

# Matematický časopis

---

Jozef Komorník

Correspondence Between Semi-Measures and Small Systems

*Matematický časopis*, Vol. 25 (1975), No. 1, 59--62

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127037>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN SEMI-MEASURES AND SMALL SYSTEMS

JOZEF KOMORNÍK

In this paper we present two constructions. They are converse to each other and give the bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of semi-measures and small systems.

The notion of semi-measures was introduced probably in [1], for references see [2]. Small systems were first studied in [3]; for references see [4].

Throughout the whole paper the following symbols are used:  $S$  is a ring of subsets of a non-empty set  $X$ ;  $R^+$  is the set of non-negative real numbers;  $Z^+$  is the set of non-negative integers;  $Z' = Z^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ . For every  $k \in Z^+$  we define:  $\infty + k = \infty$ ,  $k^{-\infty} = 0$ .

We also define two functions:

$$r: R^+ \rightarrow Z' \quad r(x) = \inf \{n \in Z^+ : 2^{-n} \leq x\}$$

$$t: Z' \rightarrow Z' \quad t(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ n - 1 & 0 < n < \infty \\ \infty & n = \infty \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.** (i) A function  $P: S \rightarrow R^+$  is called a semi-measure on the ring  $S$  if it is monotone, subadditive and upper continuous in  $\emptyset$ ;

(ii) Two semi-measures  $P_1, P_2$  on  $S$  are called equivalent if  $P_1(E) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P_2(E) = 0$  for every  $E \in S$ .

**Construction 1.** Let  $P$  be a given semi-measure on  $S$ . For every  $n \in Z^+$  we define

$$S_n = \{E \in S : P(E) \leq 3^{-n}\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** The system  $\{S_n\}$  obtained by the construction 1 has the following properties. For every  $n \in Z^+$  there holds:

- (1)  $S \supset S_0 \supset \dots \supset \{\emptyset\}$
- (2)  $A \subset B \in S, B \in S_n \Rightarrow A \in S_n$
- (3)  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in S_n \Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \in S_{t(n)}$
- (4)  $\{A_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \searrow \emptyset, A_m \in S \quad \forall n \in Z^+ \quad \exists M \in Z^+ \quad \forall m \geq M : A_m \in S_n$ .

Proof. These properties result simply from the definition of  $\{S_n\}$  (1), the monotonicity (2), the subadditivity (3) and the upper continuity (4) of  $P$ .

**Definition 2.** (i) By a small system we mean a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of subsets of  $S$  having the properties (1)–(4). We put  $S_\infty = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n$ .

(ii) Two small systems  $\{S_n\}, \{T_n\}$  are said to be equivalent if  $S_\infty = T_\infty$ .

Remark. If we have a small system  $\{S_n\}$  we can simply observe that the properties (1)–(3) hold for  $n = \infty$ .

**Construction 2.** Let  $\{S_n\}$  be a given small system. For every  $E \in S$  we define

$$h(E) = \sup \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, E \in S_n\}$$

$$f(E) = 2^{-h(E)}$$

$$p(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n f(E_i) : E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i, E_i \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** (i)  $f$  is a monotone function.

(ii) For every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $E \in S$  there holds  $f(E) \leq a \Rightarrow E \in S_{r(a)}$ .

Proof: (i) Let  $A \subset B$ . By the property (2)  $\{n : A \in S_n\} \supset \{n : B \in S_n\}$ , hence  $h(A) \geq h(B)$  and therefore  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ .

(ii) Every value of  $f$  is by the definition of the type  $2^{-n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}'$ .

**Corollary.** For every  $E \in S$   $f(E) = 0 \Leftrightarrow E \in S_\infty$ .

**Theorem.** (i) The function  $p$  obtained by the construction 2 is a semi-measure.

(ii) If we have a semi-measure  $P$  and  $p$  is a semi-measure obtained by applying the constructions 1 and 2, then  $P$  and  $p$  are equivalent.

Proof. First we prove (i). Let  $A \subset B \in S$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ . There exists  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n f(B_i) \leq p(B) + \varepsilon$ . We put  $A_i = A \cap B_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By the monotonicity of  $f$  we get

$$p(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(B_i) \leq p(B) + \varepsilon.$$

The subadditivity and upper continuity of  $p$  we get also simply from the definition of  $p$ .

(ii) To prove the second assertion of the theorem we use the inequality  $f(E) \leq 2p(E)$  for every  $E \in S$ .

We show that for every  $E \in S$  and any finite decomposition  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^l E_i$ ,  $E_i \in S$  we have

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n f(E_i) \geq 1/2 \cdot f(E) \quad (\text{i.e. } f(E) \leq 2a)$$

It is evident for  $a = \infty$  or  $n = 1$ . In case  $a < \infty$  we use the induction with respect to  $n$ .

Let  $n \geq 2$ . We consider two cases.

( $\alpha$ )  $f(E_i) < a/2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We put

$$k = \max \left\{ j : \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(E_i) < a/2 \right\}.$$

Then we have  $1 < k < n$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f(E_i) < a/2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f(E_i) \geq a/2 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \sum_{i=k+1}^n f(E_i) \leq a/2.$$

Because of the inductive assumption we have

$$f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i\right) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f(E_i) \leq a, \quad f\left(\bigcup_{i=k+1}^n E_i\right) \leq a$$

and finally

$$f(E_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(E_i) = a.$$

Using Lemma 2 (ii) and putting  $\alpha = r(a)$ , we get

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i, \quad E_k, \quad \bigcup_{i=k+1}^n E_i \in S_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{prop. (3)}} E \in S_{t(\alpha)}.$$

According to the definition 2 we obtain  $h(E) \geq t(\alpha) \geq \alpha - 1, f(E) \leq 2^{\alpha+1} \leq 2a$ .

( $\beta$ )  $f(E_i) \geq a/2$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We can suppose  $i = n$ . We obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(E_i) \leq a/2, f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i\right) \leq a$ . Now we can follow as in the case ( $\alpha$ ).

To finish the proof of the theorem we use the relations  $p(E) \leq f(E)$  and  $f(E) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P(E) = 0$ , which were shown above.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] MAHARAM, D.: An algebraic characterization of measure algebras. *Ann. Math.*, 18, 1947,.
- [2] DOBRAKOV, I.: On measures I, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*. CXII, 1974, Warszawa.

- [3] RIEČAN, B.: Abstract formulation of some theorems of measure theory. Mat.-fyz. Čas., 16, 1966, 268—273.
- [4] RIEČAN, B.: Subadditive measures and small systems. Čas. pěstov. Mat., (to appear.)

Received November 13, 1975

*Katedra numerickej matematiky  
a matematickej štatistiky  
Prírodovedeckej fakulty UK  
Mlynská dolina  
816 31 Bratislava*