

C. Jayaram  
Laskerian lattices

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 53 (2003), No. 2, 351–363

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127805>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## LASKERIAN LATTICES

C. JAYARAM, Gaborone

(Received April 20, 2000)

*Abstract.* In this paper we investigate prime divisors,  $B_w$ -primes and  $zs$ -primes in  $C$ -lattices. Using them some new characterizations are given for compactly packed lattices. Next, we study Noetherian lattices and Laskerian lattices and characterize Laskerian lattices in terms of compactly packed lattices.

*Keywords:* primary element, compactly packed lattice, Laskerian lattice

*MSC 2000:* 06F10, 06F05, 13A15

By a  $C$ -lattice we mean a (not necessarily modular) complete multiplicative lattice, with a least element 0 and a compact greatest element 1 (a multiplicative identity), which is generated under joins by a multiplicatively closed subset  $C$  of compact elements. Throughout this paper  $L$  denotes a  $C$ -lattice and  $L_*$  denotes the set of all compact elements of  $L$ . For any prime element  $p$  of  $L$ ,  $L_p$  denotes the localization at  $F = \{x \in C \mid x \not\leq p\}$ . For details on  $C$ -lattices and their localization theory, the reader is referred to [10]. We note that in a  $C$ -lattice  $a = b$  if and only if  $a_m = b_m$  for all maximal elements  $m$  of  $L$ .

In this paper we study prime divisors,  $B_w$ -primes and  $zs$ -primes. Next we characterize compactly packed lattices. Also we establish some equivalent conditions for a  $C$ -lattice in which every prime element is locally compact to be a Noetherian lattice. Using these results we show that if  $L$  is generated by  $M$ -principal elements, then  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice if and only if the maximal elements of  $L$  are compact and every compact element of  $L$  has a normal primary decomposition. Finally, we introduce Laskerian lattices and characterize them in terms of compactly packed lattices.

Recall that an element  $e$  of  $L$  is said to be principal if it satisfies the dual identities (i)  $a \wedge be = ((a : e) \wedge b)e$  and (ii)  $a \vee (b : e) = (ae \vee b) : e$ . Principal elements were introduced into multiplicative lattices by R. P. Dilworth [6]. Elements satisfying (i)

are called meet principal and elements satisfying (ii) are called join principal. Elements satisfying the weaker identity (i')  $a \wedge e = (a : e)e$  obtained from (i) by setting  $b = 1$  are called weak meet principal, and elements satisfying the weaker identity (ii')  $a \vee (0 : e) = ae : e$  obtained from (ii) by setting  $b = 0$  are called weak join principal. Elements satisfying both (i') and (ii') are called weak principal. An element  $a \in L$  is said to be strong join principal if  $a$  is compact and join principal. An element  $a \in L$  is said to be a radical element if  $a = \sqrt{a}$ . Following [1], a prime element  $p$  of  $L$  is said to satisfy the condition  $\oplus$ , if for any collection  $\{p_\alpha\}$  of prime elements of  $L$ ,  $p \not\leq p_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  implies that there exists  $x \in L_*$  such that  $x \leq p$  and  $x \not\leq p_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . The lattice  $L$  is said to be a *compactly packed lattice* if every prime element satisfies the condition  $\oplus$ .  $L$  is said to be a *Noetherian lattice* if  $L$  satisfies the ascending chain condition (a.c.c.). It is well known that  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice if and only if every element is a compact element. An  $r$ -lattice is a modular multiplicative lattice that is compactly generated, principally generated and has a compact greatest element 1. An  $r$ -lattice satisfying the ascending chain condition is called a *Noether lattice*.

For general background and terminology, the reader is referred to [2], [4], [10].

An element  $b \in L$  is said to be prime to  $a$  ( $a, b \in L$ ) if  $bc \leq a$  implies  $c \leq a$ . For any  $a \in L$  ( $a < 1$ ), let  $H_a = \{x \in L_* \mid x \text{ is prime to } a\}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_a = \{x \in L \mid a \leq x \text{ and } H_a \cap [0, x] = \emptyset\}$ . Obviously  $H_a \cap [0, a] = \emptyset$  ( $[0, a] = \{x \in L \mid 0 \leq x \leq a\}$ ) and  $H_a$  is a multiplicative closed subset of  $L_*$ . So by Zorn's lemma,  $\mathfrak{S}_a$  contains maximal elements and every maximal element is a prime element.

**Definition 1.** A prime element  $p$  containing  $a$  ( $a, p \in L$ ) is called a maximal prime divisor if  $p \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  and  $p$  is a maximal element of  $\mathfrak{S}_a$ .

**Definition 2.** A prime element  $p$  containing  $a$  ( $a, p \in L$ ) is called a prime divisor if  $p \in \mathfrak{S}_{(a_p)} = \{x \in L \mid a_p \leq x \text{ and } H_{(a_p)} \cap [0, x] = \emptyset\}$  and  $p$  is a maximal element of  $\mathfrak{S}_{(a_p)}$ .

