

Ivan Chajda; Ivo Rosenberg
Ideals and congruence kernels of algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 4, 733–744

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127330>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

IDEALS AND CONGRUENCE KERNELS OF ALGEBRAS

I. CHAJDA, Olomouc, and I. G. ROSENBERG, Montreal¹

(Received December 29, 1994)

§ 1. INTRODUCTION

The concepts of a normal subgroup, a ring ideal and a lattice ideal were extended by A. Ursini in 1972 to the notion of an ideal in universal algebras with 0 [12]. In their 1984 paper [5] H.-P. Gumm and A. Ursini studied and characterized universal algebras \mathcal{A} such that every ideal I of \mathcal{A} is the kernel (i.e. $I = [0]\theta$) for a unique congruence θ of \mathcal{A} . Such an algebra is called ideal determined. As it is well-known ideal determined algebras include groups and rings but not all lattices. In this paper we study algebras \mathcal{A} with a weaker property: every ideal of \mathcal{A} is the kernel of some congruence of \mathcal{A} . In Theorem 10 we list 8 equivalent conditions for this property. Here three conditions refer to the kernels of congruences generated by certain sets of the form $\{0\} \times S$, one condition to a certain congruence permutability around 0 and three conditions relate ideals and unary polynomials or translations of fundamental operations.

In Corollary 12 we characterize all varieties \mathcal{V} (with a nullary term 0) such that for every $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ each ideal is a congruence kernel. This condition requires that to each at least ternary term $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathcal{V} in which x_1 appears exactly once there exists a term $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathcal{V} satisfying the identities

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} p(0, 0, 0, x_4, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \\ q(x, x, y, x_4, \dots, x_n) &= p(q(y, x, y, x_4, \dots, x_n), x, y, x_4, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in Proposition 13 we give a Malt'sev type condition for varieties with a nullary term 0 such that each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ is permutable at 0 (i.e. $[0](\theta \vee \varphi) = \{a \in A; \langle 0, x \rangle \in \theta \text{ and } \langle x, a \rangle \in \varphi \text{ for some } x \in A\}$).

¹ The financial support provided by NATO Collaborative Research Grant LG 930 302 is gratefully acknowledged.

Definitions 1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; F)$ be an algebra and let 0 be a fixed element of A . Let f be an n -ary term operation of \mathcal{A} and let $N \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Following [5] call f an N -ideal term operation (or briefly an ideal term operation) of \mathcal{A} if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ holds whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ satisfy $a_i = 0$ for all $i \in N$.

For example, let $\mathcal{A} = (A; +, -, \cdot, 0)$ be a ring. Then both $x_1 + x_2$ and $x_1 - x_2$ are $\{1, 2\}$ -ideal term operations of \mathcal{A} . Similarly $x_1 \cdot x_2$ is an N -ideal term operation of \mathcal{A} for both $N = \{1\}$ and $N = \{2\}$. Next for a lattice $\mathcal{L} = (L; \vee, \wedge, 0)$ with the least element 0 clearly $x_1 \vee x_2$ is an $\{1, 2\}$ -ideal term operation of \mathcal{L} and $x_1 \wedge x_2$ is an N -ideal term operation of \mathcal{L} for both $N = \{1\}$ and $N = \{2\}$.

Denote by $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ the set of all ideal term operations of \mathcal{A} . The following fact was noted in [5]:

Proposition 2. The set $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subclone of the clone of term operations of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly the i -th n -ary projection is an $\{i\}$ -ideal term operation. Let $f, g \in J_{\mathcal{A}}$ be m -ary and n -ary. Then f is an M -ideal and g is an N -ideal term operation of A for some $M \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $N \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. It is easy to see that the operation f' obtained from f by exchanging its variables also belongs to $J_{\mathcal{A}}$. Similarly $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ is closed under any fusion of variables. Finally set $p := m + n - 1$ and define $h := f * g$ as the p -ary operation on A satisfying $h(a_1, \dots, a_p) = f(g(a_1, \dots, a_n), a_{n+1}, \dots, a_p)$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_p \in A$. Let $M = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and $N = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ where $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_l \leq n$. We have two cases:

- 1) If $i_1 = 1$ then h is a $\{j_1, \dots, j_l, i_2 + n - 1, \dots, i_k + n - 1\}$ -ideal term operation of \mathcal{A} .
- 2) If $i_1 > 1$ the h is an $\{i_1 + n - 1, \dots, i_k + n - 1\}$ -ideal term operation.

