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# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF $n$-TH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH QUASIDERIVATIVES 

Miroslav Bartušek, Brno

(Received April 18, 1995)

Dedicated to Professor Jaroslav Kurzweil on the occasion of his $70^{\text {th }}$ birthday
Summary. Sufficient conditions are given under which the sequence of the absolute values of all local extremes of $y^{[i]}, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$ of solutions of a differential equation with quasiderivatives $y^{[n]}=f\left(t, y^{[0]}, \ldots, y^{[n-1]}\right)$ is increasing and tends to $\infty$. The existence of proper, oscillatory and unbounded solutions is proved.
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## I. Introduction

Consider a nonlinear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{[n]}=f\left(t, y^{[0]}, \ldots, y^{[n-1]}\right) \text { in } D \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geqslant 3, \mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty), \mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty), D=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, y^{[i]}$ is the $i$-th quasiderivative of $y$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{[0]}=\frac{y}{a_{0}(t)}, y^{[i]}=\frac{1}{a_{i}(t)}\left(y^{[i-1]}\right)^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1, y^{[n]}=\left(y^{[n-1]}\right)^{\prime}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the functions $a_{i}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ are continuous and $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfils the local Carathéodory conditions.
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Throughout the paper the sign hypothesis

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1} \leqslant 0, f\left(t, 0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 \text { in } D \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be assumed.
Let $y:[0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, b \leqslant \infty$ be continuous, have the quasiderivatives up to the order $n-1$ and let $y^{[n-1]}$ be absolutely continuous. Then $y$ is called a solution of (1) if (1) is valid for almost all $t \in[0, b)$.

A solution $y$ is called non-continuable if either $b=\infty$ or

$$
b<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow b} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|y^{[i]}(t)\right|=\infty
$$

Let $y:[0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-continuable solution of (1). It is called proper if $b=\infty$ and $\sup _{\tau \leqslant t<\infty}|y(t)|>0$ holds for an arbitrary number $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. It is called singular of the $1-s t(2-n d)$ kind if there exists $t^{*} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $y(t) \equiv 0$ in $\left[t^{*}, \infty\right)$ and $\sup _{\tau \leqslant t<t^{*}}|y(t)|>0$ for $\tau \in\left[0, t^{*}\right)$ (if $b<\infty$ ). It is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of its zeros tending to $b$ (tending to $t^{*}$ ) if $y$ is either proper or singular of the 2 -nd kind (if $y$ is singular of the 1 -st kind).

A great effort has been exerted to the study of proper oscillatory solutions of the differential equation of the $n$-th order

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}=f\left(t, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(n-1)}\right) \quad \text { in } D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided (3) is valid. The function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is considered to fulfil the local Carathéodory conditions. Thus (4) is a special case of (1).

In the case $n=2$ many authors have studied the following problem for proper oscillatory solutions for special types of (4) and (3): Let $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of the absolute values of all local extremes of $y$ (of $y^{\prime}$ ) on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. When is $\left\{\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ $\left(\left\{\left|y^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|\right\}_{1}^{\infty}\right)$ monotone? The basic condition, among many others, is the monotonicity of $f$ with respect to the independent variable. see Bihari [8], Belohorec [7], Das [10], Bobrowski [9], Foltyńska [11] and Bartušek [1].

In the case $n=3$ the situation in this direction is described in [2]. The sequence $\left\{y^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right) \mid\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is increasing without any additional assumptions on $f$.

Similar situation occurs in the case $n=4$, see [3, 4]. Without any additional assumptions on $f$ the sequence $\left\{\left|y^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is either increasing to $\infty$ or decreasing to zero for $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The first goal of the present paper consists in a generalization of the above mentioned results to equation (1): To establish the existence of a set of proper oscillatory
solutions of (1) for which the sequence of the absolute values of all local extremes of $y^{[i]}, i \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$ is increasing.

This problem is interesting not only by itself but may be used for studying the existence of proper oscillatory solutions of (2) with a given asymptotic behaviour. Thus the second goal consists in deriving sufficient conditions for the existence of a (proper) oscillatory solution $y$ the quasiderivative $y^{[i]}, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-3\}$ of which is unbounded. This problem has not yet been solved for (1) and new results will be obtained also for (4).

