

Matematický časopis

Jozef Antoni

On the Summability of Subsequences

Matematický časopis, Vol. 21 (1971), No. 2, 160--166

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126430>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE SUMMABILITY OF SUBSEQUENCES

JOZEF ANTONI, Bratislava

The present paper deals with regular matrix summability methods and their relations to the sets of limit points of transformed subsequences.

1

First we introduce some preliminary results. Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary sequence. With each subsequence $\{s_{m_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ we can associate the number $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{2^i}$. Conversely, let $0 < x \leq 1$ and

$$(1) \quad x = 0 . \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots$$

be its dyadic expansion with infinitely many 1's. Let $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the set of all indices in (1) such that $\alpha_{m_i} = 1$. Using $\{m_i\}$ we can associate to x the subsequence $\{s_{m_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, which will be denoted by $\{s(n, x)\}$. This one-to-one mapping of all subsequences of a sequence $\{s_n\}$ on the interval $(0, 1)$ have been utilized by Buck and Pollard [1] to study certain properties of subsequences.

Let $T = (a_{mn})$ be a regular summability method. Let (1) be the dyadic expansion of the number x with $\alpha_{n_k} = 1$ and $\alpha_n = 0$ for $n \neq n_k (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$. Let us put

$$\sigma(m, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{mk} s(k, x), \text{ where } s(k, x) = s_{n_k},$$

$$\varphi(k, m) = \sup \left\{ \nu : \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |a_{mn}| < k^{-1} \right\}$$

$$\psi(k, m) = \min \left\{ \nu : \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| < k^{-1} \right\}$$

and $F(k) = \sup_m \{ |\varphi(k, m) - \psi(k, m)| \}$.

We now recall the definition of the homogeneous set and two sufficient

conditions for the homogeneity of a set from [3]. Let $|A|$ ($|A|_e$) denote the Lebesgue measure (the exterior Lebesgue measure) of the set A .

Definition. A set $M \subset (0, 1)$ is said to be homogeneous if for two arbitrary intervals $I_1, I_2 \subset (0, 1)$ the equality

$$\frac{|I_1 \cap M|_e}{|I_1|} = \frac{|I_2 \cap M|_e}{|I_2|}$$

holds.

Theorem A. A set $M \subset (0, 1)$ is homogeneous if an arbitrary interval $I \subset (0, 1)$ can be divided into a countable system of intervals I_n with the following properties:

a) every two intervals $I_{n_1} \neq I_{n_2}$ have at most one endpoint in common,

b) $|\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n| = |I|$

c) for every n the set $I_n \cap M$ is geometrically analogous either to the set M or to a set M_n , being distinct from M in at most a set of the measure zero.

Theorem B. Let $M \subset (0, 1)$ be such a measurable set that for an arbitrary irrational number $x_0 \in (0, 1)$, $x_0 = 0.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\dots$ either all or none of numbers $x_p = 0.\alpha_{p+1}\alpha_{p+2}\dots$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) belong to M . Then M is a homogeneous set and $|M| = 0$ or 1 .

In [1] and [4] a restricted definition of the homogeneous set is used. This definition is convenient as a criterion and is given in Theorem C.

Theorem C. Let a measurable set $M \subset (0, 1)$ have the following property: If $x = 0.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\dots$ is the dyadic expansion of a point x of M , then the point obtained by altering a finite number of α_i also belongs to M . Then M is a homogeneous set and $|M| = 0$ or 1 .

Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence and T be a regular matrix summability method. Golubov [2] (Theorem 2) proved that there is a set Q residual in $(0, 1)$ such that for every $x \in Q$ the inclusion $\{\sigma(n, x)\}' \supset \{s_n\}'$ is valid. ($\{t_n\}'$ denotes the set of all limit points of the sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$). The following asserts that the set

$$Q_1 = \{x \in (0, 1) : \{\sigma(n, x)\}' \supset \{s_n\}'\}$$

is measurable. Inclusion $Q_1 \supset Q$ is evident.

Theorem 1. Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence, T a regular matrix summability method. Then the set Q_1 is a union of a countable set and a G_δ set.

Proof. Let M be the set of all rational numbers of the interval $(0, 1)$.

Let $X = (0, 1) - M$, $Q_2 = Q_1 \cap X$ and $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_m, \dots\}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) be a dense subset of the set $\{s_n\}'$. Let us put

$$S_{mnp}^k = \{x \in X: |\sigma(n + p, x) - u_m| < k^{-1}\}$$

and

$$S_{mn}^k = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} S_{mnp}^k.$$

We shall show that

$$(2) \quad Q_2 = \bigcap_m \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{mn}^k.$$

Let x belong to the right-hand side of (2). Then the statement

$$(3) \quad \forall_m \forall_k \forall_n \exists_p |\sigma(n + p, x) - u_m| < k^{-1}$$

is valid. The validity of the statement $u_m \in \{\sigma(n, x)\}'$ for every m follows from (3). Since $\overline{\{u_m\}} = \{s_n\}'$, we obtain $\{s_n\}' \subset \{\sigma(n, x)\}'$. As $x \in X$, we have $x \in Q_2$.