It is well known that a prime element  $p$  containing  $a$  is a minimal prime over  $a$  if and only if for any compact element  $x \leq p$ , there exists a compact element  $y \not\leq p$  such that  $x^n y \leq a$  for a positive integer  $n$  ([1], Lemma 3.5). Using this result, it can be easily shown that if  $p$  is a minimal prime over  $a$ , then  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $a$  and such prime elements are called minimal prime divisors of  $a$ .

We now prove several useful lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $L$  satisfy the ascending chain condition (a.c.c.) for prime elements and suppose that each compact element has only finitely many minimal prime divisors. Then  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice.

**P r o o f.** By imitating the proof of Lemma 1 of [5], we can prove that for every prime element  $p$  of  $L$ , there exists  $x \in L_*$  such that  $p = \sqrt{x}$ . Now the result follows from the definition of a compactly packed lattice.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If every prime element of  $L$  is locally compact, then  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on prime elements.*

**P r o o f.** The proof of the lemma is similar to that of [5, Lemma 2].  $\square$

An element  $a \in L$  is said to have a primary decomposition, if there exist primary elements  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$  in  $L$  such that  $a = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_n$ . If  $q$  is a primary element of  $L$ , then  $\sqrt{q} = p$  is a prime element and it is called the prime associated with  $q$ . Note that if  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are primary elements associated with the same prime, then  $q_1 \wedge q_2$  is also a primary element associated with  $p$ . An element  $a \in L$  is said to have a normal primary decomposition, if  $a = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_n$  ( $q_i$ 's are primary elements with distinct radicals) and if no  $q_i$  contains the meet of the other primary elements. Note that if  $a$  has a primary decomposition, then this primary decomposition can be reduced to a normal primary decomposition.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $a \in L$  have a normal primary decomposition  $a = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_n$  and put  $p_i = \sqrt{q_i}$ . Then a compact element  $x$  of  $L$  is non prime to  $a$  if and only if  $x \leq p_i$  for some  $i$ .*

**P r o o f.** If  $x$  is non prime to  $a$ , then  $xy \leq a$  for a compact element  $y \not\leq a$ . So  $y \not\leq q_i$  for some  $i$ . Since  $xy \leq a \leq q_i$ ,  $y \not\leq q_i$  and  $q_i$  is primary, it follows that  $x \leq \sqrt{q_i} = p_i$ .

Conversely, assume that  $x \leq p_i$  for some  $i$ . Since  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n q_i$  is a normal primary decomposition of  $a$ , it follows that  $a < \bigwedge_{j \neq i} q_j$ . Choose any compact element  $y \leq \bigwedge_{j \neq i} q_j$  such that  $y \not\leq a$ . As  $x \leq p_i = \sqrt{q_i}$ ,  $x^k \leq q_i$  for a positive integer  $k$  and so  $x^k y \leq a$ . Let  $i$  be the smallest integer such that  $x^i y \leq a$ . Then  $x(x^{i-1}y) \leq a$  and  $x^{i-1}y \not\leq a$  and hence  $x$  is non prime to  $a$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $a \in L$  have a normal primary decomposition  $a = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m$  and put  $p_i = \sqrt{q_i}$ . Let  $p$  be a prime element of  $a$ . Then  $a_p = \bigwedge \{q_i \mid p_i \leq p\}$ .*

**P r o o f.** The proof of the lemma follows from [10, Properties 0.7 and 0.8].  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $a \in L$  have a normal primary decomposition  $a = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m$  and put  $p_i = \sqrt{q_i}$ . If  $p$  is a prime element containing  $a$ , then  $p = p_i$  for some  $i$  if and only if  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $a$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $p = p_k$  for some  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ). Then by Lemma 4,  $a_p = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \{q_i \mid p_i \leq p_k\}$ . As  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^m q_i$  is a normal primary decomposition of  $a$ , it follows that  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \{q_i \mid p_i \leq p_k\}$  is a normal primary decomposition of  $a_p$ . By Lemma 3,  $p \in \mathfrak{S}_{(a_p)}$  and it is not hard to show that  $p$  is a maximal element of  $\mathfrak{S}_{(a_p)}$ . Therefore  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $a$ .

Conversely, assume that  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $a$ . Since  $a \leq p$ , it follows that  $p_i \leq p$  for some  $i$ . Note that  $a_p = \bigwedge \{q_i \mid p_i \leq p\}$  is a normal primary decomposition of  $a_p$ . By Lemma 3, each  $p_i$  ( $p_i \leq p$ ) is an element of  $\mathfrak{S}_{(a_p)}$ . Since  $p \in \mathfrak{S}_{(a_p)}$  for any compact element  $x \leq p$ ,  $x$  is non prime to  $a_p$  and so by Lemma 3,  $x \leq p_i$  ( $p_i \leq p$ ) for some  $i$ . This shows that  $p = p_i$  for some  $i$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.** A prime element  $p$  containing  $a$  is called a  $B_w$ -prime of  $a$  if  $p$  is a minimal prime divisor of  $(a : x)$  for some  $x \in L_*$ .

**Definition 4.** A prime element  $p$  containing  $a$  ( $a, p \in L$ ) is said to be a  $zs$ -prime of  $a$  if  $p = \sqrt{(a : x)}$  for some  $x \in L_*$ .

