

R. K. Tuteja; L. C. Singhal; Ashok Kumar
Characterization of α -entropy with preference

Kybernetika, Vol. 24 (1988), No. 1, 54--60

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/124428>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

CHARACTERIZATION OF α -ENTROPY WITH PREFERENCE

R.K.TUTEJA, L. C. SINGHAL, ASHOK KUMAR

A characterization of α -entropy with preference is provided. Further an attempt is made to characterize this measure by weakening the symmetry postulate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $A_n = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, $p_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ be a probability distribution associated with discrete random variable X assuming a finite number of values X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Havrda and Charvát entropy of order α (cf. [2]) is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad I_n(A_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha - 1}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1}, \quad \alpha \neq 1, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

In order to distinguish the events X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n of a goal directed experiment according to their importance with respect to the goal, Belis and Guiaşu [1] introduced a 'utility distribution' (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) , where each $u_i > 0$ is the utility of an event with probability p_i . Then α -entropy with preference is given by

$$(1.2) \quad I_n(P, U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i (p_i^{\alpha-1} - 1)}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1}, \quad \alpha \neq 1, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

In this paper, we characterize this measure by axiomatic approach. Also the postulate of symmetry is replaced by a weaker postulate.

2. CHARACTERIZATION

Let

$$I_n: \Delta_n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 2$$

where

$$\Delta_n = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), \quad 0 \leq p_i \leq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1, \quad \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty),$$

satisfies the following postulates.

Postulate P 1 (Recursivity).

$$\begin{aligned} & I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \\ & I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, \dots, p_n; u_{1,2}, u_3, \dots, u_n) + \\ & (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $u_{1,2} = (u_1 p_1 + u_2 p_2)/(p_1 + p_2)$, $p_1 + p_2 \in (0, 1]$.

Postulate P 2 (Symmetry). $I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ is a pairwise symmetric function of its arguments.

Postulate P 3 (Differentiability). $f(p, u_1, u_2) = I_2(p, 1 - p; u_1, u_2)$ has continuous first order partial derivatives with respect to all the three variables.

Postulate P 4 (Normalization). $I_2(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \lambda, \lambda) = \lambda$, $I_2(1, 0, u_1, u_2) = 0$; $\lambda > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. If $I_n: \Delta_n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, ($n = 2, 3, \dots$) satisfy Postulates P 1, P 2, P 3 and P 4, then

$$(2.1) \quad I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i u_i (p_i^{\alpha-1} - 1)}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1} \quad \alpha \neq 1, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Proof. By symmetric Postulate P 2, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3; u_1, u_2, u_3) &= I_3(p_2, p_3, p_1; u_2, u_3, u_1) = \\ &= I_3(p_3, p_1, p_2; u_3, u_1, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using Postulate P 1 in (2.2), we get the following functional equation

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(p_1 + p_2; u_{1,2}, u_3) + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha f\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}; u_1, u_2\right) &= \\ = f(p_1, u_1, u_{2,3}) + (1 - p_1)^\alpha f\left(\frac{p_2}{1 - p_1}, u_2, u_3\right), \\ p_1 + p_2 \in (0, 1], \quad p_1 \in [0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad f(p_1 + p_2; u_{1,2}, u_3) + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha f\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}; u_1, u_2\right) = \\ = f(p_2, u_2, u_{1,3}) + (1 - p_2)^\alpha f\left(\frac{p_1}{1 - p_2}, u_1, u_3\right), \quad p_1 + p_2 \in (0, 1], \quad p_2 \in [0, 1].$$

Differentiating partially (2.3) and (2.4) with respect to p_2 , and p_1 respectively,

$$(2.5) \quad f_p(p_1 + p_2, u_{1,2}, u_3) + \frac{p_1(u_2 - u_1)}{(p_1 + p_2)^2} f_{u_{1,2}}(p_1 + p_2, u_{1,2}, u_3) + \\ + \alpha(p_1 + p_2)^{\alpha-1} f\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) - p_1(p_1 + p_2)^{\alpha-2} f_p\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) = \\ = (1 - p_1)^{\alpha-1} f_p\left(\frac{p_2}{1 - p_1}, u_2, u_3\right)$$

$$(2.6) \quad f_p(p_1 + p_2, u_{1,2}, u_3) - \frac{p_2(u_2, u_1)}{(p_1 + p_2)^2} f_{u_{1,2}}(p_1 + p_2, u_{1,2}, u_3) + \\ + \alpha(p_1 + p_2)^{\alpha-1} f\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) + p_2(p_1 + p_2)^{\alpha-2} f_p\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) = \\ = (1 - p_2)^{\alpha-1} f_p\left(\frac{p_1}{1 - p_2}, u_1, u_3\right)$$

