

Danica Jakubíková-Studenovská

Retract irreducibility of connected monounary algebras. I

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 2, 291–308

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127291>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

RETRACT IRREDUCIBILITY OF  
CONNECTED MONOUNARY ALGEBRAS I

DANICA JAKUBÍKOVÁ-STUDENOVSKÁ, Košice

(Received July 11, 1994)

For some types of mathematical structures the relations between retracts and direct product decompositions have been studied (cf., e.g., [1] for the case of ordered sets, [2] and [5] for the case of graphs and [7] for the case of metric spaces). In the present paper we deal with a question concerning these relations for the case of connected monounary algebras.

Let  $(A, f)$  be a monounary algebra. As usual, a nonempty subset  $M$  of  $A$  is said to be a retract of  $(A, f)$  if there is a mapping  $h$  of  $A$  onto  $M$  such that  $h$  is an endomorphism of  $(A, f)$  and  $h(x) = x$  for each  $x \in M$ . The mapping  $h$  is then called a retraction endomorphism corresponding to the retract  $M$ . Further, let  $R(A, f)$  be the system of all monounary algebras  $(B, g)$  such that  $(B, g)$  is isomorphic to  $(M, f)$  for some retract  $M$  of  $(A, f)$ .

In Section 1 (Theorem 1.3) we characterize retracts of a monounary algebra  $(A, f)$  by means of properties of degrees of elements of  $A$ .

In the remaining sections we deal with the notion of retract irreducibility of a connected monounary algebra. It is defined as follows. A connected monounary algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  will be said to be retract irreducible if, whenever  $\mathcal{A} \in R\left(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i\right)$  for some connected monounary algebras  $\mathcal{A}_i$ , then there exists  $j \in I$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \in R\mathcal{A}_j$ . If this condition is not satisfied, then  $\mathcal{A}$  will be called retract reducible.

The following result will be proved:

**(R).** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, f)$  be a connected monounary algebra possessing a one element cycle  $\{c\}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is retract irreducible;
- (ii) if  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $A$  such that  $f(a) = f(b)$ , then either  $a = b$  or  $c \in \{a, b\}$ .

The case when  $\mathcal{A}$  has no one-element cycle will be dealt within Part II.

In some proofs we essentially apply the results and methods of M. Novotný [8], [9] concerning homomorphisms of monounary algebras. Homomorphisms of monounary algebras were investigated also in [3], [4], [6].

## 1. RETRACTS

Let  $(A, f)$  be a monounary algebra. The aim of this section is to describe all retracts of  $(A, f)$ .

Let us remark that if  $M$  is a retract of  $(A, f)$ , then  $(M, f)$  is a subalgebra of  $(A, f)$ .

The notion of degree  $s_f(x)$  of an element  $x \in A$  was introduced in [8] (cf. also [6] and [4]) as follows. Let us denote by  $A^{(\infty)}$  the set of all elements  $x \in A$  such that there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  of elements belonging to  $A$  with the property  $x_0 = x$  and  $f(x_n) = x_{n-1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, we put  $A^{(0)} = \{x \in A : f^{-1}(x) = \emptyset\}$ . Now we define a set  $A^{(\lambda)} \subseteq A$  for each ordinal  $\lambda$  by induction. Assume that we have defined  $A^{(\alpha)}$  for each ordinal  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Then we put

$$A^{(\lambda)} = \left\{ x \in A - \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} A^{(\alpha)} : f^{-1}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} A^{(\alpha)} \right\}.$$

The sets  $A^{(\lambda)}$  are pairwise disjoint. For each  $x \in A$ , either  $x \in A^{(\infty)}$  or there is an ordinal  $\lambda$  with  $x \in A^{(\lambda)}$ . In the former case we put  $s_f(x) = \infty$ , in the latter we set  $s_f(x) = \lambda$ . We put  $\lambda < \infty$  for each ordinal  $\lambda$ .

The following assertions are consequences of the definition of  $s_f(x)$  (cf. also [9]) and we will use them without further reference:

- 1) If  $s_f(x) \neq \infty$ , then  $s_f(f(x)) > s_f(x)$ .
- 2) If  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $(A, f)$  into  $(B, f)$ , then  $s_f(h(x)) \geq s_f(x)$  for each  $x \in A$ .
- 3) Let  $\{(A_i, f) : i \in I\}$  be a system of monounary algebras. If  $y, z \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ ,  $s_f(y(i)) \leq s_f(z(i))$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ .

**1.1. Lemma.** *Let  $(A, f)$  be a monounary algebra and let  $M$  be a retract of  $(A, f)$ . If  $y \in f^{-1}(M)$ , then there is  $z \in M$  with  $f(y) = f(z)$ ,  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M, y \in f^{-1}(x)$ . The set  $M$  is a retract; let  $h$  be the corresponding retraction endomorphism. Then  $h(x) = x$ . Put  $h(y) = z$ . We obtain

$$f(z) = f(h(y)) = h(f(y)) = h(x) = x.$$

Further,  $z = h(y) \in M$  and  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(h(y)) = s_f(z)$ . □

**1.2.1. Lemma.** Let  $(A, f)$  be a connected monounary algebra and let  $(M, f)$  be a subalgebra of  $(A, f)$ . Suppose that if  $y \in f^{-1}(M)$ , then there is  $z \in M$  with  $f(y) = f(z)$  and  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $Y_n$  the set of all  $y \in A$  such that  $y \in f^{-n}(M) - M$  and  $y \notin f^{-m}(M)$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m < n$ . Let  $Y = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n$ . There exists a mapping  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow M$  such that, whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y \in Y_n$ , then

- (i)  $f^n(y) = f^n(\varphi(y))$ ,
- (ii)  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(\varphi(y))$ ,
- (iii)  $\varphi(f^k(y)) = f^k(\varphi(y))$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < n$ .

