

Jaroslav Fuka; Zbigniew Jerzy Jakubowski

On some applications of harmonic measure in the geometric theory of analytic functions

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 119 (1994), No. 1, 57–74

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126198>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON SOME APPLICATIONS OF HARMONIC MEASURE
IN THE GEOMETRIC THEORY OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

JAROSLAV FUKA, Praha, Z. J. JAKUBOWSKI, Łódź

(Received April 7, 1993)

Summary. Let \mathcal{P} denote the well-known class of functions of the form $p(z) = 1 + q_1 z + \dots$ holomorphic in the unit disc \mathbf{D} and fulfilling the condition $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ in \mathbf{D} . Let $0 \leq b < 1$, $b < B$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, be fixed real numbers and \mathbf{F} a given measurable subset of the unit circle \mathbf{T} of Lebesgue measure $2\pi\alpha$. For each $\tau \in (-\pi, \pi)$, denote by $\mathbf{F}_\tau = \{\xi \in \mathbf{T}; e^{-i\tau}\xi \in \mathbf{F}\}$ the set arising by rotation of \mathbf{F} through the angle τ . Denote by $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ the class of functions $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the following condition: there exists $\tau \in (-\pi, \pi)$ such that $\operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) \geq B$ a.e. on \mathbf{F}_τ and $\operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) \geq b$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau$.

In the paper the properties of the class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ for different values of the parameters B , b , α and measurable sets \mathbf{F} are examined. This article belongs to the series of papers ([4], [5], [6]) where different classes of functions defined by conditions on the circle \mathbf{T} were studied. The results of papers [5], [6] are generalized.*

Keywords: harmonic measure, Carathéodory functions, extreme points, support points, coefficient estimates

AMS classification: Primary 30C45

1.

As usual, we shall denote by \mathbf{C} the complex plane, by $\mathbf{D} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z| < 1\}$ the unit disc, by $\mathbf{T} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z| = 1\}$ the unit circle. In our further considerations, we shall treat \mathbf{T} on the one hand as a subset of \mathbf{C} with the induced topology, on the other hand as a set homeomorphic to \mathbf{T} , namely, as the subset $(-\pi, \pi)$ of the real line \mathbf{R} , endowed with the factor topology $\mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ where \mathbf{Z} is the set of integers. Therefore we shall sometimes treat the function $f(e^{i\theta}): \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ as a function $f(t): (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

* The research of the first author was supported by the internal grant No. 11957 of ČSAV.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1) \quad p(z) = 1 + q_1 z + \dots + q_n z^n + \dots$$

holomorphic in the unit disc \mathbf{D} with $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ in \mathbf{D} ([2]).

Let us recall some properties of real parts of functions from \mathcal{P} , which will be essential in what follows:

(a) Every function $\operatorname{Re} p(z)$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, has the Poisson representation by means of a unique positive measure ([3], pp. 21-24, [7], pp. 11-12)

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu(t)$$

where $d\mu(t) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\mu(t) = 1$; conversely, every function p holomorphic in \mathbf{D} whose real part is given by (2), where $d\mu(t) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\mu(t) = 1$, and for which $\operatorname{Im} p(0) = 0$, belongs to \mathcal{P} .

(b) Let $d\mu(t) = f(t) \frac{dt}{2\pi} + d\sigma(t)$ be the Lebesgue decomposition of the representing measure μ with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure $\frac{dt}{2\pi}$ on $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$, i.e. $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt < \infty$, $f \geq 0$ almost everywhere (a.e.) on $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ with respect to $\frac{dt}{2\pi}$ and $d\sigma$ is singular. Then $\operatorname{Re} p(z)$ has nontangential limits a.e. on $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ (to be denoted $\operatorname{Re} p(\cdot)$) and

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{a.e. on } \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$$

(see [7], Chapter 1, Th. 5.3.).

In [5] the following subclass $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$, $0 \leq b < 1$, $b < B$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, of \mathcal{P} was introduced: $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$ if there exists an open arc $I_\alpha = I_\alpha(p)$ of \mathbf{T} of length $2\pi\alpha$ such that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in \mathbf{D}} \operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq B \quad \text{for each } z_0 \in I_\alpha$$

and

$$(5) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in \mathbf{D}} \operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq b \quad \text{for each } z_0 \in \mathbf{T} \setminus \bar{I}_\alpha.$$

Among other results, the following properties of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$ were proved in [5]: 1) a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameters B, b, α for $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$ to be nonvoid was given; 2) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$ is compact in the topology given by the uniform convergence on compact subsets of \mathbf{D} ; 3) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$ is not convex.

In this paper we generalize all these results to the situation where arcs are replaced by measurable subsets of \mathbf{T} .

The authors are indebted to Prof. M. Essén for suggesting this generalization during his visit in Prague in 1991.

We start with the following reformulation of conditions (4), (5).

Lemma 1. *Let $I_\alpha \subset \mathbf{T}$ be a given open arc and let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(c) *p fulfils conditions (4) and (5);*

(d) *p fulfils the conditions*

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) \geq B \quad \text{a.e. on } I_\alpha,$$

$$(7) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) \geq B \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbf{T} \setminus \bar{I}_\alpha.$$

Recall that $\operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta})$ are nontangential limits of $\operatorname{Re} p$ which exists a.e. on \mathbf{T} by (b).

Proof. (c) \implies (d). Clear by (b).

