

Matematický časopis

Eugen Futáš
Extension of Linear Operators

Matematický časopis, Vol. 22 (1972), No. 4, 271--281

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127032>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

EXTENSION OF LINEAR OPERATORS

EUGEN FUTÁŠ,
Košice

§ 1. Basic notions.

Consider a lattice X with operations \cup and \cap interpreted as usual. Let $x_n \in X$, $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$. Then we shall write $x_n \nearrow x$, if x is the l. u. b. of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Analogously, $x_n \searrow x$ for $x_n \geq x_{n+1}$. Let us define in the lattice X two more operations: $+$ and $-$. Let X , together with operations $+$, \cup , \Rightarrow and \cap be a lattice-ordered Abelian group.

Furthermore, let the following hold in X :

S_1 : For $x_n \in X$, $x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq x_0 \in X$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \nearrow x$.

Let A be a sublattice of X which is closed under operations $+$ and $-$ (and is therefore a group). On A let us define a function φ_0 whose values lie in some Banach space Y . For φ_0 , let the following relations hold.

M_1 : For $x, y \in A$ we have $\varphi_0(x + y) = \varphi_0(x) + \varphi_0(y)$.

M_2 : For $x_n \in A$, $x_n \searrow 0$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_0(x_n) = 0$.

M_3 : For $x \in A$ we have $\sup \{|\varphi_0(y)| : y \geq 0; y \in A, y \leq |x|\} < \infty$.

For any $x \in X$ we define $|x| = x \cup (-x)$, $x^+ = x \cup 0$, $x^- = (-x) \cup 0$. Evidently $|x| = x^+ + x^-$, $x = x^+ - x^-$.

The following well-known lemmas which will be necessary in the sequel are stated without proof for completeness' sake.

Lemma 1.1. For $x_n \geq x_{n+1} \geq x_0 \in X$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \searrow x$.

Lemma 1.2. $x, y \geq 0 \Rightarrow (x - y)^- \leq y$.

Lemma 1.3. $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$.

Lemma 1.4. $|x - y| \leq |x - z| + |z - y|$.

Lemma 1.5. $|(a \cup b) - (c \cup d)| \leq |a - c| + |b - d|$.

Lemma 1.6. $|(a \cap b) - (c \cap d)| \leq |a - c| + |b - d|.$

Lemma 1.7. $|(a - b) - (c - d)| \leq |(a - c)| + |(d - b)|.$

Lemma 1.8. $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n + y) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n + y.$

Lemma 1.9. $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n + y) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n + y.$

Definition 1.1. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^k |x_n|.$

Lemma 1.10. $y = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} y_i \Rightarrow |y - y_n| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} |y_{i+1} - y_i|.$

Proof. $|y - y_n| = \left| \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} y_i - y_n \right| =$
 $= \left| \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} (y_i - y_n) \right| \leq \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=n}^{i-1} (y_{j+1} - y_j) \right| \leq$
 $\leq \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{i-1} |y_{j+1} - y_j| =$
 $= \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{p=k-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^k |y_{j+1} - y_j| \leq \bigcup_{p=k}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^k |y_{j+1} - y_j| =$
 $= \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |y_{j+1} - y_j|.$

§ 2. Construction

Definition 2.1. For $x \in A$ put $\|\varphi\|(x) = \sup \{|\varphi_0(y)| : y \geq 0, y \in A, y \leq |x|\}.$

Evidently:

1. $\|\varphi\|(0) = 0.$
2. $\|\varphi\|(x) \geq 0.$
3. $\|\varphi\|(|x|) = \|\varphi\|(x).$
4. $x \geq 0 \Rightarrow |\varphi_0(x)| \leq \|\varphi\|(x).$
5. $x \geq y \geq 0 \Rightarrow \|\varphi\|(x) \geq \|\varphi\|(y).$

Lemma 2.1. $|x| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| \Rightarrow \|\varphi\|(x) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n).$

Proof. Clearly we can suppose that $x \geq 0, x_n \geq 0.$ First let $\|\varphi\|(x) < \infty.$

Let us choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. From Definition 2.1 we see that there exists $y \in A$ such that $0 \leq y \leq x$ and $|\varphi_0(y)| + \varepsilon > \|\varphi\|(x)$.

Let $y_1 = y \cap x_1$,

$$y_{n+1} = (y - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \cap x_{n+1}.$$

Evidently $0 \leq y_n \leq x_n$, $y_n \in A$.