**Proof.** If  $n = 1$ ,  $y \in f^{-1}(M) - M$ , then there is  $z \in M$  with  $f(y) = f(z)$ ,  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ ; we can put  $\varphi(y) = z$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$ ,  $y \in Y_n$ . Then

$$(1) \quad y \in f^{-n}(M) - M, \quad y \notin f^{-m}(M) \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m < n,$$

which implies

- (2)  $f(y) \in f^{-(n-1)}(M) - M, \quad f(y) \notin f^{-m}(M) \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m < n - 1,$
- (3)  $f(y) \in Y_{n-1}.$

Analogously,

$$(4) \quad f^2(y) \in Y_{n-2}, \quad \dots, \quad f^{n-1}(y) \in Y_1.$$

Suppose that if  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m < n$ ,  $t \in Y_m$ , then  $\varphi(t) \in M$  is defined and

- (a)  $f^m(t) = f^m(\varphi(t))$ ,
- (b)  $s_f(t) \leq s_f(\varphi(t))$ ,
- (c)  $\varphi(f^k(t)) = f^k(\varphi(t))$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < m$ .

Take  $y' = f(y)$ . By the induction hypothesis and (3),

- (5)  $f^{n-1}(y') = f^{n-1}(\varphi(y'))$ ,
- (6)  $s_f(y') \leq s_f(\varphi(y'))$ ,
- (7)  $\varphi(f^k(y')) = f^k(\varphi(y')) \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k < n - 1.$

Put  $\varphi(y') = z'$ . Let

$$S = \{x \in f^{-1}(z') : s_f(x) \leq s_f(z')\}.$$

If  $s_f(y) = \infty$ , then  $s_f(y') = \infty$  and (6) yields that  $s_f(z') = \infty$ . Then there is  $x \in f^{-1}(z')$  with  $s_f(x) = \infty$ , i.e.,  $x \in S$ . Let  $s_f(y) < \infty$  and suppose that  $S = \emptyset$ . We obtain one of the following relations:

- (8.1)  $s_f(y') > s_f(y) \geq \sup\{s_f(x) : x \in f^{-1}(z')\} = s_f(z')$ ,
- (8.2)  $s_f(y') > s_f(y) > \max\{s_f(x) : x \in f^{-1}(z')\} = s_f(z') - 1,$

a contradiction to (6). Thus

$$(9) \quad S \neq \emptyset.$$

Further,  $S \cap M \neq \emptyset$ , since if  $x \in S - M$ , then  $z' \in M$  implies that  $x \in f^{-1}(M)$  and there is (by the assumption)  $t \in M$  with

$$f(x) = f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad s_f(x) \leq s_f(t).$$

Hence  $S \cap M \neq \emptyset$ ; take  $x \in S \cap M$  and put  $\varphi(y) = x$ . Then (5) implies

(i)  $f^n(y) = f^{n-1}(f(y)) = f^{n-1}(y') = f^{n-1}(z') = f^{n-1}(f(x)) = f^n(x) = f^n(\varphi(y))$ . Since  $x \in S$ , we have

(ii)  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(x)$ .

According to (7),

(iii)  $\varphi(f^k(y)) = \varphi(f^{k-1}(y')) = f^{k-1}(\varphi(y')) = f^{k-1}(z') = f^k(x) = f^k(\varphi(y))$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < n$ .  $\square$

**1.2.2. Lemma.** *Let the assumption of 1.2.1 be valid. Then  $M$  is a retract of  $(A, f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A$ . Since  $(M, f)$  is a subalgebra of  $(A, f)$ , we obtain that either  $a \in M$  or there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$a \in f^{-n}(M) - M \quad \text{and} \quad a \notin f^{-m}(M) \quad \text{for any } m \in \mathbb{N}, m < n,$$

i.e.,  $a \in Y_n$ . Put

$$h(a) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \in M, \\ \varphi(a) & \text{if } a \in Y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

According to 1.2.1,  $h$  is a mapping of  $A$  onto  $M$ . If  $a \in M$ , then obviously  $h(f(a)) = f(h(a))$ . Let  $a \in Y_1$ . Then  $f(a) \in M$ . By 1.2.1(i),  $f(a) = f(\varphi(a))$  and we obtain

$$h(f(a)) = f(a) = f(\varphi(a)) = f(h(a)).$$

If  $a \in Y_n$ ,  $n > 1$ , then  $f(a) \in Y_{n-1}$  and 1.2.1(iii) yields

$$h(f(a)) = \varphi(f(a)) = f(\varphi(a)) = f(h(a)).$$

Therefore  $M$  is a retract of  $(A, f)$ .  $\square$

**1.2. Corollary.** Let  $(A, f)$  be a connected monounary algebra and let  $(M, f)$  be a subalgebra of  $(A, f)$ . Then  $M$  is a retract of  $(A, f)$  if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

- (1) if  $y \in f^{-1}(M)$ , then there is  $z \in M$  with  $f(y) = f(z)$  and  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ .

*Proof.* The assertion is obtained by virtue of 1.1 and 1.2.2. □

**1.3. Theorem.** Let  $(A, f)$  be a monounary algebra and let  $(M, f)$  be a subalgebra of  $(A, f)$ . Then  $M$  is a retract of  $(A, f)$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) If  $y \in f^{-1}(M)$ , then there is  $z \in M$  such that  $f(y) = f(z)$  and  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ .  
 (b) For any connected component  $K$  of  $(A, f)$  with  $K \cap M = \emptyset$ , the following conditions are satisfied.  
 (b1) If  $K$  contains a cycle with  $d$  elements, then there is a connected component  $K'$  of  $(A, f)$  with  $K' \cap M \neq \emptyset$  and there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n/d$  and  $K'$  has a cycle with  $n$  elements.  
 (b2) If  $K$  contains no cycle and  $x_0$  is a fixed element of  $K$ , then there is  $y_0 \in M$  such that  $s_f(f^k(x_0)) \leq s_f(f^k(y_0))$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a retract of  $(A, f)$ . By 1.1, the condition (a) is fulfilled. Suppose that  $h$  is the corresponding retraction endomorphism. Let  $K$  be a connected component of  $(A, f)$  such that  $K \cap M = \emptyset$ . If  $K$  contains a cycle with  $d$  elements, then there is a connected component  $K'$  of  $(A, f)$  such that  $h(K) \subseteq K'$ ,  $K'$  contains a cycle with  $n$  elements,  $n/d$ . Obviously,  $h(K) \subseteq M$ , thus  $K' \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . If  $K$  contains no cycle and  $x_0 \in K$ ,  $y_0 = h(x_0)$ , then  $y_0 \in M$  and from the fact that  $h$  is an endomorphism of  $(A, f)$  we get

$$s_f(f^k(x_0)) \leq s_f(f^k(y_0)) \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (a),(b) are satisfied. We will construct a retraction endomorphism  $h$  of  $(A, f)$  corresponding to  $M$ . Consider a connected component  $K$  of  $(A, f)$ . We have to define a homomorphism of  $(K, f)$  onto  $(M, f)$ .

