

Efstathios Giannakoulis

Extension of vector measures

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 48 (1998), No. 3, 465–472

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127433>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## EXTENSION OF VECTOR MEASURES

EFSTATHIOS GIANNAKOULIAS, Athens

(Received November 5, 1995)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental problem in measure theory is that of finding conditions under which a countably additive vector measure  $\mu$  on a ring  $R$  can be extended to a countably additive measure on a wider class of sets containing  $R$ .

The first result states that every closed vector measure  $\mu$  on a ring  $R$  with values in a complete locally convex space  $X$  has a unique extension on the algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of locally measurable sets which contains the ring  $R$ .

The second result states that a locally bounded vector measure  $\mu$  on a ring  $R$  with values in a weakly complete locally convex space has a (unique) extension on the  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  generated by  $R$ .

**Definitions and notation.** In all what follows  $S$  denotes a nonempty set,  $R$  a ring of subsets of  $S$ ,  $X$  a locally convex Hausdorff space, its topology  $\tau$  being given by a family  $\Gamma$  of seminorms on  $X$  in the sense that the family  $\{x: q(x) < \varepsilon\}$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $q \in \Gamma$ , is a sub-base of neighborhoods of zero in  $\Gamma$ . The family of all continuous seminorms can be taken for  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a class of subsets of  $S$ ,  $\mu$  a map from  $\mathcal{L}$  into  $X$ . We then define:  $\mu$  is  $s$ -bounded, if and only if for every sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of mutually disjoint sets from  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ .

An  $X$ -valued map  $\mu$  on  $R$  is called finitely additive if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$  whenever  $A, B$  are disjoint sets in  $R$ . The map  $\mu$  is called  $\sigma$ -additive (or countably additive) if  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$ , whenever  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are mutually disjoint sets from  $R$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in R$ .

Let  $\mu$  be an  $X$ -valued finitely additive set function on  $R$  and let  $q$  be a seminorm defined on  $X$ , then the  $q$ -variation  $q(\mu)$  is defined by

$$q(\mu)(A) = \sup \left\{ q \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu(A_j) \right) \right\}, \quad A \in R$$

where the supremum is taken over all disjoint sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  from  $R$  such that  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and all scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  with  $|a_j| \leq 1$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

A locally convex space  $X$  is said to be (sequentially) complete if every (ordinary Cauchy sequence) generalized Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Let  $R$  be a ring of subsets of a set  $S$ . We define an order  $A_1 \leq A_2$  iff  $A_1 \subset A_2$ ,  $A_1, A_2 \in R$ . Then  $R$  is a directed set with the order " $\leq$ ". A set function  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a complete locally convex Hausdorff space, is called closed if the image set  $\{\mu(A): A \in R\}$  of the directed set  $R$  converges in  $X$ .

The main result of this section is Theorem 1.2 which is a generalization of ([12], Theorem 1).

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  be a vector measure. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu$  is closed;
- (ii) for every neighborhood  $U$  of zero in  $X$  there exists  $A_0 \in R$  such that, for every  $A \in R$  with  $A \subset S - A_0$ , we have  $\mu(A) \in U$ .

*P r o o f.* ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i).  $\{\mu(A): A \in R\}$  is a Cauchy net in  $X$  ([10], Proposition 2).

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii). Let  $V$  be an absolutely convex neighborhood of zero in  $X$  such that  $V + V \subset U$ . We set  $x_1 = \lim\{\mu(A): A \in R\}$ . Then  $x_1$  belongs to  $X$ . There exists  $A_0 \in R$  such that  $\mu(A) - x_1 \in V$  for every  $A \in R$  with  $A_0 \subseteq A$ . For every set  $A \in R$  with  $A \subset S - A_0$  we have  $A_0 \subset A \cup A_0$  and therefore  $\mu(A \cup A_0) - x_1 \in V$ . Then from the relation  $\mu(A \cup A_0) - x_1 = \mu(A) + \mu(A_0) - x_1 \in V$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \mu(A \cup A_0) - \mu(A_0) = \mu(A \cup A_0) - x_1 + x_1 - \mu(A_0) \\ &= (\mu(A \cup A_0) - x_1) - (\mu(A_0) - x_1) \in V - V = V + V \subset U. \end{aligned}$$

We put  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subset S \text{ such that for every set } E \in R \text{ we have } E \cap A \in R\}$  the locally measurable sets. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra containing  $R$ . If  $S \in R$  then we have  $\mathcal{F} = R$ .