(d) \implies (c). Assume there exists a point $z_0 \in I_\alpha$ for which $\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_0, \\ z \in \mathbf{D}}} \operatorname{Re} p(z) = B' < B$. Denote $\mathbf{D}(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z - z_0| < r\}$. By the definition of limes inferior, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re} p(z) < \frac{B+B'}{2} < B$ on $\mathbf{D}(z_0, \varepsilon) \cap \mathbf{D}$ and, simultaneously, the arc $\mathbf{D}(z_0, \varepsilon) \cap \mathbf{T}$ does not intersect the complementary arc $\mathbf{T} \setminus \bar{I}_\alpha$. Then (6) cannot be fulfilled on the subarc of I_α of measure $2 \cdot 2\pi\varepsilon > 0$. Similarly we proceed in (7). \square

Now, we are in position to give our main definition.

Definition 1. Let $0 \leq b < 1$, $b < B$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, be fixed real numbers and \mathbf{F} a given measurable subset of the unit circle \mathbf{T} of Lebesgue measure $2\pi\alpha$. For each $\tau \in (-\pi, \pi)$, denote by $\mathbf{F}_\tau = \{\xi \in \mathbf{T}; e^{-i\tau}\xi \in \mathbf{F}\}$ the set arising by rotation of \mathbf{F} through the angle τ . Denote by $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ the class of functions $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the following conditions: there exists $\tau = \tau(p) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$(8) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) \geq B \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbf{F}_\tau$$

and

$$(9) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) \geq b \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau.$$

It follows directly from Definition 1 that, for $B > 1$, the class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ does not contain the function $p_0(z) \equiv 1$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$. If $B \leq 1$, then, clearly, $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ for arbitrary admissible values of the parameters b , α and the set \mathbf{F} .

In our further considerations, if it is not otherwise stated, we shall always assume that B, b, α, \mathbf{F} and τ fulfil the conditions from Definition 1.

2.

Theorem 1. *If $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) \neq \emptyset$, then*

$$(10) \quad 1 \geq \alpha B + (1 - \alpha)b.$$

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$. So, there exists $\tau = \tau(p) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ such that (8) and (9) are fulfilled. Let $\omega(\cdot; \mathbf{F}_\tau)$ be the harmonic measure of the set \mathbf{F}_τ with respect to \mathbf{D} , i.e.

$$(11) \quad \omega(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(e^{it}) \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} dt$$

where $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the characteristic function of the set \mathcal{A} . Clearly, $0 < \omega(z, \mathbf{F}_\tau) < 1$ in \mathbf{D} and, by (3), $\omega(e^{it}; \mathbf{F}_\tau) = 1$ a.e. on \mathbf{F}_τ and $\omega(e^{it}; \mathbf{F}_\tau) = 0$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau$. Put

$$u_\tau(z) = b + (B - b)\omega(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau).$$

Then $u_\tau(z) = b$ a.e. on \mathbf{F}_τ and $u_\tau(z) = B$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau$ (again in the sense of nontangential limits). Since, by (8) and (9), $\operatorname{Re}(p(e^{i\theta}) - u_\tau(e^{i\theta})) \geq 0$ a.e. on \mathbf{T} , we have, for each $z \in \mathbf{D}$, by (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} p(z) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re} p(e^{it}) \cdot \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} B \cdot \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau} b \cdot \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} dt = u_\tau(z), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(12) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq b + (B - b)\omega(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau), \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

For $z = 0$ we obtain, with respect to (1) and $\omega(0; \mathbf{F}_\tau) = \alpha$, inequality (10). \square

Remark 1. Inequality (12) corresponds to the well-known two-constant theorem for bounded holomorphic functions ([1], p. 39).

Theorem 2. *Let condition (10) hold. Then, for each $\tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$, there exists a function $p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ such that $\operatorname{Re} p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(e^{i\theta}) = B$ a.e. on \mathbf{F}_τ and $\operatorname{Re} p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(e^{i\theta}) = b$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau$.*

Proof. Since the disc \mathbf{D} is simply connected, therefore the function

$$(13) \quad h(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau) = \omega(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau) + i\omega^*(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau),$$

where ω^* , $\omega^*(0) = 0$, is the harmonic conjugate of $\omega(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau)$, is holomorphic in \mathbf{D} for each $\tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$.

Let the equality in (10) hold. Then

$$(14) \quad p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(z) = b + (B - b)h(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau), \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

has the required property.

If $B\alpha + b(1 - \alpha) < 1$, then the function $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(z) = b + (B - b)h(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau)$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$, fulfils (8) and (9) but does not belong to $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ since $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(0) = B\alpha + b(1 - \alpha) < 1$. So, it is natural to achieve the required normalization by adding a proper multiple of $\frac{e^{i\gamma} + z}{e^{i\gamma} - z}$, γ real. Since we have $\frac{e^{i\gamma} + z}{e^{i\gamma} - z} = 0$ a.e. on \mathbf{T} , therefore, clearly,

$$p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(z) = \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(z) + (1 - \eta) \frac{e^{i\gamma} + z}{e^{i\gamma} - z}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

where

$$(15) \quad \eta = B\alpha + b(1 - \alpha),$$

is the required function. □

Corollary 1. *The class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ is nonvoid if and only if inequality (10) holds. If in (10) the equality holds, then*

$$\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) = \{p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}; \tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle\}$$

where $p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}$ is the function (14).

