

Beloslav Riečan  
Note on Ergodicity

*Matematicko-fyzikálny časopis*, Vol. 16 (1966), No. 4, 320--323

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126988>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1966

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**NOTE ON ERGODICITY**

BELOSLAV RIEČAN, Bratislava

A measurable transformation  $T$  on a measure space  $(X, S, m)$  is ergodic, iff for any almost invariant set  $E \in S$  (i. e. such set  $E$  that  $m(T^{-1}E \Delta E) = 0$ ) it is  $m(E) = 0$  or  $m(X - E) = 0$ . (We do not suppose that  $T$  is measure preserving.)

Our note deals with a criterion of ergodicity from paper [2]. We shall prove that in the criterion the assumption that  $T$  is measure preserving can be replaced by the weaker assumption that  $T$  is incompressible.

First we shall formulate our propositions algebraically. We shall suppose that a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $S$  and a  $\sigma$ -isomorphism  $T$  of this algebra are given. Further a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $N \subset S$  is given and  $TN = N$ .

A transformation  $T$  of  $S$  into  $S$  will be called incompressible, iff from the relation  $T^{-1}E \subset E$  it follows that  $E - T^{-1}E \in N$ . A  $\sigma$ -isomorphism  $T$  is incompressible iff from the relation  $T^{-1}E \subset E \in N$  it follows that  $E - T^{-1}E \in N$  or else iff from the relation  $E \subset T^{-1}E \in N$  it follows that  $T^{-1}E - E \in N$ .

If  $T$  is an incompressible transformation, then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}E \in N$  (see [3]).

Let  $(X, S, m)$  be a measure space,  $S$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $T$  an invertible transformation  $X$  into  $X$  (i. e.  $T$  is one-to-one, onto and the transformations  $T, T^{-1}$  are measurable). If in addition  $T$  is non singular (i. e.  $m(E) = 0$  iff  $m(T^{-1}E) = 0$ ) then all the assumptions of our algebraic formulation are satisfied. We have  $TE = \{Tx : x \in E\}$ . Besides, if  $T$  is incompressible and invertible, then  $T$  is also non singular.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $T$  be an incompressible  $\sigma$ -isomorphism of a Boolean  $\sigma$  algebra  $S$  onto itself,  $N$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal in  $S, TN = N$ . For any  $E \in S$  put  $E_1 = E \cap T^{-1}E$*

$$E_n = E \cap (T^{-n}E - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} T^{-i}E) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

$$P = \{T^i E_j : 1 \leq i < j, j > 1\}, \quad G = E \cup \bigcup \{L : L \in P\}, \quad F = G'.^{(1)}$$

*Then the set  $R = \{E_i\} \cup P \cup \{F\}$  is a partition of the greatest element  $X$  of*

<sup>(1)</sup>  $G'$  is the complement of the element  $G$ .

the Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $S$  and the elements  $G, F$  are almost invariant under  $T$  (i.e.  $T^{-1}G \setminus G \in N, T^{-1}F \setminus F \in N$ ).

Proof. Evidently  $E \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Since  $T$  is incompressible, it is  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in N$ . Notice that  $E_n$  are pairwise disjoint. Besides, for  $i < j$  we have  $T^i E_j \subset E'$ , but  $T^j E_j \subset E$ . Hence  $E \cap D = O$  for all  $D \in P$ . Let  $T^i E_j \in P, T^k E_n \in P$  and  $(i, j) \neq (k, n)$ . If  $i = k$ , then  $T^i E_j \cap T^k E_n = T^i (E_j \cap E_n) = T^i O = O$ . If  $i \neq k$ , hence e. g.  $i < k$ , then  $T^i E_j \cap T^k E_n = T^i (E_j \cap T^{k-i} E_n)$ . But  $k - i < n$ , hence  $T^{k-i} E_n \subset E'$ , while  $E_j \subset E$ . Hence any two elements from the set  $P$  are disjoint.

It remains to be proved that the elements  $F$  and  $G$  are almost invariant. Clearly  $G = C \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \cup \bigcup_{i < j} T^i E_j$ , where  $C \in N$ . Prove that  $TG \subset D \cup E \cup \bigcup_{i < j} T^i E_j$ , where  $D \in N$ . First of all  $TC \in N$ . Further

$$T \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = (T \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \cap E) \cup (T \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i - E) \subset E \cup (T \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i - E).$$

But  $T \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i - E = \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} (TE_k - E)$ , since  $TE_1 - E = T(E \cap T^{-1}E) - E = TE \cap E - E = O$ . From this it follows

$$T \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i - E \subset \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} TE_k \subset \bigcup_{i < j} T^i E_j.$$

Finally

$$T(\bigcup_{i < j} T^i E_j) \subset \bigcup_{i < j} T^i E_j \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} T^j E_j \subset E \cup \bigcup_{i < j} T^i E_j.$$

We have proved that  $TG \subset D \cup G$ , where  $D \in N$ , hence  $G \setminus T^{-1}G \in N$ . Since  $T$  is incompressible, we have  $T^{-1}G \setminus G \in N$ , hence  $G \setminus T^{-1}G \in N$  and  $G$  is almost invariant. Now it is obvious that  $F$  is almost invariant too.