□

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring of subsets of  $S$  with  $S \notin R$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$ , the locally measurable sets,  $X$  a complete locally convex space and  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  a countably additive set function. If  $\mu$  is closed, then  $\mu$  can be extended to a countably additive set function  $\hat{\mu}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ .*

Proof. If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then clearly the set  $\{\mu(E \cap A) : E \in R\}$  is a Cauchy net in  $X$ . We define  $\widehat{\mu}(A) = \lim\{\mu(E \cap A) : E \in R\}$ . Then  $\widehat{\mu}(A) \in X$  and  $\widehat{\mu}$  is a finite additive set function. Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence from  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$  for every  $n \neq m$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then for every neighborhood  $U$  of zero in  $X$  there exists a set  $E \in R$  such that  $\widehat{\mu}(A) - \mu(A \cap E) \in U$  and  $\mu(B) \in U$  for every set  $B \in R$  with  $B \subset S - E$ . Since  $A \cap E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cap E \in R$  we have  $\mu(A \cap E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n \cap E)$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\mu(A \cap E) - \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \mu(A_k \cap E) \in U.$$

For each positive integer  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq n_0$  there exists a set  $E_k \in R$  with  $E \subset E_k$  and  $\widehat{\mu}(A_k) - \mu(A_k \cap E_k) \in \frac{1}{n_0}U$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} (\mu(A_k \cap E_k) - \mu(A_k \cap E)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \mu(A_k \cap (E_k - E)) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n_0} A_k \cap (E_k - E)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{n_0} A_k \cap (E_k - E) \subset S - E$$

and therefore we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \mu(A_k \cap E_k) - \mu(A_k \cap E) \in U.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}(A) - \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \widehat{\mu}(A_k) &= (\widehat{\mu}(A) - \mu(A \cap E)) + (\mu(A \cap E) - \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \mu(A_k \cap E)) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \mu(A_k \cap E) - \mu(A_k \cap E_k)\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} (\mu(A_k \cap E_k) - \widehat{\mu}(A_k)) \in U + U + U + U = 4U. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $Q$  be a field of subsets of a set  $S$  and  $\sigma(Q)$  the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $Q$ . If  $X$  is a sequentially complete locally convex Hausdorff space and  $\mu: Q \rightarrow X$  is a vector measure and  $q \in \Gamma$ , then for every set  $A \in S$  we put

$$\mu_q(A) = \sup\{q(\mu(B)): B \subseteq A, B \in Q\}.$$

Clearly  $\mu_q$  is monotone, subadditive and  $0 \leq \mu_q(A) \leq +\infty$  for every  $A \in Q$ .

In this section the main results are Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 which give conditions under which a vector measure  $\mu$  from a ring  $R$  into a sequentially (weakly sequentially) complete locally convex space  $X$  can be extended to the  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a sequentially complete locally convex Hausdorff space and let  $\mu: Q \rightarrow X$  be a countably additive vector measure. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu$  has a (necessarily unique) countably additive extension  $\hat{\mu}: \sigma(Q) \rightarrow X$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu$  is  $s$ -bounded,
- (iii)  $q(\mu)$  is  $s$ -bounded for every  $q \in \Gamma$ ,
- (iv)  $\mu_q$  is  $s$ -bounded for every  $q \in \Gamma$ ,
- (v) for every sequence  $(E_n)$  of mutually disjoint sets on  $Q$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$  converges unconditionally,
- (vi) for every  $p \in \Gamma$  there exists a measure  $\lambda_p: Q \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{\lambda_p(A) \rightarrow 0} q(\mu(A)) = 0, \quad A \in Q.$$

*P r o o f.* (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) ([7], Proposition 4.1).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). From ([8], Lemma II.2) we have

$$\mu_q(A) \leq q(\mu)(A) \leq 2\mu_q(A), \quad A \in Q.$$

For every disjoint sequence  $(A_n)_n$ ,  $A_n \in Q$  we have  $q(\mu)(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  and therefore by (1),  $\mu_q(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). It is obvious from (1).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii). It is obvious.