We prove by induction that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = y \cap \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Evidently $y_1 = y \cap x_1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = y \cap \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ for some n , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + y_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + (y - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \cap x_{n+1} = \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + (y - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)] \cap [\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + x_{n+1}] = \\ &= y \cap [(y \cap \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) + x_{n+1}] = \\ &= y \cap (y + x_{n+1}) \cap (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + x_{n+1}) = y \cap \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$, so that

$$\varphi_0(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_0(y_n)$$

and $|\varphi_0(y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(y_n)|$, $\|\varphi\|(x) - \varepsilon < |\varphi_0(y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(y_n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(y_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n)$, giving $\|\varphi\|(x) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n)$.

Now let $\|\varphi\|(x) = \infty$. We choose a natural number N . By Definition 2.1 there exists $y \in A$ such that $0 \leq y \leq x$ and $|\varphi_0(y)| < N$.

In a manner similar to that of the previous case we could construct the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the following properties:

$$0 \leq y_n \leq x_n, |\varphi_0(y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(y_n)|, y_n \in A,$$

$$N < |\varphi_0(y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(y_n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(y_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n) = \infty.$$

Definition 2.2. $\omega(x) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n) : x_n \in A, |x| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| \right\}.$

Evidently:

1. $\omega(0) = 0,$
2. $\omega(x) \geq 0,$
3. $\omega(|x|) = \omega(x),$
4. $|x| \geq |y| \Rightarrow \omega(x) \geq \omega(y),$
5. $x \in A \Rightarrow \omega(x) = \|\varphi\|(x).$

Lemma 2.2. $|x| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| \Rightarrow \omega(x) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(x_n).$

Proof. We take all $x_n^m \in A$ such that $|x_n| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_n^m|.$ Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x) &\leq \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n^m) : x_n^m \in A, \right. \\ |x_n| &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_n^m| \left. \right\} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \inf \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n^m) : x_n^m \in A, \right. \\ &\left. |x_n| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_n^m| \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

According to M_3 , for every $x \in A$, $\omega(x) < \infty.$ This supposition is not always satisfied, as the following example shows.

Example 2.1. Let $M = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$ Let X be the system of all real functions defined on M we permit their values to be infinite. Let N be the system of all finite subsets of M and their complements. On N we define the function ν in the following way: $\nu(E)$ — is the number of elements in E , if E is finite and the number of elements in the complement of E — multiplied by -1 , if E is infinite.

Let $A = \{f \in X : f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \varkappa_{E_i}, E_i \in N, \alpha_i; \alpha_i \text{ are integers, } E_i \cap E_k = \emptyset$
for $i \neq k\}$ and $\varphi_0(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \nu(E_i).$

Let $f = 1.$ Then $\|\varphi\|(f) > |\varphi_0(\varkappa_L)| = n$ for any natural number n , where $L = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}.$ Therefore clearly $\omega(f) = \|\varphi\|(f) = \infty.$

Definition 2.3. $F = \{x \in X : \omega(x) < \infty\}$.

Note. The above supposition is equivalent to saying that $A \subset F$.

Definition 2.4. $\varrho(x, y) = \omega(x - y)$ for $x, y \in F$.

Lemma 2.3. The function ϱ is a pseudometric on F .

Proof. 1. $\varrho(x, x) = \omega(x - x) = \omega(0) = 0$.

$$2. \varrho(x, y) = \omega(x - y) = \omega(|x - y|) = \omega(|y - x|) = \omega(y - x) = \varrho(y, x).$$

$$3. \varrho(x, y) = \omega(x - y) = \omega(|x - y|) \leq \omega(|x - z| + |z - y|) \leq \omega(x - z) + \omega(z - y) = \varrho(x, z) + \varrho(z, y).$$

Theorem 2.1. The pseudometric space (F, ϱ) is complete.

Proof. Consider a Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. From among its members we select a subsequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\varrho(y_n, y_{n+1}) < 2^{-n}$. To prove the convergence of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, it is enough to prove that $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent.

From among the members of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ we choose the element y mentioned in Lemma 1.10. Then $\varrho(y, y_n) = \omega(y - y_n) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \omega(y_{k+1} - y_k) \leq$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2^{1-n}, \text{ so that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(y, y_n) = 0.$$

$$\text{Evidently } |y| \leq |y_1| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_{n+1} - y_n|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \omega(y) &\leq \omega(y_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(y_{n+1} - y_n) = \omega(y_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varrho(y_{n+1} - y_n) \leq \\ &\leq \omega(y_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = \omega(y_1) + 1 < \infty, \text{ so that } y \in F. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. $y, x \in A \Rightarrow |\varphi_0(x) - \varphi_0(y)| \leq 2\varrho(x, y)$.

