

Michael M. Parmenter

A remark on  $k$ -systems in groups

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 2, 347–349

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127492>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A REMARK ON  $k$ -SYSTEMS IN GROUPSM. M. PARMENTER,<sup>1</sup> St. John's

(Received August 15, 1996)

If  $(G, +)$  is a uniquely 2-divisible Abelian group and  $*$  is the usual arithmetic mean value, then  $(G, +, *)$  satisfies the identity  $x + (y * z) = (x * y) + (x * z)$ . Conversely, Kepka and Niemenmaa showed in [3] that if  $(G, +)$  is any group supporting a binary operation  $*$  which satisfies this identity, then  $(G, +)$  is Abelian and 2-divisible. However,  $G$  need not be uniquely 2-divisible. To see this, let  $Q/\mathbb{Z}$  be the additive group of rational numbers modulo 1 and, for  $0 < a, b < 1$ , define  $0 * 0 = 0$ ,  $a * 0 = 0 * a = \frac{a+1}{2}$  and  $a * b = \frac{a+b}{2}$  where  $\frac{a+1}{2}$  and  $\frac{a+b}{2}$  are computed by viewing  $a, b$  as elements of  $Q$ .

In [3], results are also obtained where a more general identity  $x + k(y * z) = (x * y) + (x * z)$  is assumed ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Such a system  $(G, +, *)$  is called a  $k$ -system.

In this brief note, we are interested in determining what additional equations are needed in  $(G, +, *)$  to completely characterize the usual arithmetic mean value. Note that if  $(G, +)$  is Abelian and uniquely 2-divisible, and  $*$  is the mean value, then  $x + (y * z) = (x + y) * (x + z)$  also holds. We will show that this identity, together with the earlier one, completes the required characterization. In fact this result holds for all  $k$ -systems, and that will be our main result (Theorem 1).

Jakubík [2] also investigated the second identity stated above in a group theoretic setting, while in [1], Gardner and Parmenter (unaware of [2]) studied different aspects of a very similar structure.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(G, +, *)$  be a  $k$ -system such that for all  $x, y, z \in G$ ,  $x + k(y * z) = (x + y) * (x + z)$ . Then  $(G, +)$  is Abelian and one of the following must occur.*

- (i)  $|G| = 1$ .
- (ii)  $k = 1$  and  $G$  is uniquely 2-divisible.
- (iii)  $k \neq 1$  and  $G$  is of finite odd exponent dividing  $k - 1$ .

*In all cases,  $*$  is the usual arithmetic mean value on  $G$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported in part by NSERC grant A8775.

*Proof.* First note that if  $k = 0$ , then setting  $y = x = z$  in the  $k$ -system identity gives  $x = 2(x * x)$  while setting  $y = z = 0$  in the second identity gives  $x = (x * x)$ . This forces  $|G| = 1$ , so we assume from now on that  $k \neq 0$ .

We proceed to make a few basic observations. Setting  $x = y = z = 0$  in both identities gives  $2(0 * 0) = (0 * 0)$ , so  $0 * 0 = 0$ . Then setting  $y = z = 0$  in the second identity gives  $x + k(0 * 0) = x * x$ , so we have that for all  $x$  in  $G$ ,

$$(x * x) = x.$$

Now putting  $y = x = z$  in the first identity gives  $x + k(x * x) = 2(x * x)$ , so for all  $x$  in  $G$ ,

$$(k - 1)x = 0.$$

If  $k \neq 1$ , we can now conclude that the exponent of  $G$  is finite and divides  $k - 1$ . Also, we can assume from now on that  $(x * y) + (x * z) = x + (y * z) = (x + y) * (x + z)$  for all  $x, y, z$  in  $G$ .

The next part of the argument follows steps similar to those seen in [3], but we include them for completeness.

Putting  $y = z = 0$ , we obtain  $x = 2(x * 0)$  for all  $x$  in  $G$ . Note that if  $k \neq 1$ , we have now proved that the exponent of  $G$  is odd (and hence, as remarked in Lemma 1.1 of [3], that  $G$  is uniquely 2-divisible).

Next observe that  $x + (0 * x) = (x * 0) + (x * x) = (x * 0) + x$  by above. Since  $x = 2(x * 0)$ , we conclude that  $(x * 0) = (0 * x)$  for all  $x$  in  $G$ . Hence  $(x * 0) + (x * y) = x + (0 * y) = x + (y * 0) = (x * y) + (x * 0)$ . Thus for all  $x, y$  in  $G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x * 0) + y &= (x * 0) + (x + (y - x)) \\ &= (x * 0) + (x + (y - x) * (y - x)) \\ &= (x * 0) + 2(x * (y - x)) \\ &= 2(x * (y - x)) + (x * 0) \\ &= y + (x * 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(x * 0)$  is in the centre of  $G$ , and hence  $x = 2(x * 0)$  is in the centre of  $G$ . We have shown that  $(G, +)$  is Abelian.

To show that  $G$  is uniquely 2-divisible when  $k = 1$ , we now only need prove that  $G$  has no elements of order 2. So assume that  $2x = 0$  for some  $x$  in  $G$ . Then  $(x * 0) + (x * x) = x + (0 * x) = x * (2x) = x * 0$ . Hence  $x * x = 0$ , forcing  $x = 0$  and we're done.

Finally, note that setting  $y = z$  gives  $x + (y * y) = 2(x * y)$ , i.e.  $x + y = 2(x * y)$ . Since  $G$  is Abelian and uniquely 2-divisible,  $*$  is the usual mean value on  $G$ .  $\square$

*References*

- [1] *B.J. Gardner and M.M. Parmenter*: Directoids and directoid groups. *Algebra Universalis* 33 (1995), 254–273.
- [2] *Ján Jakubík*: On convexities of  $d$ -groups. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 44 (1994), 305–314.
- [3] *T. Kepka and M. Niemenmaa*: On splitting  $k$ -systems. *Acta Universitatis Carolinae* 27 (1986), 3–10.

*Author's address*: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada, A1C 5S7.