Notation. If $b_{i} \in C^{0}(I)$, then $I^{0}(t) \equiv 1$,

$$
I^{k}\left(t, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=\int_{0}^{t} b_{1}(s) I^{k-1}\left(s, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} s, t \in I
$$

Put $a_{n j+i}(t)=a_{i}(t), j \in\{-1,0,1\}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}$.
We will assume the following hypotheses (not all simultaneously):
(H1) Let one of the following assumptions hold:
(a) Let either $a_{1} / a_{2} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for $n=3$ or $a_{1}, a_{2} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \frac{a_{3}}{a_{1}} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for $n=4$ or for $n>4$ let there exist an index $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-4\}$ such that $a_{l+j}$, $j=1,2$ are absolutely continuous and $a_{l+j}^{\prime}, j=1,2$ are locally bounded from below;
(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant A(t) g\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right) \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+} \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0, A \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), g \in C^{0}[0, \varepsilon], g(0)=0, g(x)>0$ for $x>0$, $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{g(t)}=\infty ;$
(H2) $\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant b(t) \omega\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|\right)$ in $D$, where $b \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \omega \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \omega(x)>$ 0 for $x>0, \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\omega(t)}=\infty$;
(H3) let

$$
a_{n}(t) h\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right) \leqslant\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| \quad \text { in } D,
$$

where $a_{n} \in L_{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), a_{n} \geqslant 0, h \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), h(0)=0, h(x)>0$ for $x>0, h$ is nondecreasing, and let one of the following assumptions hold:
(i) $h(x)=x^{\lambda} ; 0<\lambda<1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\infty} a_{i+1}\left(s_{i+1}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{i+1}} a_{i+2}\left(s_{i+2}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{i+2}} \ldots \int_{0}^{s_{n-1}} a_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)\left[\int_{0}^{s_{n}} a_{n+1}\left(s_{n+1}\right) \ldots\right. \\
\left.\int_{0}^{s_{i+n-1}} a_{i+n}\left(s_{i+n}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{i+n} \ldots \mathrm{~d} s_{n+1}\right]^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} s_{n} \ldots \mathrm{~d} s_{i+1}=\infty, i=0,1, \ldots, n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) $h(x)=x, \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$,

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} I^{1}\left(a_{n-1}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{I^{n-1}\left(s, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)}{I^{1}\left(s, a_{n-1}\right)} a_{n}(s) \mathrm{d} s>1 ;
$$

(iii) $\int_{0}^{\infty} a_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
(H4) $\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant d(t) f_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)$ in $D$, where $d \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), d \geqslant 0, f_{1}>0$ is nondecreasing in $(0, \infty), f_{1} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.

In the sequel, a special type of (1) will be studied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{[n]}=a_{n}(t) g\left(y^{[0]}\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), a_{n} \geqslant 0, g \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}), g(0)=0, g(. x)<0$ and $g(-x)=-g(x)$ for $x>0, g^{\prime} \leqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}, g^{\prime \prime} \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}, a_{n}$ is nondecreasing, $a_{1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), a_{1}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

## II. Asymptotic behaviour of the set of oscillatory solutions of (1)

In this section, properties of solutions of (1) that fulfil the Cauchy initial conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
l \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}, \sigma \in\{-1,1\}, \sigma y^{[i]}(0)>0 \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, l-1 \\
\sigma y^{[l]}(0) \leqslant 0, \sigma y^{[j]}(0)<0 \text { for } j=l+1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

will be investigated.
The structure of oscillatory solutions that fulfil (7) is described in [6].
Definition. Let $y: J=[0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; b \leqslant \infty$ be a solution of (1) for which there exist a number $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and sequences $\left\{t_{k}^{i}\right\} .\left\{\vec{t}_{k}^{n-1}\right\}, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, $k \in\left\{k_{i}, k_{i}+1, \ldots\right\}$ such that
(i) $k_{i}=0$ for $i \leqslant j, k_{i}=1$ for $i>j, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}^{0}=b$;
(ii) $0 \leqslant t_{k-1}^{0}<t_{k}^{n-1} \leqslant t_{k}^{n-1}<t_{k}^{n-2}<\ldots<t_{k}^{1}<t_{k}^{0}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i}\right) & =0, i=0,1, \ldots, n-2, y^{[i]} \neq 0 \text { otherwise in } J \\
y^{[n-1]}(t) & =0 \text { for } t \in\left[t_{k}^{n-1}, t_{k}^{n-1}\right], y^{[n-1]} \neq 0 \text { otherwise in } J
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) if we put $t_{-1}^{0}=0, t_{0}^{i}=0$ for $i>j$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{[i]}(t) y^{[0]}(t) & >0 \text { for } t \in\left(t_{k-1}^{0}, t_{k}^{i}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, n-1 \\
& <0 \text { for } t \in\left(t_{k}^{i}, t_{k}^{0}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, n-2 \\
& <0 \text { for } t \in\left(t_{k}^{n-1}, t_{k}^{0}\right), i=n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$k=0,1, \ldots$ The set of all such solutions will be denoted by $O(b)$.

Remark. It is evident that if $y \in O(\infty)$, then $y$ is proper. If $y \in O(b), b<\infty$ and $y$ is non-continuable, then $y$ is singular of the 2 -nd kind.

