

Mingxue Liu

A representation theorem for probabilistic metric spaces in general

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 3, 551–554

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127592>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR PROBABILISTIC  
METRIC SPACES IN GENERAL

MINGXUE LIU, Fuzhou

(Received January 27, 1998)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we present a representation theorem for probabilistic metric spaces in general.

*Keywords:* probabilistic metric space

*MSC 2000:* primary 54E70

K. Menger, B. Schweizer, A. Sklar [1], H. Sherwood [3] and R. Stevens [5] investigated the relationship between probabilistic metrics and numerical metrics. Using a collection of ordinary metrics, R. Stevens presented a representation theorem of a class for probabilistic metric spaces:

**Theorem A** (cf. [5], p. 267). *If  $(S, F)$  is a Menger space under the  $t$ -norm  $T = \text{Min}$  and if each distance distribution function  $F_{pq}(x)$  ( $p, q \in S$ ,  $p \neq q$ ) is continuous, then  $(S, F)$  is a metrically generated PM space.*

Since Min is the strongest possible  $t$ -norm, one conjectures that Theorem A admits a considerable improvement (cf. [5], p. 267). In this paper, we thoroughly improve Theorem A and give a representation theorem for probabilistic metric spaces in general ( $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$ ).

**Definition 1.** Let  $S$  be a nonempty set and  $\Omega$  an index set. Let  $\{d_t : t \in \Omega\}$  be a collection of mappings from  $S \times S$  into  $[0, +\infty)$ . Then  $\{d_t : t \in \Omega\}$  is a collection of semi-metrics on  $S$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

---

The research was supported by the Open Foundation of (China) State Key Laboratory of Oil/Gas Reservoir Geology and Exploitation and by the Foundation of the Education committee of Fujian province of People's Republic of China.

- (SM-1) For any  $t$  in  $\Omega$  and all  $p, q$  in  $S$ ,  $d_t(p, q) = 0$  if and only if  $p = q$ ;  
 (SM-2) For all  $t$  in  $\Omega$  and all  $p, q$  in  $S$ ,  $d_t(p, q) = d_t(q, p)$ ;  
 (SM-3) For every  $t$  in  $\Omega$ , there exists a  $\tau$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $d_t(p, r) \leq d_\tau(p, q) + d_\tau(q, r)$   
 for all  $p, q$  and  $r$  in  $S$ .

**Definition 2.** A PM space  $(S, F)$  is semi-metrically generated if and only if there exist a probability space  $(\Omega, B, P)$  and a collection of semi-metrics  $\{d_t : t \in \Omega\}$  on  $S$  such that

(SMG-1) for every real number  $x$  and every pair  $p, q$  of points in  $S$ , the set  $\{t \in \Omega : d_t(p, q) < x\}$  is a  $B$ -measurable set;

(SMG-2) for every real number  $x$  and every pair  $p, q$  of points belonging to  $S$  we have  $F(p, q) = F_{pq}$ , where  $F_{pq}$  is the distribution function defined by

$$(1) \quad F_{pq}(x) = P\{t \in \Omega : d_t(p, q) < x\}.$$

The correctness of Definition 2 follows immediately from the following Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(\Omega, B, P)$  be a probability space and  $\{d_t : t \in \Omega\}$  a collection of semi-metrics on  $S$ . If  $\{d_t : t \in \Omega\}$  satisfies the condition (SMG-1) in Definition 2 and  $F$  is defined by (1), then  $(S, F)$  is a PM space.

*Proof.* Theorem 1 can be proved by using the properties of probability measures. □

**Theorem 2.** If  $(S, F, T)$  is a Menger space with  $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$ , then each distance distribution function  $F_{pq}(x)$  ( $p, q \in S, p \neq q$ ) is right-continuous at zero if and only if  $(S, F)$  is a semi-metrically generated PM space.

*Proof.* Necessity: Suppose that  $B$  denotes the family of all Borel sets in the open interval  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $L$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $(0, 1)$ . Then  $((0, 1), B, L)$  is a probability space. For any  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$  and any pair  $p, q$  of points in  $S$ , we define

$$(2) \quad d_t(p, q) = L\{x \geq 0 : F_{pq}(x) < t\}.$$

Then  $\{d_t : t \in (0, 1)\}$  is a collection of mappings from  $S \times S$  into  $[0, +\infty)$ .

