

Dănuț Marcu

Note on  $k$ -chromatic graphs

*Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 119 (1994), No. 1, 43–48

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126206>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

NOTE ON  $k$ -CHROMATIC GRAPHS

DĂNUȚ MARCU, Bucharest

(Received October 19, 1992)

*Summary.* In this paper we characterize  $k$ -chromatic graphs without isolated vertices and connected  $k$ -chromatic graphs having a minimal number of edges.

*Keywords:* colouring of a graph,  $k$ -chromatic graph, independent set, chromatic number

*AMS classification:* 1980, 05C40

Graphs, considered here, are finite and simple (without loops and multiple edges), and [1, 2] are followed for terminology and notation. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph, with  $V$  the set of vertices and  $E$  the set of edges, such that  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = m$ . By *colouring* a graph we mean painting the vertices of the graph with one or more distinct colours. By *properly colouring* a graph, we mean painting the vertices of the graph in such a way that no two adjacent vertices are painted with the same colour. The *chromatic number*  $\gamma(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the least number of distinct colours that can be used to colour the graph properly. A graph is said to be *complete*, if every two vertices of it are joined by an edge. We shall denote by  $K_n$  the complete graph on  $n$  vertices. If  $v$  is an arbitrary vertex of  $G$ , we shall denote by  $G - v$  the subgraph obtained from  $G$  by deleting  $v$  together with its incident edges.

A set of vertices in a graph is said to be an *independent set* if no two vertices in it are adjacent.

For any real number  $x$ , we use  $\lceil x \rceil$  to denote the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $x$ , and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  to denote the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G = (V, E)$  is a graph without isolated vertices and  $\gamma(G) = k$ , then*

$$m \geq \binom{k}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rceil.$$

**Proof.** First, suppose that for each  $v \in V$  the subgraph  $G - v$  contains isolated vertices. Let  $w$  be an isolated vertex of  $G - v$ , that is,  $w$  is adjacent only to  $v$  in  $G$ . However, the subgraph  $G - w$  also contains isolated vertices. Thus,  $(v, w) \in E$  and vertices  $v, w$  are not adjacent to other vertices in  $G$ .

Repeating this reasoning, we obtain that if for each  $v \in V$  the subgraph  $G - v$  contains isolated vertices and  $G$  does not contain isolated vertices, then  $n$  is even,  $\gamma(G) = 2$  and

$$m = \frac{n}{2} = \binom{2}{2} + \frac{n-2}{2}.$$

However, this number is the minimal number of edges of  $G$ , since  $G$  does not contain isolated vertices and, hence, the degree  $d(v)$  of each vertex of  $G$  is at least equal to 1. Therefore, we have

$$2m = \sum_{v \in V} d(v) \geq n,$$

that is,

$$m \geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Thus, in this case, the theorem is proved.

In the sequel, we shall prove the theorem by induction on  $n$ . So, suppose that the theorem is true for all graphs  $G$  having  $n - 1$  vertices and the chromatic number equal to  $k$  ( $k \leq n - 1$ ). Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices. If  $\gamma(G) = n$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $K_n$ , and the theorem is proved. Suppose that  $\gamma(G) = k \leq n - 1$ . Let  $v \in V$  be such that  $G - v$  does not contain isolated vertices. If such a vertex does not exist, we have seen above that the theorem is true. We have two cases.

(a)  $\gamma(G - v) = k$ . Thus, by the induction hypothesis, the minimal number of edges of the subgraph  $G - v$  is equal to

$$\binom{k}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n-k-1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

But  $v$  is not an isolated vertex. Thus,  $d(v) \geq 1$  and, therefore, the number of edges of  $G$  is greater than or equal to

$$\binom{k}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n-k-1}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \geq \binom{k}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rceil.$$

We obtain equality, that is,

$$m = \binom{k}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rceil,$$

only if  $n - k$  is odd,  $d(v) = 1$  and the subgraph  $G - v$  has a minimal number of edges.