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i). Since  $\mu$  is  $s$ -bounded iff  $q(\mu)$  is  $s$ -bounded, therefore by ([7], Proposition 4.1) for every  $q \in \Gamma$  there exists a bounded measure  $\lambda_q: Q \Rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $q(\mu) \ll \lambda_q$ . Since  $q(\mu(E)) \leq q(\mu)(E)$  ([9]) we have that

$$\lim_{\lambda_q(A) \rightarrow 0} q(\mu(A)) = 0.$$

By Halmos ([6], §1 Theorem A)  $\lambda_q$  has a unique extension  $\widehat{\lambda}_q: \sigma(Q) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ; we put  $d(E_1, E_2) = \widehat{\lambda}_q(E_1 \Delta E_2)$ ,  $E_1, E_2 \in Q$  and consider on  $\sigma(Q)$  the uniform structure  $\tau$  defined by the semi distance  $d$ . By Halmos ([19], theorem D)  $Q \subset \sigma(Q)$  is dense in  $\sigma(Q)$  for the topology induced by  $\tau$ .

Since

$$\lim_{\widehat{\lambda}_q(A) \rightarrow 0} q(\mu(A)) = \lim_{\lambda_q(A) \rightarrow 0} q(\mu(A)) = 0, \quad A \in Q$$

by ([2], Theorem 7),  $\mu$  can be extended to a vector measure  $\widehat{\mu}: \sigma(Q) \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\lim_{\widehat{\lambda}_q(A) \rightarrow 0} q(\mu(A)) = 0, \quad A \in \sigma(Q).$$

The uniqueness of  $\widehat{\mu}$  is immediate by Dinculeanu ([1], Proposition 6).

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). It is obvious.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). By (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i)) there exists a unique extension  $\widehat{\mu}: \sigma(Q) \rightarrow X$ . For every disjoint sequence  $(E_n)$ ,  $E_n \in Q$  we have  $\widehat{\mu}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$  and so  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$  converges unconditionally.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi). ([2], Corollary 1). □

Let  $R$  be a ring of subsets of  $S$ . Then there exists the smallest  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  containing  $R$  ([1], §1 Proposition 6).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a sequentially complete locally convex space and  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  a vector measure. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu$  has a (unique) extension  $\widehat{\mu}: \mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow X$ .
- (ii) For every  $q \in \Gamma$ ,  $E \in R$ , there exists a measure  $\lambda_{q,E}: R \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{\lambda_{q,E}(A) \rightarrow 0} q(\mu(A)) = 0, \quad A \subset E$$

([2], Theorem 2, Corollary 2).

- (iii) For every set  $E \in R$  and every neighborhood  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that, for every finite sequence  $(A_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  of mutually disjoint sets of  $R$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \subset E$  there exists a positive integer  $i_0$  ( $1 \leq i_0 \leq k$ ) such that  $\mu(A_{i_0}) \in U$  ([13], Theorem 1).
- (iv) For every set  $E \in R$  and every sequence  $(E_n)$  of mutually disjoint sets of  $R$  with  $E_n \subset E$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) we have

$$\lim_n \mu(E_n) = 0.$$

P r o o f. We can prove it in the same way as [11]. □

G.G. Gould has proved in [5] that a necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded vector measure  $\mu$ , taking values in a normed space  $X$ , to have a Lebesgue extension is given by the following property:

**Property A.** If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  whose norms have a positive lower bound, then for an arbitrary positive  $k$  there exists a finite subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\|\sum_k x_{n_k}\| > k$ .

It has been proved that all weakly complete spaces, the Hilbert spaces, and the spaces  $\ell^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , satisfy Property A. We make use of Property A in Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  be a countably additive vector measure in a sequentially complete locally convex Hausdorff space  $X$  with the property

(A): If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of zero in  $X$  with  $x_n \notin U$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of zero in  $X$  such that for every positive  $\lambda$  there exists a finite subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  with

$$\sum_k x_{n_k} \notin \lambda V.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  has a countably additive extension  $\widehat{\mu}: \mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow X$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu$  is locally bounded over  $R$ , that is, for every  $q \in \Gamma$  and every  $E \in R$ ,  $\mu_q(E) < +\infty$ .

P r o o f. i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii).  $x^*\widehat{\mu}$  is a scalar measure on the  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  for every  $x^* \in X^*$

By Dinculeanu ([1], §3 Proposition 14) we have  $x^*\widehat{\mu}_q(E) = \sup\{|x^*\widehat{\mu}(A)|: A \subset E, A \in \mathcal{F}(R)\} < +\infty$  for every set  $E \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ , and by Mackey's theorem  $\widehat{\mu}_q(E) < +\infty$ . Therefore  $\mu_q(E) \leq \widehat{\mu}_q(E) < +\infty$  for every  $q \in \Gamma$ ,  $E \in R$ .