Proof. The first assertion follows from Theorems 1 and 2. The second assertion follows from (1), (12) and the minimum principle for harmonic functions ([1], p. 39). □

Theorem 3. The class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$, where B, b, α satisfy condition (10), is compact in the topology given by the uniform convergence on compact subsets of \mathbf{D} .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) \subset \mathcal{P}$ and the class \mathcal{P} is compact, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ is closed in \mathcal{P} . So, let $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary sequence of functions in $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ converging to a function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbf{D} . For every p_n there exists $\tau_n \in (-\pi, \pi)$ such that (8) and (9) are fulfilled on \mathbf{F}_{τ_n} and $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}$, respectively. From $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ we can select a subsequence $\{\tau_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converging to some $\tau \in (-\pi, \pi)$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$ (if $\tau_{n_k} \rightarrow \pi$, we put $\tau = -\pi$). Without loss of generality we can suppose $\tau = 0$ (by considering the functions $\tilde{p}_n(z) = p_n(ze^{-i\tau})$) and denote the subsequence by $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ again. Since $\mathbf{F}_{\tau_n} = \{e^{i\tau_n}\xi; \xi \in \mathbf{F}\}$, we have $\chi_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}}(\xi) = \chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{i\tau_n}\xi)$, for each $\xi \in \mathbf{T}$, and since $\chi_{\mathbf{F}}$ is integrable on \mathbf{T} , and thus, the mapping $\tau \rightarrow \psi_{\tau}$, where $\psi_{\tau}(t) = \chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{i(t+\tau)})$, is continuous in the $L^1((-\pi, \pi))$ -norm (see e.g. [10], Th. 9.5, p. 183), from

$$\chi_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}}(e^{it}) - \chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{it}) = \chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{i(t-\tau_n)}) - \chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{it})$$

we obtain

$$(16) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\chi_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}}(e^{it}) - \chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{it})| dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, fix $z \in \mathbf{D}$. The harmonic measure of the set \mathbf{F}_{τ_n} with respect to the point $z \in \mathbf{D}$ is

$$(17) \quad \omega(z; \mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}}(e^{it}) \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \right) dt,$$

and so, by (12), we have

$$(18) \quad \operatorname{Re} p_n(z) \geq b + (B - b)\omega(z; \mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}).$$

We can take limits for $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (18). From the assumption we have that $p_n(z) \rightarrow p(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by (16) we have

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} |\omega(z; \mathbf{F}) - \omega(z; \mathbf{F}_{\tau_n})| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{it}) - \chi_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}}(e^{it})) \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\chi_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}}(e^{it}) - \chi_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{it})| dt \rightarrow 0 \\ &\quad \text{if } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (18) we have

$$(20) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq b + (B - b)\omega(z; \mathbf{F}) \quad \text{for each } z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Now, by (20), by property (b) and by the boundary properties of $\omega(z; \mathbf{F})$, we obtain for the function p inequalities (8) and (9) on \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}$, respectively, so $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$. \square

Remark 2. It is evident that in the proof of Theorem 3 we have shown, more generally, that $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ is closed in \mathcal{P} in the topology given by pointwise convergence. Moreover, from (19) it follows that $\omega(z; \mathbf{F}_{\tau_n}) \rightarrow \omega(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau)$ uniformly on compact sets in \mathbf{D} if $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau$.

3.

In this section we shall study sets $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ and $\text{supp } \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ of extreme points and support points of $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$, respectively.

Let us recall ([8], p. 44, p. 91) that 1) a point $x \in A \subset X$ (X is a vector space over \mathbf{C} or \mathbf{R}) is called an extreme point of A if $a, b \in A$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $x = \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b$ implies $a = b = x$; 2) if X is a topological vector space over \mathbf{C} or \mathbf{R} , then a point $x \in A \subset X$ is called a support point of the set A if there is a continuous linear functional J on X such that $\text{Re } J$ is nonconstant on A and $\text{Re } J(x) = \max\{\text{Re } J(\sigma); \sigma \in A\}$.

For this purpose we denote, for a fixed $\tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$, by $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ the set of all functions from $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ satisfying (8) and (9) on \mathbf{F}_τ and $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau$, respectively. Clearly, $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ is convex, compact and

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) = \bigcup_{\tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle} \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau).$$

Proposition 1. (i) $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau) = \{p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} + (1 - \eta)p; p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ where $p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}$ is the function (14) and η is given by (15).

(ii) For every τ the correspondence $p \rightarrow p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} + (1 - \eta)p$ between the classes \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ is one-to-one.

(iii) $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau_1)$ if and only if $\tilde{p}(z) = p(e^{i\tau}z) \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau_1 + \tau)$.

Proof. (i) For $\eta = 1$ this follows from the second assertion of Corollary 1. So, let $0 < \eta < 1$ and take $q \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$. Put $p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta}(q(z) - p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(z))$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$. By (12), (13), (14) and the minimum principle we have $\text{Re } p(z) \geq 0$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$, and since $q(0) = 1$ and $p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau}(0) = \eta$, therefore $p(0) = 1$, so $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $q = p_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} + (1 - \eta)p$.

(ii) and (iii) are obvious. \square

Now, denote by $\mathcal{E}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ the set of all $p(z; \gamma, \mathbf{F}_\tau) \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ of the form

$$(22) \quad p(z; \gamma, \mathbf{F}_\tau) = b + (B - b)h(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau) + (1 - \eta) \frac{e^{i\gamma} + z}{e^{i\gamma} - z}, \quad \gamma \text{-real}, z \in \mathbf{D},$$

and by $\mathcal{S}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ the set of all $s(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau) \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ of the form

$$(23) \quad s(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau) = b + (B - b)h(z; \mathbf{F}_\tau) + (1 - \eta) \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \frac{1 + x_k z}{1 - x_k z}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

where $\lambda_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k = 1$ and $|x_k| = 1$; $m = 1, 2, \dots$

From Proposition 1, from the description of extreme points and support points of \mathcal{P} given in [8] (p. 48 and p. 94) and from (21) we immediately obtain