A relation between solutions of (1) satisfying the Cauchy initial conditions (7) and the set $O(b)$ was described in [6].

Proposition 1. [6] Let $y:[0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-continuable oscillatory solution of (1) that fulfils (7), and let (H1) be valid.
(i) Then $y \in O(b)$ and $y$ is either proper or singular of the 2 -nd kind.
(ii) If (H2) is valid, then $b=\infty$ and $y$ is proper.

The following theorem states sufficient conditions under which proper oscillatory solutions $y \in O(\infty)$ exist.

Proposition 2. [6] Let (H1), (H2) and (H4) hold. Then a solution $y$ of (1) that fulfils the Cauchy initial conditions (7), is oscillatory, proper and $y \in O(\infty)$.

Remark. Note that the assumptions (H3), (i) and (5) cannot be valid simultaneously.

Lemma 1. Let $y: J=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, t_{1}<t_{2}$ be a solution of (1).
(a) If $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, y^{[j]}(t) \geqslant 0(\leqslant 0)$ in $J$, then $y^{[j-1]}$ is nondecreasing (nonincreasing) in $J$.
(b) If $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, y^{[j]}(t)>0(<0)$ in $J$, then $y^{[j-1]}$ is increasing (decreasing) in $J$.
(c) If $y^{[0]}(t) \geqslant 0(\leqslant 0)$ in $J$, then $y^{[n-1]}$ is nonincreasing (nondecreasing) in $J$.

Proof follows directly from (2), or see [6].
Lemma 2. Let $y \in O(b), b \leqslant \infty, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}, a_{i+1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
(a) If $a_{i+1}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{[i]}(t) \operatorname{sgn} y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right) \text { is concave in } \Delta_{k}=\left[t_{k}^{i+1}, t_{k}^{i-1}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \geqslant k_{i+1}$.
(b) If $b<\infty$ and $i<n-2$, then there exists $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (8) holds for $k \geqslant \bar{k}$.

Proof. (a) Let $k \geqslant k_{i+1}$. Put $t_{0}=t_{k}^{i+1}, t_{1}=t_{k}^{i-1}, J=[0, b)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\operatorname{sgn} y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. The opposite case can be dealt with similarly.

It follows from $y \in O(b)$ and Lemma 2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{[i+1]}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, y^{[i+1]}(t)<0 \text { in }\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \\
& y^{[i+2]}(t) \leqslant 0 \text { in } \Delta_{k}, y^{[i+1]} \text { is decreasing in } \Delta_{k} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Further using (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{[i]}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(a_{i+1}(t) y^{[i+1]}(t)\right)^{\prime}=a_{i+1}(t) a_{i+2}(t) y^{[i+2]}(t)+a_{i+1}^{\prime}(t) y^{[i+1]}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then according to $(9),(10)$ we have $\left(y^{[i]}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime} \leqslant 0$ and the statement is valid in this case.
(b) Let $b<\infty, i \leqslant n-3$ be valid. By virtue of $y \in O(b)$ and Lemma 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{[i+3]}(t)<0, y^{[i+2]} \text { is nonincreasing in } \Delta_{k} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{i+1}^{\prime} \equiv 0$ in $J$, then the statement follows from (10) and (9). Let $\bar{k} \geqslant 2$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<b-t_{\bar{k}}^{i+1} \leqslant \frac{\min _{s \in J} a_{i+1}(s) a_{i+2}(s)}{\max _{s \in J} a_{i+2}(s) \max _{r \in J}\left|a_{i+1}^{\prime}(r)\right|} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $k \geqslant \bar{k}$. It follows from (11) that for $t \in \Delta_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leqslant & -y^{[i+1]}(t)=-y^{[i+1]}(t)+y^{[i+1]}\left(t_{0}\right)=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(y^{[i+1]}(t)\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t  \tag{13}\\
& =-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a_{i+2}(t) y^{[i+2]}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant-\max _{s \in J} a_{i+2}(s)\left(b-t_{\bar{k}}^{i+1}\right) y^{[i+2]}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, this together with (10), (9) and (12) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y^{[i]}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime} \leqslant a_{i+1}(t) a_{i+2}(t) y^{[i+2]}(t)-\max _{r \in J}\left|a_{i+1}^{\prime}(r)\right| y^{[i+1]}(t) \\
& \quad \leqslant y^{[i+2]}(t)\left[a_{i+1}(t) a_{i+2}(t)-\min _{s \in J} a_{i+1}(s) a_{i+2}(s)\right] \leqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
The following Kolmogorov-Horny type or Hardy inequality is very useful. The proof is similar to the case without quasiderivatives, see [11].