For any pair  $p, q \in S$  of points with  $p \neq q$ , by the hypothesis, the distance distribution function  $F_{pq}(x)$  is right-continuous at zero. Consequently, it is not hard to show that

$$d_t(p, q) = L\{x \geq 0 : F_{pq}(x) < t\} > 0$$

for all  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Therefore it is easily seen that  $\{d_t : t \in (0, 1)\}$  satisfies the condition (SM-1) in Definition 1.

It is clear that  $\{d_t: t \in (0, 1)\}$  satisfies the condition (SM-2) in Definition 1. We now prove that  $\{d_t: t \in (0, 1)\}$  satisfies the condition (SM-3) in Definition 1. In fact, for every  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$ , by  $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$ , it follows that there exists a  $\tau$  in  $(0, 1)$  such that  $T(\tau, \tau) > t$ . Hence for any positive integer  $n$  and all  $p, q, r$  in  $S$ , by (2), we have  $F_{pq}(d_\tau(p, q) + 1/n) \geq \tau$  and  $F_{qr}(d_\tau(q, r) + 1/n) \geq \tau$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{pr}(d_\tau(p, q) + d_\tau(q, r) + 2/n) \\ & \geq T(F_{pq}(d_\tau(p, q) + 1/n), F_{qr}(d_\tau(q, r) + 1/n)) \\ & \geq T(\tau, \tau) > t. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows from (2) that  $d_t(p, r) \leq d_\tau(p, q) + d_\tau(q, r) + 2/n$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $d_t(p, r) \leq d_\tau(p, q) + d_\tau(q, r)$ .

From (2), it is easy to see that for every pair  $p, q$  of points in  $S$ ,  $d_t(p, q)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $t$  on  $(0, 1)$ . Therefore it can be readily seen that for any pair  $p, q$  of points in  $S$  and any real number  $x$ , the set  $\{t \in (0, 1): d_t(p, q) < x\}$  is Borel-measurable, that is,  $\{d_t: t \in (0, 1)\}$  satisfies the condition (SMG-1) in Definition 2. Now we show that the condition (SMG-2) in Definition 2 is satisfied. Indeed, for each pair  $p, q$  of points in  $S$ , it follows from the definition of the PM space that  $F_{pq}(x)$  is a nondecreasing, left-continuous function of  $x$ . Therefore, by (2) and Proposition 2 in [4], we have

$$F_{pq}(x) = L\{t \in (0, 1): d_t(p, q) < x\}$$

for all real numbers  $x$ . From the above argument it follows that  $(S, F)$  is a semi-metrically generated PM space.

Sufficiency: The proof proceeds in the same way as that of Theorem 2 from [5], and is therefore omitted.  $\square$

**Remark.** Obviously the condition  $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$  is much weaker than  $T = \text{Min}$ . Moreover, B. Morrel and J. Nagata [2] showed that no condition weaker than  $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$  can guarantee that the  $\varepsilon, \lambda$  neighbourhoods induce a bona fide topology.

#### References

- [1] *K. Menger, B. Schweizer, A. Sklar*: On probabilistic metrics and numerical metrics with probability 1. Czechoslovak Math. J. 9(84) (1959), 459–466.
- [2] *B. Morrel, J. Nagata*: Statistical metric spaces as related to topological spaces. Gen. Topology Appl. 9 (1978), 233–237.
- [3] *H. Sherwood*: On E spaces and their relation to other classes of probabilistic metric spaces. J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 441–448.

- [4] *H. Sherwood, M. D. Taylor*: Some PM structures on the set of distribution functions. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 10 (1974), 1251–1260.
- [5] *R. Stevens*: Metrically generated probabilistic metric spaces. *Fund. Math.* 61 (1968), 259–269.

*Author's address*: Department of Mathematics, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350007 P. R. China, e-mail:liumingx@pub3.fz.fj.cn.