Let $x \in Q_2$. Let m, n, k be three arbitrary positive integers. Since $\{\sigma(n, x)\}' \supset \{s_n\}' \supset \{u_m\}$, we have $u_m \in \{\sigma(n, x)\}'$ for every m . Also there is a strongly increasing sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ such that $\sigma(n_l, x) \rightarrow u_m$. We can choose l such that $n_l > n$ and $|\sigma(n_l, x) - u_m| < k^{-1}$. Let $p = n_l - n$. Then $\sigma(n_l, x) = \sigma(n + p, x)$ and $|\sigma(n + p, x) - u_m| < k^{-1}$. Since $x \in X$, from the definition of S_{mnp}^k it follows that $x \in S_{mnp}^k$ for arbitrary m, n, k and thus x belongs to the right-hand side of (2).

We now show that S_{mnp}^k is an open set in X . It is sufficient to prove that $\sigma(n + p, x)$ is a continuous function of the variable $x \in X$. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point from X . Since $\{s_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence, there exists a number $C > 0$ such that $|s_m| \leq C$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$). Let η be an arbitrary number. Let us choose N such that $\sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+pl}| < \eta/2C$. Let $x_0 = 0.\alpha_1^0\alpha_2^0\dots$ be the dyadic expansion of x_0 with infinitely many digits equal to 1. Let $N' \geq N$ be a positive integer such that among the first N' digits of the dyadic expansion of x_0 exactly N digits are equal to 1. Let O_{x_0} be an open set in X such that $x_0 \in O_{x_0}$ and for each $x \in O_{x_0}$, $x = 0.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\dots$ we have $\alpha_l = \alpha_l^0$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots, N'$). Then $s(l, x) = s(l, x_0)$ for $x \in O_{x_0}$ and $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Thus we get

$$|\sigma(n + p, x) - \sigma(n + p, x_0)| \leq \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+pl}| |s(l, x) - s(l, x_0)| \leq$$

$$\leq 2C \sum_{l=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{n+l}| < \eta$$

Hence $\sigma(n + p, x)$ is a continuous function of the variable x and the sets S_{mnp}^k are open in X . Q_2 is a G_δ set in X , as it follows from (2) and Q_2 is a G_δ set in $(0, 1)$, too. The set $M \cap Q_1$ is countable. $Q_1 = Q_2 \cup (M \cap Q_1)$ and the theorem is proved.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for Q_1 to be a homogeneous set.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence. Let $T = (a_{mn})$ be a regular matrix summability method satisfying the following two conditions*

(i) $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |a_{mn}| = 0$

(ii) $F(k) = o(k)$.

Then Q_1 is a homogeneous set.

Corollary. *If a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and a regular summability method satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2, then $|Q_1| = 0$ or 1.*

To prove Theorem 2 we need the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let T be a regular summability method defined by the matrix (a_{mn}) . Then there exists a positive integer m_0 such that $\varphi(k, m) < +\infty$ and $\varphi(k, m) \leq \psi(k, m)$ for each $m \geq m_0$ and $k \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let $A_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}$ and $0 < \eta < 2^{-1}$. It is known that $A_m \rightarrow 1$ and obviously $\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |a_{mn}| \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} a_{mn}$. Let us choose a natural number $m_0 = m_0(\eta)$ such that

$$(4) \quad |A_m^{-1}| < \eta/2$$

for $m \geq m_0$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} a_{mn} \rightarrow A_m$ for $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a $\nu_0(m)$ such that

$$(5) \quad \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} a_{mn} - A_m \right| < \eta/2$$

for $\nu > \nu_0(m)$. The inequality $\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} a_{mn} > 1 - \eta$ follows from (4) and (5). If we consider $k \geq 3$, then $1 - \eta > k^{-1}$. Thus $\varphi(k, m) < \infty$ for $k \geq 3$ and $m \geq m_0$. Suppose that $\varphi(k, m) > \psi(k, m)$ for some $k \geq 3$ and $m \geq m_0$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\varphi(k,m)} |a_{mn}| + \sum_{n=\psi(k,m)+1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| \geq A_m$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\varphi(k,m)} |a_{mn}| < k^{-1}$ and $\sum_{\nu(k,m)+1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| < k^{-1}$,

we have by (4)

$$\frac{2}{3} \geq \frac{2}{k} \geq A_m > 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} > \frac{3}{4}.$$

