

Gerd Herzog

On Lipschitz conditions for ordinary differential equations in Fréchet spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 48 (1998), No. 1, 95–103

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127402>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON LIPSCHITZ CONDITIONS FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS IN FRÉCHET SPACES

GERD HERZOG, Karlsruhe

(Received July 11, 1995)

Abstract. We will give an existence and uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations in Fréchet spaces using Lipschitz conditions formulated with a generalized distance and row-finite matrices.

MSC 2000: 34G20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and F be a vector space over K . A mapping $\|\cdot\|: F \rightarrow [0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called a *polynorm* on F if $\|\cdot\|_n$ is a seminorm on F for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. Inequalities between elements of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are intended componentwise.

We have:

- (a) $\|x\| \geq 0, x \in F$.
- (b) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|, x, y \in F$.
- (c) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|, x \in F, \lambda \in K$.

$(F, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Fréchet space if the locally convex topology induced by the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is complete. A polynorm is a generalized distance (e.g. according to Schröder [12]), and this concept allows to study Lipschitz mappings on F with generalized Lipschitz constants which are row-finite matrices. In this paper we want to study Lipschitz conditions for ordinary differential equations in Fréchet spaces continuing the work of Lemmert [9]. For related concepts see also [2], [3] and [11].

2. ROW-FINITE AND COLUMN-FINITE MATRICES

We consider the Fréchet space $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \|\cdot\|)$, $\|x\| = (|x_n|)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and its topological dual space

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}} = \{y \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \text{at most finitely many } y_n \text{ are different from zero}\}$$

together with the duality

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}.$$

A matrix $L = (l_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $l_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, is called *row-finite* if every row is in $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Correspondingly, L is called *column-finite* if every column is in $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$. The row-finite matrices are exactly the continuous endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and the column-finite matrices are exactly the endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$. If L is row-finite, then the matrix ${}^{\top}L$ is column-finite, and it holds that $\langle x, {}^{\top}Ly \rangle = \langle Lx, y \rangle$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

A column-finite matrix L is called *locally algebraic* if for every $y \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$ there is a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $p(L)y = 0$.

The spectrum σ of a row-finite resp. column-finite matrix L is defined as

$$\sigma(L) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : L - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

It holds that $\sigma(L) = \sigma({}^{\top}L) \neq \emptyset$ and that either $\sigma(L)$ or $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(L)$ is at most countable (see e.g. [7], [13]). For the following proposition compare [5], [7], [8], [13] and [14].

Proposition 1. *Let $L = (l_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $l_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, be row-finite. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. ${}^{\top}L$ is locally algebraic.
2. $\sigma(L)$ is at most countable.
3. $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{|\langle L^k x, y \rangle|} < \infty$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$.
4. For every entire function $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ it holds that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k L^k x$ converges in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (by that a row-finite matrix is defined which is denoted by $f(L)$ and $\sigma(f(L))$ is at most countable).
5. The initial value problem $x'(t) = Lx(t)$, $x(0) = x_0$ is uniquely solvable in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (the solution is $e^{Lt}x_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$).

3. LIPSCHITZ CONDITIONS

Let $(F, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Fréchet space, $f: [0, T] \times F \rightarrow F$ continuous and $x_0 \in F$. We consider the initial value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, T], \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let f satisfy the Lipschitz condition

$$(2) \quad \|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \leq L\|u - v\|, \quad (t, u), (t, v) \in [0, T] \times F.$$

Here L is a row-finite matrix with nonnegative entries. Condition (2) in general implies neither uniqueness nor existence of solutions of (1) even in the case that the right-hand side in (1) is linear (see [4], [5], [8] and [10]). Lemmert [9] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If $\sigma(L)$ is at most countable then (1) is uniquely solvable for every $x_0 \in F$.*

If f is bounded, i. e. there is a $b \in [0, \infty)^\mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f(t, x)\| \leq b$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times F$, we have

Theorem 2. *If*

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\langle L^k b, y \rangle} < \infty, \quad y \in [0, \infty)_\mathbb{N},$$

then (1) is uniquely solvable for every $x_0 \in F$.

Condition (3) is satisfied, for example, if $Lb \leq cb$ for some $c \geq 0$ (see Deimling [1], p. 86 and [11]).

We will now generalize these theorems in the following way (for another generalization of Theorem 2 see [6]).