Setting $p_1 + p_2 = 1$, the equations (2.5) and (2.6) give

$$(2.7) \quad f_p(p, u_1, u_2) = p^{\alpha-1} f_p(1, u_1, u_3) - (1 - p)^{\alpha-1} f_p(1, u_2, u_3) + \\ + (u_2 - u_1) f_{u_{1,2}}(1, u_{1,2}, u_3).$$

Setting $f_u(1, u, u') = B$, $f_p(1, u, u') = A\alpha u$ in (2.7) and integrating with respect to p ,

$$(2.8) \quad f(p, u_1, u_2) = A[u_1 p^\alpha + u_2(1 - p)^\alpha] - B[u_1 p + u_2(1 - p)] + C(u_1, u_2).$$

Normalization Postulate P 4 gives

$$A = B = 1/(2^{1-\alpha} - 1), \quad C = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(2.9) \quad f(p, u_1, u_2) = \frac{u_1 p(p^{\alpha-1} - 1) + u_2(1 - p)((1 - p)^{\alpha-1} - 1)}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1}$$

By successive application of P 1 we get

$$(2.10) \quad I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \\ = \sum_{k=2}^n (p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_{k-1}}{p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k}, \frac{p_k}{p_1 + \dots + p_k}, u_{1,2,\dots,k-1}, u_k\right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=2}^n (p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k)^\alpha f\left(\frac{p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_{k-1}}{p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k}, u_{1,2,\dots,k-1}, u_k\right) = \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^n q_k^\alpha f\left(\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}, u_{1,2,\dots,k-1}, u_k\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $q_k = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$, $u_{1,2,\dots,k} = (q_{k-1}u_{1,2,\dots,k-1} + p_k u_k)/q_k$.

(2.9) and (2.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1; u_2, \dots, u_n) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1} \left[\sum_{k=2}^n q_k^\alpha \left[u_{1,2,\dots,k-1} \left(\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}\right) \left[\left(\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}\right)^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right] + u_k \frac{p_k}{q_k} \left[\left(\frac{p_k}{q_k}\right)^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right] \right] \right] = \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1} \sum_{k=2}^n [u_{1,2,\dots,k-1} q_{k-1}^\alpha + u_k p_k^\alpha - q_k^{\alpha-1} [u_{1,2,\dots,k-1} q_{k-1} + u_k p_k]] = \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n u_i p_i (p_i^{\alpha-1} - 1)}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. CHARACTERIZATION WITHOUT SYMMETRY

In this section, we characterize α -entropy with preference without assuming symmetry. We replace the postulate of symmetry with a weaker postulate.

Definition 3.1. Let n be a positive integer greater than 1 and A, B be two non-empty subset of \mathbb{R} . A function $f_n: A^n \times B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be n -cyclic over its domain if it is invariant under one cyclic shift, that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \\
&= f_n(x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}; y_n, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2 \\
&(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in A^n, \quad (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in B^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. A sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ where $f_n: A_n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is called left expansible if

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \\
&= f_{n+1}(0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, u, u_1, \dots, u_n) \quad u > 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$.

Postulate P 5 (Cyclic Symmetry). $I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ is an n -cyclic symmetric function of its arguments.

For $n = 2$, Postulate P 2 and P 5 are equivalent. It is obvious that P 2 implies P 5, but the converse is not true. For example consider the following:

Example 1. Let $\phi_n: A_n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) &= \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (u_i p_i + u_{i+1} p_{i+1}) (p_i - p_{i+1}) + (u_n p_n + u_1 p_1) (p_n - p_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{\phi_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ is an n -cyclic sequence of functions, but functions ϕ_n are not symmetric for $n > 2$. Hence Postulate P 5 is weaker than P 2.

Lemma 3.1. Postulates P 1, P 4 and P 5 ($n \geq 2$) imply left expansibility, i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) &= \\ &= I_{n+1}(0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \quad \text{for } u > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let p_k be the last non-zero component of $(0, p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Then the right side of equation (3.1) is

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{n+1}(0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, 0, 0, \dots, 0; u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n) &= \\ \stackrel{P5}{=} I_{n+1}(p_k, 0, 0, \dots, 0, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}; u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n, u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}) &= \\ \stackrel{P1}{=} I_n(p_k, 0, \dots, 0, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}; u_k, u_{k+2}, u_{k+3}, \dots, u_n, u, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) &+ \\ &+ (p_k)^{\alpha} I_2(1, 0, u_k, u_{k+1}) = \\ \stackrel{P4}{=} I_n(p_k, 0, \dots, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}; u_k, u_{k+2}, \dots, u_n, u, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) &= \\ \stackrel{\text{Inductively}}{=} I_k(p_k, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}, u_k, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the left hand side of (3.1) can be proved to be equal to (3.2). This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Postulates P 1, P 4 and P 5 imply P 2.