Corollary 2. *For arbitrary admissible $B, b, \alpha, \mathbf{F}, \tau$, we have*

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{E} \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau) = \mathcal{E}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau),$$

$$(25) \quad \text{supp } \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau) = \mathcal{S}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$$

$$(26) \quad \mathbf{E} \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) \subset \bigcup_{\tau \in (-\pi, \pi)} \mathcal{E}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau).$$

Proof. Assertions (24) and (25) follow immediately from Proposition 1, from formulae (22) and (23) and from the description of extreme points and support points of \mathcal{P} given in [8], Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 7.3, respectively. Formula (26) follows directly from (21), (24) and the obvious inclusion $\mathbf{E} \bigcup A_j \subset \bigcup \mathbf{E} A_j$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ have an expansion (1) in \mathbf{D} . Then, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,*

$$(27) \quad |a_n| \leq 2 \left[(B - b) \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbf{F}} e^{-int} dt \right| + 1 - \eta \right].$$

This estimate is sharp and is attained only for functions (22) where

$$\gamma = -\frac{1}{n} (\arg \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt + 2k\pi), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

(for $\int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt = 0$ we put $\arg \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt = 0$).

Proof. Since it is sufficient to verify estimate (27) for the extreme points of $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ (see e.g. [8], Th. 4.6, p. 45), by (26) we have only to make sure that the estimate holds for all functions of the form (22) for all $\tau \in (-\pi, \pi)$ and is attained on some of them. So, we have only to write the Taylor expansion of the functions (22). Since

$$\frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-int} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

and the series converges uniformly in $(-\pi, \pi)$ for $|z| < \varrho < 1$, we can integrate term by term and obtain by elementary calculations (cf. (11), (13), (15), (22))

$$\begin{aligned} p(z; \gamma, \mathbf{F}_\tau) &= b + (B - b) \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \frac{dt}{2\pi} + (1 - \eta) \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \\ &= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(B - b) \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt + (1 - \eta) e^{-in\gamma} \right] z^n, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$q_n = 2 \left[(B - b) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt + (1 - \eta) e^{-in\gamma} \right].$$

Denoting $\varphi_\tau = \arg \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt$ if $\int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt \neq 0$ and putting $\varphi_\tau = 0$ in the opposite case, we have

$$q_n = 2 \left[(B - b) \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt \right| + (1 - \eta) e^{-i(n\gamma + \varphi_\tau)} \right] e^{i\varphi_\tau},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |q_n| &= 2 \left| (B - b) \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt \right| + (1 - \eta) e^{-i(n\gamma + \varphi_\tau)} \right| \\ &= 2 \left| (B - b) \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbf{F}} e^{-int} dt \right| + (1 - \eta) e^{-i(n\gamma + \varphi_\tau)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term of the sum is nonnegative, we obtain the estimate (27). \square

Remark 3. Using definition (15) of η , we can rewrite the estimate (27) as follows:

$$(28) \quad |q_n| \leq 2 \left[1 - b - (B - b) \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbf{F}} e^{-int} dt \right| \right) \right].$$

Remark 4. When the parameters B, b, α lie on the boundary of the three-dimensional set given by the inequalities in Definition 1 and inequality (10), we obtain the following limiting cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(0, 0, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) &= \mathcal{P}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ \mathcal{P}(B, B, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) &= \mathcal{P}_B, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad B \in (0, 1), \\ \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) &= \{ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} \text{ of the form (14), } \tau \in (-\pi, \pi) \}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad \eta = 1, \\ \mathcal{P}(B, b, 0; \mathbf{F}) &= \mathcal{P}_b, \quad 0 \leq b < 1, \quad b < B, \\ \mathcal{P}(B, b, 1; \mathbf{F}) &= \mathcal{P}_B, \quad 0 \leq b \leq B < 1, \\ \mathcal{P}(1, 1, \alpha; \mathbf{F}) &= \{p_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here \mathcal{P}_β , $0 \leq \beta < 1$, is the class of functions (1) with values in the half plane $\operatorname{Re} z > \beta$. Passing suitably to the limits, we obtain from (28) the well-known coefficient estimates in the classes \mathcal{P}_b ([9]) and \mathcal{P} ([2]).

4.