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i). We shall show that (ii) implies (iii) of Proposition 2.2.

If this is false, then there exists a set  $E \in R$ , a neighborhood  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and a sequence  $(E_n)$  of mutually disjoint sets of  $R$  with  $E_n \subset E$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $\mu(E_n) \notin U$  for all  $n$ . By property (A), there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of zero in  $X$  such that for every positive  $\lambda$  there exists a finite subsequence  $\mu(E_{k_n})$  with

$$\sum_k \mu(E_{k_n}) \notin \lambda V.$$

Therefore we have a contradiction. □

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly complete locally convex Hausdorff space and  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  a vector measure. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  has a countably additive extension  $\hat{\mu}: \mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow X$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu$  is locally bounded over  $R$ .

*P r o o f.* i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii). It is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.3.

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i). We shall show that ii) implies the statement (iii) of Proposition 2.2. If this is false, then there exist a set  $E \in R$ , a neighborhood  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and a sequence  $(E_n)$  of mutually disjoint sets of  $R$  with  $E_n \subset E$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $\mu(E_n) \notin U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By [5], since  $X$  is weakly complete it has the property (A) and  $X \not\supseteq c_0$ . Indeed, if we suppose that there exists a subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  which is topologically isomorphic to  $c_0$ , then  $Y$  is a complete subset of the Hausdorff space  $X$ .

$Y$  is closed and, since it is convex, it is weakly closed. Thus  $Y$  is weakly sequentially complete, which is impossible since  $c_0$  is not weakly sequentially complete. By property (A) there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of zero in  $X$  such that for every positive  $\lambda$  there exists a finite subsequence  $\{\mu(E_{k_n})\}$  with

$$\sum_k \mu(E_{k_n}) \notin \lambda V,$$

which contradicts the statement (ii). □

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $X$  is a Frechet space and  $q(\mu)(E) < +\infty$  for every  $q \in \Gamma$  and  $E \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mu$  has a countably additive extension  $\hat{\mu}: \mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow X$  ([3]).*

*P r o o f.* Since  $X$  is Frechet, it is weakly complete. From the inequalities

$$\mu_q(A) \leq q(\mu)(A) \leq 2\mu_q(A), \quad A \in R$$

we have  $\mu_q(A) < +\infty$ ,  $A \in R$ . The proof is obvious by Theorem 2.4. □

### References

- [1] *N. Dinculeanu*: Vector Measures. Pergamon Press, New York, 1967.
- [2] *N. Dinculeanu and I. Kluvánek*: On vector measures. Proc. London Math. Soc. 17(1967), 505–512.
- [3] *G. Fox*: Extensions of a bounded vector measure with values in reflexive Banach space. Canad. Math. Bull. 10 (1967), 525–529.
- [4] *E. Giannakoulas*: The Bessaga-Pelczynski property and strongly bounded measures. Bulletin Greek Math. Soc. 24 (1983), 59–71.
- [5] *G.G. Gould*: Extensions of vector-valued measures. Proc. London Math. Soc. 16 (1966), 685–704.



- [6] *P.R. Halmos*: Measure Theory. New York, 1950.
- [7] *J. Hoffman-Jørgensen*: Vector measures. *Math. Scand.* 28 (1971), 5–32.
- [8] *I. Kluvánek and G. Knowles*: Vector Measures and Control Systems. North-Holland, New York, 1976.
- [9] *D. Lewis*: Integration with respect to vector measures. *Pacific J. Math.* 33 (1970), 157–165.
- [10] *R.A. Oberle*: Characterization of a class of equicontinuous sets of finitely additive measure with an application to vector valued Borel measures. *Canad. J. Math.* 26 (1974), 281–290.
- [11] *Sachio Ohba*: Extensions of vector measures. *Yokohama Math. J.* 21 (1973), 61–66.
- [12] *Sachio Ohba*: Closed vector measures. *Yokohama Math. J.* 24 (1976), 29–34.
- [13] *M. Takahashi*: On topological-additive-group-valued measures. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 42 (1966), 330–334.

*Author's address*: Department of Mathematics, Section of Mathematical Analysis, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, 157 84, Athens, Greece.