**Remark 1.** Clearly if  $p$  is a  $zs$ -prime of  $a$ , then  $p$  is a  $B_w$ -prime of  $a$  and it is not hard to show that every  $B_w$ -prime of  $a$  is a prime divisor of  $a$ . Also it should be mentioned that if  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity and  $L(R)$  is the lattice of all ideals of  $R$ , then a prime ideal  $P$  containing an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is a  $B_w$ -prime ( $zs$ -prime) of  $I$  if and only if  $P$  is a  $B_w$ -prime ( $zs$ -prime) of  $I$  in the sense of [8].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $a \in L$  have a normal primary decomposition  $a = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_m$  and put  $p_i = \sqrt{q_i}$ . Suppose  $p$  is a prime element containing  $a$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $p = p_i$  for some  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ).
- (ii)  $p$  is a  $zs$ -prime of  $a$ .
- (iii)  $p$  is a  $B_w$ -prime of  $a$ .
- (iv)  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $a$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose (i) holds. Since  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^m q_i$  is a normal primary decomposition of  $a$ , it follows that  $\bigwedge_{j \neq i} \sqrt{q_j} \not\leq \sqrt{q_i}$ , so there exists  $x \in L_*$  such that  $x \not\leq \sqrt{q_i}$  and  $x \leq \bigwedge_{j \neq i} \sqrt{q_j}$ . Therefore  $x^k \leq \bigwedge_{j \neq i} q_j$  for a positive integer  $k$ . Consequently  $p_i = \sqrt{(a : x^k)}$ . Hence  $p$  is a  $zs$ -prime of  $a$  and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from Remark 1 while (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Lemma 5. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $p \leq q$  be prime elements of  $L$  and let  $a$  be an element of  $L$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (i)  $p$  is a minimal prime over  $a$  if and only if  $p_q$  is a minimal prime over  $a_q$  in  $L_q$ .
- (ii)  $p$  is a  $B_w$ -prime of  $a$  in  $L$  if and only if  $p_q$  is a  $B_w$ -prime of  $a_q$  in  $L_q$ .
- (iii) If  $p$  is the unique  $B_w$ -prime of  $a$ , then  $a$  is  $p$ -primary.
- (iv) If  $x \in L_*$ ,  $x_p = p_p$  and  $x_q$  is a  $p_q$ -primary element of  $L_q$ , then  $x_q = p_q$ .
- (v) If  $\{\sqrt{(a : x)} \mid x \in L_*\}$  satisfies a.c.c., then every  $B_w$ -prime of  $a$  is also a  $zs$ -prime of  $a$ .
- (vi) Let  $a \in L$ . If  $a$  has only finitely many  $B_w$ -primes, then  $\{zs\text{-primes of } a\} = \{B_w\text{-primes of } a\} = \{\text{prime divisors of } a\}$ .

**Proof.** (i) and (iv) follow from [10, Properties 0.5, 0.7 and 0.8]. The proof of (ii) is a direct consequence of (i) and the proofs of (iii), (v) and (vi) are similar to those of [8, Lemma 1.1, Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.5]. □

**Theorem 2.** *The following statements on  $L$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on radical elements.
- (ii) For every  $a \in L$ , there exists  $x \in L_*$  such that  $\sqrt{a} = \sqrt{x}$ .
- (iii)  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice.
- (iv) Every  $a \in L$  has only finitely many minimal prime divisors and  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on prime elements.
- (v) Every compact element has only finitely many minimal prime divisors and  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on prime elements.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose (i) holds and let  $a \in L$ . Then  $\{\sqrt{x} \mid x \in L_* \text{ and } x \leq a\}$  has a maximal element, say  $\sqrt{y}$ . Obviously  $\sqrt{a} = \sqrt{y}$ . (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from [1, Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.5]. We show that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Suppose (iii) holds. Note that by [1, Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.5], if  $p$  is a prime element, then  $p = \sqrt{a}$  for some  $a \in L_*$ . Again by Zorn's lemma, for every  $a \in L$ ,  $\sqrt{a} = \sqrt{x}$  for some  $x \in L_*$ . Therefore by [1, Theorem 6.1], every element has only finitely many minimal prime divisors. Obviously,  $L$  has a.c.c. on prime elements. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) is obvious. (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Lemma 1 and the fact that if every prime element is the radical of some compact element, then every radical element is the radical of some compact element. □

**Remark.** If  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity, then  $L(R)$ , the lattice of all ideals of  $R$ , is a compactly packed lattice if and only if  $R$  has a Noetherian spectrum (in the sense of [11]).

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose every prime element of  $L$  is locally compact. If  $L$  satisfies any one of the following conditions:*

- (i) every compact element of  $L$  has a normal primary decomposition;
- (ii) every compact element of  $L$  has only finitely many  $B_w$ -primes;

- (iii) every compact element of  $L$  has only finitely many prime divisors;
- (iv) each  $x \in L_*$  has only finitely many minimal prime divisors and  $\sqrt{x}$  is compact, then every prime element is compact.