(b)  $\gamma(G - v) = k - 1$ . In this case there exists a partition of  $V$  consisting of independent sets in the form  $\{v\}, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k-1}$ , and  $v$  is joined by an edge to at least one vertex from each class  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k-1}$ . Thus  $d(v) \geq k - 1$ , as otherwise  $\gamma(G) \leq k - 1$ , which contradicts the hypothesis, that is, the fact that  $\gamma(G) = k$ . Hence, the number of edges of  $G - v$  plus  $k - 1$  is a lower bound for  $m$  and, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$m \geq \binom{k-1}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n-1-(k-1)}{2} \right\rceil + k - 1 = \binom{k}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rceil.$$

The equality holds only if  $d(v) = k - 1$  and the subgraph  $G - v$  has a minimal number of edges.  $\square$

Following the above proof and the cases when inequalities become equalities, we obtain, by induction, the characterization of graphs  $G$  without isolated vertices, with  $n$  vertices and  $\gamma(G) = k$ , which have a minimal number of edges, as follows.

If  $n - k$  is even, the graph  $G$  with a minimal number of edges is unique (up to an isomorphism) and consists of a subgraph  $K_k$  and  $n - k$  vertices which are pairwise joined by  $\frac{1}{2}(n - k)$  edges.

If  $n - k$  is odd, then there are two types of non-isomorphic graphs which have a minimal number of edges: a graph consisting of subgraph  $K_k$ ,  $n - k - 1$  vertices which are pairwise joined by  $\frac{1}{2}(n - k - 1)$  edges, and another vertex which is joined by an edge to an arbitrary vertex of  $K_k$ . The other type consists of a subgraph  $K_k$ ,  $n - k - 1$  vertices which are pairwise joined by  $\frac{1}{2}(n - k - 1)$  edges, and another vertex which is joined by an edge to a vertex which does not belong to  $K_k$ . Obviously, for  $k = 2$ , these two types of graphs coincide.

Indeed, in case (a), in order to obtain the minimal value of  $m$ , the number  $n - k - 1$  must be even. Thus, the subgraph  $G - v$  having a minimal number of edges is unique, and for  $v$  we have two possibilities of joining it by an edge such that  $d(v) = 1$ .

In case (b), the vertex  $v$  is joined to all vertices of the subgraph  $K_{k-1}$  of  $G - v$  which has a minimal number of edges, as otherwise we obtain  $\gamma(G) < k$ , contradicting the hypothesis ( $\gamma(G) = k$ ). Hence, the minimal graph must have necessarily the above indicated structure. If  $G$  has  $n$  vertices,  $\gamma(G) = k$  and no restriction is imposed on  $G$ , then the minimal number of edges is equal to  $\binom{k}{2}$ , since between two arbitrary classes of a partition of  $V$  consisting of  $k$  independent sets there exists at least one edge, as otherwise  $\gamma(G) < k$ , contradicting the hypothesis ( $\gamma(G) = k$ ). It is easy to show similarly, by induction on  $n$ , that the single graph having this minimal number of edges consists of a subgraph  $K_k$  and  $n - k$  isolated vertices. Thus, we have obtained

$$m \geq \frac{k^2 - k}{2} + \frac{n - k}{2}$$

or

$$k^2 - 2k + n - 2m \leq 0,$$

wherefrom

$$k \leq 1 + \sqrt{2m - n + 1}.$$

**Corollary.** *If  $G = (V, E)$  is a graph without isolated vertices, then*

$$\gamma(G) \leq 1 + \sqrt{2m - n + 1}.$$

It is easy to see that this inequality becomes equality, for example, if  $G$  is isomorphic to  $K_n$ .

According to [3], if  $G$  is connected, then

$$\gamma(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{3 + \sqrt{9 + 8(m - n)}}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Thus, if  $G$  is connected and  $\gamma(G) = k$ , we have

$$m \geq \binom{k}{2} + n - k.$$

The connected graph having this minimal number of edges is not unique. For example, it consists of a subgraph  $K_k$  and  $n - k$  vertices, each of them being joined by an edge to a vertex of  $K_k$ , or it consists of a subgraph  $K_k$  and a path with  $n - k$  vertices which is joined by an edge to a vertex of  $K_k$ .

