

Young Bae Jun; Eun Hwan Roh; Hee Sik Kim
On fuzzy B -algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 52 (2002), No. 2, 375–384

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127726>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON FUZZY B -ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN, EUN HWAN ROH, Chinju, and HEE SIK KIM, Seoul

(Received May 13, 1999)

Abstract. The fuzzification of (normal) B -subalgebras is considered, and some related properties are investigated. A characterization of a fuzzy B -algebra is given.

Keywords: normal B -subalgebra, fuzzy (normal) B -algebra, upper level cut

MSC 2000: 06F35, 03G25, 03E72

1. INTRODUCTION

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK -algebras and BCI -algebras ([4, 5]). It is known that the class of BCK -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI -algebras. In [2, 3] Q.P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebras: BCH -algebras. They showed that the class of BCI -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH -algebras. Recently, the present authors ([6]) have introduced a new notion, called a BH -algebra, which is a generalization of $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras. They also defined the notions of ideals and boundedness in BH -algebras, and showed that there is a maximal ideal in bounded BH -algebras. The third author together with J. Neggers ([9]) introduced and investigated a class of algebras, viz., the class of B -algebras, which is related to several classes of algebras of interest such as $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras, and which seems to have rather nice properties without being excessively complicated otherwise. J.R. Cho and H.S. Kim ([1]) discussed further relations between B -algebras and other classes of algebras, such as quasigroups. It is well known that every group determines a B -algebra, called a *group-derived* B -algebra. It is natural to consider

The first author was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-99-005-D00003).

the problem whether or not all B -algebras are so group-derived. It is proved that this is not the case in general, and thus that this class of algebras contains the class of groups indirectly via the group-derived principle (see [8]). In this paper we consider the fuzzification of (normal) B -subalgebras in B -algebras and investigate some related properties. We give a characterization of a fuzzy B -algebra.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A B -algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms:

- (I) $x * x = 0$,
- (II) $x * 0 = x$,
- (III) $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$

for all x, y, z in X . A non-empty subset N of a B -algebra X is called a B -subalgebra of X if $x * y \in N$ for any $x, y \in N$. A non-empty subset N of a B -algebra X is said to be *normal* if $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$ whenever $x * y \in N$ and $a * b \in N$. Note that any normal subset N of a B -algebra X is a B -subalgebra of X , but the converse need not be true (see [10]). A non-empty subset N of a B -algebra X is called a *normal B -subalgebra* of X if it is both a B -subalgebra and normal.

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). *If X is a B -algebra, then $x * y = x * (0 * (0 * y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Example 2.2 ([9]). Let X be the set of all real numbers except for a negative integer $-n$. Define a binary operation $*$ on X by

$$x * y := \frac{n(x - y)}{n + y}.$$

Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a B -algebra.

Example 2.3 ([9]). Let Z be the group of integers under usual addition and let $\alpha \notin Z$. We adjoin the special element α to Z . Let $X := Z \cup \{\alpha\}$. Define $\alpha + 0 = \alpha$, $\alpha + n = n - 1$ where $n \neq 0$ in Z and $\alpha + \alpha$ is an arbitrary element in X . Define a mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi(\alpha) = 1$, $\varphi(n) = -n$ where $n \in Z$. If we define a binary operation “ $*$ ” on X by $x * y := x + \varphi(y)$, then $(X; *, 0)$ is a non-group derived B -algebra.

3. FUZZY B -ALGEBRAS

In what follows, let X denote a B -algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy set μ in X is called a *fuzzy B -algebra* if it satisfies the inequality

$$\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 3.2. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	2	1	3	4	5
1	1	0	2	4	5	3
2	2	1	0	5	3	4
3	3	4	5	0	2	1
4	4	5	3	1	0	2
5	5	3	4	2	1	0

Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a B -algebra (see [10, Example 3.5]). Define a fuzzy set $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) = \mu(3) = 0.7 > 0.1 = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{0, 3\}$. Then μ is a fuzzy B -algebra.

