

Surjit Singh Khurana

Strict topologies as topological algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 2, 433–437

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127658>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

STRICT TOPOLOGIES AS TOPOLOGICAL ALGEBRAS

SURJIT SINGH KHURANA, Iowa City

(Received October 21, 1998)

Abstract. Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space, $C_b(X)$ the space of all scalar-valued bounded continuous functions on X with strict topologies. We prove that these are locally convex topological algebras with jointly continuous multiplication. Also we find the necessary and sufficient conditions for these algebras to be locally m -convex.

Keywords: strict topologies, locally convex algebras, locally m -convex algebras

MSC 2000: 46E10, 28C15, 46E25, 46G10, 28B05

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

In this paper X is a completely regular Hausdorff space, K the field of real or complex numbers, $C_b(X)$ the space of all K -valued bounded continuous functions on X . The strict topologies ([11], [13], [6], [7], [5]) $\beta_0, \beta, \beta_1, \beta_p, \beta_\infty, \beta_g$ are defined on $C_b(X)$ (we will also denote β_0 by β_t, β by β_τ, β_1 by β_σ ; the definition of β_g will be taken as given in [5]).

In this paper, considering $C_b(X)$ as an algebra, we first prove that, under the above topologies, it is a topological algebra with jointly continuous multiplication. Also we find necessary and sufficient conditions for these algebras to be locally m -convex.

For locally convex spaces, the notations and results of ([10]) will be used. For the topological spaces we refer to [3]. For topological measure theory notations and results of [13], [14], [11], [6], [7], [5] will be used. All locally convex spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff and over K , the field of real or complex numbers. $X^\sim(\nu X)$ will denote the Stone-Čech compactification (real-compactification) of X . We have $X \subset \nu X \subset X^\sim$. A topological space is called sham compact if any countable union of its compact subsets is relatively compact ([2]). $P \subset C_b(X)$ is called solid if $f \in P, g \in C_b(X), |g| \leq f$ implies $g \in P$ ([4]). The topologies β_z ($z = t, \tau, \sigma, g, p$) are locally solid in the sense that there is a 0-neighbourhood base consisting of absolutely convex

solid sets. Let $M(X) = (C_b(X), \|\cdot\|)'$, $M_z(X) = (C_b(X), \beta_z)'$ ($z = t, \tau, \sigma, g, p$). Solid subsets of $M_z(X)$ are defined in a similar way.

For a $\mu \in M(X)$, we get a $\mu^\sim \in M(X^\sim)$, $\mu^\sim(g) = \mu(g|_X)$, $g \in C(X^\sim)$; for a $\mu^\sim \in M(X^\sim)$, $\text{supp}(\mu^\sim)$ is the smallest compact set C in X^\sim such that $|\mu^\sim|(C) = |\mu^\sim|(X^\sim)$. For a collection $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ of subsets of a vector space E , ΓA_α will denote the absolutely convex hull of $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ ([10]).

An algebra with a locally convex topology is called locally m -convex if it has a 0-neighbourhood base $\{V: V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ such that each V is absolutely convex and $VV \subset V$ ([8], [1]).

For each of the β_z , $z = \sigma, \tau, \infty, g, p$, there is a collection \mathcal{K}_z of subsets of $X^\sim \setminus X$ such that for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_z$, there is a locally convex topology β_K , generated by the semi-norms p_φ , $\varphi \in C(X^\sim \setminus K)$, φ vanishing at infinity, $p_\varphi(f) = \sup\{|f(x)\varphi(x)|: x \in X\}$ (in the case of β_p , φ consist of bounded functions on $(X^\sim \setminus K)$, vanishing at infinity). The locally convex topology β_z is the infimum of the locally convex topologies β_K ([13]). We denote by κ the topology of uniform convergence on the compact subsets of X .

X is called absolutely Borel measurable in X^\sim if for any regular Borel measure ν on X^\sim , there are Borel sets A, B in X^\sim , with $A \subset X \subset B$, $\nu(B \setminus A) = 0$ ([13], Def. 8.4).

When X is locally compact, considering $(C_b(X), \beta_t)$ as topological algebra, it is proved in [1] that the finest locally m -convex topology weaker than β_t is the topology of uniform convergence on the compact subsets of X .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. $(C_b(X), \beta_z)$ is a topological algebra with jointly continuous multiplication, for $z = t, \sigma, \tau, \infty, g, p$.

Proof. We first consider the case $z = t$. Take a bounded and vanishing at infinity $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $\sqrt{\varphi}$ is also bounded and vanishes at infinity. Taking $V = \{f \in C_b(X): \|f\varphi\| \leq 1\}$ and $U = \{f \in C_b(X): \|f\sqrt{\varphi}\| \leq 1\}$, we get $UU \subset V$. This proves the result.

Now we come to the cases $z = \sigma, \tau, \infty, g, p$.