From Mal'cev's formalism it follows that $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a clone. □

Example 3. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; +, -, \cdot, 0, \{a : a \in A\})$ be an associative and commutative ring (with all possible nullary operations). Let $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ be a family of not necessarily distinct subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ and let r_{ij} ($i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in F_i$) be positive integers. Further let $N \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$. The polynomial

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \approx \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \prod_{j \in F_i} x_j^{r_{ij}}$$

is an N -ideal term operation of \mathcal{A} if and only if N meets each F_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Definition 4. A nonempty subset I of A is an ideal of \mathcal{A} if for every n -ary N -ideal term operation f of \mathcal{A}

$$(2) \quad a_i \in I \quad \text{for all } i \in N \Rightarrow f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I$$

holds for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$.

Notice that for rings and lattices this definition agrees with the standard one. Consider a group $\mathcal{A} = (A; \cdot, ^{-1}, 0)$. The operations $f(x_1, x_2) \approx x_1 x_2^{-1}$, $g(x_1, x_2) \approx x_1^{-1} x_2$, $h(x_1, x_2) \approx x_2^{-1} x_1 x_2$ are N -ideal term operations for N equal $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{1\}$ respectively. It follows that every ideal of \mathcal{A} is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{A} . Conversely, it is not difficult to verify that every normal subgroup of \mathcal{A} is an ideal of \mathcal{A} .

Denote by $J(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all ideals of \mathcal{A} . The poset $J(\mathcal{A}) = (J(\mathcal{A}), \subseteq)$ is a complete lattice in which

$$\bigwedge \{J_i; i \in I\} = \bigcap \{J_i; i \in I\}$$

for every subset $\{J_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $J(\mathcal{A})$. Thus for every $S \subseteq A$ the ideal generated by S is the least ideal $I(S)$ of \mathcal{A} containing S . We have the following description of $I(S)$ [5]:

Lemma 5. *Let $S \subseteq A$. Then $I(S)$ is the set of all $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ where f is an N -ideal term operation of \mathcal{A} and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ satisfy $a_i \in S$ for all $i \in N$.*

Proof. Denote by K the set defined in Lemma 5. Clearly $K \subseteq I(S)$. Moreover, $S \subseteq K$ because id_A is a $\{1\}$ -ideal term operation. Thus it suffices to show that $K \in J(\mathcal{A})$. Let g be an m -ary M -ideal term operation and let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ satisfy $a_k \in K$ for all $k \in M$.

1) First consider the case $M = \emptyset$. Then g is constant with value 0 and $0 = g(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in K$.

2) Thus let $M \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $M = \{1, \dots, p\}$ for some $p \leq m$. By the definition of K , for each $1 \leq i \leq p$ we have $a_i = f_i(b_{i1}, \dots, b_{il_i})$ for some L_i -ideal term operation f_i and $b_{i1}, \dots, b_{il_i} \in A$ such that $b_{ij} \in S$ for all $j \in L_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$). Set $l: l_1 + \dots + l_p$ and

$$L := \bigcup_{j=1}^p (L_j + l_1 + \dots + l_{j-1}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, p),$$

where for every set X of positive integers and a nonnegative integer a , the symbol $X + a$ stands for $\{x + a: x \in X\}$. Further define an $(1 + m - p)$ -ary operation h on A by setting

$$\begin{aligned} h(c_{11}, \dots, c_{1l_1}, \dots, c_{p1}, \dots, c_{pl_p}, c_{l+1}, \dots, c_{l+m-p}) := \\ := g(f_1(c_{11}, \dots, c_{1l_1}), \dots, f_p(c_{p1}, \dots, c_{pl_p}), c_{l+1}, \dots, c_{l+m-p}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $c_{11}, \dots, c_{pl_p}, c_{l+1}, \dots, c_{l+m-p} \in A$. It is easy to check that h is an L -ideal term operation of A . Finally

$$g(a_1, \dots, a_m) = h(b_{11}, \dots, b_{pl_p}, a_{l+1}, \dots, a_m) \in K.$$

□

Notice that for $J_i \in J(\mathcal{A})$ ($i \in I$) clearly

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} J_i = I \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} J_i \right)$$

and that $\{0\}$ and A are the least and greatest elements of $J(\mathcal{A})$. We abbreviate $I(\{s_1, \dots, s_n\})$ by $I(s_1, \dots, s_n)$.