Proposition 3.3. Every fuzzy B -algebra μ satisfies the inequality $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Since $x * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$, we have $\mu(0) = \mu(x * x) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(x)\} = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. □

For any elements x and y of X , let us write $\prod^n x * y$ for $x * (\dots * (x * (x * y)))$ where x occurs n times.

Proposition 3.4. Let a fuzzy set μ in X be a fuzzy B -algebra and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(i) $\mu\left(\prod^n x * x\right) \geq \mu(x)$ whenever n is odd,

(ii) $\mu\left(\prod^n x * x\right) = \mu(x)$ whenever n is even,

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and assume that n is odd. Then $n = 2k - 1$ for some positive integer k . Observe that $\mu(x * x) = \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$. Suppose that $\mu\left(\prod^{2k-1} x * x\right) \geq \mu(x)$

for a positive integer k . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu\left(\prod^{2(k+1)-1} x * x\right) &= \mu\left(\prod^{2k+1} x * x\right) \\
 &= \mu\left(\prod^{2k-1} x * (x * (x * x))\right) \\
 &= \mu\left(\prod^{2k-1} x * x\right) \quad [\text{by (I), (II)}] \\
 &\geq \mu(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i). Similarly we obtain the second part. \square

Proposition 3.5. *If a fuzzy set μ in X is a fuzzy B -algebra, then*

$$(fB1) \quad \mu(0 * x) \geq \mu(x),$$

$$(fB2) \quad \mu(x * (0 * y)) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

P r o o f. For any $x, y \in X$ we have $\mu(0 * x) \geq \min\{\mu(0), \mu(x)\} \geq \mu(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(x * (0 * y)) &\geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(0 * y)\} \\
 &\geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

proving the results. \square

Since $x = 0 * (0 * x)$ (see [1, Lemma 3.5]), if μ is a fuzzy B -algebra, then $\mu(x) = \mu(0 * (0 * x)) \geq \min\{\mu(0), \mu(0 * x)\} = \mu(0 * x)$, i.e., $\mu(x) = \mu(0 * x)$ for any $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.6. *If a fuzzy set μ in X satisfies (fB1) and (fB2), then μ is a fuzzy B -algebra.*

P r o o f. Assume that a fuzzy set μ in X satisfies the conditions (fB1) and (fB2) and let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(x * y) &= \mu(x * (0 * (0 * y))) && [\text{by Lemma 2.1}] \\
 &\geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(0 * y)\} && [\text{by (fB2)}] \\
 &\geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}. && [\text{by (fB1)}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence μ is a fuzzy B -algebra. \square

4. FUZZY NORMAL B -ALGEBRAS

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy set μ in X is said to be *fuzzy normal* if it satisfies the inequality

$$\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(a * b)\}$$

for all $a, b, x, y \in X$.

Example 4.2. If we define a fuzzy set $\nu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\nu(0) = \nu(1) = \nu(2) = 0.8$ and $\nu(3) = \nu(4) = \nu(5) = 0.3$ in Example 3.2, then ν is a fuzzy normal set in X .

Example 4.3. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	3	2	1
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	1	0	3
3	3	2	1	0

Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a B -algebra ([8]). If we define a map $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) > \mu(2) > \mu(1) = \mu(3)$ then μ is a fuzzy normal set in X . Moreover, if we define a map $\sigma: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\sigma(0) = \sigma(2) > \sigma(1) = \sigma(3)$, then σ is also a fuzzy normal set in X .

The next result, which we propose to discuss, will be used repeatedly in this paper.