Let $g, h: [0, T] \times F \rightarrow F$ be continuous and $f = g + h$. Furthermore, let g and h satisfy a Lipschitz condition of the form (2) with L_1 and L_2 as Lipschitz matrices, and let h be bounded by $b \in [0, \infty)^\mathbb{N}$. Then we have

Theorem 3. *If $\sigma(L_1)$ is at most countable and*

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\langle (e^{TL_1} L_2)^k e^{TL_1} b, y \rangle} < \infty, \quad y \in [0, \infty)_\mathbb{N},$$

then (1) is uniquely solvable for every $x_0 \in F$.

Remarks.

- 1) f is satisfying (2) with $L = L_1 + L_2$.
- 2) If $L_2 = 0$, (4) is satisfied, and we have Theorem 1.
- 3) If $L_1 = 0$, (4) is condition (3) of Theorem 2.
- 4) e^{TL_1} is a row-finite matrix with nonnegative entries.
- 5) To check condition (4), it is sufficient to show (4) for $y = e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$; $e_n \in \mathbb{C}_\mathbb{N}$ denotes the vector with 1 in the n -th coordinate and 0 elsewhere.
- 6) Condition (4) holds e. g. if, for some $c \geq 0$, $(e^{TL_1}L_2)e^{TL_1}b \leq ce^{TL_1}b$, which is implied by

$$(5) \quad L_2e^{TL_1}b \leq cb.$$

- 7) If L_1 and L_2 commute, condition (4) reduces to

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\langle L_2^k b, y \rangle} < \infty, \quad y \in [0, \infty)_\mathbb{N},$$

for the following reason: Since $\top e^{TL_1}$ is locally algebraic, the subspace $U = \text{span}\{\top e^{kTL_1}b : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of $\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{N}$ is finite-dimensional. For every $y \in [0, \infty)_\mathbb{N}$ there is $\gamma > 0$ and $z \in [0, \infty)_\mathbb{N}$ such that $\top e^{kTL_1}y \leq \gamma^k z$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\langle L_2^k b, \top e^{(k+1)TL_1}y \rangle} \leq \gamma \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\langle L_2^k b, z \rangle}.$$

We will use the following propositions to prove Theorem 3:

Proposition 2. *Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a real row-finite quasimonotone matrix (i. e. $a_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{ij} \geq 0$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \neq j$) with $\sigma(A)$ at most countable. If $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$ is continuous, right-hand side differentiable and*

$$\begin{cases} u'_+(t) \geq Au(t), & t \in [0, T), \\ u(0) \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

then $u(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [0, T)$.

For the proof of this Proposition see Lemmert [9], p. 1387.

Proposition 3. *Let $\sigma(L_1)$ be at most countable, $u \in C^1([0, T], F)$, and $v \in C([0, T], F)$ such that*

$$\|u'(t)\| \leq L_1 \|u(t)\| + \|v(t)\|.$$

Then

$$\|u(t)\| \leq e^{tL_1} \|u(0)\| + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L_1} \|v(s)\| \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

P r o o f. The function $\delta: [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\delta(t) = \|u(t)\|$ is right-hand side differentiable on $[0, T]$ and

$$\delta'_+(t) \leq \|u'(t)\| \leq L_1\delta(t) + \|v(t)\|.$$

According to Theorem 1, the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = L_1z(t) + \|v(t)\|, & t \in [0, T], \\ z(0) = \|u(0)\| \end{cases}$$

is uniquely solvable on $[0, T]$, and the solution is

$$z(t) = e^{tL_1}\|u(0)\| + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L_1}\|v(s)\| \, ds.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (z - \delta)'_+(t) &\geq L_1z(t) + \|v(t)\| - L_1\delta(t) - \|v(t)\| \\ &= L_1(z - \delta)(t), \quad t \in [0, T] \end{aligned}$$

and $z(0) - \delta(0) = 0$. According to Proposition 2, this implies that $z(t) - \delta(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, T]$ which is

$$\delta(t) \leq e^{tL_1}\|u(0)\| + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L_1}\|v(s)\| \, ds.$$

□

P r o o f of T h e o r e m 3. Let $u_1 \in C^1([0, T], F)$, $u_1(0) = x_0$. Since $\sigma(L_1)$ is at most countable, there is, according to Theorem 1, a sequence $(u_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $C^1([0, T], F)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u'_{k+1}(t) = g(t, u_{k+1}(t)) + h(t, u_k(t)), & t \in [0, T], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u_{k+1}(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