This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 2. The validity of the statement “ Q_1 is a measurable set” can be easily verified by Theorem 1. We are permitted to consider only irrational numbers of Q_1 when investigating the homogeneity of the set Q_1 by Theorem A. x_p has the same meaning as in Theorem B. Let $|s_n| \leq C$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us choose $k_0 \geq 3$ and M_0 such that $\varphi(k, m) < \infty$, $\varphi(k, m) \leq \psi(k, m)$, $4C/k < \varepsilon/2$, $2CF(k)/k < \varepsilon/2$ for $k > k_0$ and $m > M_0$. It can be done according to (ii). Let us choose a fixed $k > k_0$. We conclude from (i) that there exists an $M_1 \geq M_0$ such that $|a_{mn}| < k^{-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) $m > M_1$. If $x_0 \in Q_1$ and $k, m > M_1$ are chosen in the above mentioned way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(m, x_0) - \sigma(m, x_p)| &= \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{m\nu} s_{n_\nu} - \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{m\nu} s_{p_\nu} \right| \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\varphi(m,k)} |a_{m\nu}| |s_{n_\nu} - s_{p_\nu}| + \\ &+ \sum_{\varphi(k,m)+1}^{\nu(k,m)} |a_{m\nu}| |s_{n_\nu} - s_{p_\nu}| + \sum_{\nu(k,m)+1}^{\infty} |a_{m\nu}| |s_{n_\nu} - s_{p_\nu}| \leq \frac{2C}{k} + \\ &+ \frac{2C|\psi(k,m) - \varphi(k,m)|}{k} + \frac{2C}{k} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma(m, x_0) - \sigma(m, x_p)| = 0$ holds for every p . Thus $\{\sigma(m, x_p)\}' \supset \supset \{\sigma(m, x_0)\}' \supset \{s_n\}'$ and $x_p \in Q_1$. Q_1 is a homogeneous set according to Theorem B.

2

Henceforth a subsequence of the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ means the sequence $\{\alpha_n s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $\alpha_n = 0$ or 1 and $\alpha_n = 1$ for infinitely many n . Also a one-to-one mapping between the set of all subsequences of the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and the interval $(0, 1)$ can be defined in an analogous way as in the first part of the paper. Let $x \in (0, 1)$ and $x = 0.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\dots$ be the dyadic expansion with infinitely many digits equal to 1 . If $T = (a_{mn})$ is a regular matrix summability method, then we put

$$\tau(m, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} \alpha_n s_n.$$

An analogous theorem to Theorem 1 can be obtained if $\sigma(n, x)$ is replaced by $\tau(n, x)$. The following theorem is analogous to Theorem 2 but any other conditions for the summability method except that of regularity are not required.

Theorem 3. *Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a bounded sequence and T a regular summability method. Then*

$$Q_1 = \{x \in (0, 1) : \{\tau(n, x)\}' \supset \{s_n\}'\}$$

is a measurable homogeneous set (and hence $|Q_1| = 0$ or 1).

Proof. It can be easily shown that Q_1 is a measurable set. Let $x = 0.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\dots$ ($\alpha_i = 0$ or 1 and for infinitely many i we have $\alpha_i = 1$) belong to Q_1 . Let $y = 0.\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\dots$ be a point obtained by altering a finite number of the α_i and $|s_n| \leq C$, $C > 0$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$K_0 = \min \{k : \alpha_i = \beta_i \text{ for } i > k\}.$$

Since T is a regular matrix summability method, there exists an integer M_0 such that $|a_{mn}| < \varepsilon/K_0C$ for $m \geq M_0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, K_0$. Then we have for $m \geq M_0$

$$|\tau(m, x) - \tau(m, y)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}\alpha_n s_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}\beta_n s_n \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| |\alpha_n - \beta_n| |s_n| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus we obtain that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(m, x) - \tau(m, y)| = 0$ and therefore $y \in Q_1$. Q_1 is a homogeneous set according to Theorem C.

Remark. The assumption of regularity in Theorem 3 is essential. If the regularity of a summability method is not required, then there exists a summability method summing every convergent sequence and a bounded sequence such that $|Q_1| = 2^{-p}$. The construction of this method for $p = 1$ is given in the following example.

Example. Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a bounded sequence for which $s_1 \neq 0$, $s_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and $|s_n| \geq C$. We define the summability method A by the matrix (a_{mn}) , where $a_{m1} = 3Cs_1^{-1}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$), $a_{mn} = 1$ ($m = 2, 3, \dots$) and $a_{nk} = 0$ for $k \neq 1, n$. Let $\tau(n, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}\alpha_k s_k$. Then $\tau(n, x) = \alpha_n s_n$ for $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\tau(n, x) = 3C + \alpha_n s_n$ for $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. It follows from the above that

$$|\{x \in (0, 1) : \{\tau(n, x)\}' \supset \{s_n\}'\}| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Buck R. C., Pollard H., *Convergence and summability properties of subsequences*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1943), 924—931.
- [2] Голубов Б. П., *О суммировании последовательностей*, Изв. Высш. Учеб. Завед. Математика № 4 (41), (1964), 47—55.
- [3] Кнорр К., *Mengentheoretische Behandlung einiger Probleme der diophantischen Approximationen und der transfiniten Wahrscheinlichkeiten*, Math. Ann. 95 (1926), 409—426.
- [4] Кук Р., *Бесконечные матрицы и пространства последовательностей*, Москва 1960.

Received October 17, 1969.

*Matematický ústav
Slovenskej akadémie vied
Bratislava*