Proof. For $n = 2$, the result is obvious. For $n = 3$ and one of the p_i is zero, then the result follows from Lemma 3.1, Postulate P 5 and symmetry of I_2 . If all $p_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then Postulate P 1 and symmetry of I_2 give

$$(3.3) \quad I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3; u_1, u_2, u_3) = I_3(p_2, p_1, p_3; u_2, u_1, u_3).$$

Postulate P 5 and equation (3.3) imply symmetry of I_3 .

Now, we prove that I_n , $n \geq 4$ are also symmetric functions of their arguments. We complete the proof by induction on n . The result follows immediately from Lemma 3.1, Postulate P 5 and induction hypothesis if one of p_i is zero. Hence it is enough to prove the following for $p_i > 0$ for each i

$$(3.4) \quad I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = I_n(p_2, p_1, p_3, \dots, p_n; u_2, u_1, u_3, \dots, u_n)$$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n) &= \\ &= I_n(p_1, p_2, p_{k(3)}, p_{k(4)}, \dots, p_{k(n)}; u_1, u_2, u_{k(3)}, \dots, u_{k(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots, u_n) &= \\ &= I_n(p_3, p_2, p_1, p_4, \dots, p_n; u_3, u_2, u_1, u_4, \dots, u_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{k(3), k(4), \dots, k(n)\}$ is an arbitrary permutation of $\{3, 4, \dots, n\}$.

First we prove (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_n(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n) = \\
& \stackrel{P1}{=} I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; u_{1,2}, u_3, \dots, u_n) + \\
& + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) = \\
& \stackrel{\text{Symmetry of } I_2}{=} I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; u_{1,2}, u_3, \dots, u_n) + \\
& + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, u_2, u_1\right) = \\
& \stackrel{P1}{=} I_n(p_2, p_1, p_3, \dots, p_n; u_2, u_1, u_3, \dots, u_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \stackrel{P1}{=} I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; u_{1,2}, u_3, \dots, u_n) + \\
& + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) = \\
& \stackrel{\text{by the induction hypothesis}}{=} I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_{k(3)}, \dots, p_{k(n)}; u_{1,2}, u_{k(3)}, \dots, u_{k(n)}) + \\
& + (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, u_1, u_2\right) = \\
& \stackrel{P1}{=} I_n(p_1, p_2, p_{k(3)}, \dots, p_{k(n)}; u_1, u_2, u_{k(3)}, \dots, u_{k(n)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{k(3), k(4), \dots, k(n)\}$ is an arbitrary permutation of $\{3, 4, \dots, n\}$.

Finally we prove (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_n(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots, u_n) = \\
& \stackrel{P5}{=} I_n(p_{n-1}, p_n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, u_n, u_1, \dots, u_{n-2}) = \\
& \stackrel{\text{Eq. (3.5)}}{=} I_n(p_{n-1}, p_n, p_3, p_2, p_1, p_4, \dots, p_{n-2}; u_{n-1}, u_n, u_3, u_2, u_1, u_4, \dots, u_{n-2}) = \\
& \stackrel{P5}{=} I_n(p_3, p_2, p_1, p_4, \dots, p_n; u_3, u_2, u_1, u_3, \dots, u_n).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 3.1. If $I_n: A_n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, ($n = 2, 3, \dots$) satisfy Postulates P 1, P 3, P 4 and P 5, then

(3.7)

$$I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i (p_i^{2^{-i}-1} - 1) \quad \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1.$$

The proof of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 2.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the referee for his useful comments.

(Received November 25, 1986.)

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Belis and S. Guiaşu: A quantitative-qualitative measure of information in cybernetics. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory *IT-14* (1968), 593–594.
- [2] J. Havrda and F. Charvát: Quantification method of classification processes, concept of structural α -entropy. *Kybernetika* 3 (1967), 1, 30–35.

Prof. Dr. R. K. Tuteja, Dr. L. C. Singhal, Dr. Ashok Kumar, Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak — 124 001, India.