

Ismail M. Idris

On ordered division rings

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 53 (2003), No. 1, 69–76

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127781>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON ORDERED DIVISION RINGS

ISMAIL M. IDRIS, Cairo

(Received December 10, 1999)

*Abstract.* Prestel introduced a generalization of the notion of an ordering of a field, which is called a semiordering. Prestel's axioms for a semiordered field differ from the usual (Artin-Schreier) postulates in requiring only the closedness of the domain of positivity under  $x \rightarrow xa^2$  for nonzero  $a$ , instead of requiring that positive elements have a positive product. In this work, this type of ordering is studied in the case of a division ring. It is shown that it actually behaves the same as in the commutative case. Further, it is shown that the bounded subring associated with that ordering is a valuation ring which is preserved under conjugation, so one can associate a natural valuation to a semiordering.

*Keywords:* ordering, division ring

*MSC 2000:* 06F25, 16W10

## 1.

The investigation of ordered fields has a long tradition. They play an important part in many branches of mathematics. In [1], Prestel introduced a generalization of an ordering of a field, which is called a semiordering. Prestel's axioms for a semiordered field differ from the usual (Artin-Schreier) postulates in requiring only the closedness of the positive cone under  $x \rightarrow xa^2$  for non-zero  $a$ , instead of requiring that positive elements have a positive product. This generalization of positive cones and orderings is based on the following observation. Very often one only uses the property  $x > 0 \Rightarrow xa^2 > 0$  of an ordering together with  $1 > 0$ . This is especially the case if one deals with quadratic forms. In this work, this type of ordering is studied in the case of a division ring. It is shown that it actually behaves the same as in the commutative case. For example, a division ring admits a semiordering if and only if  $-1$  is not a sum of products of squares. In fact, every semiordered division

ring is ordered. Moreover, every archimedean semiordered division ring is an ordered field. Further, the existence of a natural valuation associated to a semiordering is investigated, this requires the study of the bounded subring associated to a given semiordering. This is the subring consisting of elements which are bounded by some rational number with respect to the semiordering. It is shown that the bounded subring is a valuation ring which is preserved under conjugation, so one can associate a natural valuation to a semiordering. To study extensions of semiordering to larger division rings, the notion of pre-semiordering is investigated. Finally, an example of a semiordering, which is not an ordering for a division ring is given.

## 2.

Throughout this work,  $D$  denotes a (not necessarily commutative) division ring, and  $D^\bullet$  denotes its multiplicative group of non-zero elements.

**Definition.** A semiordering of a division ring  $D$  is an order relation  $<$  such that  $D$  contains a subset  $P$  (the positive cone) satisfying

- (1)  $P + P \subset P$ ;
- (2)  $a \in P \Rightarrow ab^2 \in P$ , for  $0 \neq b \in D$ ;
- (3)  $0 \notin P$  and  $1 \in P$ ;
- (4)  $P \cup \{0\} \cup -P = D$ ;

then,  $a > b \Leftrightarrow a - b \in P$ , and  $P = \{a \in D / a > 0\}$ .

Note that this definition is the same as in the commutative case (see [1]). Clearly any ordering of  $D$  is also a semiordering.

Let  $C$  be the subset of all finite sums of elements of the form  $a_1^2 a_2^2 \dots a_k^2$  in  $D$  with every  $a_i \neq 0$ . Clearly,  $C$  is closed under sums and products. Also,  $C$  contains inverses (for  $c \in C$ ,  $c^{-2} \in C \Rightarrow c^{-1} = cc^{-2} \in C$ ). If  $D$  is a semiordered division ring, then for  $a \in P$  and  $c \in C$  we have  $ac \in P$  (by applying conditions (1) and (2) of the above definition several times). Since  $1 \in P$ , then clearly  $C \subset P$ . Also,  $-1 \notin P$  implies that  $-1 \notin C$ . So by [2], Theorem 1,  $D$  is an ordered division ring. Hence we have

**Theorem 1.** *Every semiordered division ring is ordered.*

Although a semiordered division ring is ordered, there is no guarantee that the given semiordering is an ordering. At the end of this work, an example of a semiordering which is not an ordering is given.

**Corollary 2.** *A division ring  $D$  admits a semiordering if and only if  $-1 \notin C$ .*

One can prove the following properties of semiorderings.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $D$  be any semiordered division ring,  $a \in D$ . Then*

- (1)  $a > 0$  if and only if  $a^{-1} > 0$ ;
- (2) if  $a > 0$ , then  $d^2a > 0$  for  $0 \neq d \in D$  and hence  $ca > 0$  for  $c \in C$ ;
- (3) if  $a > 0$ , then  $ra > 0$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ ;
- (4) if  $a > 1$ , then  $a^{-1} < 1$ ;
- (5) if  $0 < a < b$ ,  $a, b \in C$  then  $a^{-1} > b^{-1}$ ;
- (6) if  $0 < a < b$ ,  $a \in C$  then  $a^2 < b^2$ ;
- (7) if  $0 < a < b$ ,  $b \in C$  then  $a^2 < b^2$ ;
- (8) if  $a > 0$ , then  $xax^{-1} > 0$  for all  $x \in D^\bullet$ .

**Theorem 4.** *A semiordering  $>$  is an ordering of  $D$  if and only if for all  $a, b \in D$  the inequality  $0 < a < b$  implies  $a^2 < b^2$ .*

*Proof.* We first claim that for every  $a, b \in D$ ,  $a, b > 0$ , we have  $ba + ab > 0$ . Since  $a - b > 0$  or  $b - a > 0$ , we may assume that  $0 < a < b$ . Clearly,  $a + b > b > b - a > 0$  and so  $(a + b)^2 > b^2 > (b - a)^2$ . Hence  $2(ba + ab) > 0$ . By Lemma 3, part (3),  $ba + ab > 0$ . Next, we claim that  $bab > 0$  and  $bab^{-1} > 0$  for every  $a, b > 0$  in  $D$ . If  $bab < 0$ , then  $-bab > 0$  and  $a > 0$  implies  $(-bab)a + a(-bab) > 0$ . Thus  $-(ba)^2 - (ab)^2 > 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $bab > 0$  and  $bab^{-1} = babb^{-2} > 0$ . Now assume  $ab < 0$  for  $a, b > 0$ . Then  $-ab > 0$  and  $-ba = b(-ab)b^{-1} > 0$ . So  $-ab - ba > 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $ab > 0$  for every  $a, b > 0$  and the semiordering is an ordering.  $\square$

A semiordering  $<$  on a division ring  $D$  is called archimedean if for every  $a \in D$  there is a natural number  $n$  such that  $a < n$ .

**Proposition 5.** *A semiordering  $<$  on a division ring  $D$  is archimedean if and only if  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $D$  with respect to  $<$  (i.e., if  $a < b$  then there is  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $a < r < b$ ).*

*Proof* of Proposition 5 is similar to that for the case of a field (see [1]).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Every archimedean semiordered division ring  $D$  is an ordered field.*

*Proof.* It is known that every archimedean ordered division ring  $D$  is an ordered field. Hence, to prove the theorem, it remains to show that  $D$  is ordered. Let  $a, b \in D$ ,  $a > b > 0$ . Then  $a + b > a - b > 0$ , and there is  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $a + b > r > a - b$ . By Lemma 3,  $(a + b)^2 > r^2 > (a - b)^2$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4, one can show that  $ab > 0$ , i.e.,  $D$  is ordered.  $\square$

In this section, the notion of the order valuation of a semiordered division ring  $D$  will be studied. We will call  $a \in D$  bounded if  $a^2 \leq r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . If  $a^2 < r$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , we will call  $a$  an infinitesimal. Let  $V$  denote the set of all bounded elements of  $D$ , and let  $J$  denote the set of all infinitesimals in  $D$ . It will be established that  $V$  is a valuation ring in  $D$  and the multiplicative group  $U$  of invertible elements in  $V$  is formed by precisely those  $a$  which satisfy  $r_1 \leq a^2 \leq r_2$  for some positive rationals  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  (call these elements units). Three more remarks will be needed.

**Remark 7.** For non-zero elements  $a, b \in D$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  we have

- (1)  $(a \pm b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$ ,
- (2)  $a^2 < r^2$  if and only if  $-r < a < r$ .

**Remark 8.**

- (1) The set  $V$  of bounded elements is an additive  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subgroup of  $D$ .
- (2) The set  $J$  of infinitesimals is an additive  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subgroup.