A) Let  $K \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $M' = K \cap M$ . Then we proceed as in 1.2.2, only with  $M'$  instead of  $M$  and  $(K, f)$  instead of  $(A, f)$ . The obtained mapping  $h: K \rightarrow M'$  is an endomorphism,  $h(a) = a$  for each  $a \in M'$ .

B) Let  $K \cap M = \emptyset$ . If  $K$  contains a cycle, then (b1) and [8], Thm. 2.14 imply that there is a connected component  $K'$  of  $(A, f)$  with  $K' \cap M \neq \emptyset$  and there is a homomorphism  $g$  of  $(K, f)$  into  $(K', f)$ . If  $K$  contains no cycle, then the existence of such  $K'$  and  $g$  follows from (b2) and [8], Thm. 2.14.

We have  $K' \cap M \neq \emptyset$ , thus (by A) there exists a homomorphism  $h: K' \rightarrow K' \cap M$ . Then  $g \circ h$  is a homomorphism of  $(K, f)$  onto  $(K' \cap M, f)$ .

Therefore  $M$  is a retract of irreducibility as introduced above. □

## 2. RETRACT IRREDUCIBLE $(A, f)$

We apply the notion of retract irreducibility as introduced above.

**Assumption.** In what follows in the present Part I suppose that  $(A, f)$  is a connected monounary algebra possessing a cycle  $\{c\}$ .

**2.0. Lemma.** *Let  $(A, f)$  consist of a one-element cycle. Then  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(A, f) \in R\left(\prod_{i \in I} (B_i, f)\right)$ , where  $(B_i, f)$  is a connected monounary algebra for each  $i \in I$ . Then  $(A, f)$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $\prod_{i \in I} (B_i, f)$ , thus there is  $b \in \prod_{i \in I} B_i$  such that  $f(b) = b$ . This implies that  $f(b(i)) = b(i)$  for each  $i \in I$  and then  $\{b(i)\}$  is a retract of  $(B_i, f)$ . Therefore  $(A, f) \in R(B_i, f)$  and  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible.  $\square$

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $(E, f)$  be a connected monounary algebra and let  $(M, f)$  be a subalgebra of  $(E, f)$  such that  $\text{card } M = n > 1$ ,  $M = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ ,  $f(e_n) = e_{n-1}, \dots, f(e_2) = e_1 = f(e_1)$ . Then  $M$  is a retract of  $(E, f)$  if and only if  $f^{-(n-1)}(e_2) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a retract of  $(E, f)$  and suppose that  $x \in f^{-(n-1)}(e_2)$ . Let  $h$  be a corresponding retraction endomorphism and let  $h(x) = e_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_2 &= h(e_2) = h(f^{n-1}(x)) = f^{n-1}(h(x)) \\ &= f^{n-1}(e_j) = f^{n-j}(f^{j-1}(e_j)) = f^{n-j}(e_1) = e_1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that  $f^{-(n-1)}(e_2) = \emptyset$ . If  $x \in E$ , then either

$$(1.1) \quad f^k(x) \neq e_2 \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

or

$$(1.2) \quad f^k(x) = e_2 \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

If (1.2) holds, then  $k < n - 1$  and  $k$  is uniquely determined. In the first case put  $h(x) = e_1$ ; in the second case let  $h(x) = e_{2+k}$ . If  $x \in E$  and (1.1) is valid, then  $f^k(f(x)) \neq e_2$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and

$$h(f(x)) = e_1 = f(e_1) = f(h(x)).$$

Let  $x \in E$  and suppose that (1.2) holds. If  $k$  is as in (1.2) and  $k \geq 1$ , then  $f^{k-1}(f(x)) = e_2$  and

$$h(f(x)) = e_{2+(k-1)} = f(e_{2+k}) = f(h(x)).$$

If  $x \in E$  and  $x = e_2$ , then

$$h(f(x)) = h(e_1) = e_1 = f(e_2) = f(h(e_2)) = f(h(x)).$$

Therefore  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $(E, f)$  into  $(M, f)$ . If  $e_j \in M$ , then  $e_2 = f^{j-2}(e_j)$  and  $h(e_j) = e_{2+(j-2)} = e_j$ . Thus  $M$  is a retract of  $(E, f)$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Corollary.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$  and let  $(A, f)$  be a connected monounary algebra such that  $\text{card } A = n$ ,  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $f(a_n) = a_{n-1}, \dots, f(a_2) = a_1 = f(a_1)$ . Suppose that  $(E, f)$  is a connected monounary algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(A, f) \in R(E, f)$ ;
- (ii) *there exist distinct elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in E$  such that  $f(e_n) = e_{n-1}, \dots, f(e_2) = e_1 = f(e_1)$  and that  $f^{-(n-1)}(e_2) = \emptyset$ .*

**2.3. Lemma.** *Let the relations  $a, b \in A$ ,  $f(a) = f(b)$  imply that either  $a = b$  or  $c \in \{a, b\}$ . If  $A$  is a finite set, then  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{card } A = n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible in view of 2.0. Suppose that  $n > 1$  and that  $(A, f) \in R\left(\prod_{i \in I} (B_i, f)\right)$ , where  $(B_i, f)$  is a connected monounary algebra for each  $i \in I$ . Put  $(B, f) = \prod_{i \in I} (B_i, f)$ . Then  $(A, f)$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra  $(M, f)$  of  $(B, f)$ ,  $M$  is a retract of  $(B, f)$ . We obtain that there are distinct elements  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} = M$  such that  $f(b_n) = b_{n-1}, \dots, f(b_2) = b_1 = f(b_1)$ . If  $i \in I$ , then

- (1)  $f(b_k(i)) = (f(b_k))(i) = b_{k-1}$  for each  $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ ,
- (2)  $f(b_1(i)) = (f(b_1))(i) = b_1(i)$ .