Lemma 3. Let $\Delta=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}, t_{1}<t_{2}$. Let $b_{i}>0, i=0,1, \ldots, n$ and let $Z$ be continuous such that the quasiderivatives $Z^{[i]}$ defined by

$$
Z^{[0]}=\frac{Z}{b_{0}}, Z^{[i]}=\frac{1}{b_{i}(t)}\left(Z^{[i-1]}\right)^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

are continuous for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $Z^{[n]} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Delta)$. Suppose that $Z^{[i]}$, $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1$ have a zero in $\Delta$ and there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\max _{t \in \Delta} \frac{b_{i+1}(t)}{b_{i}(t)} \leqslant C, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1
$$

Denote

$$
\nu_{i}=\max _{t \in \Delta}\left|Z^{[i]}(t)\right|, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n-1, \quad \nu_{n} \geqslant\left|Z^{[n]}(t)\right|
$$

a.e. in $\Delta$. Then

$$
\nu_{i} \leqslant(2 \sqrt{C})^{i(n-i)} \nu_{0}^{\frac{n-i}{"}} \nu_{n}^{\frac{i}{n}}, i=0,1, \ldots, n .
$$

Proof. Let $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let $\Delta_{1} \subset \Delta, \Delta_{1}=\left[\tau, \tau_{1}\right]$ be the smallest interval such that

$$
\max _{t \in \Delta_{1}}\left|Z^{[i]}(t)\right|=\nu_{i}, \min _{t \in \Delta_{1}}\left|Z^{[i]}(t)\right|=0 .
$$

Then function $Z^{[i]}$ does not change its sign in $\Delta_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{i}^{2}=2 & \int_{\Delta_{1}}\left|Z^{[i]}(t)\left(Z^{[i]}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{d} t=2 \int_{\Delta_{1}}\left|Z^{[i]}(t) Z^{[i+1]}(t) b_{i+1}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leqslant 2 \nu_{i+1} \int_{\Delta_{1}} \frac{b_{i+1}(t)}{b_{i}(t)}\left|\left(Z^{[i-1]}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant 4 C \nu_{i+1} \nu_{i-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and using the mathematical induction we can easily prove that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu_{i} \leqslant(2 \sqrt{C})^{i} \nu_{0}^{\frac{1}{i+1}} \nu_{i+1}^{\frac{i}{i+1}}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
\nu_{i} \leqslant(2 \sqrt{C})^{i(n-i)} \nu_{0}^{\frac{n-i}{n}} \nu_{n}^{i / n}, i=1, \ldots, n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 4. Let $y \in O(b), b \leqslant \infty, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}, k \in\left\{k_{i+1}, k_{i+1}+1, \ldots\right\}$, let $y^{[i]}(t) \operatorname{sgn} y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)$ be concave in $\left[t_{k}^{i+1}, t_{k}^{i-1}\right]$. Let either $\beta \in[1,2], \gamma=\frac{\beta}{2}$ and $y^{[i+1]}(t) \operatorname{sgn} y^{[i+1]}\left(t_{k}^{i+2}\right)$ is concave in $\Delta_{1}=\left[t_{k}^{i+1}, t_{k}^{i}\right]$ or $\beta=\gamma=1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \leqslant \frac{\min _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(t)}{\max _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(t)} \cdot \frac{\min _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(t)}{\max _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(t)}, \Delta_{2}=\left[t_{k}^{i}, t_{k}^{i-1}\right], \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\sqrt{\beta}\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|<\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k+1}^{i+1}\right)\right|$.
Proof. Put $t_{0}=t_{k}^{i+1}, t_{1}=t_{k}^{i}, t_{2}=t_{k}^{i-1}, \bar{\Delta}_{1}=t_{0}-t_{1}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}=t_{2}-t_{0}, \delta_{1}=\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$, $\delta_{2}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and suppose, without loss of generality, that $y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. Then $y \in O(b)$ and Lemma 1 yields