Theorem 4.4. *Every fuzzy normal set μ in X is a fuzzy B -algebra.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, since μ is fuzzy normal, we have

$$\mu(x * y) = \mu((x * y) * (0 * 0)) \geq \min\{\mu(x * 0), \mu(y * 0)\} = \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}.$$

Hence μ is a fuzzy B -algebra. □

Remark 4.5. The converse of Theorem 4.4 is not true. For example, the fuzzy B -algebra μ in Example 3.2 is not fuzzy normal, since

$$\mu((2 * 5) * (4 * 1)) = \mu(2) < \mu(3) = \min\{\mu(2 * 4), \mu(5 * 1)\}.$$

Definition 4.6. A fuzzy set μ in X is called a *fuzzy normal B -algebra* if it is a fuzzy B -algebra which is fuzzy normal.

Example 4.7. The fuzzy sets discussed in Examples 4.2 and 4.3 are indeed fuzzy normal B -algebras.

Proposition 4.8. *If a fuzzy set μ in X is a fuzzy normal B -algebra, then $\mu(x * y) = \mu(y * x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x * y) &= \mu((x * y) * (x * x)) && \text{[by (I), (II)]} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu(x * x), \mu(y * x)\} && \text{[since } \mu \text{ is fuzzy normal]} \\ &= \mu(y * x) && \text{[by Proposition 3.3].} \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging x with y , we obtain $\mu(y * x) \geq \mu(x * y)$, which proves the proposition. \square

The next result will be useful for characterizing the fuzzy normal B -algebras in the next section.

Theorem 4.9. *Let μ be a fuzzy normal B -algebra. Then the set*

$$X_\mu := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$$

is a normal B -subalgebra of X .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that X_μ is normal. Let $a, b, x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in X_\mu$ and $a * b \in X_\mu$. Then $\mu(x * y) = \mu(0) = \mu(a * b)$. Since μ is fuzzy normal, it follows that

$$\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(a * b)\} = \mu(0).$$

Applying Proposition 3.3, we conclude that $\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) = \mu(0)$, which shows that $(x * a) * (y * b) \in X_\mu$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.10. *The intersection of any set of fuzzy normal B -algebras is also a fuzzy normal B -algebra.*

Proof. Let $\{\mu_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of fuzzy normal B -algebras and let $a, b, x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha\right)((x * a) * (y * b)) &= \inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha((x * a) * (y * b)) \\ &\geq \inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{\min\{\mu_\alpha(x * y), \mu_\alpha(a * b)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha(x * y), \inf_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha(a * b)\} \\ &= \min\left\{\left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha\right)(x * y), \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha\right)(a * b)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha$ is a fuzzy normal set in X . Using Theorem 4.4, we conclude that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha$ is a fuzzy normal B -algebra. \square

The union of any set of fuzzy B -algebras need not be a fuzzy B -algebra. For example, if we define a fuzzy set $\sigma: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\sigma(0) = \sigma(4) = 0.8 > 0.2 = \sigma(1) = \sigma(2) = \sigma(3) = \sigma(5)$ in Example 3.2, then it is also a fuzzy B -algebra. Since

$$(\mu \cup \sigma)(3 * 4) = 0.2 \text{ and } \min\{(\mu \cup \sigma)(3), (\mu \cup \sigma)(4)\} = 0.7,$$

$\mu \cup \sigma$ is not a fuzzy B -algebra. Since every fuzzy normal B -algebra is a fuzzy B -algebra, the union of fuzzy normal B -algebras need not be a fuzzy normal B -algebra.

5. CHARACTERIZATION OF FUZZY NORMAL B -ALGEBRAS

Theorem 5.1. *Let N be a non-empty subset of X and let μ_N be a fuzzy set in X defined by*

$$\mu_N(x) := \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } x \in N, \\ \beta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha > \beta$. Then μ_N is a fuzzy normal B -algebra if and only if N is a normal B -subalgebra of X . Moreover, in this case, $X_{\mu_N} = N$.