Definition 6. For $S \subseteq A$ and $\varrho \subseteq A^2$ the set $[S]\varrho := \{a \in A : (s, a) \in \varrho \text{ for some } s \in S\}$ is the *hull* of S in ϱ . In particular, the set $[0]\varrho := [\{0\}]\varrho$ is the *kernel* of ϱ . A subset B of A is a *congruence kernel* if $B = [0]\theta$ for some congruence θ of \mathcal{A} . The following lemma extends a result from [5].

Lemma 7. *If ϱ is a reflexive subuniverse of \mathcal{A}^2 then the kernel of ϱ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let f be an n -ary N -ideal term of \mathcal{A} and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ satisfy $a_i \in I := [0]\varrho$ for all $i \in N$. Set $b_i := 0$ for all $i \in N$ and $\beta_i := a_i$ otherwise. Then $\beta := f(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$ and $(b_i, a_i) \in \varrho$ due to $(0, a_i) \in \varrho$ for $i \in N$ and $(a_i, a_i) \in \varrho$ otherwise. Thus for $\alpha := f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ we have $(0, \alpha) = (\beta, \alpha) = (f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(b_1, \dots, b_n)) \in \varrho$ proving $\alpha \in [0]\varrho$. \square

For the proof of the next theorem we need the following minute sharpening of a well-known result.

Definition 8. Let f be an n -ary operation on A , let $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. The selfmap r of A defined by

$$r(x) \approx f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

is an *i -translation* (or shortly a *translation*) of f . For $\mathcal{A} = (A; F)$ denote by $P(\mathcal{A})$ and $T(\mathcal{A})$ the sets of all unary polynomials of \mathcal{A} and of all translations of operations from F , respectively. Further, let $M(\mathcal{A})$ denote the monoid of selfmaps of A generated by $T(\mathcal{A})$ and set

$$\mathcal{A}_P := (A; P(\mathcal{A})), \quad \mathcal{A}_M := (A; M(\mathcal{A})), \quad \mathcal{A}_T := (A, T(\mathcal{A})).$$

Clearly \mathcal{A}_P , \mathcal{A}_M and \mathcal{A}_T are unary algebras on A and $P(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq M(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq T(\mathcal{A})$. The following simple example shows that $M(\mathcal{A})$ may be a proper submonoid of $P(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_5 = (N_5; \vee, \wedge)$ denote the 5-element nonmodular lattice with $N_5 = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$ and $0 < a < b < 1 > c > 0$. Set $p(x) \approx (x \vee b) \wedge (x \vee c)$. A direct check shows that

$$p(0) = 0, \quad p(a) = p(b) = b, \quad p(c) = c, \quad p(1) = 1.$$

Clearly $p \in P(\mathcal{A}_5)$. We show that $p \notin M(\mathcal{A}_5)$. The translations of \mathcal{A}_5 are the selfmaps $x \mapsto x \vee k$ and $x \mapsto x \wedge k$ with $k \in N_5$. Every map from $M(\mathcal{A}_5)$ can be expressed

$$(3) \quad (\dots((x \vee k_1) \wedge h_1) \vee \dots \vee k_n) \wedge h_n$$

for suitable $n > 0$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n, h_1, \dots, h_n \in N_5$. Suppose $p \in M(\mathcal{A}_5)$. Choose a representation (3) of p with the least possible n . From $p(1) = 1$ we obtain

$$(4) \quad (\dots(h_1 \vee k_2) \wedge \dots) \vee k_n = 1 = h_n$$

while $p(0) = 0$ yields $(\dots(k_1 \wedge h_1) \vee \dots \vee k_n) \wedge 1 = 0$ i.e.

$$(\dots(k_1 \wedge h_1) \vee \dots) \wedge h_{n-1} = 0 = k_n.$$

By the minimality of n we obtain $n = 1$ and $p(x) \approx (x \vee 0) \wedge 1 \approx x$. However, this contradicts $p(a) = b$. Thus $p \notin M(N_5)$.