Proof. $|\varphi_0(x) - \varphi_0(y)| = |[\varphi_0(x - (x \cap y)) + \varphi_0(x \cap y)] - [\varphi_0(y - (x \cap y)) + \varphi_0(x \cap y)]| = |\varphi_0(x - (x \cap y)) - \varphi_0(y - (x \cap y))| \leq \|\varphi\| |(x - (x \cap y)) - (y - (x \cap y))| = \omega(x - (x \cap y)) + \omega(y - (x \cap y)) \leq \omega(x - y) + \omega(x - y) = 2\varrho(x, y)$.

The last estimate is true, since

$$\begin{aligned} |x - (x \cap y)| &= |(x - x) \cup (x - y)| = |(x - y)^+| = (x - y)^+ \leq |x - y|, \\ |y - (x \cap y)| &\leq |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. $x, y \in A; \varrho(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_0(x) = \varphi_0(y)$.

Proof. $|\varphi_0(x) - \varphi_0(y)| \leq 2\varrho(x, y) = 0$.

Theorem 2.2. *The function φ_0 is uniformly continuous on A .*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.4.

Definition 2.5. $R = \bar{A}$.

According to Theorem 2.1, R is complete. By Lemmas 1.5, 1.6, 1.7 and Definition 2.4, R is a lattice-ordered group. By Theorem 2.2 and the extension theorem for uniformly continuous functions there exists exactly one uniformly continuous function $\bar{\varphi}$ defined on R such that for every $x \in A \Rightarrow \varphi_0(x) = \bar{\varphi}(x)$.

Lemma 2.6. $x, y \in R \Rightarrow |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq 2\rho(x, y)$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. As φ is a continuous function and A is dense in R , there exist $x_n, y_n \in A$ such that $\rho(x_n, x) < 1/n, \rho(y_n, y) < 1/n$ and $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_n)| < \varepsilon; |\varphi(y) - \varphi(y_n)| < \varepsilon, |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq |\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_n)| + |\varphi(y) - \varphi(y_n)| + |\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(y_n)| < 2\varepsilon + 2\rho(x_n, y_n)$.

The continuity of metric gives us

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon + 2\rho(x, y); \quad |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq 2\rho(x, y).$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $x \in R$. Suppose that there exists $x_n \in A$ such that $|x| \leq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} |x_i|$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(x_n) < \infty$. Then $\omega(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take k such that $\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega(x_i) < \varepsilon$. Then $|x| \leq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} |x_i| \leq \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} |x_i|$, hence $\omega(x) \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega(|x_i|) < \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $x_n \in A; x_n \geq x_{n+1} \geq 0$ and $\omega(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n) = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_0(x_n)| = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = x; \varepsilon > 0$. There exists $y_n \in A (y_n \geq 0)$ such that $|x| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(y_n) < \varepsilon$.

$$\text{Evidently } x_n = (x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^+ - (x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^- + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i,$$

$$\varphi_0(x_n) = \varphi_0((x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^+) - \varphi_0((x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^-) + \varphi_0(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i).$$

Clearly

$$(x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^+ \searrow 0, \text{ so that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_0((x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^+)| = 0.$$

From Lemma 1.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^- &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \\ |\varphi_0((x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^-)| &\leq \|\varphi\|((x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^-) = \\ &= \omega((x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^-) \leq \omega(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega(y_i) < \varepsilon, \\ |\varphi_0(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i)| &\leq \|\varphi\|(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) = \omega(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega(y_i) < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$|\varphi_0(x_n)| \leq |\varphi_0((x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^+)| + 2\varepsilon, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_0(x_n)| \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

and therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_0(x_n)| = 0$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $x_n \in R$, $x_n \searrow 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider $y_n \in A$, $y_n \geq 0$ such that $\varrho(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-n}$.

We put $z_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i$. Clearly $z_n \in A$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(z_n - x_n) &= \omega(\bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i - \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(y_i - x_i) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(x_i, y_i) < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{1-n} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently

$$\begin{aligned} |\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n| &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{[y_n - (y_n \cap x_n)] + (x_n \cap y_n)\} = \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [y_n - (y_n \cap x_n)] + \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n \cap y_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [y_n - (y_n \cap x_n)] = \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n - (y_n \cap x_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 1.6, put $a = b = c = y_n$; $d = x_n$.

