

Matematický časopis

Tibor Šalát; Štefan Znam

On the Average Order of an Arithmetical Function

Matematický časopis, Vol. 20 (1970), No. 3, 233--238

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127077>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1970

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE AVERAGE ORDER OF AN ARITHMETICAL FUNCTION

TIBOR ŠALÁT and ŠTEFAN ZNÁM, Bratislava

In paper [1] the following conjecture is stated: Let the system of the arithmetical progressions

$$\dots, a_i - n_i, a_i, a_i + n_i, \dots \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

have the property that every integer belongs to exactly one of these progressions. If

$$n_i = \prod_{t=1}^r p_t^{\lambda_t} \quad (\text{the standard form of } n_i),$$

then

$$k \geq 1 + \sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_t (p_t - 1).$$

This conjecture has been proved in [5].

In solving this problem we have been led to the following arithmetical function. For

$$N = \prod_{t=1}^r p_t^{\lambda_t} \quad \text{put} \quad f(N) = \sum_{t=1}^r \lambda_t (p_t - 1).$$

It is easy to see that f has the property: $f(N_1 \cdot N_2) = f(N_1) + f(N_2)$ for arbitrary integers N_1, N_2 . Further, we have the trivial estimations:

$$\log_2 N \leq f(N) \leq N - 1.$$

The present paper is devoted to the study of the average order of this function. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} [f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(N)] = \frac{\pi^2}{12} \frac{N}{\log N}.$

Proof. It is easy to check the following relation:

$$S(f, N) = f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(N) = \sum_{p \leq N} (p - 1) \left(\left[\frac{N}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{N}{p^2} \right] + \dots \right).$$

Obviously $S(f, N) = S^{(1)}(N) + S^{(2)}(N)$, where

$$S^{(1)}(N) = \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{N}} (p-1) \left(\left[\frac{N}{p^2} \right] + \left[\frac{N}{p^3} \right] + \dots \right),$$

$$S^{(2)}(N) = \sum_{p \leq N} (p-1) \left[\frac{N}{p} \right].$$

For $S^{(1)}(N)$ we have the estimation:

$$S^{(1)}(N) \leq \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{N}} (p-1) \left(\frac{N}{p^2} + \frac{N}{p^3} + \dots \right) = N \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{2} N \pi(\sqrt{N}),$$

where $\pi(N)$ is the prime number function.

Hence we get (by prime number theorem):

$$(1) \quad S^{(1)}(N) = o(N^2/\log N).$$

Further, $S^{(2)}(N) = P(N) - Q(N)$, where

$$P(N) = \sum_{p \leq N} p \left[\frac{N}{p} \right], \quad Q(N) = \sum_{p \leq N} \left[\frac{N}{p} \right].$$

Obviously $Q(N) \leq N \sum_{p \leq N} \frac{1}{p}$ and since $\sum_{p \leq N} \frac{1}{p} = O(\log \log N)$ (see [2], p. 28),

we obtain

$$(2) \quad Q(N) = o(N^2/\log N).$$

By (1) and (2) we have

$$\frac{S(f, N)}{N} = o\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right) + \frac{P(N)}{N},$$

so that in order to prove our theorem it is sufficient to show

$$(a) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(N) \log N}{N^2} \geq \frac{\pi^2}{12},$$

$$(b) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(N) \log N}{N^2} \leq \frac{\pi^2}{12}.$$

Let ε be an arbitrary number of the interval $(0, 1)$. Let

$$p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k < \dots$$

be the increasing sequence of all primes. Since $p_k \sim k \log k$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$)* (see [3] p. 153), there exists an integer $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon) > 1$ so that for any natural $k > \pi(N_0)$

$$(3) \quad (1 - \varepsilon)k \log k < p_k < (1 + \varepsilon)k \log k$$

holds. Choose an integer j such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{j}{(j+1)^2} > \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{j+2}{(j+1)^2} < \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

holds. This is clearly possible. In the following we suppose that $N > N_0(\varepsilon)(j+1)$. $P(N)$ can be written in the form

$$(5) \quad P(N) = P_1(N) + \dots + P_j(N) + P_j^*(N),$$

where

$$P_k(N) = \sum_{\substack{N \\ \frac{N}{k+1} < p \leq \frac{N}{k}}} p \left[\frac{N}{p} \right] \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, j)$$

and

$$P_k(N) = \sum_{1 < p \leq \frac{N}{j+1}} p \left[\frac{N}{p} \right].$$

Obviously

$$P_j^*(N) \leq \sum_{1 < p \leq \frac{N}{j+1}} N = N\pi \left(\frac{N}{j+1} \right) = \pi \left(\frac{N}{j+1} \right) \frac{\log \frac{N}{j+1}}{\frac{N}{j+1}} \cdot \frac{N^2}{\log \frac{N}{j+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{j+1}.$$

and hence (by prime number theorem) we get

* $h(k) \sim g(k)$ denotes that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(k)}{g(k)} = 1$.