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{[i-1]}(t)>0 \text { in } \Delta_{1} \cup \delta_{2}, y^{[i-1]}\left(t_{2}\right)=0,  \tag{15}\\
y^{[i-1]} \text { is increasing (decreasing) in } \Delta_{1}\left(\text { in } \Delta_{2}\right), \\
y^{[i]}(t)>0(<0) \text { in } \delta_{1}\left(\text { in } \delta_{2}\right), y^{[i]}\left(t_{1}\right)=0, \\
y^{[i+1]}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, y^{[i+1]}(t)<0 \text { in } \delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}, \\
y^{[i]} \text { and } y^{[i+1]} \text { are decreasing in } \Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The statement will be proved indirectly. Thus, suppose that $\sqrt{\beta} y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right) \geqslant\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k+1}^{i+1}\right)\right|$. As $y \in O(b)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\beta} y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)>\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $y^{[i]}$ is concave in $\Delta_{1}, y^{[i]}$ is above the secant going through the points $P_{1}=$ $\left[t_{0}, y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)\right]$ and $P_{2}=\left[t_{1}, 0\right]$. Thus $\int_{\Delta_{1}} y^{[i]}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geqslant P$ where $P=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}}{2} y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is the area of the triangle $P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}, P_{3}=\left[t_{0}, 0\right]$. Similarly, it follows from (15) and from the concavity of $y^{[i]}$ in $\Delta_{2}$ that $\int_{\Delta_{2}}\left|y^{[i]}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \bar{P}$, where $\bar{P}$ is the area of the triangle $\left[t_{1}, 0\right],\left[t_{2}, 0\right],\left[t_{2},\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|\right], \bar{P}=\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{2}}{2}\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|$. From this and from (15) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{[i-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)=-\int_{\Delta_{2}}\left(y^{[i-1]}(t)\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t=-\int_{\Delta_{2}} a_{i}(t) y^{[i]}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{2}}{2}\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \max _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(s), \\
& y^{[i-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)>y^{[i-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)-y^{[i-1]}\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{\Delta_{1}} a_{i}(t) y^{[i]}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geqslant \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}}{2} y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right) \min _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, combining these two results and (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{2}}{\bar{\Delta}_{1}}>\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \frac{\min _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(s)}{\max _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(s)} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, (15) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & =-\int_{\Delta_{2}}\left(y^{[i]}(t)\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t  \tag{18}\\
& =-\int_{\Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(t) y^{[i+1]}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geqslant \bar{\Delta}_{2}\left|y^{i+1]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \min _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(s)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\beta=\gamma=1$. Then, similarly,

$$
y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)=-\int_{\Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(t) y^{[i+1]}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \bar{\Delta}_{1}\left|y^{[i+1]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \max _{i \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}
$$

and according to (18), (17) and (16)

$$
1>\frac{\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|}{y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)} \geqslant \frac{\min _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(s)}{\max _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(s)} \frac{\min _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(s)}{\max _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(s)} .
$$

The contradiction to (14) proves the statement in this case.

Let $\beta \in[1,2], \gamma=\frac{\beta}{2}$ and let $y^{[i+1]}(t) \operatorname{sgn} y^{[i+1]}(t) \operatorname{sgn}\left(t_{k}^{i+2}\right)$ be concave in $\Delta_{1}$. Then, by means of (15) and by $y \in O(b)$ we have $y^{[i+1]}\left(t_{k}^{i+2}\right)>0$ and thus $y^{[i+1]}$ is concave in $\Delta_{1}$. From this and from (15) we conclude that

$$
y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)=-\int_{\Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(t) y^{[i+1]}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}}{2}\left|y^{[i+1]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \max _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(s)
$$

holds which, together with (18), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\beta} & >\frac{\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|}{y^{[i]}\left(t_{0}\right)} \geqslant \frac{2 \bar{\Delta}_{2}}{\bar{\Delta}_{1}} \frac{\min _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(s)}{\max _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(s)} \\
& \geqslant \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}} \frac{\min _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(s)}{\max _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(s)} \frac{\min _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(s)}{\max _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(s)} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

This inequality contradicts (14).
In the sequel, proper oscillatory solutions $y \in O(\infty)$ will be studied. Proposition 2 gives criteria for the existence of such solutions.

Theorem 1. Let $y \in O(\infty), i \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}, a_{i+1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), a_{i+1}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.
(a) If $a_{i}$ is nonincreasing in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, then the sequence $\left\{\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|\right\}, k \in\left\{k_{i+1}, k_{i+1}+\right.$ $1, \ldots\}$ of all local extremes of $y^{[i]}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is increasing.
(b) If $i \leqslant n-3, a_{i+2}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}(t)}{\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}(t)}<2 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $\bar{k}$ such that $\left\{\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|\right\}, k \geqslant \bar{k}$ is increasing.
Proof. It is evident that the assumptions of Lemma 3, with the exception of (14), are fulfilled (use Lemma 1, too).
(a) The statement follows directly from Lemma 4 for $\beta=\gamma=1$.
(b) Put $\beta=1, \gamma=\frac{1}{2}$ in Lemma 4; according to (20) there exists $\bar{k}$ such that

$$
\frac{\min _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(t) \min _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(t)}{\max _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(t) \max _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(t)}=\frac{\min _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(t)}{\max _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(t)} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \geqslant t_{\bar{k}}^{i}
$$

and thus (14) is fulfilled.

Theorem 2. Let $y \in O(\infty) . i \in\{1, \ldots, n-3\}$, $a_{i+1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, $a_{i+2} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. $a_{i+1}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0, a_{i+2}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Let either $a_{i}$ be nonincreasing in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$or let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}=$ $A, 0<A<\infty$ hold. Then $y^{[i]}$ is unbounded.