This yields

$$|y_n - (y_n \cap x_n)| \leq |y_n - x_n|,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n \right| &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n - (y_n \cap x_n)| \leq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n - x_n| \leq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i - y_i|, \\ \left| \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n \right| &\leq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} |x_i - y_i| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(x_n - y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.7 $\omega\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n\right) = 0$.

From the definition of z_n , we have $z_n \supseteq z_{n+1}$; therefore, according to Lemma 2.8 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_0(z_n)| = 0$.

By Lemma 2.6

$$|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(z_n)| \leq 2\rho(x_n, z_n) < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{2-n} \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(z_n)| = 0$; $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(z_n)| = 0$.

This gives us $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(x_n)| = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = 0$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $x, y \in R$. Then $\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$.

Proof. We take $u, v \in A$ such that $\rho(x, u) < \varepsilon$; $\rho(y, v) < \varepsilon$.

Then $\rho(x + y, u + v) = \omega(x + y - u - v) \leq \omega(x - u) + \omega(y - v) < 2\varepsilon$,
 $|\varphi(x) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x + y)| \leq |\varphi(x) - \varphi(u)| + |\varphi(y) - \varphi(v)| + |\varphi(u) + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u + v)| + |\varphi(u + v) - \varphi(x + y)| \leq 2\rho(x, u) + 2\rho(y, v) < 2\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon + 4\varepsilon = 8\varepsilon$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $x, x_n \in R$. If $x_n \searrow x$ or $x_n \nearrow x$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x)$.

Proof. Let $x_n \searrow x$. Then $x_n - x \searrow x - x = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n - x) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x)$.

Proof. Let $x_n \nearrow x$. Then $x - x_n \searrow x - x = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x - x_n) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x)$.

Let $x_n \nearrow x$. Then $x - x_n \searrow x - x = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x - x_n) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x)$.

Definition 2.6. For $x \in R$ put $\|\varphi_1\|(x) = \sup \{|\varphi(y)| : y \in R; y \geq 0; y \leq |x|\}$. Evidently $\|\varphi_1\|(x) \geq \|\varphi\|(x)$ for $x \in A$.

Theorem 2.6. $x \in A \Rightarrow \|\varphi\|(x) = \|\varphi_1\|(x)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that for $x \in A$, $\Rightarrow \|\varphi_1\|(x) \leq \|\varphi\|(x)$. It is therefore enough to prove the existence of an element $z \in A$; $z \geq 0$; $z \leq |x|$, for which $\|\varphi_1\|(x) - |\varphi(z)|$ is small enough.

Let $\|\varphi_1\|(x) < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $y \in R$ such that $y \geq 0$; $y \leq |x|$ and $|\varphi(y)| + \varepsilon > \|\varphi_1\|(x)$. We choose $u \in A$ such that $\varrho(y, u) < \varepsilon$ and put $z = u^+ \cap |x|$.

Now $\varrho(y, z) = \varrho(u^+ \cap |x|, y \cap |x|) \leq \varrho(u^+, y) = \varrho(u \cup 0, y \cup 0) \leq \varrho(u, y) < \varepsilon$, $|\varphi(y) - \varphi(z)| \leq 2\varrho(y, z) < 2\varepsilon \Rightarrow |\varphi(y)| < 2\varepsilon + |\varphi(z)|$, $\|\varphi_1\|(x) < |\varphi(y)| + \varepsilon < |\varphi(z)| + 3\varepsilon$.

Now let $\|\varphi_1\|(x) = \infty$. We choose a natural number N . There exists $y \in R$ such that $y \geq 0$, $y \leq |x|$ and $|\varphi(y)| > N$. In a manner analogous to the above construction, we construct an element $z \in A$ such that $z \geq 0$, $z \leq |x|$ and $\varrho(y, z) < \varepsilon$.

Now $|\varphi(y) - \varphi_0(z)| \leq 2\varrho(y, z) < 2\varepsilon \Rightarrow N < |\varphi(y)| \leq |\varphi_0(z)| + 2\varepsilon \Rightarrow |\varphi_0(z)| \geq N - 2\varepsilon$ therefore $\|\varphi\|(x) = \infty$; $x \in A$, which is a contradiction.