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_z$, take a $\varphi_\alpha \geq 0$ and put $V_\alpha = \{f \in C_b(X): |f\varphi_\alpha| \leq 1 \text{ on } X\}$ and $V = \Gamma V_\alpha$. Also take $U_\alpha = \{f \in C_b(X): |f\sqrt{\varphi_\alpha}| \leq 1 \text{ on } X\}$ and $U = \Gamma U_\alpha$. It is enough to prove that for every f in U $|f|^2 \in V$. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i$, $f_i \in U_{\alpha(i)}$, $p = \sum |\lambda_i| \leq 1$. Now $(\sum (\frac{|\lambda_i|}{p})|f_i|)^2 \leq \sum (\frac{|\lambda_i|}{p})(|f_i|)^2 \in V$ implies that $(\sum |\lambda_i||f_i|)^2 \in p^2V \subset V$. This proves the result. \square

Now we discuss the necessary and sufficient conditions for these topologies to be locally m -convex.

Theorem 2. *The topological algebra $(C_b(X), \beta_t)$ is locally m -convex if and only if X is sham compact. In this case $\beta_t = \kappa$.*

Proof. If X is sham compact κ is finer than β_t and so $\kappa = \beta_t$. But κ is locally m -convex and so the result follows.

Conversely suppose β_t is locally m -convex. Take V to be an absolutely convex, solid 0-neighbourhood. Because of locally m -convex property, there exists a bounded and vanishing at infinity $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that if $U = \{f \in C_b(X): |f\varphi| \leq 8\}$ then $\Gamma U^n \subset V$. Let $M = \sup\{\varphi(x): x \in X\}$. Put $K = \{x \in X: |\varphi(x)| \geq 1\}^-$. K is a compact subset of X . Put $W = \{f \in C_b(X): |f| \leq \frac{1}{(4(M+1))}$ on $K\}$. We prove that $W \subset V$. Take an $f \in W$. If f is in U , we are done. If not let $K_1 = \{x \in X: |f(x)| \leq 2\}$ and $K_2 = \{x \in X: |f(x)| \geq 3\}$. Let $f_1 = \inf(3, |f|)$, $2f_1 \in U$ (note $|\varphi| < 1$ outside K). Define $g_0 \in C_b(X)$, $0 \leq g_0 \leq 2$, $g_0 = 2$ on K_2 , $g_0 = 0$ on K_1 . Then $g_0 \in U$. Choose n such that $\frac{1}{2}2f_1 + \frac{1}{2}g_0^n \geq |f|$. Since V is solid and $\frac{1}{2}2f_1 + \frac{1}{2}g_0^n \in V$, we get that $f \in V$, which proves that $\beta_t \leq \kappa \leq \beta_t$. By [4], X is sham compact. \square

Theorem 3. *The topological algebra $(C_b(X), \beta_z)$ ($z = \sigma, \infty, g, p$) is locally m -convex if and only if X is pseudocompact. In this case these topologies coincide with norm topology.*

Proof. Suppose X is pseudocompact. In this case $X^\sim = \nu X$. For $z = \sigma, p$, \mathcal{K}_z is void ([12]) and so these topologies become norm topologies which are locally m -convex. Also by [8], $(C_b(X), \|\cdot\|)' = M_g(X) = M_\infty(X)$. Since β_g, β_∞ are Mackey ([5], [4]), these topologies coincide with norm topology and so are locally m -convex.

Conversely suppose β_σ is locally m -convex. Take V to be an absolutely convex, solid 0-neighbourhood in β_σ . Then there exists an absolutely convex 0-neighbourhood U in β_σ such that $\Gamma U^n \subset V$. Fix a zero-set $Z \subset X^\sim \setminus X$. Take a $\varphi \in C(X^\sim \setminus Z)$, φ vanishing at infinity and $U \supset \{f \in C_b(X): |f\varphi| \leq 8\}$. $K = \{x \in (X^\sim \setminus Z): |\varphi(x)| \geq 1\}$ is a compact subset of $(X^\sim \setminus Z)$. Proceeding as in Theorem 2, we get that $V \supset \{f \in C_b(X): |f| \leq \frac{1}{(4(M+1))}$ on $K\}$, where $M = \sup\{\varphi(x): x \in X\}$. Thus β_σ is weaker than the topology of uniform convergence on the compact subsets of $(X^\sim \setminus Z)$. Since $\bigcup\{Z: Z \text{ a zero set}, Z \subset (X^\sim \setminus X)\} = (X^\sim \setminus \nu X)$, support of $|\mu|^\sim \subset \nu X$, for every $\mu \in M_\sigma(X)$. Take an $f \in C(X)$, $f \geq 0$. If f is unbounded, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow \infty$. Since the compact support of the measure μ^\sim , $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^n} x_n$, must be subset of νX and f is finite-valued on νX , we get a contradiction. This proves X is pseudocompact.