Proof. The assumptions of Lemmas 2 and 4 are fulfilled.
(a) Let $a_{i}$ be nonincreasing. If $\beta=2, \gamma=1$ is chosen, then (14) is valid and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2}\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|<\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k+1}^{i+1}\right)\right| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|=\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}=A$ exist, $0<A<\infty$ and let $\varepsilon>0,0<\beta=2-\varepsilon, \gamma=1-\varepsilon / 2$. Then there exists $\bar{k}$ such that

$$
\min _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(t) / \max _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(t) \geqslant 1-\varepsilon / 2, k \geqslant \bar{k}
$$

and (14) evidently holds. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2-\varepsilon}\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|<\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k+1}^{i+1}\right)\right| . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (22) is valid.
Remark. (a) Note that, under the assumptions of Theorem 2, the statement of Theorem 1 is valid and thus the sequence $\left\{\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|\right\}$ of the local extremes of $\left|y y^{[i]}\right|$ is increasing for all admissible $k$.
(b) The inequalities (21) and (23) give an estimate from below for the speed of the increase of the sequence of all absolute values of local extremes of $y^{[i]}$.

The following results are consequences of the above Theorems 1 and 2 and Lemma 3 and give sufficient conditions for the existence of mbounded proper oscillatory solutions of (1).

Theorem 3. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}, a_{i+1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) . a_{i+1}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and let either $a_{i}$ be nonincreasing in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$or $i \leqslant n-3, a_{i+2}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ and $(20)$ be valid. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{j+1}(t)}{a_{j}(t)} \leqslant C<\infty, j=1,2, \ldots, \prime \prime-2 \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H1) and (H4) hold with $d(t)=a_{n-1}(t)$. Then erery proper oscillatory solution of (1) fulfilling the Cauchy initial conditions (7) does not tend to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

If, moreover, (H2) and (H3) hold then every solution of (1) fulfilling (7) is proper oscillatory and does not tend to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $y$ be a proper oscillatory solution of (1) for which (7) holds. According to Proposition 1, $y \in O(\infty)$ and the statement of Theorem 1 holds. Thus there exist $k_{0}$ and $C_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right| \geqslant C_{3}>0, k \geqslant k_{0} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the differential equation equivalent to (1)

$$
\frac{1}{a_{n-1}(t)} y^{[n]}(t)=\frac{1}{a_{n-1}(t)} f\left(t, y^{[0]}, \ldots, y^{[n-1]}\right)
$$

As the assumptions of Lemma 3 are fulfilled in $\Delta_{k}=\left[0, t_{k}^{i+1}\right]$ with

$$
\nu_{n}=\max _{t \in \Delta_{k}} f_{1}(|y(t)|)
$$

we get, using (25),

$$
\left.0<C_{3} \leqslant \nu_{i} \leqslant K \nu_{0}^{\frac{n-i}{n}} \nu_{n}^{\frac{i}{n}} \leqslant K \nu_{0}^{\frac{n-i}{n}} \max _{t \in \Delta_{k}} f_{1}(|y(t)|)\right]^{\frac{i}{n}}
$$

The statement follows from the assumption that $f_{1}>0$ is nondecreasing in $\Delta_{k}$, $k \geqslant k_{0}$. The rest of the statements of the theorem follow from Proposition 2.

Theorem 4. Let $n \geqslant 4, i \in\{1, \ldots, n-3\}$, $a_{i+j} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), a_{i+j}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, $j=1,2, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}=A, 0<A<\infty$ hold. Further, let (24), (H1) and (H4) be valid with $d(t)=a_{n-1}(t)$. Then every proper oscillatory solution of (1) fulfilling (7) is unbounded in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. If, moreover, ( H 2 ) and ( H 3 ) hold then every solution of (1) fulfilling (7) is proper, oscillatory and unbounded in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Proof is similar as that of the previous theorem, only Th. 2 must be used instead of Th. 1.

Let us turn our attention to oscillatory singular solutions of the 2-nd kind from the set $O(b), b<\infty$. According to Proposition 1 such solutions may exist if (H1) and (7) hold.

Theorem 5. Let $y \in O(b), b<\infty, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}, a_{i+1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), a_{i+2} \in$ $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
(a) Let either $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-4\}$ or $i=n-3$ and $a_{n-1}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Then $y$ is oscillatory singular of the 2-nd kind and there exists $\bar{k}$ such that the sequence $\left\{\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|\right\}, k \geqslant \bar{k}$ is increasing and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|=\infty$.
(b) Let either $i=n-3$ or $i=n-2$ and let $a_{i}$ be nonincreasing, $a_{i+1}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Then $y$ is oscillatory singular of the 2 -nd kind and there exists $\bar{k}$ such that $\left\{\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|\right\}, k \geqslant \bar{k}$ is increasing.