From Theorem 2.6 it can be seen that repeating the original construction would not yield any further extension of the functional φ . We should get the same pseudometric ϱ and $\bar{R} = R$, since R is closed with respect to φ .

§ 3. Saturability

Let $A \subset X$ be a lattice ordered group. On A let us define a functional φ_0 for which relations $M_1 - M_3$ hold.

Let φ be an extension of φ_0 on R . We shall use the notation of § 2.

Definition 2.1. We say that functional φ_0 is saturable, if for every $x_n \in A$ and $x_0 \in R$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| \leq x_0$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(x_n)| < \infty$.

Lemma 3.1. $x_n \in R$, $x_n \geq 0$; $x_0 \in R$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \leq x_0 \Rightarrow x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \in R$.

Proof. $0 \leq x \leq x_0 \Rightarrow \omega(x) \leq \omega(x_0) < \infty \Rightarrow x \in F$.

Let $u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$, $\varrho(x, u_n) = \omega(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_i) \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_i)$.

We must prove $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(x, u_n) = 0$. For that it is enough to prove $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n) < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $v_n \in A$, $|v_n| \leq x_n$ such that $\|\varphi\|(x_n) < |\varphi_0(v_n)| + \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-n}$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|(x_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(v_n)| + \varepsilon < \infty$ because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |v_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \leq x_0 \in R$. Thus $x \in R$.

Theorem 3.1. $x_n \in R$, $x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq x_0 \in R$, $x = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \Rightarrow x \in R$ and $\varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n)$.

Proof. $x_n \nearrow x$. Let $y_1 = x_1$, $y_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - x_n \geq 0$, $y_n \in R$, $x_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} y_n = x$, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} y_n = x - x_1 \leq x_0 - x_1 \in R$.

By the abovementioned lemma we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n \in R$, therefore also $x \in R$. The second assertion of Theorem 3.1 follows from Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 3.2. $x_n \in R$, $x_n \geq x_{n+1} \geq x_0 \in R \Rightarrow x = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \in R$ and $\varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n)$.

Proof. Let $y_n = -x_n$; $y_0 = -x_0$. Evidently $y_0, y_n \in R$ and $y_n \leq y_{n+1} \leq y_0$, $y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-x_n) = -(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n) = -x$.

By the Theorem 3.1 $y \in R$, therefore also $x = -y \in R$. Using Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \varphi(-y) = -\varphi(y) = -\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [-\varphi(y_n)] = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(-y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. $x_n \in R$; $x_n \leq x_0 \in R \Rightarrow x = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \in R$.

Proof. Let $y_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i \in R$, as R is a lattice ordered group, $y_n \leq y_{n+1} \leq x_0$.

By Theorem 3.1. $x = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \in R$.

Theorem 3.4. $x_n \in R$; $x_n \geq x_0 \in R \Rightarrow x = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \in R$.

Proof. Analogous to that of Theorem 3.3.

§ 4. Measure and integral

We shall now show that the abovementioned construction may be used to extend a vector measure and integral.

1. Let X be the system of all real — valued functions defined on the set M .

The operations $+$, $-$, \cup and \cap together with the relation \leq , are interpreted in the usual way.

Let A be the system of all simple integrable functions. Let $\varphi_0(f) = \int f d\mu$. Let φ_0 satisfy the axiom M . Then the construction of § 2 gives us an extension theorem for the vector integral, where R is the system of all integrable functions and $g \in R \rightarrow \int g d\mu = \varphi(g)$.

2. Let μ be a vector measure. Let A be the system of all real valued functions which can be written in form $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{E_i}$ where α_i are real numbers, E_i measurable pairwise disjoint subsets and χ_{E_i} the characteristic function of the set E_i . Then $\varphi_0(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(E_i)$. Only vector measures μ such that φ_0 satisfies the axiom M_3 will be considered. X will again be the system of all real — valued functions defined on M . Using the construction of § 2, we can extend the functional φ_0 to φ . Then $\nu(E) = \varphi(\chi_E)$ is the required extension of the vector measure μ .

REFERENCES

- [1] KLUVÁNEK, I.: Intégrale vectorielle de Daniel. *Mat.-fyz. časop.* 15, 1965, 146—161.
- [2] KLUVÁNEK, I.: Completion of vector measure spaces. *Rev. Roum. Math. pures et appl.* 12, 1967, 1483—1488.

Received May 4, 1969

*Katedra matematiky
Prírodovedeckej fakulty Univerzity P. J. Šafárika
Košice*