It holds that

$$\|u'_{k+1}(t) - u'_k(t)\| \leq L_1\|u_{k+1}(t) - u_k(t)\| + \|h(t, u_k(t)) - h(t, u_{k-1}(t))\|,$$

$t \in [0, T]$, $k \geq 2$. From Proposition 3 we get

$$\|u_{k+1}(t) - u_k(t)\| \leq \int_0^t e^{TL_1}\|h(s, u_k(s)) - h(s, u_{k-1}(s))\| \, ds,$$

$t \in [0, T]$, $k \geq 2$. Therefore

$$(6) \quad \|u_{k+1}(t) - u_k(t)\| \leq e^{TL_1 L_2} \int_0^t \|u_k(s) - u_{k-1}(s)\| ds,$$

$t \in [0, T]$, $k \geq 2$, and

$$(7) \quad \|u_3(t) - u_2(t)\| \leq 2Te^{TL_1} b, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Successive application of inequality (6) and (7) leads to

$$\|u_{k+1}(t) - u_k(t)\| \leq \frac{2T^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} (e^{TL_1 L_2})^{k-2} e^{TL_1} b, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad k \geq 2.$$

Condition (4) implies the convergence of $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2T^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} (e^{TL_1 L_2})^{k-2} e^{TL_1} b$ in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Therefore $(u_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Fréchet space $(C([0, T], F), \|\cdot\|)$, $\|u\| = \left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_n\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k$ is a solution of (1): It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| x(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t g(s, x(s)) + h(s, x(s)) ds \right\| \\ & \leq \|x(t) - u_{k+1}(t)\| + \left\| \int_0^t g(s, u_{k+1}(s)) + h(s, u_k(s)) - g(s, x(s)) - h(s, x(s)) ds \right\| \\ & \leq \|x - u_{k+1}\| + TL_1 \|x - u_{k+1}\| + TL_2 \|x - u_k\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Now let $x_1, x_2 \in C^1([0, T], F)$ be solutions of (1). With a similar calculation as above we get

$$\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\| \leq \frac{2T^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} (e^{TL_1 L_2})^{k-2} e^{TL_1} b, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad k \geq 2.$$

Since the right-hand side of this inequality tends to 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $x_1 = x_2$ and therefore the solution of (1) is unique. \square

The solution of (1) is continuously depending on x_0 . The following theorem holds.

Theorem 4. *Let $\sigma(L_1)$ be at most countable and provide (4). If $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $C^1([0, T], F)$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k(0) = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x'_k(t) = f(t, x_k(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is tending to the solution of (1) in $(C([0, T], F), \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. Let x be the solution of (1). It holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\|x'_k(t) - x'(t)\| \leq L_1 \|x_k(t) - x(t)\| + \|h(t, x_k(t)) - h(t, x(t))\|, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

From Proposition 3 we get

$$\|x_k(t) - x(t)\| \leq e^{TL_1} \|x_k(0) - x_0\| + e^{TL_1} L_2 \int_0^t \|x_k(s) - x(s)\| ds$$

and

$$\|x_k(t) - x(t)\| \leq e^{TL_1} \|x_k(0) - x_0\| + 2Te^{TL_1} b, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_k - x\| \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{T^j (e^{TL_1} L_2)^j}{j!} \right) e^{TL_1} \|x_k(0) - x_0\| + \frac{2T^{m+1}}{m!} (e^{TL_1} L_2)^m e^{TL_1} b,$$

$m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Now let $y \in [0, \infty)_{\mathbb{N}}$. It holds that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \|x_k - x\|, y \rangle \leq \left\langle \frac{2T^{m+1}}{m!} (e^{TL_1} L_2)^m e^{TL_1} b, y \right\rangle, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Condition (4) implies the convergence of the right-hand side of this inequality to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$ in $(C([0, T], F), \|\cdot\|)$. \square

4. EXAMPLES

1) We consider $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \|\cdot\|)$, $\|x\| = (|x_n|)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $f(t, x) = g(t, x) + h(t, x)$ with

$$g(t, x) = (t^n x_n \arctan(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad h(t, x) = (\alpha_n \arctan(t^n x_{n+1}))_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

$(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in (0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$. We can choose

$$L_1 = \text{diag} \left(\frac{\pi + 1}{2} T^n \right)$$

and

$$L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 T & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 T^2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 T^3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\sigma(L_1)$ is at most countable and $\sigma(L_2)$ is uncountable (see e. g. [7]).