$$(6) \quad 0 \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_j^*(N) \log N}{N^2} \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_j^*(N) \log N}{N^2} \leq \frac{1}{j+1}.$$

If $\frac{N}{k+1} < p \leq \frac{N}{k}$, then $\left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor = k$, hence

$$P_k(N) = k \cdot \sum_{\frac{N}{k+1} < p \leq \frac{N}{k}} p = k \cdot \sum_{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) < r \leq \pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right)} p_r.$$

This together with (3) implies the inequalities:

$$(7) \quad (1 - \varepsilon)k \sum_{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) < r \leq \pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right)} r \log r < P_k(N) < (1 + \varepsilon)k \sum_{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) < r \leq \pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right)} r \log r.$$

The function $\psi(t) = t \log t$ is a non-negative and increasing function in the interval (e, ∞) , which satisfies $\psi(x+1) = O(\psi(x))$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$). By theorem 4 from [4] (p. 8) we have

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) < r \leq \pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right)} r \log r = \int_{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right)}^{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right)} t \log t \, dt + O\left(\pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right) \log \pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right)\right),$$

$$(9) \quad \int_{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right)}^{\pi\left(\frac{N}{k}\right)} t \log t \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \left[\pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) \log \pi \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) - \pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) \log \pi \left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[\pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) - \pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) \right].$$

By an easy calculation we get from (7), (8) and (9):

$$(10) \quad (1 - \varepsilon)k \cdot F(N, k) < P_k(N) < (1 + \varepsilon)k \cdot F(N, k),$$

where

$$F(N, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) \log \pi \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) - \pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) \log \pi \left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[\pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) - \pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{k+1}\right) \right] + O \left[\pi \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) \log \pi \left(\frac{N}{k}\right) \right].$$

Considering the prime number theorem it is easy to check that for every fixed $v > 0$ the relations

$$(11) \quad \pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{v} \right) \log \pi \left(\frac{N}{v} \right) \sim \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{N^2}{\log N} \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)$$

and

$$(12) \quad \pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{v} \right) = o \left(\frac{N^2}{\log N} \right) \quad (N \rightarrow \infty) \text{ hold.}$$

Further, we can see in the same way that for any fixed $k > 0$

$$(13) \quad O \left(\pi \left(\frac{N}{k} \right) \log \pi \left(\frac{N}{k} \right) \right) = o \left(\frac{N^2}{\log N} \right) \quad (N \rightarrow \infty) \text{ holds.}$$

On account of (11), (12) and (13) we obtain for the function $F(N, k)$ the following relation (k is fixed)

$$(14) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(N, k) \log N}{N^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \right)$$

According to (4), (5), (6), (13) and (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(N) \log N}{N^2} &\geq \sum_{k=1}^j \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_k(N) \log N}{N^2} \geq \\ &\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^j k \left(\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \right) = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{j}{(j+1)^2} \right) > \\ &> (1-\varepsilon) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right); \\ \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(N) \log N}{N^2} &\leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(N) \log N}{N^2} + \frac{1}{j+1} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^j k \left(\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \right) + \frac{1}{j+1} = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{k^2} - \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{j}{(j+1)^2} + \frac{2}{1+\varepsilon j+1} \right] < \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{j}{(j+1)^2} + \frac{2}{j+1} \right] = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{j+2}{(j+1)^2} \right] < (1 + \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{12} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right).$$

Since the last inequalities are valid for arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have proved the inequalities (a) and (b). Hence we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(f, N)}{N} \cdot \frac{\log N}{N} = \frac{\pi^2}{12},$$

which completes the proof of our theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mycielski J., Sierpiński W., *Sur une propriété des ensembles linéaires*, Fund. Math. 58 (1966), 143–147.
- [2] Prachar K., *Primzahlverteilung* (Russian), Moskva, 1967.
- [3] Sierpiński W., *Elementary theory of numbers*, Warszawa, 1964.
- [4] Specht W., *Elementare Beweise der Primzahlsätze*, Berlin, 1956.
- [5] Známský Š., *On Mycielski's problem on systems of arithmetical progressions*, Colloq. Math. 15 (1966), 202–205.

Received September 12, 1968.

*Katedra algebry a teórie čísel
Prírodovedeckej fakulty Univerzity Komenského
Bratislava*