P r o o f. Assume that μ_N is a fuzzy normal B -algebra. Let $a, b, x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in N$ and $a * b \in N$. Then

$$\mu_N((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \min\{\mu_N(x * y), \mu_N(a * b)\} = \alpha$$

and so $\mu_N((x * a) * (y * b)) = \alpha$, which shows that $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$. Hence N is a normal B -subalgebra of X . Conversely, suppose that N is a normal B -subalgebra of X and let $a, b, x, y \in X$. If $x * y \in N$ and $a * b \in N$, then $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$ and so

$$\mu_N((x * a) * (y * b)) = \alpha = \min\{\mu_N(x * y), \mu_N(a * b)\}.$$

If $x * y \notin N$ or $a * b \notin N$, then clearly

$$\mu_N((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \beta = \min\{\mu_N(x * y), \mu_N(a * b)\}.$$

This shows that μ_N is a fuzzy normal set. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that μ_N is a fuzzy normal B -algebra. Moreover, using Theorem 4.9 we have

$$X_{\mu_N} = \{x \in X \mid \mu_N(x) = \mu_N(0)\} = \{x \in X \mid \mu_N(x) = \alpha\} = N.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.2. Let μ be a fuzzy set in X . Then μ is a fuzzy normal B -algebra if and only if the set $U(\mu; \alpha) = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq \alpha\}$, called an upper level cut of μ , is a normal B -subalgebra of X for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, where $U(\mu; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$.

P r o o f. Let μ be a fuzzy normal B -algebra and assume that $U(\mu; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Let $a, b, x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in U(\mu; \alpha)$ and $a * b \in U(\mu; \alpha)$. Then

$$\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(a * b)\} \geq \alpha$$

and thus $(x * a) * (y * b) \in U(\mu; \alpha)$. Hence $U(\mu; \alpha)$ is a normal B -subalgebra of X . Conversely, suppose that $U(\mu; \alpha) (\neq \emptyset)$ is a normal B -subalgebra of X for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Using Theorem 4.4, it is sufficient to show that μ is a fuzzy normal set in X . If there are $a_0, b_0, x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$\mu((x_0 * a_0) * (y_0 * b_0)) < \min\{\mu(x_0 * y_0), \mu(a_0 * b_0)\},$$

then by taking $\alpha_0 := \frac{1}{2}(\mu((x_0 * a_0) * (y_0 * b_0)) + \min\{\mu(x_0 * y_0), \mu(a_0 * b_0)\})$ we have

$$\mu((x_0 * a_0) * (y_0 * b_0)) < \alpha_0 < \min\{\mu(x_0 * y_0), \mu(a_0 * b_0)\}.$$

It follows that $x_0 * y_0 \in U(\mu; \alpha_0)$ and $a_0 * b_0 \in U(\mu; \alpha_0)$, but $(x_0 * a_0) * (y_0 * b_0) \notin U(\mu; \alpha_0)$, a contradiction. Hence μ is fuzzy normal, which proves the theorem. \square

Theorem 5.3. Let μ be a fuzzy normal B -algebra with $\text{Im}(\mu) = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{U(\mu; \alpha_i) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ where Λ is an arbitrary index set. Then

- (i) there exists a unique $i_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha_{i_0} \geq \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$;
- (ii) $X_\mu = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} U(\mu; \alpha_i) = U(\mu; \alpha_{i_0})$;
- (iii) $X = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} U(\mu; \alpha_i)$;
- (iv) the members of \mathcal{B} form a chain;
- (v) \mathcal{B} contains all upper level cuts of μ if and only if μ attains its infimum on all normal B -subalgebras of X .

P r o o f. (i) Since $\mu(0) \in \text{Im}(\mu)$, there exists a unique $i_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $\mu(0) = \alpha_{i_0}$. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0) = \alpha_{i_0}$ for all $x \in X$ so that $\alpha_{i_0} \geq \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$.