As we have mentioned above, the classes $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ are convex and hence also connected. In this section we shall discuss the question of convexity and connectedness of the class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$. In the sequel we denote by $\ell(A)$ the normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{T} ($\ell(\mathbf{T}) = 1$). We shall need the following geometric lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathbf{T}$ be a closed set, $\ell(\mathbf{F}) = \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then for each $\tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\ell(\mathbf{F}_{\tau+h} \cap \mathbf{F}_\tau) < \ell(\mathbf{F}_\tau)$ for each h , $0 < |h| < \delta$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can choose $\tau_0 = 0$ and \mathbf{F}_0 to be perfect (because the set of isolated points of \mathbf{F}_0 is countable and hence a set of Lebesgue measure zero). Denote by $D_{\mathbf{F}_0}$ the set of density points of \mathbf{F}_0 (i.e. $\xi \in D_{\mathbf{F}_0}$ if and only if $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ell(\mathbf{F}_0 \cap B(\xi, r))}{2r} = 1$ where $B(\xi, r)$ is the subarc of \mathbf{T} with centre at the point ξ and $\ell(B(\xi, r)) = 2r$). Then (see [10], Exercise 11, p. 177) $\ell(D_{\mathbf{F}_0}) = \ell(\mathbf{F}_0) = \alpha > 0$. Hence each interval containing a point of \mathbf{F}_0 contains a point of $D_{\mathbf{F}_0}$. Denote $G_0 = \mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_0$, so $\ell(G_0) = 1 - \ell(\mathbf{F}_0) = 1 - \alpha > 0$. G_0 is an open subset of \mathbf{T} , hence G_0 is the sum of a nonvoid finite or countable family of mutually disjoint open arcs $G_i \subset \mathbf{T}$. Let $\ell(G_{i_0}) \geq \ell(G_i)$ for every i and put $\delta = \ell(G_{i_0})$. The endpoints ξ_0, ξ_1 of G_{i_0} are lying in \mathbf{F}_0 . So, by rotating \mathbf{F}_0 through any angle h , $|h| < \delta$, $G_{i_0} \cap \mathbf{F}_0$ contains ξ_0 and ξ_1 , and so, in any case, a point $\xi \in D_{\mathbf{F}_0}$ and an arc $B(\xi, r_0)$. Take $0 < r < r_0$ such that $\ell(\mathbf{F}_0 \cap B(\xi, r)) > \frac{1}{2}\ell(B(\xi, r)) = r$. Then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{F}_h \subset \mathbf{F}_0 \setminus (\mathbf{F}_0 \cap B(\xi, r))$, so $\ell(\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{F}_h) \leq \ell(\mathbf{F}_0) - r < \ell(\mathbf{F}_0)$, q.e.d. \square

Theorem 5. *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ is not convex.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 there exists a non-constant function $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ such that $\operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) = B$ a.e. on \mathbf{F} , $\operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) = b$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}$ (cf. (12)). By similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2 there exists $\tau \neq 0$ so that $\ell(\mathbf{F}_\tau \cap \mathbf{F}) < \alpha$. Define $p_\tau(z) = p(e^{-2\pi i \tau} z)$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$. Obviously, $p_\tau \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$. Join p, p_τ by the segment $p_\lambda = \lambda p_\tau + (1 - \lambda)p$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Clearly, $p_\lambda(0) = 1$. One has $\operatorname{Re} p_\lambda(\xi) \leq \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)B < B$ on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} p_\lambda(\xi) \leq \lambda B + (1 - \lambda)b < B$ on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}$ for $\lambda < 1$. So, $\operatorname{Re} p_\lambda(\xi) \geq B$ can be fulfilled only a.e. on $\mathbf{F}_\tau \cap \mathbf{F}$. Hence, for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, p_λ does not belong to $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$. \square

Theorem 6. $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ is arcwise connected (and thus connected).

Proof. Let $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$. Then there exist $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ such that $p_k \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau_k)$, $k = 1, 2$. Since the classes $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ are convex, we can join p_1, p_2 by a segment with $p_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_1} + 1 - \eta}, p_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_2} + 1 - \eta}$, respectively, and then $p_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_1} + 1 - \eta}$ with $p_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau_2} + 1 - \eta}$ by the arc $\tau \rightarrow p_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau} + 1 - \eta}$, $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$ (cf. Remark 2). \square

Remark 5. In the case $B \leq 1$, the assertion of Theorem 6 is obvious because $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ for each $\tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$.

Remark 6. All the properties of the class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$ which we have examined up to now (i.e. compactness, convexity and connectedness) require non-trivial means from real analysis for their proofs, but can be proved almost trivially if we restrict our attention to the classes $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$. In this context, the following properties can be of some interest.

Lemma 3. For each $\tau \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \ell(\mathbf{F}_{\tau+h} \cap \mathbf{F}_{\tau}) = \ell(\mathbf{F}_{\tau}).$$

Proof. We can suppose $\tau = 0$ and write $\mathbf{F}_{\tau} = \mathbf{F}_0$. Since $\chi_{\mathbf{F}_h \cap \mathbf{F}_0} = \chi_{\mathbf{F}_h} \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{F}_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\mathbf{F}_0) - \ell(\mathbf{F}_h \cap \mathbf{F}_0) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\chi_{\mathbf{F}_0} - \chi_{\mathbf{F}_0} \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{F}_h}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\chi_{\mathbf{F}_0}^2 - \chi_{\mathbf{F}_0} \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{F}_h}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi_{\mathbf{F}_0} (\chi_{\mathbf{F}_0} - \chi_{\mathbf{F}_h}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\chi_{\mathbf{F}_0} - \chi_{\mathbf{F}_h}| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\psi_{\mathbf{F}_0}(t+h) - \psi_{\mathbf{F}_0}(t)| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \end{aligned}$$

where we denoted $\psi_{\mathbf{F}_0}(t) = \chi_{\mathbf{F}_0}(e^{it})$. But $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\psi_{\mathbf{F}_0}(t+h) - \psi_{\mathbf{F}_0}(t)| dt = 0$ (see e.g. [10], Th. 9.5, p. 183) and $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \ell(\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{F}_h) = \ell(\mathbf{F}_0)$, q.e.d. \square

Theorem 7. Let $\eta < 1$. Then there exist $\tau_i = \tau_i(\mathbf{F})$, $i = 1, 2$, such that for each $\tau \in \langle -\tau_i, \tau_i \rangle$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

- (i) $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau) \neq \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, 0)$ for $0 < |\tau| < \tau_1$, if \mathbf{F} is closed,
- (ii) $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, 0) \neq \emptyset$ for $|\tau| < \tau_2$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2 there exists $\tau_1 > 0$ such that, for each $\tau \in \langle -\tau_1, \tau_1 \rangle$, one has $\ell(\mathbf{F} \cap \mathbf{F}_{\tau}) < \ell(\mathbf{F})$. Hence the function $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{F}}(z) = b + (B-b)h(z; \mathbf{F}) + (1-\eta)\frac{e^{i\tau} + z}{e^{i\tau} - z}$,

γ real, $z \in \mathbf{D}$, does not belong to $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau)$ since $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{F}}(e^{i\theta}) = b < B$ a.e. on $\mathbf{F}_\tau \setminus \mathbf{F}$, and $\ell(\mathbf{F}_\tau \setminus \mathbf{F}) = \ell(\mathbf{F}_\tau) - \ell(\mathbf{F}_\tau \cap \mathbf{F}) = \ell(\mathbf{F}) - \ell(\mathbf{F} \cap \mathbf{F}_\tau) > 0$.