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 e^{TL_1} &= L_2 \operatorname{diag} \left(e^{\frac{\pi+1}{2} T^{n+1}} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0\alpha_1 T e^{\frac{\pi+1}{2} T^3} 00 \dots \\ 00\alpha_2 T^2 e^{\frac{\pi+1}{2} T^4} 0 \dots \\ 000\alpha_3 T^3 e^{\frac{\pi+1}{2} T^5} \dots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\|h(t, x)\| \leq b := \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha$.

Now assume $\alpha_{n+1} T^n e^{\frac{\pi+1}{2} T^{n+2}} \leq c$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for some $c > 0$. Then

$$L_2 e^{TL_1} b = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} T^n e^{\frac{\pi+1}{2} T^{n+2}} \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \leq c \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_n \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} = cb.$$

Then (5) holds and, according to Theorem 3, (1) is uniquely solvable for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Remark that $L = L_1 + L_2$ is a Lipschitz matrix for f in (2) and that $\sigma(L)$ is uncountable. Hence Theorem 1 is not applicable. Since f is not bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, also Theorem 2 is not applicable.

2) We consider $(C([1, \infty), \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|, \|x\| = \left(\max_{s \in [n, n+1]} |x(s)| \right)_{n=1}^{\infty})$, $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ with

$$(g(x))(s) = x(s+1) \max\{\sin(\pi s), 0\},$$

$$(h(x))(s) = \arctan(x(s+1)) \max\{\sin(\pi(s+1)), 0\},$$

$s \in [1, \infty)$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times C([1, \infty), \mathbb{R})$.

We can choose

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this example, $\sigma(L_1) = \sigma(L_2) = \{0\}$, but $\sigma(L_1 + L_2) = \mathbb{C}$ (cf. [7]), and $L_1^2 = L_2^2 = 0$.

We have

$$L_2 e^{TL_1} = L_2(I + TL_1) = L_2 + TL_2L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & T & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & T & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

and it holds that $\|h(x)\| \leq b := \left(\frac{\pi}{4}(1 + (-1)^{n+1})\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Therefore $L_2 e^{TL_1} b = Tb$. Hence (5) is satisfied and, using Theorem 3, the initial value problem (1) is uniquely solvable for every $x_0 \in C([1, \infty), \mathbb{R})$.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Dr. Roland Lemmert for many helpful suggestions for improving this paper.

References

- [1] *K. Deimling*: Ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 596, Springer, 1977.
- [2] *Sh. T. Dzhabbarov*: A generalized contraction-mapping principle and infinite systems of differential equations. *Diff. Eqs.* 26 (1990), 944–952.
- [3] *A. N. Godunov, A. P. Durkin*: Differential equations in linear topological spaces. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* 24 (1969), 93–100.
- [4] *G. Herzog*: Über gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen in Frécheträumen. Dissertation, Univ. Karlsruhe, 1992.
- [5] *G. Herzog*: On ordinary linear differential equations in \mathbb{C}^J . *Demonstratio Math.* 28 (1995), 383–398.
- [6] *G. Herzog*: On existence and uniqueness conditions for ordinary differential equations in Fréchet spaces. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 32 (1996), 367–375.
- [7] *K. H. Körber*: Das Spektrum zeilenfiniter Matrizen. *Math. Ann.* 181 (1969), 8–34.
- [8] *R. Lemmert, Ä. Weckbach*: Charakterisierungen zeilenendlicher Matrizen mit abzählbarem Spektrum. *Math. Z.* 188 (1984), 119–124.
- [9] *R. Lemmert*: On ordinary differential equations in locally convex spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis* 10 (1986), 1385–1390.
- [10] *S. G. Lobanov*: Solvability of linear ordinary differential equations in locally convex spaces. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* 35 (1980), 1–5.
- [11] *K. Moszyński, A. Pokrzywa*: Sur les systèmes infinis d'équations différentielles ordinaires dans certains espaces de Fréchet. *Dissertationes Math.* CXV, 1974.
- [12] *J. Schröder*: Iterationsverfahren bei allgemeinerem Abstandsbegriff. *Math. Z.* 66 (1956), 111–116.
- [13] *H. Ulm*: Elementarteilertheorie unendlicher Matrizen. *Math. Ann.* 114 (1937), 493–505.
- [14] *J. H. Williamson*: Spectral representation of linear transformations in ω . *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 47 (1951), 461–472.

Author's address: Mathematisches Institut I, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany, e-mail: Gerd.Herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de.