Note 1. From Theorem 1 the recurrence-partition theorem from article [2] easily follows. That theorem can result from Theorem 1 by the special choice of  $S, T, N$  introduced above. In [2] it is assumed besides that  $X$  has a finite measure and  $T$  is measure preserving.

For an algebraic formulation of the next theorem we need to modify the notion of ergodic transformation. An isomorphism  $T$  of the algebra  $S$  onto  $S$  is ergodic iff from the relation  $T^{-1}E \setminus E \in N$  it follows that  $E \in N$  or  $E' \in N$ . We want to define another notion. An element  $H \in S$  has a recurrent part iff there is  $D \subset H, D \notin N$  and a positive integer  $k$  such that  $T^k D \setminus H \in N$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let under the assumptions of Theorem 1 be  $F \in \mathcal{N}$ . A sufficient condition that  $T$  be ergodic is that  $E$  contains no element  $H \subset E$ ,  $E - H \in \mathcal{N}$  with a recurrent part.<sup>(2)</sup>*

**Proof.** If  $T$  is not ergodic, then there are  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $G = H_1 \cup H_2$ ,  $H_1, H_2$  are almost invariant,  $H_1 \cap H_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $H_1 \notin \mathcal{N}$ ,  $H_2 \notin \mathcal{N}$ . If  $H_1 \cap H_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $T^i E_j = N_1 \cup N_2$ , where  $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $N_2 \subset H_2$ . Then also  $G = N_1 \cup N_2$ , where  $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $N_2 \subset H_2$ , but it is in contradiction to the assumption. Hence  $H_1 \cap E \notin \mathcal{N}$  and also  $H_2 \cap E \notin \mathcal{N}$ .

Put  $H = H_1 \cap E$ . From the above  $H \notin \mathcal{N}$ ,  $E - H \notin \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $H = N_1 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (H \cap E_n)$ , where  $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal, there is such an  $n$  that  $H \cap E_n \notin \mathcal{N}$ . But then  $H$  has a recurrent part  $D = H \cap E_n$ , since  $T^n(H \cap E_n) \subset T^n E_n \subset E$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, m)$  be a measure space with a completely finite measure,  $T$  be an incompressible and invertible transformation on  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ . Denote by  $E_i$  the set of all  $x \in E$  for which  $T^i x \in E$ , but  $T^j x \notin E$  for  $i > j$ . Let  $m(X - E \cup \bigcup \{T^i E_j : i < j, j > 1\}) = 0$ .*

*A sufficient condition that  $T$  be ergodic is that  $E$  contains no proper subsets with recurrent parts (i. e. that there do not exist sets,  $D, H \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $D \subset H \subset E$ ,  $m(E) > m(H) > 0$ ,  $T^n D \subset E$  for some  $n$ ).*

**Proof.**  $\mathcal{S}$  is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $T$  a  $\sigma$ -isomorphism. If we put  $\mathcal{N} = \{E : m(E) = 0\}$ , then all assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

**Note 2.** From Theorem 3 the ergodicity theorem from article [2] follows. In [2] it is supposed in addition that  $T$  is measure preserving. But we know an example of a space  $(X, \mathcal{S}, m)$  and an incompressible and invertible transformation  $T$  such that there is no invariant measure equivalent to  $m$ .<sup>(3)</sup>

Theorem 3 can be formulated also in another way. A set  $B$  is called the least almost invariant set over  $E$ , if  $B \supset E$ ,  $B$  is almost invariant and for any almost invariant set  $C \supset E$  we have  $B - C \in \mathcal{N}$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, m)$  be a measure space with a completely finite measure,  $T$  be an incompressible and invertible transformation on  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  be an arbitrary set and  $X$  be the least almost invariant set over  $E$ . If  $E$  contains no proper subsets with recurrent parts then  $T$  is ergodic.*

**Proof.** If  $X$  is the least almost invariant set over  $E$ , then, since  $E \cup \bigcup \{T^i E_j : i < j, j > 1\}$  is almost invariant, we have  $m(X - E \cup \{T^i E_j : i < j, j > 1\}) = 0$ , hence we can use Theorem 3.

<sup>(2)</sup>  $E$  is an arbitrary but fixed element.

<sup>(3)</sup> See e. g. [1], p. 116 of the Russian translation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Halmos P. R., *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*, Tokyo 1956.  
(Лекции по эргодической теории, Москва 1959.)
- [2] Preissendorfer R. W., Roos B. W., *Recurrence-partitions of finite measure spaces with applications to ergodic theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1961), 91—101.
- [3] Риечан Б., *Замечание к теореме Пуанкаре о возвращении на булевских кольцах*, Mat.-fyz. časop. 15 (1965), 116—125.
- [4] Wright F. B., *The converse of the individual ergodic theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 415—420.

Received June 23, 1965.

*Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie  
Stavebnej fakulty  
Slovenskej vysokej školy technickej,  
Bratislava*