For  $k = 2$ , these graphs are trees with  $n$  vertices. For  $k = 3$ , such a minimal connected graph is composed by an odd cycle with  $p$  vertices ( $3 \leq p \leq n$ ), such that the other  $n - p$  vertices either are joined to a vertex of the cycle or form paths joined by an edge to a vertex of the cycle. More generally, we have

**Theorem 2.** *The minimal number of edges of a connected graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and  $\gamma(G) = k$  ( $2 \leq k \leq n$ ) is equal to*

$$\binom{k}{2} + n - k.$$

*The graphs having this minimal number of edges are of the following kind:*

- (1) for  $k = 2$ , they are trees with  $n$  vertices;
- (2) for  $k = 3$ , they consist of an odd cycle with  $p$  vertices ( $3 \leq p \leq n$ ) and  $n - p$  vertices such that if the vertices of the cycle are identified to a single vertex, then the resulting graph is a tree;

(3) for  $k \geq 4$ , they consist of a subgraph  $K_k$  and  $n - k$  vertices such that if the vertices of  $K_k$  are identified to a single vertex, the resulting graph is a tree.

PROOF. Obviously, for  $k = 2$ , the theorem is true. The connected graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and  $\gamma(G) = 2$  which has a minimal number of edges is a tree with  $n - 1$  edges, since the existence of a cycle is in contradiction with the hypothesis of minimality for the number of edges. For  $k \geq 3$ , we proceed by induction on  $n$ . Obviously, for  $n = 2, 3$ , the theorem is true. So, suppose that the theorem is true for all graphs with  $n - 1$  vertices and let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $n$  vertices and  $\gamma(G) = k$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , there exists a vertex  $v$  such that the subgraph  $G - v$  is connected as well. We have two cases.

(a) If  $\gamma(G - v) = k$ , then, by the induction hypothesis, the minimal number of edges of  $G - v$  is equal to

$$\binom{k}{2} + n - k - 1,$$

and  $G - v$  is of one of the above kinds. Thus,  $\binom{k}{2} + n - k$  is a lower bound for the number of edges of  $G$  since,  $G$  being connected, we must have  $d(v) \geq 1$ .

The connected graph  $G$  has a minimal number of edges only if  $G - v$  has a minimal number of edges and  $d(v) = 1$ . Hence,  $G$  is of a kind specified in the theorem.

(b) If  $\gamma(G - v) = k - 1$ , then  $G$  has a colouring consisting of classes  $\{v\}, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k-1}$ , and  $v$  is joined by an edge to at least one vertex of each independent set  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k-1}$ . Thus,  $d(v) \geq k - 1$ . Then

$$\binom{k-1}{2} + n - k + k - 1 = \binom{k}{2} + n - k$$

is a lower bound for the number of edges of  $G$ , and  $G$  has a minimal number of edges only if  $d(v) = k - 1$  and the connected graph  $G - v$  has a minimal number of edges.

If  $k \geq 5$ , then by the induction hypothesis, the minimal connected subgraph  $G - v$  is of kind 3. Thus, the vertex  $v$  is joined to each vertex of the subgraph  $K_{k-1}$  of  $G - v$ , as otherwise we obtain  $\gamma(G) = k - 1$ , contradicting the hypothesis ( $\gamma(G) = k$ ). Hence, in this case,  $G$  is also of kind 3.

If  $k = 4$ , the minimal subgraph  $G - v$  consists of a triangle and  $n - 4$  vertices which form trees which are joined by an edge to a variable vertex of the triangle, and the vertex  $v$  is joined to all vertices of the triangle due to the fact that  $d(v) = 3$ , since, otherwise,  $\gamma(G) = 3$ . In this case, the minimal graph  $G$  is of kind 3.

If  $k = 3$ , the subgraph  $G - v$  is a tree with  $n - 1$  vertices and  $d(v) = 2$ . Thus, the graph  $G$  contains a single odd cycle since  $\gamma(G) = 3$ , the other vertices being vertices of some trees which are joined by an edge to a variable vertex of the odd cycle. In this case, the minimal connected graph  $G$  is of kind 2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** I wish to thank the referee for his helpful comments, kindness and interest concerning this paper.

*References*

- [1] *C. Berge*: Graphes et Hypergraphes. Dunod, Paris, 1970.
- [2] *N. Christofides*: Graph Theory — An Algorithmic Approach. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [3] *A. Ershov and G. Kuzhukhin*: Estimates of the chromatic number of connected graphs. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. 142, 2 (1962), 270-273. (In Russian.)

*Author's address: Dănuț Marcu, Str. Pasului 3, Sect. 2, 70241 Bucharest, Romania.*