We have:

Lemma 9. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; F)$ be an algebra. Then*

- (i) $\text{Con } \mathcal{A} = \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_P = \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_M = \text{Cor } \mathcal{A}_T$.
- (ii) *The following are equivalent for $S \subseteq A$:*
 - (a) *S is a block of a congruence of \mathcal{A} .*
 - (b)

$$S \cap g(S) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow g(S) \subseteq S$$

holds for all $g \in P(\mathcal{A})$,

- (c) (5) *holds for all $g \in M(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. (i) From $P(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq M(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq T(\mathcal{A})$ and the fact that $P(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of unary polynomials of \mathcal{A} we obtain $\text{Con } \mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_P \subseteq \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_M \subseteq \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_T$. To prove $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$ let $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_T$, let $f \in F$ be n -ary and let

$$(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \in \theta.$$

For $i = 0, \dots, n$ set

$$c_i = f(b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

and notice that $c_0 = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ while $c_n = f(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ denote by t_i the translation

$$t_i(x) \approx f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

As $t_i \in T$ and $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_T$, we have

$$(c_{i-1}, c_i) = (t_i(a_i), t_i(b_i)) \in \theta.$$

By transitivity,

$$(f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(b_1, \dots, b_n)) = (c_0, c_n) \in \theta.$$

(Notice that in this standard proof the symmetry of θ has not been used and so (i) holds if we replace Con by Quao where $\text{Quao } \mathcal{A}$ denotes the set of all compatible quasiorders (= reflexive and transitive relations). The equality $\text{Quao } \mathcal{A} = \text{Quao } \mathcal{A}_P$ was observed in [7], p. 10).

(ii) Let $S \subseteq A$. (a) \Rightarrow (b): If S is a block of some $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$, then clearly every polynomial g of \mathcal{A} satisfies (5). (b) \Rightarrow (c): Trivial. (c) \Rightarrow (a): Let (5) hold for every $g \in M(\mathcal{A})$. Denote by θ the reflexive and transitive hull of the binary relation $\bigcup \{g(S^2) : g \in M(\mathcal{A})\}$. It is easy to verify that $\theta \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}_M$. As $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}_M = \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$, by (i), it remains to show that S is a block of θ . As $\text{id}_A \in M(\mathcal{A})$ clearly $S^2 = \text{id}_A(S^2) \subseteq \theta$. Suppose to the contrary that S is not a block of θ . By the definition of θ there exist $s, s' \in S$ and $g \in M(\mathcal{A})$ such that $g(s) \in S$ while $g(s') \notin S$ in contradiction to (5). \square

In this paper we study algebras \mathcal{A} with 0 such that every ideal of \mathcal{A} is a congruence kernel. The next theorem characterizes such algebras. As usual, for a binary relation ϱ on A we denote by $Cg(\varrho)$ the least congruence of \mathcal{A} containing ϱ . For $\varrho = \{\langle a, b \rangle\}$ we abbreviate $Cg(\{\langle a, b \rangle\})$ by $Cg(a, b)$.

Theorem 10. *The following are equivalent for an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; F)$ with 0:*

- (i) *Every ideal of \mathcal{A} is a congruence kernel.*
- (ii) *$I(S) = [0]Cg(\{0\} \times S)$ for every subset S of A .*
- (iii) *$I(S) = [0]Cg(\{0\} \times S)$ for every finite subset S of A .*
- (iv) *$I(S) = [I(S \setminus \{s\})]Cg(0, s)$ for every finite nonempty subset S of A and each $s \in S$.*
- (v) *For every finite subset $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ of A and $\theta_i = Cg(0, s_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$)*

$$I(S) = [0](\theta_1 \circ \dots \circ \theta_n).$$

- (vi) *For every ideal I of \mathcal{A} , all $a, b \in I$ and every $p \in P(\mathcal{A})$*

$$p(a) \in I \Rightarrow p(b) \in I.$$

- (vii) *For every ideal I of \mathcal{A} , all $a, b \in I$ and every $m \in M(\mathcal{A})$*

$$m(a) \in I \Rightarrow m(b) \in I.$$

(viii) $p(a) \in I(a, b, p(b))$ for all $a, b \in A$ and every $p \in P(\mathcal{A})$.

(ix) $m(a) \in I(a, b, m(b))$ for all $a, b \in A$ and every $m \in M(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let (i) hold and let $S \subseteq A$. The $I(S) = [0]\tau$ for some $\tau \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$. Set $\theta := \text{Cg}(\{0\} \times S)$. From $S \subseteq I(S) = [0]\tau$ we obtain $\{0\} \times S \subseteq \tau$ and so $[0]\theta \subseteq [0]\tau$. Clearly $S \subseteq [0]\theta$. By Lemma 7 the set $[0]\theta$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} and therefore $I(S) \subseteq [0]\theta$. Together $I(S) \subseteq [0]\theta \subseteq [0]\tau = I(S)$; hence $I(S) = [0]\theta$ proving (ii). Next (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let (iii) hold and let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be a finite subset of A . Set $S' := \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$; $K := I(S')$ and $\theta := \text{Cg}(0, s_n)$.