(ii) Define

$$\begin{aligned} f(e^{it}) &= B \quad \text{on } \mathbf{F} \cup \mathbf{F}_\tau, \\ f(e^{it}) &= b \quad \text{on } \mathbf{T} \setminus (\mathbf{F} \cup \mathbf{F}_\tau) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} dt, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) = B$ a.e. on $\mathbf{F} \cup \mathbf{F}_\tau$, $\operatorname{Re} p(e^{i\theta}) = b$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T} \setminus (\mathbf{F} \cup \mathbf{F}_\tau)$. It is clear that $\operatorname{Re} p$ fulfils condition (8) a.e. on \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F}_τ and condition (9) a.e. on $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{F}_\tau$. An easy calculation gives

$$(29) \quad p(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) dt = \eta + (B - b)[\ell(\mathbf{F}) - \ell(\mathbf{F} \cup \mathbf{F}_\tau)].$$

However, by Lemma 3, $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \ell(\mathbf{F} \cup \mathbf{F}_\tau) = \ell(\mathbf{F})$. Hence, by (29) and on account of $\eta < 1$, there exists $\tau_2 > 0$ such that $p(0) < 1$ for $|\tau| < \tau_2$. Then the function

$$\tilde{p}(z) = p(z) + (1 - p(0)) \frac{e^{i\gamma} + z}{e^{i\gamma} - z}, \quad \gamma \text{ real, } z \in \mathbf{D},$$

belongs to $p(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}, 0)$ for each $\tau \in (-\tau_2, \tau_2)$. □

5.

Next, we introduce

Definition 2. Let $0 \leq b < 1$, $b < B$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, be fixed real numbers. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha)$ the class of functions $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that there exists a measurable subset \mathbf{F} of \mathbf{T} of Lebesgue measure $2\pi\alpha$ such that $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$.

It follows directly from Definition 2 that

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{F}} \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F})$$

where $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathbf{T}$ satisfies the conditions mentioned above.

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha)$ have the expansion (1). Then from (30) and Theorem 4 we obtain

$$(31) \quad |q_n| \leq 2(1 - \eta) + \frac{1}{\pi}(B - b)Q_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$(32) \quad Q_n = \sup_{\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ Q_n(\mathbf{F}) := \left| \int_{\mathbf{F}} e^{-int} dt \right| \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and \mathcal{F} is the set of all measurable subsets of the circle \mathbf{T} of Lebesgue measure $2\pi\alpha$. Estimating roughly the real and imaginary parts of $\int_{\mathbf{F}} e^{-int} dt$, we obtain $Q_n(\mathbf{F}) \leq 4\pi\alpha$ for each $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}$, therefore $Q_n < \infty$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In view of the rotation-invariance of the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{T} we have $\int_{\mathbf{F}} e^{-int} dt = e^{in\tau} \int_{\mathbf{F}_\tau} e^{-int} dt$, $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tau \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Taking $\tau = \tau^* = \frac{1}{n} \arg \int_{\mathbf{F}} e^{-int} dt$, we obtain $Q_n(\mathbf{F}) = \int_{\mathbf{F}_{\tau^*}} \cos nt dt$. From here we easily see that

$$(33) \quad Q_n = \sup_{\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathbf{F}} \cos nt dt.$$

The following lemma is the clue for estimating Q_n . We write $m(A)$ for the Lebesgue measure of $A \subset \mathbf{R}$.

Lemma 4. *Let $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and let $E \subset (a, b)$ be a measurable subset of the interval (a, b) and f a bounded nondecreasing function on (a, b) . Then*

$$(34) \quad \int_a^{a+m(E)} f(t) dt \leq \int_E f(t) dt \leq \int_{b-m(E)}^b f(t) dt.$$

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. Clearly, it is sufficient to suppose $0 < m(E) < b - a$. By definition, there exist a compact set F and an open set G such that $F \subset E \subset G$ and $m(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon/(2M)$ where $0 < M < \infty$ is the upper bound for $|f|$ on (a, b) . From $E \setminus F \subset G \setminus F$ and $G \setminus E \subset G \setminus F$ it follows that $m(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon/(2M)$ and $m(G \setminus E) < \varepsilon/(2M)$, respectively. G is the sum of disjoint open intervals I_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Moreover, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \supset F$ and hence one can choose a finite set of disjoint intervals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_s such that $I = \bigcup_{k=1}^s I_k \supset F$. We order them in such a way that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_s$, where a_k is the left endpoint of I_k , $k = 1, \dots, s$, and outside of (a, b) we put $f = 0$. Then $m(I \setminus E) \leq m(G \setminus E) < \varepsilon/(2M)$ and $m(E \setminus I) \leq m(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon/(2M)$. Since both the sets $E \cup (I \setminus E)$ and $I \cup (E \setminus I)$ are decompositions of $I \cup E$ in two disjoint sets, we have

$$\int_E f(t) dt + \int_{I \setminus E} f(t) dt = \int_I f(t) dt + \int_{E \setminus I} f(t) dt,$$

and so

$$\int_E f(t) dt = \int_I f(t) dt + \int_{E \setminus I} f(t) dt + \int_{I \setminus E} [-f(t)] dt.$$