**Proof.** Note that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from Theorem 1. If  $L$  satisfies (iv), then by Lemma 1, every prime element is compact. Now by Remark 1 and Lemma 6 (vi), it suffices to show that if  $L$  satisfies the condition (iii), then every prime element is compact. Suppose every compact element has only finitely many prime divisors. Let  $p$  be a prime element of  $L$ . By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2,  $p = \sqrt{x}$  for some  $x \in L_*$ . By hypothesis  $p = p_p = a_p$  for some  $a \in L_*$ . Note that  $p = \sqrt{x \vee a}$  and  $(x \vee a)_p = p_p$ . Let  $x_1 = x \vee a$  and let  $p, p_1, \dots, p_n$  be the prime divisors of  $x_1$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $p < p_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Again by hypothesis, there exist  $\gamma_i \in L_*$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) such that  $(p)_{p_i} = (\gamma_i)_{p_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $x_2 = x_1 \vee \gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2 \vee \dots \vee \gamma_n$ . Then  $p = \sqrt{x_2}$  and  $(x_2)_{p_i} = (p)_{p_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We show that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $p_i$  is not a prime divisor of  $x_2$ . Choose any  $y_i \in L_*$  such that  $y_i \leq p_i$  and  $y_i \not\leq p$ . Then each  $y_i$  is prime to  $p$  and each  $y_i$  is prime to  $(p)_{p_i} = (x_2)_{p_i}$ . This shows that  $H_{(x_2)_{p_i}} \cap [0 p_i] \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently, no  $p_i$  is a prime divisor of  $x_2$ . Suppose that  $q$  ( $q \neq p$ ) is any prime which contains  $x_2$  and suppose that  $p_i \not\leq q$  for any  $i$ . Since  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq q$ , we have  $p < q$ . Again since  $p = \sqrt{x_1}$ , it follows that  $p$  is the unique minimal prime divisor of  $x_1$ , so  $p_q$  is the unique minimal prime divisor of  $(x_1)_q$  (by Lemma 6 (i)) in  $L_q$ . So  $p_q$  is a  $B_w$ -prime of  $(x_1)_q$ . Again if  $q'_q$  is a  $B_w$ -prime of  $(x_1)_q$  in  $L_q$  ( $q'$  is a prime element and  $q' \leq q$ ), then by Lemma 6 (ii),  $q'$  is a  $B_w$ -prime of  $x_1$  in  $L$ , so  $q'$  is a prime divisor of  $x_1$  and hence  $q' = p$  (since  $p_i \not\leq q$  for any  $i$ ). Therefore  $p_q$  is the unique  $B_w$ -prime of  $(x_1)_q$ , so by Lemma 6 (iii),  $x_{1_q}$  is  $p_q$ -primary and again by Lemma 6 (iv),  $(x_2)_q = p_q$ . As  $p < q$ ,  $q$  is not a prime divisor of  $x_2$ . Therefore if  $p, p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_m$  are the prime divisors of  $x_2$ , then for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $p'_i > p_j$  for some  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . As  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. for prime elements, a finite number of repetitions of the above procedure yields a compact element  $x_3 \in L_*$  such that  $(x_3)_p = p_p$  and  $p$  is the unique prime divisor of  $x_3$ . So by Lemma 6 (iii),  $x_3$  is  $p$ -primary and hence  $x_3 = p$ . Consequently,  $p$  is compact. Thus every prime element is compact and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 5.** An element  $x \in L$  is said to be a modular element (or an  $m$ -element) if for any  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a \geq b$  implies  $a \wedge (x \vee b) = (a \wedge x) \vee b$ .

**Definition 6.** An element  $x \in L$  is said to be an  $M$ -element if  $x^n$  is an  $m$ -element for every positive integer  $n$ .

Note that  $L$  is a modular lattice if and only if every element is an  $m$ -element. Also it is not hard to show that  $L$  is a modular lattice if and only if every compact element is a modular element.

A weak meet principal (meet principal, principal) element  $x$  is said to be  $m$ -weak meet principal ( $m$ -meet principal,  $m$ -principal) if  $x$  is a modular element.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by compact  $m$ -weak meet principal elements. If every prime element is compact, then every element is compact.*

*Proof.* Suppose every prime element is compact and let  $\Psi = \{x \in L \mid x \text{ is not compact}\}$  be a non empty set. By Zorn's lemma,  $\Psi$  has a maximal element, say  $p$ . By hypothesis  $p$  is not prime, so there exist compact  $m$ -weak meet principal elements  $x, y \in L$  such that  $xy \leq p$ ,  $x \not\leq p$  and  $y \not\leq p$ . So  $p < p \vee x$ ,  $p < p : x$  and hence  $p \vee x$  and  $p : x$  are compact elements. Since  $p \vee x$  is compact, it follows that  $p \vee x = p_1 \vee x$  for a compact element  $p_1 \leq p$ . Observe that  $p \leq p_1 \vee x$ , so  $p = p \wedge (x \vee p_1) = p_1 \vee (p \wedge x)$  (as  $x$  is an  $m$ -element)  $= p_1 \vee ((p : x)x)$  (as  $x$  is weak meet principal) and therefore  $p$  is compact as  $p_1, x, (p : x) \in L_*$ . This contradiction shows that every element is compact.  $\square$

An element  $a \in L$  is said to be meet irreducible if  $a = b \wedge c$  implies either  $a = b$  or  $a = c$ . It is well known that if  $L$  satisfies a.c.c, then every element is a finite meet of meet irreducible elements.

**Lemma 7.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by  $M$ -meet principal elements and let  $a \in L$  be a meet irreducible element. If  $\{(a : x) \mid x \in L\}$  satisfies a.c.c., then  $a$  is primary.*

*Proof.* The proof of the lemma is similar to that of [6, Theorem 3.1].  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by compact  $M$ -meet principal elements. If  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice, then  $L$  satisfies the conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 3. Conversely, if every prime element is locally compact and  $L$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3, then  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice.*

*Proof.* The proof of the theorem follows from Theorems 1, 3, 4 and Lemma 7.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $L$  be a quasi-local lattice generated by  $M$ -principal elements. Suppose the maximal element  $m$  is compact. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice.
- (ii) Every compact element of  $L$  has a normal primary decomposition.
- (iii) For any two compact elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $L$ , there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $(a \vee b^\ell) \wedge (a : b^\ell) = a$  for  $\ell \geq n$ .
- (iv)  $\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} (m^n \vee a) = a$  for all compact elements  $a$  of  $L$ .
- (v) If  $b = a \vee mb$  and  $a \in L_*$ , then  $a = b$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from Lemma 7 and by imitating the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1], it can be easily shown that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) and (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) follow from [1, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.1]. Now we prove that (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose  $L$  is not Noetherian. By the proof of Theorem 4, there exists a prime element  $p$  such that  $p$  is maximal among the set of all non compact elements. Clearly  $p \neq m$ . Choose any  $M$ -principal element  $x \leq m$  such that  $x \not\leq p$ . Then  $x^n \not\leq p$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $p < p \vee x^n$ , so  $p \vee x^n$  is compact and hence  $p \vee x^n = p_1 \vee x^n$  for a compact element  $p_1 \leq p$ . If  $a \leq p$  is any principal element, then  $a \vee p_1 = (a \vee p_1) \wedge (p_1 \vee x^n) = p_1 \vee ((a \vee p_1) \wedge x^n) = p_1 \vee (((a \vee p_1) : x^n)x^n)$  as  $x^n$  is an  $m$ -principal element. Since  $(a \vee p_1) : x^n \leq p$ ,  $x^n \not\leq p$  and  $p$  is prime, it follows that  $(a \vee p_1) : x^n \leq p$ . So  $a \leq p_1 \vee x^n p \leq p_1 \vee m^n p$  and therefore  $p = p_1 \vee m^n p$  and this is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Consequently, either (iv) or (v) implies that  $p = p_1$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by  $M$ -principal elements. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice.
- (ii) *The maximal elements of  $L$  are compact and every compact element of  $L$  has a normal primary decomposition.*

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from Lemma 7. Suppose (ii) holds. By hypothesis and Lemma 4, every compact element of  $L_m$  ( $m$  is a maximal element) has a normal primary decomposition, so by Theorem 6,  $L$  is a locally Noetherian lattice. Again by Theorem 5,  $L$  is a Noetherian lattice. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $L$  is an  $r$ -lattice in which every compact element is a finite meet of primary elements. If  $p$  is a compact prime element minimal over a principal element, then  $\text{rank } p \leq 1$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 6 and [6, Theorem 6.4].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose  $L$  is an  $r$ -lattice in which every compact element has a normal primary decomposition. If the prime elements are comparable and the maximal element is compact, then  $\dim L \leq 1$ .*

**Definition 7.**  $L$  is said to be a Laskerian lattice if every element is a finite meet of primary elements.

Noether lattices [6] are Laskerian lattices. If  $R$  is a Laskerian ring (see [7], [9]), then the lattice  $I(R)$  of all ideals of  $R$  is a Laskerian  $r$ -lattice. If  $L$  is an idempotent (i.e.,  $a^2 = a$  for all  $a \in L$ ) distributive lattice satisfying the ascending chain condition, then  $L$  is a Laskerian lattice ([1, Theorem 6.1]).