1) Let $n = 1$. Then $I(\emptyset) = \{0\}$. Applying (iii) to $S = \{s_1\}$ we obtain the required $I(S) = [0]\theta = [I(\emptyset)]\theta = [I(S')]\theta$.

2) Thus let $n > 1$. To prove $I(S) \subseteq [I(S')]\theta$ let $w \in I(S)$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 5 we have $w = f(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ for an m -ary M -ideal term operation f of \mathcal{A} and $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ such that $a_i \in S$ for all $i \in M$. If $M = \emptyset$ then f is constant with value 0 and $w = 0 \in [I(S')]\theta$. Thus let $M \neq \emptyset$. For notational simplicity let $M = \{1, \dots, p\}$ for some $1 \leq p \leq m$. Without loss of generality we may assume that each s_i appears at most once among a_1, \dots, a_p . (Indeed, if some s_i appears more than once, it suffices to fuse the corresponding variables). We distinguish two cases. (1) Let $s_n \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$. Then $w \in I(S') \subseteq [I(S')]\theta$ and we are done. (2) Thus let $s_n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$, e.g. let $s_n = a_1$. Set $v := f(0, a_2, \dots, a_m)$. Again from Lemma 5 and $I(S') = I(S' \cup \{0\})$ we obtain that $v \in I(S')$. Moreover, $(v, w) \in \theta$ because f is a term operation of \mathcal{A} . Together we have the required $w \in [I(S')]\theta$ and \subseteq . To prove $I(S) \supseteq [I(S')]\theta$ let $w \in [I(S')]\theta$. Then $(v, w) \in \theta$ for some $v \in I(S')$. By (iii) clearly $I(S') = [0]\text{Cg}(\{0\} \times S')$. Thus $(0, w) \in \text{Cg}(\{0\} \times S') \vee \theta = \text{Cg}(\{0\} \times S') \vee \text{Cg}(0, s_n) = \text{Cg}(0 \times S)$. Thus $w \in [0]\text{Cg}(\{0\} \times S)$ and so by (iii) we have $w \in I(S)$. Thus (iv) holds.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) Let (iv) hold and let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq A$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ set $\theta_i := \text{Cg}(0, s_i)$ and $S_i := \{s_1, \dots, s_i\}$. From (iv) we get $I(S_1) = [I(\emptyset)]\theta_1 = [0]\theta_1$. By an easy induction we obtain

$$I(S) = I(S_n) = (\dots (([0]\theta_1)\theta_2) \dots) = [0](\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 \circ \dots \circ \theta_n).$$

(v) \Rightarrow (iii): Let (v) hold and let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq A$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ set $\theta_i := \text{Cg}(0, s_i)$. Further set $\sigma := \text{Cg}(\{0\} \times S)$ and $K := [0]\sigma$. Notice that $\sigma = \theta_1 \vee \dots \vee \theta_n$ (in the lattice of equivalences on A). By Lemma 7 the set K is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Clearly $S \subseteq K$ and whence $I(S) \subseteq K$. To prove $K \subseteq I(S)$ let $v \in K$, i.e. $(0, v) \in \sigma = \theta_1 \vee \dots \vee \theta_n$. There exist $m \geq 1$, $0 = b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m = v$ in A and $j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $(b_i, b_{i+1}) \in \theta_{j_i}$, for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$. We need the following:

Claim. $[0](\theta_1 \circ \dots \circ \theta_n) = [0](\theta_{\pi(1)} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{\pi(n)})$ for every permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof of the claim. Apply (v) to $S = \{s_{\pi(1)}, \dots, s_{\pi(n)}\}$ to obtain $I(S) = [0](\theta_{\pi(1)} \circ \dots \circ \theta_{\pi(n)})$.

Using repeatedly the claim we obtain $(0, v) \in \theta_1 \circ \dots \circ \theta_n$, hence $v \in [0](\theta_1 \circ \dots \circ \theta_n) = I(S)$ by (v). Thus $K \subseteq I(S)$ and (iii) holds.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let (iii) hold and let $S \subseteq A$. Set $\sigma := Cg(\{0\} \times S)$. Again by Lemma 7 and $S \subseteq [0]\sigma$ we have $I(S) \subseteq [0]\sigma$. For the converse let $v \in [0]\sigma$. Then $(0, v) \in \sigma$. The congruence σ is compactly generated and so $(0, v) \in \sigma' := Cg(\{0\} \times S')$ for some finite subset S' of S . From (iii) we obtain $v \in [0]\sigma' = I(S) \subseteq I(S)$. Thus $[0]\sigma \subseteq I(S)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Trivial. (i) \Leftrightarrow (vi) \Leftrightarrow (vii): Lemma 9 (ii) (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c).