However,

$$\int_{E \setminus I} f(t) dt \leq M \cdot m(E \setminus I) < M \cdot \varepsilon / (2M) = \varepsilon / 2$$

and

$$\int_{I \setminus E} [-f(t)] dt \leq M \cdot m(I \setminus E) < M \cdot \varepsilon / (2M) = \varepsilon / 2,$$

hence

$$(35) \quad \int_E f(t) dt < \int_I f(t) dt + \varepsilon.$$

It remains to estimate $\int_I f(t) dt = \sum_{k=1}^s \int_{I_k} f(t) dt$ from above. In view of the translation-invariance of m on \mathbf{F} and since f is nondecreasing, we have, for any $c, d, a \leq c < d \leq b$, and for each $r \in (0, b - d)$,

$$\int_c^d f(t) dt = \int_{c+r}^{d+r} f(t-r) dt \leq \int_{c+r}^{d+r} f(t) dt.$$

Let $c = a_k, d = b_k, r_k = b - b_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^s m(I_j)$ where $I_k = (a_k, b_k)$. Since $r_k \in (0, b - b_k)$, therefore

$$(36) \quad \int_{I_k} f(t) dt \leq \int_{a_k+r_k}^{b_k+r_k} f(t) dt = \int_{b-\sum_{j=k}^s m(I_j)}^{b-\sum_{j=k+1}^s m(I_j)} f(t) dt, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$$

and also

$$(37) \quad \int_{I_s} f(t) dt \leq \int_{b-m(I_s)}^b f(t) dt.$$

By adding (36) and (37) we obtain

$$\int_I f(t) dt \leq \int_{b-m(I)}^b f(t) dt,$$

and so, by (35),

$$\int_E f(t) dt < \int_{b-m(I)}^b f(t) dt + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary and $F \subset I \subset G$, the right-hand side in inequality (34) is proved. The proof of the left-hand side in inequality (34) follows the same lines. \square

Remark 7. If g is nonincreasing on $\langle a, b \rangle$, then, applying Lemma 4 to the function $f = -g$, we obtain

$$(38) \quad \int_{b-m(E)}^b g(t) dt \leq \int_E g(t) dt \leq \int_a^{a+m(E)} g(t) dt.$$

Theorem 8. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha)$ have the expansion (1) in \mathbf{D} . Then, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(39) \quad |q_n| \leq 2 \left[\frac{B-b}{\pi} \sin \alpha \pi + 1 - \eta \right].$$

The estimate (39) is sharp and is attained only on the function $p^*(z) = p(\varepsilon z; \mathbf{F})$, $|\varepsilon| = 1$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$, where

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathbf{F}_n^k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{F}_n^k = \left\{ z \in \mathbf{T}; z = e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{n}} e^{i\varrho}, \frac{-\alpha\pi}{n} \leq \varrho \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{n} \right\},$$

and so

$$(40) \quad p(z; \mathbf{F}) = b + \frac{B-b}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(-\alpha+2k)\pi/n}^{(\alpha+2k)\pi/n} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} dt + (1+\eta) \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Proof. Fix n and divide the interval $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ into n disjoint subintervals

$$T_k = \left\langle \pi \frac{-n+2(k-1)}{n}, \pi \frac{-n+2k}{n} \right\rangle, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}$$

and

$$T_k = \left\langle \pi \frac{-n+2k-1}{n}, \pi \frac{-n+2k+1}{n} \right\rangle, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

$$T_n = \left\langle \pi - \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi \right\rangle \cup \left\langle -\pi, -\pi + \frac{\pi}{n} \right\rangle, \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even.}$$

Then

$$(41) \quad Q_n(\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbf{F} \cap T_k} \cos ns \, ds$$

(here and in the sequel, a subset of the unit circle \mathbf{T} is identified with the set of the corresponding points of $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$). Denote $m(\mathbf{F} \cap T_k) = 2\pi\alpha_k$. Since $\cos ns$ is

increasing on the left half T_k^1 of T_k and decreasing on the second half T_k^2 of T_k (for n even, $k = n$: $T_n^1 = \langle \pi - \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi \rangle$, $T_n^2 = \langle -\pi, -\pi + \frac{\pi}{n} \rangle$), we obtain by (34) and (38)

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbf{F} \cap T_k} \cos ns \, ds \leq \int_{\pi - \frac{n+2k-1}{n} - 2\pi\alpha_k^{(1)}}^{\pi - \frac{n+2k-1}{n} + 2\pi\alpha_k^{(2)}} \cos ns \, ds, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \text{ for } n \text{ odd}$$

and

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbf{F} \cap T_k} \cos ns \, ds \leq \int_{\pi - \frac{n+2k}{n} - 2\pi\alpha_k^{(1)}}^{\pi - \frac{n+2k}{n} + 2\pi\alpha_k^{(2)}} \cos ns \, ds, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbf{F} \cap T_n} \cos ns \, ds \leq \int_{\pi - 2\pi\alpha_k^{(1)}}^{\pi} \cos ns \, ds, \quad + \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi + 2\pi\alpha_n^{(2)}} \cos ns \, ds$$

if n is even, where $2\pi\alpha_k^{(i)} = m(\mathbf{F} \cap T_k^i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $\cos \pi(-n + 2k + 1) = 1$ for n odd; $\cos \pi(-n + 2k) = 1$, $\cos(\pm n\pi) = 1$ for n even and $\cos(-x) = \cos x$, these integrals are not greater than

