. I. Miller and C. Orhan*: On almost convergent and statistically convergent subsequences. *Acta Math. Hungar.* *93* (2001), 149–165.
- [22] *I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman and H. Montgomery*: *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth Ed. Wiley, New York, 1991.
- [23] *C. Orhan*: Sublinear functionals and Knopp’s Core Theorem. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* *13* (1990), 461–468.
- [24] *G. M. Petersen*: *Regular Matrix Transformations*. McGraw-Hill, London, 1966.
- [25] *T. Šalat*: On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers. *Math. Slovaca* *30* (1980), 139–150.
- [26] *S. Simons*: Banach limits, infinite matrices and sublinear functionals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *26* (1969), 640–655.
- [27] *Ş. Yardimci*: Core theorems for real bounded sequences. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* *27* (1996), 861–867.

*Authors’ address*: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ankara University, Tandoğan 06100, Ankara, Turkey, e-mails: [orhan@science.ankara.edu.tr](mailto:orhan@science.ankara.edu.tr), [yardimci@science.ankara.edu.tr](mailto:yardimci@science.ankara.edu.tr).