

Dănuț Marcu

On colouring products of graphs

*Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 121 (1996), No. 1, 69–71

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125938>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON COLOURING PRODUCTS OF GRAPHS

DĂNUȚ MARCU, Bucharest

(Received August 17, 1994)

*Summary.* In this paper, we give some results concerning the colouring of the product (cartesian product) of two graphs.

*Keywords:* graph colouring, product of graphs

*AMS classification:* 05C40

## INTRODUCTION

Graphs, considered here, are finite, undirected, without loops or multiple edges, and [1] is followed for terminology and notation. The *product* (also called *cartesian product* [2])  $G_1 \times G_2$  of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with vertex sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively, has the cartesian product  $V_1 \times V_2$  as its set of vertices. Two vertices  $(u_1, u_2)$  and  $(v_1, v_2)$  are adjacent, if  $u_1 = v_1$  and  $u_2$  is adjacent to  $v_2$  or  $u_2 = v_2$  and  $u_1$  is adjacent to  $v_1$ .

Let  $V_1 = \{v_{11}, v_{12}, \dots, v_{1p_1}\}$ ,  $V_2 = \{v_{21}, v_{22}, \dots, v_{2p_2}\}$ , and let  $q_i$  denote the number of edges of  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The graph  $G_1 \times G_2$  has  $p_1 \cdot p_2$  vertices and  $p_1 \cdot q_2 + p_2 \cdot q_1$  edges. This graph, which is isomorphic to  $G_2 \times G_1$ , contains  $p_2$  disjoint "horizontal" copies  $G_{11}, G_{12}, \dots, G_{1p_2}$  (ordered from top to bottom) of  $G_1$  and  $p_1$  "vertical" copies  $G_{21}, G_{22}, \dots, G_{2p_1}$  (ordered from left to right) of  $G_2$ . A horizontal copy  $G_{1i}$  and a vertical copy  $G_{2j}$  have only one vertex  $(v_{1j}, v_{2i})$  in common.

The *vertex-chromatic number*  $\gamma(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of colours required to colour the vertices of  $G$  in such a way that no two adjacent vertices have the same colour. The *edge-chromatic number*  $\gamma'(G)$  is defined similarly. The *total-chromatic number*  $\gamma''(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum number of colours required to colour the elements (vertices and edges) of  $G$  in such a way that no two adjacent elements

(two vertices or two edges) and no two incident elements (a vertex and an edge) have the same colour.

By a *proper colouring* of, for example, vertices of  $G$  we mean an assignment of colours to vertices of  $G$  in such a way that adjacent vertices receive different colours. The colour of an element  $e$  of  $G$  will be denoted by  $c(e)$ . The notation  $c(u, v)$  will be used for the colour of the point  $(u, v)$ . We mention the well known result:

$$\gamma(G_1 \times G_2) = \max\{\gamma(G_1), \gamma(G_2)\}.$$

#### MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\Delta(G)$  denote the maximum degree among the degrees of vertices of  $G$ . Concerning  $\gamma'(G)$ , Vizing [3] has shown that

$$\Delta(G) \leq \gamma'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Since

$$\Delta(G_1 \times G_2) = \Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2),$$

we have

**Corollary.**  $\Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2) \leq \gamma'(G_1 \times G_2) \leq \Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2) + 1.$

If the edge-chromatic number of  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , equals its maximal degree, we shall show that  $\gamma'(G_1 \times G_2)$  equals the maximal degree of  $G_1 \times G_2$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\gamma'(G_i) = \Delta(G_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $\gamma'(G_1 \times G_2) = \Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, we have

$$\gamma'(G_1) + \gamma'(G_2) \leq \gamma'(G_1 \times G_2).$$

The converse is true for every pair of graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . To see this, colour the edges of each horizontal copy, properly, with colours  $1, 2, \dots, \gamma'(G_1)$  and each vertical copy, properly, with colours  $\gamma'(G_1) + 1, \gamma'(G_1) + 2, \dots, \gamma'(G_1) + \gamma'(G_2)$ .  $\square$