Proof. It is evident that the assumptions of Lemma 4, with the exception of (14), are fulfilled for $\varepsilon>0,0<\beta=2-\varepsilon, \gamma=\beta / 2$ (for $\beta=\gamma=1$ ) in case (a) (in case (b)) (use Lemma 2, too). The validity of (14):

Case (a): As $b<\infty$, there exists $\bar{k}$ such that for $k \geqslant \bar{k}$

$$
\frac{\min _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i}(t)}{\max _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i}(t)} \frac{\min _{t \in \Delta_{2}} a_{i+1}(t)}{\max _{t \in \Delta_{1}} a_{i+1}(t)} \geqslant \frac{\min _{t \in[\tau, b)} a_{i}(t) a_{i+1}(t)}{\max _{t \in[\tau, b)} a_{i}(t) a_{i+1}(t)} \geqslant 1-\varepsilon / 2=\gamma
$$

holds where $\tau=t_{\bar{k}}^{i+1}$. Thus (14) is valid.
Case (b): (14) directly follows from the assumptions posed on $a_{i}, a_{i+1}$. The statement follows from Lemma 4 ; as $\left|y^{[i]}\left(t_{k}^{i+1}\right)\right|$ is increasing and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}^{i+1}=b<\infty, y$ must be singular of the 2-nd kind.

Theorem 6. Let $y \in O(b), b<\infty$, let (H4) be valid, $a_{i+j} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), j=1,2$ and let either $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-4\}$ or $i=n-3 \geqslant 1$ and $a_{n-1}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Then $y$ is oscillatory singular of the 2 -nd kind and unbounded in $[0, b)$.

Proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.

## II. Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (4) and (6)

In Section I sufficient conditions are given for the sequence of the absolute values of local extremes of $y^{[i]}, i \geqslant 1$ to be increasing. The same result for $y^{[0]}$ is stated in this section but for equation (6) only.

Lemma 5. Let $y \in O(b), b \leqslant \infty$ be a solution of (6). Then $g\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right) \operatorname{sgn} y^{[0]}\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)$ is convex in $\Delta_{k}=\left[t_{k}^{1}, t_{k}^{0}\right]$ for $k \in\left\{k_{1}, k_{1}+1, \ldots\right\}$.

Proof. Put $t_{0}=t_{k}^{1}, t_{1}=t_{k}^{0}, J=[0, b)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\operatorname{sgn} y^{[0]}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$.

It follows from $y \in O(b)$ and Lemma 1 that $y^{[0]} \geqslant 0, y^{[1]}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, y^{[1]}(t)<0$ in $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right], y^{[2]}<0$ in $\Delta_{k}$. Then (2) and the assumptions concerning function $g$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}= & g^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right)\left[a_{1}(t) y^{[1]}(t)\right]^{2} \\
& +g^{\prime}\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right)\left[a_{1}^{\prime}(t) y^{[1]}(t)+a_{2}(t) a_{1}(t) y^{[2]}(t)\right] \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 7. Let $y \in O(b), b \leqslant \infty$ be a solution of (6). Let either $a_{2}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$or $b<\infty, n \geqslant 4$ be satisfied. Then there exists $\bar{k}$ such that

$$
\left|y^{[0]}\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)\right|<\left|y^{[0]}\left(t_{k+1}^{1}\right)\right|, k \geqslant \bar{k}
$$

Thus, $y$ is proper (singular of the 2-nd kind) if $b=\infty$ (if $b<\infty$ ). Moreover, in the case $a_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ we can put $\bar{k}=k_{1}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 7 the function $g\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right) \operatorname{sgn} y^{[0]}\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)$ is convex in [ $\left.t_{k}^{1}, t_{k}^{0}\right]$ for $k \geqslant k_{1}$. Similarly, it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists $\bar{k}$ such that $y^{[1]}(t) \operatorname{sgn} y^{[1]}\left(t_{k}^{2}\right)$ is concave in $\left[t_{k}^{1}, t_{k}^{0}\right]$ for $k \geqslant \bar{k}$; at the same time, we can put $\bar{k}=k_{1}$ if $a_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$.