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mu; \alpha_{i_0}) &= \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq \alpha_{i_0}\} = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \alpha_{i_0}\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\} = X_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_{i_0} \geq \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, it follows that $U(\mu; \alpha_{i_0}) \subseteq U(\mu; \alpha_i)$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Hence $U(\mu; \alpha_{i_0}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} U(\mu; \alpha_i)$ and so $U(\mu; \alpha_{i_0}) = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} U(\mu; \alpha_i)$ because $i_0 \in \Lambda$.

(iii) Clearly $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} U(\mu; \alpha_i) \subseteq X$. For every $x \in X$ there exists $i(x) \in \Lambda$ such that $\mu(x) = \alpha_{i(x)}$. This implies $x \in U(\mu; \alpha_{i(x)}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} U(\mu; \alpha_i)$, which proves (iii).

(iv) Since either $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_j$ or $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$ for all $i, j \in \Lambda$, we have either $U(\mu; \alpha_i) \subseteq U(\mu; \alpha_j)$ or $U(\mu; \alpha_j) \subseteq U(\mu; \alpha_i)$ for all $i, j \in \Lambda$.

(v) Suppose \mathcal{B} contains all upper level cuts of μ and let N be a normal B -subalgebra of X . If μ is constant on N , then we are done. Assume that μ is not constant on N . We distinguish the following two cases: (1) $N = X$ and (2) $N \subsetneq X$. For the case (1), we let $\beta = \inf\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$. Then $\beta \leq \alpha_i$ and so $U(\mu; \alpha_i) \subseteq U(\mu; \beta)$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Note that $X = U(\mu; 0) \in \mathcal{B}$ because \mathcal{B} contains all upper level cuts of μ . Hence there exists $j \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha_j \in \text{Im}(\mu)$ and $U(\mu; \alpha_j) = X$. It follows that $U(\mu; \beta) \supseteq U(\mu; \alpha_j) = X$ so that $U(\mu; \beta) = U(\mu; \alpha_j) = X$ because every upper level cut of μ is a normal B -subalgebra of X . Now it is sufficient to show that $\beta = \alpha_j$. If $\beta < \alpha_j$, then there exists $k \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha_k \in \text{Im}(\mu)$ and $\beta \leq \alpha_k < \alpha_j$. This implies that $U(\mu; \alpha_k) \supsetneq U(\mu; \alpha_j) = X$, a contradiction. Therefore $\beta = \alpha_j$. If the case (2) holds, consider the restriction μ_N of μ to N . By Theorem 5.1, μ_N is a fuzzy normal B -algebra. Let $\Lambda_N = \{i \in \Lambda \mid \mu(y) = \alpha_i \text{ for some } y \in N\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_N = \{U(\mu_N; \alpha_i) \mid i \in \Lambda_N\}$. Noticing that \mathcal{B}_N contains all upper level cuts of μ_N , we conclude that there exists $z \in N$ such that $\mu_N(z) = \inf\{\mu_N(x) \mid x \in N\}$, which implies that $\mu(z) = \inf\{\mu(x) \mid x \in N\}$.

Conversely, assume that μ attains its infimum on all normal B -subalgebras of X . Let $U(\mu; \alpha)$ be an upper level cut of μ . If $\alpha = \alpha_i$ for some $i \in \Lambda$, then clearly $U(\mu; \alpha) \in \mathcal{B}$. Assume that $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Then there does not exist $x \in X$ such that $\mu(x) = \alpha$. Let $N = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) > \alpha\}$. Let $a, b, x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in N$ and $a * b \in N$. Then $\mu(x * y) > \alpha$ and $\mu(a * b) > \alpha$. It follows that

$$\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(a * b)\} > \alpha$$

so that $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$. This shows that N is a normal B -subalgebra of X . By hypothesis, there exists $y \in N$ such that $\mu(y) = \inf\{\mu(x) \mid x \in N\}$. Now $\mu(y) \in \text{Im}(\mu)$ implies $\mu(y) = \alpha_i$ for some $i \in \Lambda$. Hence we get $\inf\{\mu(x) \mid x \in N\} = \alpha_i$. Obviously $\alpha_i \geq \alpha$, and so $\alpha_i > \alpha$ by assumption. Note that there does not exist $z \in X$ such that $\alpha \leq \mu(z) < \alpha_i$. It follows that $U(\mu; \alpha) = U(\mu; \alpha_i) \in \mathcal{B}$. This concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.4. Let μ be a fuzzy set in X with a finite image $\text{Im}(\mu) = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ where $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$ whenever $i > j$. Let $\{N_n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$ be a family of normal B -subalgebras of X such that