Assuming that  $\gamma'(G_i) = \Delta(G_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , one might think that  $\gamma'(G_1 \times G_2) = \Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2) + 1$ . Let  $G_1 = G_2 = K_5 - x$ , where  $K_n$  is the complete graph of order  $n$ , and  $K_n - x$  denotes  $K_n$  minus one edge. Thus,  $\gamma'(G_1) = \gamma'(G_2) = \Delta(G_1) + 1$ . But  $\gamma'(G_1 \times G_2)$  is shown to be  $\Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2) = 8$ . The graph  $(K_5 - x) \times (K_5 - x)$  is the smallest graph with the above property.

Given two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , we have  $\gamma(G_1) \leq \gamma''(G_2)$  or  $\gamma(G_2) \leq \gamma''(G_1)$ . Suppose that  $\gamma(G_1) > \gamma''(G_2)$ . Then

$$\gamma''(G_1) \geq \gamma(G_1) > \gamma''(G_2) \geq \gamma(G_2)$$

imply  $\gamma(G_2) < \gamma''(G_1)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\gamma(G_1) \leq \gamma''(G_2)$ , then we have*

$$\Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2) + 1 \leq \gamma''(G_1 \times G_2) \leq \gamma''(G_2) + \gamma'(G_1).$$

**Proof.** The first inequality is obvious. Colour the elements of  $G_{21}$  and the edges of each horizontal copy, properly, with colours  $1, 2, \dots, \gamma(G_1), \dots, \gamma''(G_2)$  and colours  $\gamma''(G_2) + 1, \gamma''(G_2) + 2, \dots, \gamma''(G_2) + \gamma'(G_1)$ , respectively. Suppose that  $c(v_{11}, v_{21}) = 1$ . Then, colour the vertices of  $G_{11}$  with colours  $1, 2, \dots, \gamma(G_1)$ , properly, in such a way that the vertex  $(v_{11}, v_{21})$  receives colour 1. Next, consider  $G_{2j}$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots, p_1$  and let  $e$  be an element of  $G_{2j}$ . There is an element  $e'$  of  $G_{21}$  corresponding to  $e$ . Let  $c(e) = c(v_{1j}, v_{21}) + c(e') - 1 \pmod{\gamma''(G_2)}$ . Now, it is an easy matter to check that this colouring is a proper colouring of the elements of  $G_1 \times G_2$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

The bounds given in Theorem 2 cannot, in general, be improved, that is, for two positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  there exist two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $\gamma'(G_1) = m$ ,  $\gamma''(G_2) = n$  and  $\gamma''(G_1 \times G_2) = \gamma'(G_1) + \gamma''(G_2)$ . Indeed, let  $G_1 = K_{1,m}$  and  $G_2 = K_{1,n-1}$ , where  $K_{m,n}$  denotes the complete bipartite graph of order  $m+n$ . Incidentally, for these graphs,  $\Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2) + 1$  equals  $\gamma''(G_1 \times G_2)$ , too.

The second inequality in the theorem cannot be changed to an equality, as can be seen by considering  $C_4 \times C_4$ , where  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , denotes the cycle of length  $n$ .

If  $\gamma(G_1) \leq \gamma''(G_2)$  and  $\gamma(G_2) \leq \gamma''(G_1)$ , then we have

$$\gamma''(G_1 \times G_2) \leq \min\{\gamma''(G_2) + \gamma'(G_1), \gamma''(G_1) + \gamma'(G_2)\}.$$

#### References

- [1] F. Harary: Graph Theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969.
- [2] G. Sabidussi: Graph multiplication. Math. Z. 72 (1960), 446-457.
- [3] V. G. Vizing: On an estimate of the chromatic class of a  $p$ -graph. Diskretnyj Analiz 3 (1964), 25-30. (In Russian.)

*Author's address:* Dănuț Marcu, Str. Pasului 3, Sect. 2, 70241-Bucharest, Romania.