In other cases, $\bigcup\{C: C \in \mathcal{K}_z\} \supset (X^\sim \setminus \nu X)$, and so proceeding exactly as above we prove that X is pseudocompact. \square

Before considering the case of β_τ , we prove the lemma:

Lemma 4. *If $\text{supp}(\mu^\sim) \subset X$, $\forall \mu \in M_\tau(X)$, then $\beta_\tau = \beta_t$.*

Proof. Take any $P \subset M_\tau^+(X)$, which is $\sigma(M_\tau(X), C_b(X))$ -compact. This means P is β_τ -equicontinuous ([12]). We will prove that it is β_t -equicontinuous. For this it is enough to prove that given $\eta > 0$ there is a compact $K \subset X$ such that every measure $\mu \in P$, $|\mu|(X \setminus K) < \eta$. Suppose this is not true. Denoting by $\{\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$, all compact subsets of X , and ordering them by inclusion, I becomes a directed set. There exists an $\eta > 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in I$ there is a $\mu_\alpha \in P$ with $\mu_\alpha(X \setminus \alpha) \geq 2\eta$. By taking subnet if necessary, we assume $\mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mu \in P$. Suppose $C = \text{supp}(\mu)$, a compact subset of X and $V = X \setminus C$. Take an increasing net $\{f_\gamma\}$, $\gamma \in J$, $0 \leq f_\gamma \leq 1$ of continuous functions on X such that $f_\gamma = 0$, on C , $f_\gamma \uparrow \chi_V$. Since β_τ is locally solid and P is β_τ -equicontinuous, solid hull of P is also β_τ -equicontinuous. This means the net $\{f_\gamma \mu_\alpha\}$ (the ordering being point-wise) is relatively $\sigma(M_\tau(X), C_b(X))$ -compact. By talking subnet if necessary, we assume this net is convergent to some $\nu \in M_\tau^+(X)$. We claim $\nu = 0$. Fix a $q > 0$. There is (γ_0, α_0) such that $|\mu_\alpha(f_\gamma) - \nu(1)| \leq q$ for every $(\gamma, \alpha) \geq (\gamma_0, \alpha_0)$. Keeping γ fixed and taking limit over α and using the fact that $\mu(f_\gamma) = 0$, we get that $\nu(1) \leq q$. This proves $\nu = 0$. Take (γ_1, α_1) such that $\mu_\alpha(f_\gamma) < \eta$ for every $(\gamma, \alpha) \geq (\gamma_1, \alpha_1)$. Now take a compact $\alpha_2 \in I$, $\alpha_2 \geq (C \cup \alpha_1)$. This means $\mu_{\alpha_2}(f_\gamma) < \eta$, $\forall \gamma$. Taking limit over γ , we get $\mu_{\alpha_2}(V) \leq \eta$. Since $V \supset (X \setminus \alpha_2)$, this is a contradiction. Thus we have proved that $\beta_t = \beta_\tau$. \square

Theorem 5. *The topological algebra $(C_b(X), \beta_\tau)$ is locally m -convex if and only if X is absolutely Borel measurable in X^\sim and sham compact. In this case $\beta_\tau = \kappa$.*

Proof. Suppose β_τ is locally m -convex. Fix a compact $C \subset (X^\sim \setminus X)$. Proceeding exactly as in Theorem 3, we prove that the support of $|\mu|^\sim \subset X$, for every $\mu \in M_\tau(X)$. By Lemma 3, $\beta_t = \beta_\tau$. By Theorem 2, X is sham compact and by [12], X is absolutely Borel measurable in X^\sim .

Conversely if X is sham compact and absolutely Borel measurable in X^\sim , $\kappa = \beta_t$ (Theorem 2) and $M_\tau(X) = M_t(X)$. Thus elements of $M_\tau(X)$ are supported by the compact subsets of X . By Lemma 3, $\beta_\tau = \beta_t$. By Theorem 2, β_τ is locally m -convex. \square

References

- [1] *Alan C. Cochran*: Topological algebras and Mackey topologies. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 (1971), 115, 119.
- [2] *H. S. Collins and R. Fontenot*: Approximate identities and strict topology. Pacific J. Math. 43 (1972), 63–79.
- [3] *L. Gillman and M. Jerrison*: Rings of Continuous Functions. D. Van Nostrand, 1960.
- [4] *D. Gulick*: σ -compact-open topology and its relatives. Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 159–176.
- [5] *S. S. Khurana*: Topologies on spaces of continuous vector-valued functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 241 (1978), 195–211.
- [6] *S. S. Khurana and S. A. Othman*: Grothendieck measures. J. London Math. Soc. 39 (1989), 481–486.
- [7] *G. Koumoullis*: Perfect, u -additive measures and strict topologies. Illinois J. Math. (1982).
- [8] *E. A. Michael*: Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No. 11 (1952).
- [9] *V. Pták*: Weak compactness in convex topological spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. 4 (1954), 175–186.
- [10] *H. H. Schaeffer*: Topological Vector Spaces. Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [11] *F. D. Sentiilles*: Bounded continuous functions on completely regular spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 168 (1972), 311–336.
- [12] *C. Sunyach*: Une caractérisation des espaces universellement Radon mesurables. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 268 (1969), 864–866.
- [13] *R. F. Wheeler*: Survey of Baire measures and strict topologies. Exposition. Math. 2 (1983), 97–190.
- [14] *V. S. Varadarajan*: Measures on topological spaces. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 48 (1965), 161–220.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, e-mail: skhurana@blue.weeg.uiowa.edu.