Let $k \geqslant \bar{k}$. Put $\Delta_{1}=\left[t_{k}^{1}, t_{k}^{0}\right], t_{0}=t_{k}^{1}, t_{1}=t_{k}^{0}, t_{2}=t_{k+1}^{n-1}, \delta_{1}=\left(t_{k}^{1}, t_{k}^{0}\right), \delta_{2}=$ $\left(t_{k}^{0}, t_{k+1}^{n-1}\right), \Delta_{2}=\left[t_{k}^{0}, t_{k+1}^{n-1}\right], \bar{\Delta}_{1}=t_{k}^{0}-t_{k}^{1}, \bar{\Delta}_{2}=t_{k+1}^{n-1}-t_{k}^{0}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $y^{[0]}\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)>0$. Then, according to $y \in O(b)$ and Lemma 1 , we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{[0]}(t)>0(<0) \text { in } \delta_{1}\left(\text { in } \delta_{2}\right), y^{[0]}\left(t_{1}\right)=0  \tag{26}\\
y^{[1]}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, y^{[1]}(t)<0 \text { in } \delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}, \\
y^{[0]}, y^{[1]} \text { are decreasing in } \Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}, \\
y^{[n-1]}(t)<0 \text { in } \Delta_{1} \cup \delta_{2}, y^{[n-1]}\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \\
y^{[n-1]} \text { is nonincreasing (nondecreasing) in } \Delta_{1}\left(\text { in } \Delta_{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The statement will be proved indirectly. Thus, let us suppose that $\left|y^{[0]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \geqslant$ $\left|y^{[0]}\left(t_{k+1}^{1}\right)\right|$. Then (26) and $y \in O(b)$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{[0]}\left(t_{1}\right)>\left|y^{[0]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (26) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{[n-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|=y^{[n-1]}\left(t_{2}\right)- & y^{[n-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{\Delta_{2}} y^{[n]}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{\Delta_{2}} a_{n}(t) g\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right) \\
& \leqslant \bar{\Delta}_{2} g\left(y^{[0]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right) a_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds. As $g\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right)$ is convex in $\Delta_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{[n-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|>-y^{[n-1]}\left(t_{1}\right)+ & y^{[n-1]}\left(t_{0}\right)=-\int_{\Delta_{1}} y^{[n]}(t) \mathrm{d} t=-\int_{\Delta_{1}} a(t) g\left(y^{[0]}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqslant \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{1}}{2}\left|g\left(y^{[0]}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right| a_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, according to (27) and $g(x)=-g(-x)$ we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{\Delta}_{2}}{\bar{\Delta}_{1}}>\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left|g\left(y^{[0]}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right|}{g\left(y^{[0]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left|g\left(\left|y^{[0]}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\right)\right|}{\left|g\left(\left|y^{[0]}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|\right)\right|} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way as in Lemma 4 the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1>2 \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{2}}{\bar{\Delta}_{1}} \frac{\min _{s \in \Delta_{2}} a_{1}(s)}{\max _{s \in \Delta_{1}} a_{1}(s)}=2 \frac{\bar{\Delta}_{2}}{\bar{\Delta}_{1}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be proved, see the first two inequalities (19), $\beta=1$. The contradiction of (28) to (29) proves the statement.

Theorem 8. Let $a_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$. Let $\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\left(\frac{a_{3}}{a_{1}} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)$if $n=3(n=4)$ holds. Let (H3) hold where $f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \equiv a_{n}(t) g\left(x_{1}\right)$. Then every solution $y$ of (6) satisfying the Cauchy initial conditions (7) is oscillatory proper. Moreover, the sequence of the absolute values of all local extremes of $y^{[0]}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is increasing.

Proof. The statement is a consequence of Proposition 2 and Theorem 7 because hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are valid.

Remark. Sufficient conditions, under which the sequence of the absolute values of local extremes tends to $\infty$, can be obtained from Theorem 4.

In the rest of this section some consequences of Theorems 1,2 and 4 for equation (4) are given.

Corollary 1. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (4) that fulfils (7). Then
(a) the sequence of the absolute values of all local extremes of $y^{(i)}$ is increasing for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$;
(b) $y^{(j)}, j=1,2, \ldots, n-3$ are unbounded;
(c) $y$ is unbounded if (H4) holds with $d \equiv 1$.

Corollary 2. Let $y$ be a solution of (4) that fulfils (7). Let there exist functions $\omega \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), a \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $h \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $\omega>0$ in $(0, \infty), \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\omega(t)}=\infty$. $a \geqslant 0, h>0$ in ( $0, \infty$ ), $h$ is non-decreasing and

$$
a(t) h\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right) \leqslant\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant \omega\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)
$$

Further, let one of the following assumptions hold:
(i) $h(x)=x^{\lambda}, 0<\lambda<1, \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{(n-1) \lambda} a(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$;
(ii) $h(x)=x, \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_{t}^{\infty} t^{n-2} a(t) \mathrm{d} t>1$;
(iii) $\int_{0}^{\infty} a(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$.

Then $y$ is proper, oscillatory and unbounded.
Remark. The results of Corollary 1, (a), (b) and of Theorem 7 are new, even for the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}=a(t) y, a \leqslant 0 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If either $n$ is odd or the integer part of $n / 2$ is odd, then Corollary 2 generalizes results concerning the existence of proper, oscillatory and umbounded solutions of (4) obtained in [5] and [13] (for the linear case (30)).
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