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $L$  be a Laskerian lattice generated by strong join principal elements. If  $p$  is a prime element containing  $a$ , then  $a_p = \bigwedge\{q \mid a \leq q \text{ and } q \text{ is } p\text{-primary}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b = \bigwedge\{q \mid a \leq q \text{ and } q \text{ is } p\text{-primary}\}$ . Clearly  $a_p \leq b$ . Suppose  $a_p < b$ . Then there exists a strong join principal element  $x \leq b$  such that  $x \not\leq a_p$ . As  $L$  is Laskerian, it follows that  $a \vee xp$  has a normal primary decomposition, say  $a \vee xp = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_n$ , and  $p_i = \sqrt{q_i}$  ( $q_i^s$  are  $p_i$ -primary). By Lemma 4,  $(a \vee xp) = \bigwedge\{q_i \mid p_i \leq p\}$ . By Theorem 1.4 of [2],  $x_p \not\leq (a \vee xp)_p$ , so  $x_p \not\leq q_i$  ( $p_i \leq p$ ) for some  $i$  and hence  $x \not\leq q_i$ . Again since  $xp \leq q_i$ , it follows that  $p \leq p_i$ , so  $q_i$  is  $p$ -primary. This contradiction shows that  $b = a_p$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by strong join principal elements. If  $L$  is Laskerian, then  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $L$  is Laskerian. Then clearly  $L$  contains only finitely many minimal primes. So by Theorem 2, it is enough if we show that  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on prime elements. Let  $p_0 < p_1 < p'_1 < p_2 < p'_2 < p_3 < p'_3 < \dots$  be a chain of prime elements. By Theorem 1, every element has only finitely many  $zs$ -primes. We show that there is an element  $a \in L$  such that  $a$  has infinitely many  $zs$ -primes. First we show by induction that for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  there exist  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in L$ ,  $a_n, b_n$  and strong join principal elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $L$  such that

- (i)  $q_i$  is  $p_i$ -primary for  $i$  and  $a_n = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_n$ ,
- (ii) for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we have  $x_i \leq \bigwedge_{j \neq i} q_j$  and  $x_i \not\leq q_i$ ,
- (iii)  $x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \dots \vee x_n \leq b_n$ ,  $a_n \not\leq b_n$  and every  $zs$ -prime of  $b_n$  is contained in  $p'_n$ .

Suppose  $n = 1$ . Then take  $q_1 = p_1$ . Since  $p_1 < p'_1$  and  $p_1$  is nonminimal, it follows that  $0_{p'_1} < p_1$ , so by Lemma 8,  $p_1 \not\leq q'_1$  for some  $p'_1$ -primary element  $q'_1$ . Choose any strong join principal element  $x_1 \leq q'_1$  such that  $x_1 \not\leq q_1$ . Let  $b_1 = (x_1)_{p'_1}$ . Clearly  $q_1 = p_1 \not\leq b_1$ . As  $L$  is Laskerian,  $b_1$  has a normal primary decomposition, say  $b_1 = h_1 \wedge \dots \wedge h_n$ ,  $r_i = \sqrt{h_i}$  ( $h_i^s$  are  $r_i$ -primary elements). Since  $b_1 = (b_1)_{p'_1}$ , by Lemma 4 we have  $r_i \leq p'_1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Again by Theorem 1,  $r_i^s$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are the only  $zs$ -primes of  $b_1$ . Therefore each  $zs$ -prime of  $b_1$  is contained in  $p'_1$ . Thus the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are satisfied.

Suppose we have  $q_1, \dots, q_n, a_n, b_n$  and strong join principal elements satisfying (i)–(iii). Since  $a_n \not\leq b_n$ , there exists a strong join principal element  $y_{n+1}$  such that  $y_{n+1} \leq a_n$  and  $y_{n+1} \not\leq b_n$ . Since  $p'_n < p_{n+1}$  and  $b_n < p_{n+1}$ , by Lemma 8 there exists a  $p_{n+1}$ -primary element  $q_{n+1}$  such that  $b_n \leq q_{n+1}$  and  $y_{n+1} \not\leq q_{n+1}$ . Define  $a_{n+1} = a_n \wedge q_{n+1}$ . We show that  $a_{n+1} \not\leq b_n$ . As  $L$  is Laskerian,  $b_n$  has a normal primary decomposition, say  $b_n = h_1 \wedge \dots \wedge h_k$ ,  $r_i = \sqrt{h_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) where  $r_i^{s_i}$  are  $zs$ -primes of  $b_n$ . By (iii), each  $r_i \leq p'_n$  and therefore  $q_{n+1} \not\leq r_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . If  $a_{n+1} \leq b_n$ , then  $a_n \wedge q_{n+1} \leq b_n \leq h_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $q_{n+1} \not\leq r_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $h_i^{s_i}$  are  $r_i$ -primary elements, it follows that  $a_n \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^k h_i = b_n$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $a_{n+1} \not\leq b_n$ . Note that  $b_n = (b_n)_{p'_{n+1}}$  since each  $r_i \leq p'_n < p'_{n+1}$  and by Lemma 8,  $b_n = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Delta} \{c_\lambda \mid b_n \leq c_\lambda \text{ and } c_\lambda \text{ is a } p'_{n+1}\text{-primary element}\}$ . Since  $a_{n+1} \not\leq b_n$ , it follows that  $a_{n+1} \not\leq c_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . Consequently,  $a_{n+1} \not\leq (b_n \vee y_{n+1} c_\lambda)_{p'_{n+1}}$  as  $(b_n \vee y_{n+1} c_\lambda)_{p'_{n+1}} \leq c_\lambda$ . As  $p_{n+1} < p'_{n+1}$ , we have  $c_\lambda \not\leq p_{n+1}$ , so there exists a strong join principal element  $r \leq c_\lambda$  such that  $r \not\leq p_{n+1}$ . Define  $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1} r$  and  $b_{n+1} = (b_n \vee x_{n+1})_{p'_{n+1}}$ . Observe that  $x_{n+1}$  is a strong join principal element. Since  $y_{n+1} \not\leq q_{n+1}$  and  $r \not\leq p_{n+1}$ , it follows that  $x_{n+1} \not\leq q_{n+1}$ . Thus (i) and (ii) are satisfied for  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{n+1}$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$ . Moreover, (iii) is satisfied for  $b_{n+1}$ , by the choice of  $x_{n+1}$  and  $b_{n+1}$ . Therefore, we conclude by induction that there exist infinite sequences  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are satisfied for all  $n$ . Now let us define  $a = \bigwedge_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ . Since  $x_n \leq \bigwedge_{j \neq n} q_j$  and  $x_n \not\leq q_n$ , it follows that  $(a : x_n) = (a_n : x_n) = (q_n : x_n)$  is  $p_n$ -primary, so  $p_n$  is a  $zs$ -prime of  $a$  and this is true for all  $n$ . Therefore  $a$  has infinitely many  $zs$ -primes. This contradiction shows that  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on prime elements and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by  $M$ -principal elements. Then  $L$  is Laskerian if and only if  $L$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice.
- (ii) For each  $a \in L$ , there is a prime element  $p$  minimal over  $a$  and an  $M$ -principal element  $x \not\leq p$  such that  $(a : x)$  is  $p$ -primary.

*Proof.* Suppose  $L$  is a Laskerian lattice. By Theorem 2 and Theorem 8,  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice. Again by imitating the proof of Theorem 1 ((i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)), it can be easily shown that  $L$  satisfies the condition (ii).

Conversely, assume that  $L$  satisfies (i) and (ii). Let  $a \in L$  and let  $p$  be a minimal prime over  $a$  such that  $(a : x)$  is  $p$ -primary for some  $M$ -principal element  $x \not\leq p$ . Then  $(a : x) \wedge (a \vee x) = ((a : x) \wedge a) \vee ((a : x) \wedge x)$  ( $x$  is a modular element)  $= a \vee ((a : x) \wedge x) = a \vee ((a : x^2) \wedge x)$  (as  $x$  is weak meet principal). Note that  $(a : x^2) \leq (a : x)$

since  $x \not\leq p$  and  $(a : x)$  is  $p$ -primary. Therefore  $(a : x^2)x \leq (a : x)x \leq a$  and hence  $a = (a : x) \wedge (a \vee x)$ . Put  $a_1 = (a \vee x)$  and  $q_1 = (a : x)$ . Then  $a = q_1 \wedge a_1$  where  $\sqrt{a} < \sqrt{a_1}$  since  $x \leq \sqrt{a_1}$ . Similarly  $a_1 = q_2 \wedge a_2$  where  $q_2 = (a_1 : y)$  is  $p_1$ -primary,  $p_1$  is a minimal prime over  $a_1$ ,  $y \not\leq p_1$  is an  $m$ -principal element and  $\sqrt{a_1} < \sqrt{a_2}$ . By continuing this process, we get sequences of elements  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  such that  $a_{i-1} = q_i \wedge a_i$ ,  $q_i$  is primary for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  ( $a_0 = a$ ) and  $\sqrt{a_0} < \sqrt{a_1} < \sqrt{a_2} < \dots < \sqrt{a_n}$ . Since  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on radical elements, it follows that  $\sqrt{a_0} < \sqrt{a_1} < \sqrt{a_2} < \dots < \sqrt{a_n}$  is a finite chain with  $\sqrt{a_n}$  as a maximal element. Then  $a_n = 1$  and hence  $a = q_1 \wedge \dots \wedge q_n$ . This shows that  $L$  is Laskerian and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Suppose  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice. Let  $a \in L$  and let  $p$  be a minimal prime over  $a$ . Then  $p = \sqrt{(a : x)}$  for a compact element  $x \not\leq p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in L$  and let  $p$  be a minimal prime over  $a$ . Since  $L$  satisfies a.c.c. on radical elements, it follows that  $\Gamma = \{\sqrt{(a : x)} \mid x \in L_*, x \not\leq p \text{ and } p \text{ is a minimal prime over } \sqrt{(a : x)}\}$  has a maximal element, say  $\sqrt{(a : x)}$ . Suppose  $p_0$  is any other minimal prime over  $\sqrt{(a : x)}$ . Choose any element  $y \leq p_0$  such that  $y \not\leq p$ . Since  $xy \not\leq p$  and  $\sqrt{(a : x)} \leq \sqrt{(a : xy)}$ , it follows by the maximality that  $\sqrt{(a : x)} = \sqrt{(a : x^n)} = \sqrt{(a : xy)} \leq \sqrt{(a : xy^m)}$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since  $y \leq p_0$  and  $p_0$  is any other minimal prime over  $\sqrt{(a : x)}$ , it follows that there exists  $z \not\leq p_0$  such that  $y^n z \leq \sqrt{(a : x)}$ , so  $z \leq \sqrt{(a : x)} \leq p_0$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $p$  is the unique minimal prime over  $\sqrt{(a : x)}$  and hence  $p = \sqrt{(a : x)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Suppose  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice in which every primary element with non maximal prime radical is compact. Then for each  $a \in L$ , there is a prime element  $p$  minimal over  $a$  and a compact element  $x \not\leq p$  such that  $(a : x)$  is  $p$ -primary.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in L$  and let  $p \in L$  be a minimal prime over  $a$ . By Lemma 9,  $p = \sqrt{(a : x)}$  for some  $x \not\leq p$ . If  $p$  is maximal, then  $(a : x)$  is  $p$ -primary. Suppose  $p$  is non maximal. Note that  $q = a_p$  is  $p$ -primary. Again by hypothesis,  $xq \leq a$  for a compact element  $x \not\leq p$ . As  $q$  is  $p$ -primary, it follows that  $q = (a : x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *Suppose  $L$  is a compactly packed lattice generated by  $M$ -principal elements. If every primary element with non maximal prime radical is compact, then  $L$  is a Laskerian lattice.*

*Proof.* Suppose every primary element with non maximal prime radical is compact. Let  $a \in L$  and let  $p$  be a minimal prime over  $a$ . Then by Lemma 9 and Lemma 10,  $(a : x)$  is  $p$ -primary for a compact element  $x \not\leq p$ . As  $L$  is generated

by  $M$ -principal elements, it follows that there is an  $M$ -principal element  $x_1 \leq x$  such that  $x_1 \not\leq p$ . Since  $(a : x) \leq (a : x_1)$  and  $(a : x)$  is  $p$ -primary, it follows that  $(a : x) = (a : x_1)$ . Now the result follows from Theorem 9.  $\square$

Let  $r^* = \bigwedge \{m \in L \mid m \text{ is a maximal element of } L\}$ . The element  $r^*$  is called the *Jacobson radical of  $L$* . The following theorem gives some of the properties of Laskerian lattices.

**Theorem 11.** *Suppose  $L$  is a Laskerian lattice generated by compact join principal elements. Let  $a, c \in L$  and let  $b = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} (a^n \vee c)$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $a$  is compact and  $a \leq r^*$ , then  $b = c$ .*
- (ii)  *$0 = \bigwedge \{q \in L \mid q \text{ is } m\text{-primary for a maximal element } m \text{ of } L\}$ .*
- (iii) *If both  $a$  and  $b$  are compact elements of  $L$ , then  $b = \vee \{r \in L \mid r \text{ is join principal, } a \vee (c : r) = 1\}$ .*
- (iv) *If both  $a$  ( $a < 1$ ) and  $b' = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n$  are compact elements of  $L$ , then  $\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n = 0$  if and only if there is no zero divisor  $r$  ( $\neq 0$ ) such that  $a \vee r = 1$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Suppose  $a$  is compact and let  $a \leq r^*$ . Let  $m$  be any maximal element of  $L$ . Note that for any  $m$ -primary element  $q$  of  $L$   $b \leq q$  if and only if  $c \leq q$ . Therefore by Lemma 8,  $b_m = c_m$  and hence  $b = c$ .

(ii) Let  $x$  be any compact join principal element such that  $x \leq \bigwedge \{q \in L \mid q \text{ is } m\text{-primary for a maximal element } m \text{ of } L\}$ . Then by Lemma 8,  $x_m = 0_m$  for every maximal element  $m$  of  $L$ . Consequently,  $x = 0$ .

(iii) By imitating the proof of [1, Theorem 1.2], we can get the result and (iv) directly follows from (iii). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

#### References

- [1] *F. Alarcon, D. D. Anderson and C. Jayaram:* Some results on abstract commutative ideal theory. *Period. Math. Hungar.* 30 (1995), 1–26.
- [2] *D. D. Anderson:* Abstract commutative ideal theory without chain condition. *Algebra Universalis* 6 (1976), 131–145.
- [3] *D. D. Anderson, J. Matigevic and W. Nichols:* The Krull Intersection Theorem II. *Pacific J. Math.* 66 (1976), 15–22.
- [4] *D. D. Anderson and E. W. Johnson:* Dilworth’s principal elements. *Algebra Universalis* 36 (1996), 392–404.
- [5] *J. T. Arnold and J. W. Brewer:* Commutative rings which are locally Noetherian. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 11-1 (1971), 45–49.

- [6] *R. P. Dilworth*: Abstract commutative ideal theory. *Pacific J. Math.* 12 (1962), 481–498.
- [7] *R. W. Gilmer and W. Heinzer*: The Laskerian property, power series rings and Noetherian spectra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1980), 13–16.
- [8] *W. Heinzer and J. Ohm*: Locally Noetherian commutative rings. *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* 158 (1971), 273–284.
- [9] *W. Heinzer and D. Lantz*: The Laskerian property in commutative rings. *J. Algebra* 72 (1981), 101–114.
- [10] *C. Jayaram and E. W. Johnson*:  $s$ -prime elements in multiplicative lattices. *Period. Math. Hungar.* 31 (1995), 201–208.
- [11] *J. Ohm and R. L. Pendleton*: Rings with Noetherian spectrum. *Duke Math. J.* 35 (1968), 631–639.

*Author's address*: University of Botswana, P/Bag 00704, Gaborone, Botswana, e-mail: `chillumu@mopipi.ub.bw`.