- (i) $N_0 \subsetneq N_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq N_k = X$,
 - (ii) $\mu(\widetilde{N}_n) = \alpha_n$ where $\widetilde{N}_n = N_n \setminus N_{n-1}$ and $N_{-1} = \emptyset$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, k$.
- Then μ is a fuzzy normal B -algebra.

Proof. According to Theorem 4.4, it is sufficient to show that μ is a fuzzy normal set in X . Let $a, b, x, y \in X$. If $x * y \in \widetilde{N}_n$ and $a * b \in \widetilde{N}_n$ for every n , then $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N_n$ since N_n is a normal B -subalgebra of X . Hence

$$\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \alpha_n = \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(a * b)\}.$$

If $x * y \in \widetilde{N}_n$ and $a * b \in \widetilde{N}_m$ where $0 \leq m < n \leq k$, then $x * y \in N_n$ and $a * b \in N_m \subseteq N_n$. It follows that $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N_n$. Therefore

$$\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \alpha_n = \mu(x * y).$$

Since $m < n$ implies $\alpha_n < \alpha_m$, we have $\mu(a * b) = \alpha_m < \alpha_n$. Consequently,

$$\mu((x * a) * (y * b)) \geq \alpha_n = \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(a * b)\}.$$

Similarly for the case $x * y \in \widetilde{N}_m$ and $a * b \in \widetilde{N}_n$ for $0 \leq m < n \leq k$, proving the result. \square

We have introduced the notion of fuzzy (normal) B -algebras and discussed its characterization. This ideas could enable us to discuss the direct products of fuzzy (normal) B -algebras, fuzzy topological B -algebras, and offer a new construction of quotient B -algebras via fuzzy B -algebras. They also suggest possible problems to fuzzify the quotient B -algebras discussed in [10], and compare them with two fuzzified quotient B -algebras.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referee for valuable suggestions and help.

References

- [1] *J. R. Cho and H. S. Kim:* On B -algebras and quasigroups. Preprint.
- [2] *Q. P. Hu and X. Li:* On BCH -algebras. *Math. Seminar Notes 11* (1983), 313–320.
- [3] *Q. P. Hu and X. Li:* On proper BCH -algebras. *Math. Japon. 30* (1985), 659–661.
- [4] *K. Iseki:* On BCI -algebras. *Math. Seminar Notes 8* (1980), 125–130.
- [5] *K. Iseki and S. Tanaka:* An introduction to theory of BCK -algebras. *Math. Japon. 23* (1978), 1–26.
- [6] *Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim:* On BH -algebras. *Sci. Math. 1* (1998), 347–354.
- [7] *J. Meng and Y. B. Jun:* BCK -Algebras. Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, 1994.
- [8] *J. Neggers, P. J. Allen and H. S. Kim:* B -algebras and groups. Submitted.
- [9] *J. Neggers and H. S. Kim:* On B -algebras. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27* (2001), 749–757.
- [10] *J. Neggers and H. S. Kim:* A fundamental theorem of B -homomorphism for B -algebras. Submitted.

Authors' addresses: Y. B. Jun, Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea, e-mail: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr; E. H. Roh, Department of Mathematics Education, Chinju National University of Education, Chinju 660-756, Korea, e-mail: ehroh@ns.chinju-e.ac.kr; H. S. Kim, Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea, e-mail: heekim@email.hanyang.ac.kr.