(vi) \Rightarrow (viii): Let (vi) hold and let $a, b \in A$ and $p \in P(\mathcal{A})$. Set $I := I(a, b, p(b))$. As $p(b) \in I$, the condition (vi) yields $p(a) \in I$. (viii) \Rightarrow (ix): Trivial.

(xi) \Rightarrow (i): Let (ix) hold. Suppose to the contrary that (i) does not hold. Then there exists an ideal S of \mathcal{A} which is the kernel of no congruence of \mathcal{A} . By Lemma 9 (ii) (c) \Rightarrow (a) there exist $m \in M(\mathcal{A})$ and $a, b \in S$ such that $m(a) \notin S$ while $m(b) \in S$. Observe that by (ix) we have $m(a) \in I(a, b, m(b)) \subseteq I(S) = S$ in contradiction to $m(a) \notin S$. \square

Corollary 11. *Let \mathcal{A} be such that to every two-element subset T of A there exists a binary term operation p_T of \mathcal{A} satisfying $p_T(0, 0) = 0$ and $Cg(\{0\} \times T) \subseteq Cg(0, t)$ for some $t = p_T(a, b)$ with $a, b \in T$. Then every ideal of \mathcal{A} is a congruence kernel if and only if $I(x)$ is a congruence kernel for every $x \in A$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Obvious. (\Leftarrow) Let $I(x)$ be a congruence kernel for all $x \in A$. We need the following:

Claim. For every finite subset S of A we have $Cg(\{0\} \times S) = Cg(0, s)$ for some $s \in I(S)$.

Proof of the claim. By induction on $n := |S|$. The claim is evident for $n \leq 1$. Thus assume that the claim holds for some $n \geq 1$ and let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}\}$. Set $S' := \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis $Cg(\{0\} \times S') = Cg(0, s')$ for some $s' \in I(S')$. Set $T := \{s', s_{n+1}\}$ and $\theta := Cg(\{0\} \times T)$. By the hypothesis $\theta \subseteq Cg(0, t)$ for some $t := p_T(a, b)$ with $a, b \in T$. Clearly $(0, t) = (p_T(0, 0), p_T(a, b)) \in \theta$; whence $Cg(0, t) \subseteq \theta$ and $\theta = Cg(0, t)$. As $p_T(0, 0) = 0$, clearly p_T is an $\{1, 2\}$ -ideal term operation and so $t \in I(T) \subseteq I(S)$. This concludes the induction step.

For the remaining part, we verify (iii) from Theorem 10. Let S be a finite subset of A . By the claim and the hypothesis $I(S) \subseteq [0]Cg(\{0\} \times S) = [0]Cg(0, s) = I(s) \subseteq I(S)$. \square

For varieties we obtain:

Corollary 12. *The following conditions are equivalent for a variety \mathcal{V} of algebras of the same type with a nullary term 0:*

- (i) *Every ideal of each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ is a congruence kernel.*
- (ii) *To every $n \geq 3$ and each term $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathcal{V} in which x_1 occurs exactly once, there exists an n -ary term p of \mathcal{V} satisfying the following identities:*

$$(6) \quad p(0, 0, 0, x_4, \dots, x_n) = 0,$$

$$(7) \quad q(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) = p(q(x_2, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let (i) hold, let $n > 1$ and let $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an n -ary term of \mathcal{V} in which x_1 occurs exactly once (e.g. $(x_2 \wedge x_3) \vee (x_4 \wedge (x_3 \vee (x_1 \wedge x_2)))$) is such a term in the variety of lattices). Denote by \mathcal{Z} the free algebra of \mathcal{V} on $n - 1$ generators x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} . For every $z \in Z$ set

$$(8) \quad m(z) := q(z, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

It is easy to see that $m \in M(\mathcal{Z})$ (in the above example $m = t_1 \circ t_2 \circ t_3 \circ t_4$ where $t_1(z) \approx (x_1 \wedge x_2) \vee z$, $t_2(z) \approx x_3 \wedge z$, $t_3(z) \approx x_2 \vee z$, $t_4(z) \approx z \wedge x_1$). By assumption $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfies (i) and therefore by Theorem 10 (i) \Rightarrow (iii) the algebra \mathcal{Z} also satisfies (ix). For $a = x_1$ and $b = x_2$ we obtain $m(x_1) \in I(x_1, x_2, m(x_2))$ where by (8)

$$m(x_1) = q(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \quad m(x_2) = q(x_2, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