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi - \frac{n+2k-1}{n} - 2\pi\frac{\alpha_k^{(1)} + \alpha_k^{(2)}}{2}}^{\pi - \frac{n+2k-1}{n} + 2\pi\frac{\alpha_k^{(1)} + \alpha_k^{(2)}}{2}} \cos ns \, ds, & \int_{\pi - \frac{n+2k}{n} - 2\pi\frac{\alpha_k^{(1)} + \alpha_k^{(2)}}{2}}^{\pi - \frac{n+2k}{n} + 2\pi\frac{\alpha_k^{(1)} + \alpha_k^{(2)}}{2}} \cos ns \, ds, \\ & \int_{\pi - 2\pi\frac{\alpha_k^{(1)} + \alpha_k^{(2)}}{2}}^{\pi} \cos ns \, ds + & \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi + 2\pi\frac{\alpha_k^{(1)} + \alpha_k^{(2)}}{2}} \cos ns \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

However, $\alpha_k^{(1)} + \alpha_k^{(2)} = \alpha_k$ and so we finally calculate

$$\int_{\mathbf{F} \cap T_k} \cos ns \, ds \leq \frac{2}{n} \sin n\pi\alpha_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

thus we have

$$(42) \quad \int_{\mathbf{F}} \cos ns \, ds = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbf{F} \cap T_k} \cos ns \, ds \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sin n\pi\alpha_k.$$

Now, we notice that $2\pi\alpha_k \leq \frac{2\pi}{n}$ and, of course, $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq \alpha$, so $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq \min(\frac{1}{n}, \alpha)$. Hence (31), (32), (33), (41) and (42) imply

$$|q_n| \leq 2(1 - \eta) + \frac{2(B - b)}{\pi} \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sin n\pi\alpha_k \right\},$$

where the upper bound is taken over all systems $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ such that $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq \min(\frac{1}{n}, \alpha)$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = \alpha$. But because $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq \frac{1}{n}$, we have $0 \leq n\pi\alpha_k \leq \pi$. On the interval $(0, \pi)$ the function $\sin x$ is concave and so

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sin n\pi\alpha_k \leq \sin \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n n\pi\alpha_k = \sin \alpha\pi.$$

The equality holds if and only if $\alpha_k = \frac{\alpha}{n}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (notice that $\frac{\alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{n}$).

The form of the extremal functions is a consequence of the form of the set \mathbf{F} shown in Theorem 8 and follows from formula (22). Since $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}_1 \cup \mathbf{F}_2) = \mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha; \mathbf{F}_1)$ for an arbitrary measurable set \mathbf{F}_1 and an arbitrary set \mathbf{F}_2 of Lebesgue measure 0 therefore, for a fixed n , the function (40) is the only function realizing the maximum of $|q_n|$ in the class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha)$.

The theorem is proved. □

From Definitions 1 and 2, Lemma 1 and Theorem 8 we get

Corollary 3. *Let $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$ have the expansion (1) in \mathbf{D} . Then, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,*

$$(43) \quad |q_n| \leq 2 \left[\frac{B - b}{\pi} \sin \alpha\pi + 1 - \eta \right].$$

Remark 8. The estimate (43) for $n = 1$ is sharp. For $n = 2, 3, \dots$, it is not sharp because the function (40) belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}(B, b, \alpha)$ but not to $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$. The sharp estimate in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(B, b; \alpha)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ is ([6])

$$|q_n| \leq 2 \left[\frac{B - b}{n\pi} |\sin n\alpha\pi| + 1 - \eta \right].$$

The estimate can also be obtained directly from (27).

References

- [1] *L.V. Ahlfors*: Conformal invariants: Topics in geometric function theory. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [2] *C. Carathéodory*: Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Fourierschen Konstanten von positiven harmonischen Funktionen. Rend. Circ. Math., Palermo 32 (1911), 193–217.
- [3] *P.L. Duren*: Univalent functions. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259, 1983.
- [4] *J. Fuka, Z.J. Jakubowski*: On certain subclasses of bounded univalent functions. Ann. Polon. Mth. 55 (1991), 109–115; Proc. of the XI-th Instructional Conference on the Theory of Extremal Problems (in Polish), Łódź, 1990, 20–27.
- [5] *J. Fuka, Z.J. Jakubowski*: On a certain class of Carathéodory functions defined by conditions on the circle, in: Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, editors H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa, World Sci. Publ. Company, 94–105; Proc. of the V-th Intern. Conf. on Complex Analysis, Varna, September 15–21, 1991, p. 11; Proc. of the XIII-th Instr. Conf. on the Theory of Extremal Problems (in Polish), Łódź, 1992, 9–13.
- [6] *J. Fuka, Z.J. Jakubowski*: On extreme points of some subclasses of Carathéodory functions. Czechoslovak Academy of Sci., Math. Inst., Preprint 72 (1992), 1–9.
- [7] *J.B. Garnett*: Bounded analytic functions. Academic Press, 1981.
- [8] *D.J. Hallenbeck, T.H. MacGregor*: Linear Problems and convexity techniques in geometric function theory. Pitman Advanced Publ. Program, 1984.
- [9] *M.S. Robertson*: On the theory of univalent functions. Ann. Math. 37 (1936), 374–408.
- [10] *W. Rudin*: Real and complex analysis. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.

Author's addresses: Jaroslav Fuka, Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic; *Zbigniew Jerzy Jakubowski*, Chair of Special Functions, University of Łódź, ul. S. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland.