Miroslav Losenický
On the decoupling of one class of multivariable systems

ON THE DECOUPLING OF ONE CLASS
OF MULTIVARIABLE SYSTEMS

MIROSLAV LOSENICKÝ

In the paper a sufficient condition for the decoupling of one class of linear multi-input, multi-output systems is derived. It is much easier to verify this condition than to calculate the Falb-Wolovich's test for decoupling.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of transforming a multivariable system to a decoupled form can be principally treated by two approaches. The first one employs the knowledge of the internal system structure, and an appropriate state feedback compensating the undesirable interactions is constructed. Obviously, such a feedback also affects the dynamics of the considered system. The second approach is based on the external frequency domain description of the system. The internal structure of the system remains unchanged, only suitable compensators are constructed in such a way that the overall system is decoupled from the external point of view.

In this paper we focus our attention on the simplest problem of decoupling by static state feedback into single-input single-output subsystems.

2. BASIC PROBLEM

Let us consider an r-input, r-output, n-dimensional linear system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

(1) $\qquad S(A, B, C):$

$$y(t) = Cx(t) \qquad x(t_0) = 0$$

where A, B and C are real constant matrices, respectively $n \times n$, $n \times r$, $r \times n$ and

denote by

$$(2) \qquad S(p) = C(pI - A)^{-1} B$$

its transfer matrix.

We say that $S(A, B, C)$ is decoupled if $S(p)$ is diagonal and nonsingular, i.e. a component u_i of u does not affect any component $y_j, j \neq i$, of y but it does affect the y_i in the sense that

$$(3) \qquad \text{rank } C[B, AB, ..., A^{n-1}B] = r .$$

The decoupling thus means non-interaction and output controllability, and it is not a generic property of the system.

The problem of decoupling by static state feedback (Morgan's problem, see [7]) then consists in determining a control law

$$(4) \qquad u(t) = Rx(t) + Qv(t)$$

such that the close-loop system with the transfer matrix

$$(5) \qquad S(p) = C(pI - A - BR)^{-1} BQ$$

be decoupled.

For every component y_i of the vector y it is possible to find a number d_i defined as

$$(6) \qquad d_i = \min \{\varkappa : C_i A^\varkappa . B \neq 0, \varkappa = 0, 1, ..., n - 1\}$$

$$(6a) \qquad d_i = n - 1 ... \text{ if } s_i(t) = 0 .$$

Physically the coefficient d_i denotes the lowest non-zero derivative of the ith coordinate of the impulse response vector $s(t)$ at the time $t = 0$. When introducing the constants $\bar{d}_i$ analogically for the closed-loop system, then it can be proved that

$$(7) \qquad d_i = \bar{d}_i$$

The criterion of decoupling derived by Falb and Wolovich can be summarized as follows:

Theorem V_{F-W}. Let the system S be output controllable. Then S can be decoupled by static state feedback if and only if

$$(8) \qquad \det B_D = \det \begin{bmatrix} C_1 A^{d_1} B \\ \vdots \\ C_r A^{d_r} B \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 .$$

The decoupled system $\bar{S}$ can be described by the transfer matrix

$$(9) \qquad S(p) = \text{diag} [\bar{S}_{ii}(p)]_{i=1,...,r}$$

and it always holds

$$(10) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{r} d_i + r \leq n .$$

461

3. CONSTRUCTION OF A MORE SIMPLE CRITERION

The test for decoupling by means of V_{F-W} is difficult especially in the case of higher order systems. So we shall try to simplify the above general criterion for some special cases.

We make the following assumptions:

(a) system S is of nth order with r inputs and r outputs

(b) $d_i \neq n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., r$

(c) S is output controllable that means

$$(11) \qquad \text{rank } P_y = \text{rank } [CB, CAB, ..., CA^{n-1}B] = r .$$

Relation (11), enabling us to determine the constants $d_1, d_2, ..., d_r$ by inspection, is obviously equivalent to the condition

$$(12) \qquad \det S(p) \neq 0 .$$

As $S(p)$ represents a matrix of dimension $r \times r$, then it holds

$$(13) \qquad \text{rank } S(p) = r = \text{rank } C(pI - A)^{-1}B \leqq \min \{\text{rank } C, \text{rank } B\}$$

and so

(13a) rank $C = r$ ... corresponds to the requirement of independent outputs ,

(13b) rank $B = r$ ... corresponds to the requirement of independent inputs .

The relation (8) in the Falb-Wolovich's test of decoupling can be expressed not only in the form

$$\det B_D = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 A^{d_1} B \\ \vdots \\ C_r A^{d_r} B \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$

but also as

$$(14) \qquad \text{rank } \left\{ \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & C_r \end{bmatrix}}_{\hat{C}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} A^{d_1} \\ A^{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ A^{d_r} \end{bmatrix} \cdot B \right\} = r .$$

As in (14)

$$(15) \qquad \text{rank } \hat{C} = r$$

and taking into account the necessary condition (13b) it also holds

$$(16) \qquad \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A^{d_1} \\ A^{d_2} \\ \vdots \\ A^{d_r} \end{bmatrix} \geqq r .$$

This condition represents a necessary but not sufficient condition for decoupling. Notice that for

$$(17) \qquad\qquad d_1 = d_2 = \ldots = d_r = d^*$$

condition (16) can be written as

$$(18) \qquad\qquad \text{rank } A^{d^*} \geqq r .$$

The simplification of the general Falb-Wolovich's test for some special cases can be carried out on the basis of the matrix analysis. Using some manipulations (11) can be written as

$$(19) \qquad P_y = \begin{bmatrix} C_1B & C_1AB & \ldots & & C_1A^{d_1}B & \ldots & C_1A^{n-1}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_rB & & & \ldots & C_rA^{d_r}B & \ldots \ldots & C_rA^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix}$$

The case when the equality takes in (10), i.e. when the state feedback can affect the layout of all poles of the system, can be considered as a special case within multivariable systems.

Let us suppose that besides the equality in (10) also (17) holds. Then (19) takes the form

$$(20) \qquad P_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & C_1A^{d^*}B & \ldots & C_1A^{n-1}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_rA^{d^*}B & \ldots & C_rA^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix}$$

Obviously in (20) the column vectors of the matrix B of the system $S(A, B, C)$ are orthogonal to all row vectors of the matrices $C, CA, \ldots, CA^{d^*-1}$. For a decoupled system it must hold

$$(21) \qquad \text{rank } C = \text{rank } CA = \text{rank } CA^2 = \ldots = \text{rank } CA^{d^*-1} = r .$$

Now let us prove the following statement.

Lemma. Let the following hold for the system $S(A, B, C)$ given by (1)

(i)   rank $P_y = r$

(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{r} d_i + r = n$

(iii) relation (17) is satisfied.

Then

$$(22) \qquad\qquad \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ \vdots \\ C_r \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline C_1A^{d_1-1} \\ \vdots \\ C_rA^{d_r-1} \end{bmatrix} = d^* . r$$

Proof. As (21) holds it suffices to prove the linear independence of row vectors associated with different powers of the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$.

Let us suppose that the row vector $\boldsymbol{C}_i\boldsymbol{A}^j$ of the matrix on the left hand side of (22) can be expressed as

$$(23) \qquad \boldsymbol{C}_i\boldsymbol{A}^j = \sum_{q=1}^{k} \lambda_q \boldsymbol{C}_f A^h$$

$$f \in \langle 1, \ldots, r \rangle, \quad h \neq j, \quad h \leq d^* - 1.$$

Then, according to (8), each row of the matrix $\boldsymbol{B}_D$ can be expressed as

$$(24) \qquad \boldsymbol{B}_{D_i} = \boldsymbol{C}_i\boldsymbol{A}^{d^*}\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{C}_i\boldsymbol{A}^{j+m}\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{q=1}^{k} \left(\lambda_q \boldsymbol{C}_f \boldsymbol{A}^h\right) . \boldsymbol{A}^m\boldsymbol{B}$$

$$j + m = d^*.$$

However

$$(25) \qquad h + m \neq d^*$$

that contradicts to (ii). Hence relation (22) holds. $\qquad\square$

Recalling the rows in (22) are linearly independent (so they represent $d^* . r$ vectors of a basis of the linear n-dimensional vector space), the columns of the matrix $\boldsymbol{B}$ are orthogonal to all basis vectors and due to (13b) they can also represent the rest of r basis vectors. The same role can be performed also by the r row vectors $\boldsymbol{C}_1\boldsymbol{A}^{d^*}, \ldots$ $\ldots, \boldsymbol{C}_r\boldsymbol{A}^{d^*}$. Both above mentioned sets of r vectors describe the same sub-space of the dimension r. Hence no set with more than r linearly independent elements can be found in this space and some entries of the matrix $\boldsymbol{B}_D$ (those which are scalar products of the row vectors $\boldsymbol{C}_i\boldsymbol{A}^{d^*}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, with the column vectors of the matrix $\boldsymbol{B}$) must be non-zero.

Theorem 1. Let the assumptions (i), (ii), (iii) of the Lemma be fulfilled. Then the system $S(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{C})$ can be decoupled.

Proof. If (22) holds then the column of the matrix $\boldsymbol{B}$ are orthogonal to the row vectors of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{C}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{C}_r \\ \hline \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{C}_1\boldsymbol{A}^{d^*-1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{C}_r\boldsymbol{A}^{d^*-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover the elements of the columns of the matrix $\boldsymbol{B}$ in the subspace of dimension

$d* . r$ (generated by the vectors $C_1, ..., C_r A^{d*-1}$) are uniquely determined. Denote

$$(26) \qquad \begin{bmatrix} C_1 A^{d*} \\ C_2 A^{d*} \\ \vdots \\ C_r A^{d*} \end{bmatrix} \overset{!}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_r \end{bmatrix} \qquad \overset{!}{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & | & b_2 & | & \dots & | & b_r \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus α_i's correspond to the row vectors, b_i's to the column vectors $(i = 1, ..., r)$ and each element of the matrix B_D can be expressed as

$$(27) \qquad B_{D_{k1}} = \alpha_k \cdot b_1 \ .$$

Denoting the columns of the matrix B_D by

$$(28) \qquad B_D = \begin{bmatrix} b_{D_1} & | & b_{D_2} & | & \dots & | & b_{D_r} \end{bmatrix}$$

then it can be written

$$(29) \qquad b_{D_j} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_r \end{bmatrix} \cdot b_j \ .$$

Now we shall investigate the singularity condition of the matrix B_D. In case B_D is non-singular the columns b_{D_i}, $i = 1, ..., r$ must be linearly independent and so

$$(30) \qquad b_{D_j} = \sum_{\substack{f=1 \\ f \neq j}}^{r} a_f \cdot b_{D_f} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_r \end{bmatrix} \cdot (a_1 \cdot b_1 + \dots + a_r \cdot b_r) \ .$$

$$a_f = \text{linear combination coefficients}$$

Comparing (29) and (30) we get

$$(31) \qquad b_j = \sum_{\substack{f=1 \\ f \neq j}}^{r} a_f \cdot b_f$$

and this contradicts to the assumption of output controllability and the independent of inputs. The theorem has been proved. $\qquad \square$

The proof can be carried out also by using the rows of B_D.

It can be shown that Theorem 1 holds even in the more general case, when the relation (17) is not satisfied. The proof can be done in the same way and is based on the equation

$$(32) \qquad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_1 A^{d_1 - 1} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline C_r \\ \vdots \\ C_r A^{d_r - 1} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} d_i \ .$$

Let us then summarize:

Theorem 2. Every output controllable system $S(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ satisfying $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{r} d_i + r = n$ can be decoupled.

Theorems 1 and 2 substantially simplify the test of decoupling of one class of multivariable systems.

Note. It results from the text that the test for decoupling expressed by Theorem 1 or Theorem 2 is effective only in special case of the multivariable systems. If the system under study does not meet the assumptions of Theorem 1 or 2, the Falb-Wolovich's criterion, which is more difficult to use, must be applied.

4. CONCLUSION

Theorem 2 gives a sufficient condition for decoupling of one class of multivariable systems. When applicable, this condition is much easier to verify than the general criterion formulated by Falb and Wolovich in [1].

REFERENCES

[1] P. L. Falb and W. A. Wolovich: Decoupling in the design and synthesis of multivariable control systems. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control *AC-12* (1967), 6, 651—659.
[2] E. G. Gilbert: Decoupling of multivariable systems by state feedback. SIAM J. Control *7* (1969), 50—63.
[3] M. Losenický: Syntéza řízení technologického procesu (Design of Control of a Technological Process). Ph. D. Dissertation, VÚSE, Prague 1980.
[4] J. Schmidtmayer: Matrix Analysis and Its Engineering Application. SNTL, Prague 1972. In Czech.
[5] J. Borůvka: Elements of Matrix Theory. Academia, Prague 1970. In Czech.
[6] P. K. Sinha: Dynamic compensation for state feedback decoupling of multivariable systems. Internat. J. Control *5* (1976), 673—684.
[7] B. S. Morgan: The synthesis of linear multivariable systems by state feedback. Proc. JACC 1964, 468—472.
[8] A. S. Morse and W. M. Wonham: Status of non interacting control. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control *AC-16* (1971), 6, 568—581.

Ing. Miroslav Losenický, CSc., Výzkumný ústav silnoproudé elektrotechniky (*Research Institute of Electrical Engineering*), *250 97 Prague 9-Běchovice. Czechoslovakia.*