Set $S := \{x_1, x_2, q(x_2, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\}$. From $m(x_1) \in I(S)$ and Lemma 5 we obtain

$$q(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = m(x_1) = g(a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

where g is an N -ideal term operation of \mathcal{Z} and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in Z$ satisfy $a_i \in S$ for all $i \in N$.

Notice that each $a_i \in Z \setminus S$ is of the form $h_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ for some term h_i of \mathcal{V} . It follows that

$$g(a_1, \dots, a_k) = p(q(x_2, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

for some $\{1, 2, 3\}$ -ideal term operation p of \mathcal{V} . Thus (ii) holds. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let (ii) hold, let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$, let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and let $m \in M(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exists $k \geq 1$, a k -ary term $r(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ of \mathcal{V} and $a_3, \dots, a_{k+1} \in A$ such that (1) x_1 appears at most once in r and (2) $m(x) = r^{\mathcal{A}}(x, a_3, \dots, a_{k+1})$ for all $x \in A$ (where, as usual $r^{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes

the k -ary term operation of A which to arbitrary $b_1, \dots, b_k \in A$ assigns the value calculated in \mathcal{A} according to r). Set $n := k + 2$ and define the n -ary term q of \mathcal{V} by

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

(i.e. q differs from r only in two dummy variables). By (ii) to q there exists an n -ary term p of \mathcal{V} satisfying (6) and (7). Now

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad m(a_1) &= q^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= p^{\mathcal{A}}(q^{\mathcal{A}}(a_2, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1}), a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= p^{\mathcal{A}}(r^{\mathcal{A}}(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}), a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

According to (6) the operation $p^{\mathcal{A}}$ is an $\{1, 2, 3\}$ -ideal term of \mathcal{A} . Now (*) and Lemma 5 show that $m(a_1) \in I(a_1, a_2, m(a_2))$. Thus (ix) of Theorem 10 is satisfied and so (i) holds. \square

Example 13. 1) Consider the variety of all groups (with the neutral element 0). For $n \geq 3$ each term $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in which x_1 occurs exactly once is of the form $a x_1^j b$ where a and b are terms in x_2, \dots, x_n and $j \in \{-1, 1\}$. Put

$$p(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := x_0 b^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_2^{-1} x_1^j b(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

Clearly p satisfies (6). We check (7). Abbreviate (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) by u and set $\alpha := a(u)$ and $\beta := b(u)$. Then $q(x_1, u) = \alpha x_1^j \beta$, $q(x_2, u) = \alpha x_2^j \beta$ and

$$p(q(x_2, u), u) = q(x_2, u) \beta^{-1} x_2^{-j} x_1^j \beta = \alpha x_2^j \beta \beta^{-1} x_2^{-j} x_1^j \beta = \alpha x_1^j \beta = q(x_1, u)$$

proving (7). From Corollary 12 we obtain that every group ideal is a congruence kernel. As group ideals are exactly the normal subgroups this is just the elementary fact relating normal subgroups and group congruences.

2) Consider the variety \mathcal{V} of distributive lattices with 0. Let $n \geq 3$ and let $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a term of \mathcal{V} . Then q can be written as (1) $(x_1 \wedge a) \vee b$ or (2) $x_1 \vee b$ where a and b are terms of \mathcal{V} in variables x_2, \dots, x_n . Consider the case (1). Set

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (x_1 \wedge b) \vee (x_2 \wedge a).$$

Clearly p satisfies (6). We check (7). Again abbreviate (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) by u and $a(u)$ and $b(u)$ by α and β . Now

$$\begin{aligned} p(q(x_2, u), u) &= (q(x_2, u) \wedge \beta) \vee (x_2 \wedge \alpha) = (((x_2 \wedge \alpha) \vee \beta) \wedge \beta) \vee (x_1 \wedge \alpha) = \\ &= \beta \vee (x_1 \wedge \alpha) = q(x_1, u). \end{aligned}$$

The case (2) is similar but simpler.