Assume that

- (3)  $(A, f) \notin R(B_i, f)$  for each  $i \in I$ .

If  $i \in I$ , then 2.2 implies that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (4.1) if  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in B_i$ ,  $f(e_n) = e_{n-1}, \dots, f(e_2) = e_1 = f(e_1)$ , then the elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are not distinct (and then  $e_2 = e_1$ , since  $e_k = e_l$  for  $k < l$  implies  $e_2 = f^{l-2}(e_l) = f^{l-2}(e_k) = f^{l-k-1}(f^{k-1}(e_k)) = f^{l-k-1}(e_1) = e_1$ );



(4.2) if there are distinct elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in B_i$  such that  $f(e_n) = e_{n-1}, \dots, f(e_2) = e_1 = f(e_1)$ , then  $f^{-(n-1)}(e_2) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $I_1 = \{i \in I : b_1(i), \dots, b_n(i) \text{ are not distinct}\}$ ,  $I_2 = I - I_1$ . If  $I_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $b_2(i) = b_1(i)$  for each  $i \in I$ , thus  $b_2 = b_1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $I_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $i \in I_2$ . Then (4.1) is not valid for  $(B_i, f)$ , hence (4.2) holds and  $f^{-(n-1)}(b_2(i)) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $t_i \in f^{-(n-1)}(b_2(i))$ . Let  $x \in B$  be such that

$$x(j) = \begin{cases} b_2(j) & \text{if } j \in I_1, \\ t_j & \text{if } j \in I_2. \end{cases}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f^{n-1}(x))(j) &= b_1(j) = b_2(j) \text{ if } j \in I_1, \\ (f^{n-1}(x))(j) &= f^{n-1}(t_j) = b_2(j) \text{ if } j \in I_2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $x \in f^{-(n-1)}(b_2)$ . Since  $M$  is a retract of  $(B, f)$ , this is a contradiction in view of 2.1.  $\square$

**2.4. Proposition.** *Let the relations  $a, b \in A$ ,  $f(a) = f(b)$  imply that either  $a = b$  or  $c \in \{a, b\}$ . Then  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible.*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is finite, then  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible in view of 2.3. Let  $A$  be infinite. Suppose that  $(B, f) = \prod_{i \in I} (B_i, f)$  for connected monounary algebras  $(B_i, f)$ ,  $i \in I$ , where  $(A, f) \in R\left(\prod_{i \in I} (B_i, f)\right)$ . Then there are distinct elements  $b_k \in B$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(1) \quad f(b_1) = b_1, f(b_k) = b_{k-1} \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1.$$

Let  $i \in I$ . By (1),

$$(2) \quad f(b_1(i)) = b_1(i),$$

$$(3) \quad f(b_k(i)) = b_{k-1}(i) \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1,$$

therefore either

$$(4.1) \quad b_k(i) = b_1(i) \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

or

$$(4.2) \quad \text{there is } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that the elements } b_k(i), k \in \mathbb{N}, k > n \\ \text{are mutually distinct and } b_1(i) = b_2(i) = \dots = b_n(i).$$

If (4.1) holds for each  $i \in I$ , then  $b_2 = b_1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus (4.2) is valid for some  $i \in I$ . Let us denote  $M = \{b_k(i) : k \in \mathbb{N}, k > n\}$ . We have

$$(5) \quad (M, f) \cong (A, f).$$

Let  $y \in f^{-1}(M)$ . Then  $f(y) = b_k(i)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k > n$ . Put  $z = b_{k+1}(i)$ . We obtain

$$(6) \quad f(z) = b_k(i) = f(y), \quad s_f(z) = s_f(b_{k+1}(i)) = \infty \geq s_f(y).$$

According to 1.2,  $M$  is a retract of  $(B_i, f)$  and (5) implies that  $(A, f) \in R(B_i, f)$ . Hence  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible.  $\square$

### 3. CONDITION (C3)

In 3.1–3.6 suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

(C3)  $(A, f)$  contains a cycle  $\{c\}$  and there are  $a, b \in A - \{c\}$  with  $a \neq b$  and  $f(a) = f(b) = c$ .

**3.1. Construction.** Let  $\{a_i : i \in I\}$  be the set of all elements  $x \in A - \{c\}$  with  $f(x) = c$  (assume  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ). According to (C3),  $\text{card } I > 1$ . For  $i \in I$  put

$$A_i = \{c\} \cup \{x \in f^{-k}(a_i) : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}.$$

Then  $(A_i, f)$  is a subalgebra of  $(A, f)$ . Let

$$(B, f) = \prod_{i \in I} (A_i, f).$$

**3.2. Lemma.** *If  $i \in I$ , then  $(A, f) \notin R(A_i, f)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(A, f) \in R(A_i, f)$  for some  $i \in I$ . Then  $(A, f)$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $(A_i, f)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card}\{x \in A - \{c\} : f(x) = c\} &\geq 2, \\ \text{card}\{x \in A_i - \{c\} : f(x) = c\} &= \text{card}\{a_i\} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at a contradiction.  $\square$

**3.3. Notation.** If  $i \in I$ , then denote

$$T_i = \{b \in B: b(j) = c \text{ for each } j \in I - \{i\}, b(i) \in A_i\}.$$

Further put,

$$T = \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i.$$

Define a mapping  $\nu: T \rightarrow A$  as follows. If  $b \in T_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , then  $\nu(b) = b(i)$ .

Notice that  $b \in T_i \cap T_j$  for  $i \neq j$  iff  $b(k) = c$  for each  $k \in I$  and then  $\nu(b) = c = b(i) = b(j)$ , thus the mapping  $\nu$  is defined correctly.

**3.4. Lemma.**  $(T, f)$  is a monounary algebra and  $\nu$  is an isomorphism of  $(T, f)$  onto  $(A, f)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $b, t \in T$ ,  $\nu(b) = \nu(t)$ . Then there is  $i \in I$  with  $\{b, t\} \subseteq T_i$ . We obtain  $b(j) = t(j) = c$  for each  $j \in I - \{i\}$ ,  $b(i) = \nu(b) = \nu(t) = t(i)$ , thus the mapping  $\nu$  is injective.

If  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in A_i$  for some  $i \in I$  and then  $x = \nu(b)$ , where  $b(i) = x, b(j) = c$  for each  $j \in I - \{i\}$ . The mapping  $\nu$  is surjective.

Let  $b \in T$ . Then there is  $i \in I$  such that  $b \in T_i$  and  $f(b) \in T_i$ . Thus

$$(\nu(f(b))) = (f(b))(i) = f(b(i)) = f(\nu(b)).$$

Therefore  $\nu$  is an isomorphism,  $(A, f) \cong (T, f)$ . □

**3.5. Lemma.** If  $y \in f^{-1}(T)$ , then there is  $z \in T$  such that  $f(y) = f(z)$  and  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in f^{-1}(T)$ ,  $f(y) = t \in T_i$ . Then  $t(i) \in A_i$  and  $t(j) = c$  for each  $j \in I - \{i\}$ . Take  $z \in B$  such that  $z(i) = y(i)$ ,  $z(j) = c$  for each  $j \in I - \{i\}$ . We get

$$(1) \quad z \in T_i \text{ and } f(z) = t = f(y).$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} s_f(z(i)) &= s_f(y(i)), \\ s_f(z(j)) &= \infty \geq s_f(y(j)) \quad \text{for each } j \in I - \{i\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $s_f(z) \geq s_f(y)$ . □

**3.6. Lemma.**  $T$  is a retract of  $(B, f)$ .

*Proof.* (a) of 1.3 is valid in view of 3.5. Further,  $(T, f)$  contains a one-element cycle by 3.4, thus 1.3(b1) and 1.3(b2) are satisfied. Hence  $T$  is a retract of  $(A, f)$ . □

**3.7. Proposition.** *If  $(A, f)$  satisfies (C3), then  $(A, f)$  is retract reducible.*

**Proof.** We get the assertion by virtue of 3.1, 3.2, 3.4 and 3.6. □

#### 4. CONDITION (C4)

In 4.1–4.7 suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

(C4)  $(A, f)$  contains a cycle  $\{c\}$ , (C3) is not valid, there are  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $f(a) = f(b) \neq c$  and  $s_f(x) = \infty$  for each  $x \in A$ .

Hence,  $A$  is infinite.

**4.1. Construction.** Let  $I$  be an index set,  $\text{card } I = \lambda = \text{card } A$  and let  $(\mathbb{N}, f)$  be a monounary algebra with  $f(n) = n - 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$ ,  $f(1) = 1$ . For  $i \in I$  put

$$\begin{aligned} (B_i, f) &= (\mathbb{N}, f), \\ (B, f) &= \prod_{i \in I} (B_i, f). \end{aligned}$$

**4.2. Lemma.** *If  $i \in I$ , then  $(A, f) \notin R(B_i, f)$ .*

**Proof.** The assertion is obvious,  $(A, f)$  is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of  $(\mathbb{N}, f)$ . □

**4.3. Lemma.** *Let  $R = \{x \in B : \{i \in I : x(i) \neq 1\} \text{ is finite}\}$ . Then*

- (i)  $R$  contains a one-element cycle  $\{r\}$ , where  $r(i) = 1$  for each  $i \in I$ ;
- (ii)  $(R, f)$  is a connected subalgebra of  $(B, f)$ ;
- (iii)  $s_f(x) = \infty$  for each  $x \in R$ ;
- (iv)  $\text{card } f^{-1}(x) \geq \lambda$  for each  $x \in R$ .

**Proof.** (i) It is obvious that  $r \in R$  and that  $f(r) = r$ .

(ii) Let  $x \in R$ . The set  $\{i \in I : x(i) \neq 1\}$  is finite, thus there is  $m = \max\{x(i) : x(i) \neq 1\}$ . Then, for  $j \in I$ ,

$$(f^m(x))(j) = f^m(x(j)) = 1 = r(j),$$

i.e.,  $f^m(x) = r$  and (ii) is valid.

(iii) Let  $x \in R$ . If  $x = r$ , then  $s_f(x) = \infty$ . Let  $x \neq r$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  define an element  $y_k \in R$  as follows:

$$y_k(i) = \begin{cases} x(i) + k & \text{if } x(i) \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $y_k \in R$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Further,  $y_k \neq y_l$  for  $k, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $k \neq l$  and if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$(f(y_k))(i) = f(y_k(i)) = \begin{cases} x(i) + k - 1 & \text{if } x(i) \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = y_{k-1}(i),$$

i.e.,  $f(y_k) = y_{k-1}$ . Clearly  $y_0 = x$ . Hence  $s_f(x) = \infty$ .

(iv) Let  $x \in R$ ,  $y \in f^{-1}(x)$ . If  $x(i) \neq 1$ , then  $y(i) = x(i) + 1$ . If  $x(i) = 1$ , then  $y(i) \in \{1, 2\}$ . The assumption of the lemma implies

$$\text{card}\{i \in I : x(i) = 1\} = \lambda,$$

therefore  $\text{card } f^{-1}(x) = 2^\lambda$ . □

**4.4. Construction.** Let us define a mapping  $\nu: A \rightarrow R$  as follows. Consider  $x \in A$ . There is a unique  $n(x) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $f^{n(x)}(x) = c$  and if  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $m < n(x)$ , then  $f^m(x) \neq c$ .

The relation  $n(x) = 0$  implies  $x = c$ ; put  $\nu(c) = r$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 0$ . Suppose that if  $y \in A$ ,  $n(y) < n$ , then  $\nu(y)$  is defined, and that  $y_1 \neq y_2$ ,  $n(y_1) = n(y_2) < n$  yield  $\nu(y_1) \neq \nu(y_2)$ . Let  $n(x) = n$ . Put  $y = f(x)$ . Then  $n(y) = n - 1 < n$  and  $\nu(y) = y' \in R$ . In view of 4.3(iv) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card } f^{-1}(y) &\leq \text{card } A = \lambda, \\ \text{card } f^{-1}(y') &\geq \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

therefore there is an injective mapping  $\nu$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$  into  $f^{-1}(y')$ . Thus,  $\nu(x)$  is defined.

Let us have  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,  $n(x_1) \leq n$ ,  $n(x_2) \leq n$ . Put  $y_1 = f(x_1)$ ,  $y_2 = f(x_2)$ ,  $y'_1 = \nu(y_1)$ ,  $y'_2 = \nu(y_2)$ . Then  $n(y_1) < n$ ,  $n(y_2) < n$ . If  $y_1 \neq y_2$ , the induction hypothesis implies that  $\nu(y_1) \neq \nu(y_2)$ . This entails that  $f^{-1}(y'_1) \cap f^{-1}(y'_2) = \emptyset$  and the conditions  $\nu(x_1) \in f^{-1}(y'_1)$ ,  $\nu(x_2) \in f^{-1}(y'_2)$  imply  $\nu(x_1) \neq \nu(x_2)$ . If  $y_1 = y_2$ , then the injectivity of the mapping  $\nu$  of  $f^{-1}(y_1)$  into  $f^{-1}(y'_1)$  implies that  $\nu(x_1) \neq \nu(x_2)$ . Thus,  $\nu(x)$  is defined for any  $x \in A$  with  $n(x) < n + 1$  and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,  $n(x_1) < n + 1$ ,  $n(x_2) < n + 1$  yield  $\nu(x_1) \neq \nu(x_2)$ .

**4.5. Lemma.**  $\nu$  is an isomorphism of  $(A, f)$  into  $(R, f)$ .

*Proof.* By 4.4,  $\nu$  is an injective mapping and a homomorphism. □

**4.6. Lemma.** If  $T = \nu(A)$  and  $y \in f^{-1}(T)$ , then there is  $z \in T$  with  $f(y) = f(z)$  and  $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T = \nu(A)$ ,  $y \in f^{-1}(T)$ . There is  $t \in T$  with  $f(y) = t$ . Since  $(T, f) \cong (A, f)$  by 4.5 and  $s_f(x) = \infty$  for each  $x \in A$ , we obtain  $s_f(t) = \infty$ . Then there is  $z \in T$  with  $f(z) = t$  and  $s_f(z) = \infty \geq s_f(y)$ . □

**4.7. Lemma.**  $\nu(A)$  is a retract of  $(B, f)$ .

*Proof.* We get the assertion by virtue of 1.3. In fact, (a) of 1.3 is valid in view of 4.6. Further, if  $K$  is a connected component of  $(B, f)$  with  $K \cap T = \emptyset$ , then (b1) and (b2) are satisfied, because there is a cycle  $\{r\} = \{\nu(c)\} \in T$ ,  $s_f(r) = \infty$ .  $\square$

**4.8. Proposition.** If  $(A, f)$  satisfies (C4), then  $(A, f)$  is retract reducible.

*Proof.* It follows from 4.1, 4.2, 4.5 and 4.7.  $\square$

## 5. CONDITION (C5)

In 5.1-5.6 suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

(C5)  $(A, f)$  contains a cycle  $\{c\}$ , there are  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a \neq b$  and  $f(a) = f(b) \neq c$  and  $(A, f)$  fulfils neither (C3) nor (C4).

**5.0. Lemma.** If  $(A, f)$  is a connected monounary algebra,  $M \subseteq A$ ,  $x \in M$  such that  $f^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f^{-1}(x) \cap M = \emptyset$ , then  $M$  is not a retract of  $(A, f)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is a retract of  $(A, f)$  and let the assumption hold. Then there is  $y \in f^{-1}(x)$  and, by 1.1, there exists  $z \in M$  with  $f(z) = f(y)$ . Hence  $z \in f^{-1}(x) \cap M$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**5.1. Construction.**  $(A, f)$  does not satisfy (C4), thus the set  $L = \{a \in A : f^{-1}(a) = \emptyset\}$  is nonempty. By (C5), for  $a \in L$  we have

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \text{card } f^{-1}(f^k(a)) > 1\} \neq \emptyset;$$

put

$$k(a) = \min \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \text{card } f^{-1}(f^k(a)) > 1\}.$$

Further let,

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \min \{k(a) : a \in L\}, \\ J &= \{a \in L : k(a) = m\}, \\ V &= \{f^m(a) : a \in J\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (C3) is not valid,  $c \notin V$ . For each  $v \in V$  such that  $f^{-m}(v) \subseteq J$  we choose a fixed element of the set  $f^{-m}(v)$  and denote it by  $\bar{v}$ . Then we define

$$I = \{a \in J : f^{-m}(f^m(a)) \not\subseteq J\} \cup \{a \in J : f^{-m}(f^m(a)) \subseteq J, a \neq \overline{f^m(a)}\}.$$

If  $a \in I$ , then put

$$\begin{aligned} A_a &= \{a, f(a), \dots, f^{m-1}(a)\}, \\ B_a &= A_a \cup \{c\}, \\ g(x) &= \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A_a - \{f^{m-1}(a)\}, \\ c & \text{if } x \in \{c, f^{m-1}(a)\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$B_0 = A - \bigcup_{a \in I} A_a.$$

If  $x \in B_0$ , then  $f(x) \in B_0$ , because in the opposite case  $f(x) \in \{a, f(a), \dots, f^{m-1}(a)\}$  for some  $a \in I$  and then

$$x \in \{a, f(a), \dots, f^{m-2}(a)\} \subseteq A_a.$$

Thus  $(B_0, f)$  is a subalgebra of  $(A, f)$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} (B_0, g) &= (B_0, f), \\ (B, g) &= \prod_{a \in I \cup \{0\}} (B_a, g). \end{aligned}$$

**5.2. Lemma.** *If  $a \in I \cup \{0\}$ , then  $(A, f) \notin R(B_a, g)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in I$ . In  $(B_a, g)$  there are no distinct elements  $x, y$  with  $g(x) = g(y) \neq c$ , thus  $(A, f)$  is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of  $(B_a, g)$  and hence  $(A, f) \notin R(B_a, g)$ .

Suppose that  $(A, f) \in R(B_0, g)$ . Then there is an isomorphism  $\varepsilon$  of  $(A, f)$  onto a subalgebra  $(M, g)$  of  $(B_0, g)$ , where  $M$  is a retract of  $(B_0, g)$ ; let  $h$  be the corresponding retraction endomorphism. Take  $a \in I$ ,  $b = \varepsilon(a)$ . First suppose that  $g^{-1}(b) \neq \emptyset$ . According to 5.0, there is  $z \in g^{-1}(b) \cap M$ . This implies that  $z = \varepsilon(d)$  for some  $d \in A$ . We have

$$\varepsilon(f(d)) = g(\varepsilon(d)) = g(z) = b = \varepsilon(a),$$

thus  $f(d) = a$ , which is a contradiction, since  $f^{-1}(a) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $g^{-1}(b) = \emptyset$ . Then  $f^{-1}(b) = \emptyset$ , since  $b \in L - I$  by 5.1. We have two possibilities:

$$(1.1) \quad b \in L - J,$$

$$(1.2) \quad b \in J - I, \text{ i.e., } b = \bar{v} \text{ for some } v \in V.$$

If (1.1) is valid, then  $k(b) > m$  and the definition of  $k(b)$  implies

$$\text{card } f^{-1}(f^m(b)) = 1,$$

a contradiction, since  $\text{card } f^{-1}(f^m(a)) > 1$ . Hence (1.2) holds. In this case  $g^{-1}(g^m(\bar{v})) = \{g^{m-1}(\bar{v})\}$ , which is a contradiction to  $\text{card } f^{-1}(f^m(a)) > 1$  as well.  $\square$

**5.3. Lemma.** *If  $a \in I$ , then there exists an endomorphism  $\varphi_a$  of  $(A, f)$  such that  $\varphi_a(x) \neq x$  iff  $x \in A_a$  and  $\varphi_a(A_a) \subseteq B_0$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $\varphi_a(x) = x$  for each  $x \in A - A_a$ .

First suppose that  $f^{-m}(f^m(a)) \subseteq J$ . Denote  $v = f^m(a)$  and put

$$(1) \quad \varphi_a(a) = \bar{v}, \varphi_a(f(a)) = f(\bar{v}), \dots, \varphi_a(f^{m-1}(a)) = f^{m-1}(\bar{v}).$$

Then  $a \neq v$  and we obtain

$$(2) \quad \varphi_a(x) \neq x \quad \text{iff} \quad x \in A_a,$$

$$(3) \quad \varphi_a(A_a) \subseteq B_0.$$

If  $x \in A_a - \{f^{m-1}(a)\}$ , then (1) implies

$$(4) \quad \varphi_a(f(x)) = f(\varphi_a(x)).$$

If  $x \in A - A_a$ , then (4) is valid, too. Let  $x = f^{m-1}(a)$ . Then  $f(x) \in A - A_a$ , thus we have

$$(5) \quad \varphi_a(f(x)) = f(x) = f^m(a) = v = f^m(\bar{v}) = f(\varphi_a(x)).$$

Therefore

$$(6) \quad \varphi_a \text{ is an endomorphism of } (A, f).$$

According to (2), (3) and (6),  $\varphi_a$  has the desired properties.

Now suppose that  $f^{-m}(f^m(a)) \not\subseteq J$ . Then there exists  $y \in f^{-m}(f^m(a)) \cap B_0$  and we can proceed analogously, only with  $y$  instead of  $\bar{v}$ .  $\square$

**5.4. Notation.** Denote

$$T_0 = \{b \in B: b(0) \in B_0, b(a) = c \quad \text{for each } a \in I\}$$

and if  $a \in I$ , then

$$T_a = \{b \in B: b(a) \in A_a, b(i) = c \quad \text{for each } i \in I - \{a\}, \\ b(0) = \varphi_a(b(a))\}.$$

Let

$$T = \bigcup_{a \in I \cup \{0\}} T_a.$$



Consider  $b \in T$ , i.e.,  $b \in T_a$ ,  $a \in I \cup \{0\}$ .

a) If  $a = 0$ , then  $b(0) \in B_0$ ,  $b(i) = c$  for each  $i \in I$ , thus  $(g(b))(0) = g(b(0)) = f(b(0)) \in B_0$  and  $(g(b))(i) = g(b(i)) = g(c) = c$ , which implies  $g(b) \in T_0$ .

b) Let  $a \in I$ . We obtain that  $f(a) \in A_a$ ,  $b(i) = c$  for each  $i \in I - \{a\}$ ,  $b(0) = \varphi_a(b(a))$ . This yields that  $(g(b))(a) = g(b(a)) \in A_a \cup \{c\}$ . Further, if  $i \in I - \{a\}$ , then  $(g(b))(i) = g(b(i)) = g(c) = c$  and, by 5.3,  $(g(b))(0) = g(b(0)) = g(\varphi_a(b(a))) = \varphi_a(g(b(a))) = \varphi_a((g(b))(a))$ . Thus either  $g(b(a)) \in A_a$ , which implies  $g(b) \in T_a$ , or  $g(b(a)) = c$ , which implies  $g(b) \in T_0$ .

Therefore the set  $T$  is closed under  $g$ .

Define a mapping  $\nu: T \rightarrow A$  as follows: if  $t \in T_a$ ,  $a \in I \cup \{0\}$ , then  $\nu(t) = t(a)$ .

**5.5. Lemma.** *( $T, g$ ) is a monounary algebra and  $\nu$  is an isomorphism of ( $T, g$ ) onto ( $A, f$ ).*

PROOF. Suppose that  $b, t \in T$ ,  $\nu(b) = \nu(t) = x$ . If  $x \in B_0$ , then  $\{b, t\} \subseteq T_0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} b(0) &= \nu(b) = x = \nu(t) = t(0), \\ b(a) &= c = t(a) \quad \text{for each } a \in I. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x \in B_a$ ,  $a \in I$ , then  $\{b, t\} \subseteq T_a$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(a) &= \nu(b) = x = \nu(t) = t(a), \\ b(i) &= c = t(i) \quad \text{for each } i \in I - \{a\}, \\ b(0) &= \varphi_a(b(a)) = \varphi_a(x) = \varphi_a(t(a)) = t(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nu$  is an injective mapping.

Let  $x \in A$ . If  $x \in B_0$ , then  $x = \nu(b)$ , where  $b(0) = x$ ,  $b(a) = c$  for each  $a \in I$ ,  $b \in T_0$ . Let  $x \in A - B_0$ . Then there is  $a \in I$  such that  $x \in A_a$  and then  $x = \nu(b)$ , where  $b \in T_a$ ,

$$b(i) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } i = a, \\ c & \text{if } i \in I - \{a\}, \\ \varphi_a(x) & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the mapping  $\nu$  is surjective.

Let  $b \in T$ . If  $b \in T_0$ , then  $g(b) \in T_0$  and

$$\nu(g(b)) = (g(b))(0) = g(b(0)) = f(b(0)) = f(\nu(b)).$$

If  $b \in T_a$ ,  $a \in I$  and  $g(b) \in T_a$ , then  $b(a) \neq c$  and

$$\nu(g(b)) = (g(b))(a) = g(b(a)) = f(b(a)) = f(\nu(b)).$$

If  $b \in T_a$ ,  $a \in I$  and  $g(b) \notin T_a$ , then  $(g(b))(a) = c$ ,  $g(b) \in T_0$  and by 5.3 we obtain

$$\nu(g(b)) = (g(b))(0) = g(b(0)) = g(\varphi_a(b(a))) = f(\varphi_a(b(a))) = \varphi_a(f(b(a))).$$

Since  $g(b(a)) = c$ , we get  $f(b(a)) \in B_0$ , thus  $\varphi_a(f(b(a))) = f(b(a)) = f(\nu(b))$ .

Therefore  $\nu$  is an isomorphism and  $(T, g) \cong (A, f)$ .  $\square$

**5.6. Lemma.**  $T$  is a retract of  $(B, g)$ .

*Proof.* We will prove the assertion by means of 1.2. Let  $y \in g^{-1}(T)$ . Then there is  $b \in T$  with  $g(y) = b$ . If  $b \in T_0$ , then  $b(0) \in B_0$  and  $b(a) = c$  for each  $a \in I$ . Put

$$z(i) = \begin{cases} y(0) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ c & \text{if } i \in I. \end{cases}$$

Then  $z \in T_0$ ,  $g(z) = g(y)$ . Further,  $s_g(z(0)) = s_g(y(0))$  and  $s_g(z(i)) = \infty \geq s_g(y(i))$  for each  $i \in I$ , thus  $s_g(z) \geq s_g(y)$ .

Suppose that  $b \in T_a$ ,  $a \in I$ . Then

$$b(i) = \begin{cases} g(y(a)) & \text{if } i = a, \\ c & \text{if } i \in I - \{a\}, \\ \varphi_a(g(y(a))) & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Take  $z \in T_a$  such that

$$z(i) = \begin{cases} y(a) & \text{if } i = a, \\ c & \text{if } i \in I - \{a\}, \\ \varphi_a(y(a)) & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g(z) = g(y)$  by 5.3. Further, since  $\varphi_a$  is a homomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} s_g(z(a)) &= s_g(y(a)), \\ s_g(z(i)) &= \infty \geq s_g(y(i)) \quad \text{for each } i \in I - \{a\}, \\ s_g(z(0)) &= s_g(\varphi_a(y(a))) \geq s_g(y(a)), \end{aligned}$$

hence  $s_g(z) \geq s_g(y)$ .

Therefore we have proved that  $T$  is a retract of  $(B, g)$ .  $\square$

**5.7. Proposition.** If  $(A, f)$  satisfies (C5), then  $(A, f)$  is retract reducible.

*Proof.* It is a consequence of 5.1, 5.2, 5.5 and 5.6.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF (R)

We conclude by proving Theorem (R) above.

Suppose that  $(A, f)$  is a connected monounary algebra with a cycle  $\{c\}$ . Then  $(A, f)$  satisfies one of the following conditions:

(1) If  $a, b \in A$ ,  $f(a) = f(b)$ , then either  $a = b$  or  $c \in \{a, b\}$ .

(2) There are  $a, b \in A - \{c\}$  such that  $a \neq b$  and  $f(a) = f(b) = c$ .

(3) The condition (2) is not fulfilled, there are  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \neq b$ ,  $f(a) = f(b) \neq c$  and  $s_f(x) = \infty$  for each  $x \in A$ .

(4) The conditions (2) and (3) are not fulfilled and there are  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a \neq b$ ,  $f(a) = f(b) \neq c$ .

If (1) is satisfied, then  $(A, f)$  is retract irreducible by 2.4. If (2), (3) or (4) holds, then 3.7, 4.8 and 5.7 imply that  $(A, f)$  is retract reducible.

### References

- [1] *D. Duffus, I. Rival*: A structure theory for ordered sets. *Discrete Math.* 35 (1981), 53–118.
- [2] *W. Imrich, S. Klavžar*: Retracts of strong products of graphs. *Discrete Math.* 109 (1992), 147–154.
- [3] *D. Jakubíková*: Homomorphisms of unary algebras. *Math. Slovaca* 26 (1976), 317–322. (In Russian.)
- [4] *D. Jakubíková*: Systems of unary algebras with common endomorphisms I. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 29 (1979), 406–420. II. *ibid.*, 421–429.
- [5] *S. Klavžar*: Two remarks on retracts of graph products. *Discrete Math.* 109 (1992), 155–160.
- [6] *O. Kopeček, M. Novotný*: On some invariants of unary algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 24 (1974), 219–246.
- [7] *T. Kuczmanov, S. Reich, M. Schmidt, A. Stachura*: The product retraction property for the  $c_0$ -product of countable many metric spaces. *Math. Japonica* 39 (1994), 73–80.
- [8] *M. Novotný*: Über Abbildungen von Mengen. *Pacif. J. Math.* 13 (1963), 1359–1369.
- [9] *M. Novotný*: Construction of all homomorphisms of unary algebras. Dept. Math. Ped. Fac. Univ. J. E. Purkyně. Seminar on new directions in mathematical education, Brno, 1973. (In Czech.)

*Author's address*: 041 54 Košice, Jesenná 5, Slovensko (Prírodovedecká fakulta UPJŠ).