**Remark 9.** If  $a$  is a positive element in a semiordered division ring  $D$  and  $0 \neq x \in D$ , then

- (1)  $a$  is bounded if and only if  $a < r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ ,
- (2)  $a$  is unit if and only if  $r_2 < a < r_1$  for some  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ ,
- (3)  $a$  is infinitesimal if and only if  $a < r$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , and
- (4)  $x$  is bounded (unit, infinitesimal) if and only if  $x^2$  is bounded (respectively unit, infinitesimal).

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $D$  be a semiordered division ring. Then*

- (1)  $V$  is a total subring of  $D$ , i.e.,  $V$  is a subring which contains  $x$  or  $x^{-1}$  for every  $x \in D^\bullet$ .
- (2) The set of non-units of the ring  $V$  is precisely the ideal of infinitesimals and consequently,  $J$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $V$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $a, b \in V$ , i.e.,  $a^2 \leq r_1$ ,  $b^2 \leq r_2$  for some  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then

$$(a - b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2) \leq 2(r_1 + r_2) = r \quad \text{for some } r \in \mathbb{Q}^+.$$

Hence,  $a^2 + b^2 - (ab + ba) = (a - b)^2 \in V$  implies that  $ab + ba \in V$ . Thus,

$$bab = \frac{1}{2}[(ab + ba)b + b(ab + ba) - (b^2a + ab^2)] \in V.$$

Similarly,  $ba^2b, ab^2a \in V$  and  $(ab)^2 + (ba)^2 = a(bab) + (bab)a \in V$ , so that

$$(ab - ba)^2 = (ab)^2 + (ba)^2 - [ab^2a + ba^2b] \in V.$$

By Remark 9,  $ab - ba \in V$ . Finally,  $2ab = (ab + ba) + (ab - ba) \in V$  and so  $ab \in V$ .

If  $x \in D$ ,  $x \notin V$ , then  $x^2 > r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , and hence  $1 - rx^{-2} = (x^2 - r)x^{-2} > 0$ . Then  $x^{-2} < 1/r$  and so  $x^{-1} \in V$ . Thus  $V$  is a total subring.

(2) We show here that the units are precisely the invertible elements in  $V$ . If  $x$  is a unit, then  $x \in V$  and  $x^2 \geq r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then  $(x^2 - r)x^{-2} \geq 0$  and so  $x^{-2} \leq 1/r$ . Hence  $x^{-1} \in V$  and  $x$  is invertible in  $V$ . Conversely, if  $x$  is invertible in  $V$ , then  $x^2 \leq r_1$  for some  $r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Also,  $x^{-1} \in V$  implies that  $x^{-2} \leq r_2$  for some  $r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . So, as above,  $x^2 \geq 1/r_2 = r'_2$ . Hence  $r'_2 \leq x^2 \leq r_1$  and  $x$  is a unit.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.** *If  $D$  is a semiordered division ring, then the bounded subring  $V$  is preserved under conjugation. Therefore,  $V$  is a valuation subring of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in V$ ,  $a > 0$ . Then by Remark 9,  $a < r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . By Lemma 3 part (8),  $x(r - a)x^{-1} > 0$  for every  $x \in D^\bullet$ , so that  $axa^{-1} < r$ . Since  $axa^{-1} > 0$ , it follows that  $axa^{-1} \in V$  for every  $x \in D^\bullet$ . If  $a < 0$  in  $V$ , then  $-a > 0$ . Hence  $-axa^{-1} \in V$  and also  $axa^{-1} \in V$  for every  $x \in D^\bullet$ .  $\square$

The bounded subring  $V$  of a semiordered division ring  $D$  is now a valuation ring. By standard construction, one can define a valuation whose valuation ring is precisely the bounded subring  $V$ .

**Theorem 12.** *In any semiordered division ring  $D$ , the residue division ring  $\bar{D} = V/J$  has a semiordering which is archimedean, so  $\bar{D}$  is an archimedean ordered field.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{P} = \{a + J/a \text{ is a positive unit in } D\}$ . Clearly,  $\bar{1} = 1 + J \in \bar{P}$  and  $\bar{0} \notin \bar{P}$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\bar{P}$  is a positive cone of some semiordering in  $\bar{D}$ . Considering  $\bar{a} = a + J \in \bar{P}$ , we have  $r_2 \leq a \leq r_1$  for some  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then  $\bar{r}_2 = r_2 + J \leq \bar{a} \leq \bar{r}_1 = r_1 + J$  and we can find a natural number  $n$  such that  $\bar{a} < n$ . Hence,  $\bar{D}$  is an archimedean semiordered division ring. By Theorem 6,  $\bar{D}$  is an archimedean ordered field.  $\square$

#### 4.

As in the commutative case, the notion of a pre-positive cone is used to study extensions of a semiordering of a division ring  $D$  to larger division rings. A subset  $P \subset D$  is called a pre-positive cone if it satisfies conditions (1), (2) and (3) in the definition of a positive cone. A pre-positive cone  $P$  induces an order relation on  $D$ , let us call it a pre-semiordering. Any positive cone of a semiordering is clearly a pre-positive cone. Also, any intersection of positive cones of  $D$  is a pre-positive cone of  $D$ . In this section, assume that  $D$  is semiordered, that is  $-1 \notin C$ , or equivalently

$0 \notin C$ ; then  $C$  is a pre-positive cone satisfying  $C \subset P$  and  $CP = PC = P$  for each positive cone  $P$ .

**Theorem 13.** *If  $P$  is a pre-positive cone, and if  $a \notin P$ , then there is a pre-positive cone  $P'$  containing  $P$ , with  $-a \in P'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P' = P \cup -aC \cup (P + (-a)C)$ . Clearly  $-a \in P'$ . We will check axioms (1) to (3) for  $P'$ . Since  $P$  and  $C$  are additive, it follows that  $P' + P' \subset P'$ . Clearly  $1 \in P'$ , and to show that  $0 \notin P'$ , it suffices to show that  $0 \notin P + (-a)C$ . If  $0 \in P + (-a)C$ , then  $p - ac = 0$  for some  $p \in P$  and  $c \in C$ . Hence  $a = pc^{-1} \in PC = P$ , which is a contradiction. As for axiom (2), this is evident.  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** *Any pre-positive cone  $P_0$  of  $D$  can be extended to a positive cone  $P$ .*

*Proof.* By Zorn's lemma, the set of all pre-positive cones extending  $P_0$  contains a maximal pre-positive cone  $P$ . If  $a \notin P$  for some  $a \in D$ , it follows by Theorem 13 that there is a pre-positive cone  $P'$  containing  $P$  and such that  $-a \in P'$ . The maximality of  $P$  implies  $P' = P$ , so that  $-a \in P$ . Thus  $P$  is a positive cone.  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** *A pre-positive cone  $P$  is maximal (with respect to set theoretic inclusion) if and only if  $P$  is a positive cone.*

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $E$  be any division ring extension of  $D$ . Let  $P$  be a positive cone of  $D$ . Let  $P_1$  be the set of elements in  $E$  which are expressible as sums of elements of the form  $\prod_i a_{j_i} c_{j_i}$  ( $a_{j_i} \in P$  and  $c_{j_i} \in C_1 =$  the set of all finite sums of products of squares in  $E$ ). If  $0 \notin P_1$ , then  $P$  can be enlarged to a positive cone of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $0 \notin P_1$ , it follows that  $0 \notin C_1$ , and  $E$  is ordered. One can show that  $P_1$  is a pre-positive cone of  $E$ . Thus, by Theorem 14,  $P_1$  can be extended to a positive cone of  $E$  which contains  $P$ .  $\square$

Exactly as for a semiordering, one can define bounded elements, infinitesimals and units at a given pre-semiordering of the division ring  $D$ . For  $P_0$ , a pre-positive cone of some pre-semiordering, let  $V_0, J_0$  denote the sets of all bounded elements and infinitesimals, respectively.

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $(P_i)_{i \in I}$  be the family of positive cones containing a given pre-positive cone  $P_0$  of the division ring  $D$ . Let  $V_i, J_i$  be the subring of bounded elements and the ideal of infinitesimals, respectively, attached to the semiordering induced by  $P_i$ . Let  $U_i$  be the group of units of the ring  $V_i$ . Then*

$$(i) \bigcap_i V_i = V_0,$$

- (ii)  $\bigcap_i J_i = J_0$ ,
- (iii)  $\bigcap_i U_i = U_0$ .

*P r o o f.* We prove here (i), for (ii) and (iii) we would use similar arguments. Clearly  $V_0 \subset \bigcap V_i$ . Conversely, if  $a \notin V_0$ , we show that  $a \notin V_i$  for some  $i$ . From  $a \notin V_0$  it follows that  $a^2 > r$  for every positive rational  $r$ , that is  $a^2 - r \in P_0$  for every rational  $r$ . Let  $P_r = P_0 \cup (a^2 - r)C \cup (P_0 + (a^2 - r)C)$  and  $P'_0 = \bigcup P_r$ . One can show that  $P'_0$  is a pre-positive cone of  $D$  containing  $P_0$  and  $a^2 - r$  for every rational  $r$ . By Theorem 14,  $P'_0$  can be extended to a positive cone  $P$ . Clearly  $P_0 \subset P$  and  $a^2 - r \in P$  for every rational  $r$ . Thus  $a$  is not bounded in  $P$ , i.e.,  $a \notin V_i$  for some  $i$ .  $\square$

To a certain extent, Theorem 17 reduces the treatment of a pre-semiordering to that of a semiordering. For instance, one has

**Corollary 18.** *For any pre-positive cone  $P_0$  of  $D$ , the bounded subring  $V_0$  is preserved under conjugation.*

## 5.

Finally, in this section an example of a semiordering, which is not an ordering for a division ring will be given. Start with a semiordered commutative field  $F$  (e.g.,  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Construct the field  $F((x))$  of  $F$ -coefficient formal Laurent series in the single indeterminate  $x$ . Next, form the division ring  $D$  of formal Laurent series in an indeterminate  $y$ , coefficients in  $F((x))$  written on the left, according to the relation  $yx = 2xy$ . Note that the characteristic of  $F$  is not 2 (actually the characteristic of any ordered field is zero). Clearly, the centre of  $D$  is  $F$ . It will be shown that  $D$  has a semiordering, which extends that of  $F$ , and this semiordering is not an ordering.

Consider  $G = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  as an abelian group under componentwise addition, ordered lexicographically by

$$(m, n) > 0 \text{ or } < 0 \text{ according as } m > 0 \text{ or } m < 0,$$

and

$$(0, n) > 0 \text{ or } < 0 \text{ according as } n > 0 \text{ or } n < 0.$$

Define  $\omega(\alpha) = (p, q) \in G$  for  $\alpha \in D$ , where  $x^q y^p$  is the monomial of the smallest power in the element  $\alpha$  in  $D$ . This is a valuation on  $D$ , whose residue field  $\bar{D}$  can be identified with the centre  $F$ . Now, the semiordering of the residue field  $F$  will be lifted to  $D$ .



By the proof of Theorem 12, the positive cone  $P$  of  $D$  is expected to contain all  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha + J$  is positive in  $\overline{D} = F$  and  $\alpha = u$  a unit in  $D$ . In fact, every  $\alpha \in D$  where  $\omega(\alpha) = (p, q)$ , can be written in the form  $ux^qy^p$  for a unit  $u$  in  $D$ . Since  $yx = 2xy$ , it follows that  $x^2y^2 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}xy)^2$ . So every element  $\alpha \in D$  can be written as a product of an element of the form  $u, ux, uy$  or  $uxy$  (where  $u$  is a unit in  $D$ ) and a non-zero square in  $D$ .

Let  $M = \{u, ux, uy: u \text{ is a unit in } D \text{ and } u + J > 0 \text{ in } \overline{D}\} \cup \{uxy: u \text{ is a unit in } D \text{ and } u + J < 0 \text{ in } \overline{D}\}$ . Take  $P = M \cup MD^{\bullet 2}$ . It is a routine work to check that  $P$  is a positive cone of a semiordering of  $D$  which extends the semiordering of  $F$ . Clearly,  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$  but  $xy < 0$  and hence  $P$  is not closed under product, i.e.,  $P$  is not an ordering.

### *References*

- [1] *A. Prestel*: Lectures on Formally Real Fields. Lecture Notes in Math. 1093. Springer Verlag, 1984.
- [2] *T. Szele*: On ordered skew fields. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 410–413.

*Author's address*: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, e-mail: `idris@asunet.shams.eun.eg`.