From Corollary 12 we obtain that every ideal of a distributive lattice is a congruence kernel. This is a known result [4]; in fact, in [4] it is also shown that among lattices only distributive lattices have this property.

Following [2, 3, 5] we say that \mathcal{A} is permutable at 0 if $[0](\theta \circ \psi) = [0](\psi \circ \theta)$ for all $\theta, \psi \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$. We have

Proposition 14. *Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of algebras of the same type such that 0 is a nullary term of \mathcal{V} . Then*

1) *The following are equivalent:*

(i) *Every $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ is permutable at 0.*

(ii)

$$(9) \quad b(x, x) \approx 0, \quad b(x, 0) \approx x$$

for a binary term b of \mathcal{V} , and

(iii)

$$(10) \quad t(x, x, y) \approx y, \quad t(0, x, x) \approx 0$$

for a ternary term t of \mathcal{V} .

2) *If \mathcal{V} satisfies one of (i)–(iii), then for every $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ each ideal of \mathcal{A} is a congruence kernel.*

Proof. 1) The equivalence of (i)–(iii) is shown in [5] pp. 48–49. 2) Let (iii) hold for \mathcal{V} and let t be a term of \mathcal{V} satisfying (10). Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and let I be an ideal of \mathcal{A} . We verify the condition (vi) of Theorem 10. Let $p \in P(\mathcal{A})$ satisfy $p(i) \in I$ for some $i \in I$ and let $i' \in I$. There exists an m -ary term operation q of \mathcal{A} and $a_2, \dots, a_m \in A$ such that $p(x) \approx q(x, a_2, \dots, a_m)$. Set

$$s(x_1, \dots, x_{m+2}) := t(x_1, q(x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{m+2}), q(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{m+2})).$$

By the second half of (10)

$$s(0, 0, 0, x_4, \dots, x_{m+2}) \approx t(0, q(0, x_4, \dots, x_{m+2}), q(0, x_4, \dots, x_{m+2})) \approx 0$$

and so s is an $\{1, 2, 3\}$ -ideal term operation of \mathcal{A} . By the first half of (10) and the definition of s

$$p(i') = t(p(i), p(i), p(i')) = s(p(i), i, i', a_2, \dots, a_m).$$

Here $p(i), i, i' \in I$ and so $p(i') \in I$ as well. □

Example 15. Consider the variety \mathcal{V} of all pseudocomplemented meet-semilattices $\mathcal{A} = (A; \wedge, *, 0)$ with 0 (i.e. for every $a \in A$ the element a^* is the greatest element y such that $a \wedge y = 0$). The term $b(x, y) := x \wedge y^*$ satisfies (9) and therefore every ideal of a pseudocomplemented meet-semilattice with 0 is a congruence kernel.

References

- [1] *Bělohávek R., Chajda I.*: Congruences and ideals in semiloops. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 59 (1994), 43–47.
- [2] *Chajda I.*: A localization of some congruence conditions in varieties with nullary operations. *Annales Univ. Sci Budapest, Sectio Math.* 30 (1987), 17–23.
- [3] *Duda J.*: Arithmeticity at 0. *Czech. Math. J* 27 (1987), 197–206.
- [4] *Grätzer G., Schmidt E.T.*: Ideals and congruence relations in lattices. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 9 (1958), 137–175.
- [5] *Gumm H.-P., Ursini A.*: Ideals in universal algebras. *Algebra Universalis* 19 (1984), 45–54.
- [6] *Hashimoto J.*: Ideal theory fo lattices. *Mathem. Japon.* 2 (1952), 149–186.
- [7] *Larose B.*: M. Sc. thesis. Université de Montréal, 1990.
- [8] *Mal'tsev A.I.*: On the general theory of algebraic systems (Russian). *Matem. Sbornik* 35 (1954), 3–20.
- [9] *Matthiessen G.*: Ideals, normal sets and congruences. *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai Szeged (Hungary)* 17 (1975), 295–310.
- [10] *Raftery J.G.*: Ideal determined varieties need not be congruence 3-permutable. Preprint University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, 1992.
- [11] *Ursini A.*: Sulle varietà di algebra con una buona teoria degli ideali. *Boll. U.M.I. (4)* 6 (1972), 90–95.

Authors' addresses: I. Chajda, Algebra & Geometry, Palacký University Olomouc, Tomkova 38, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic; I. G. Rosenberg, Math. & Stat., Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128 Succ. Centre-ville Montréal, Qué. Canada H3C 3J7.