

Radim Bělohávek
Algebra grammars

Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, Vol. 3 (1995), No. 1, 73--(76)

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120497>

Terms of use:

© University of Ostrava, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Algebra grammars

RADIM BĚLOHLÁVEK

Abstract. We introduce a new way to describe formal languages. Algebra grammar is a generalization of categorial grammar introduced in [1]. It is shown that both regular and context free languages can be represented by certain types of algebra grammars.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:

The aim of this short paper is to show a new way to describe formal languages. Formal languages have been studied by many authors. In [1], the authors proposed the so called *categorial grammars* which have applications in study of natural languages. Categorial grammars are based on the concept of category which can be considered as a syntactical part of a sentence. It is proved that every categorial grammar represents a context free formal language and, on the other hand, every context free formal language can be represented by appropriate categorial grammar.

Let us recall some basic concepts. Let $\langle T(X), F \rangle$ denote the *term algebra* of type F over a countable set X of variables. An *identity* over type F is the expression of the form $p \approx q$ where $p, q \in T(X)$ for some X . A *variety* \mathcal{V} of type F is a non-void class of all algebras of type F which satisfy a given set of identities. The fact that a variety \mathcal{V} satisfies (i.e. each of its members satisfies) the identity I is denoted by $\mathcal{V} \models I$. A *language* L over an alphabet Σ is an arbitrary subset of the set of all strings over Σ , i.e. $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$. Let ϵ denote the empty string.

We are ready to introduce algebra grammars.

Definition. An *algebra grammar* is an ordered 7-tuple

$$\mathcal{AG} = \langle \Sigma, P, \mathcal{V}, F', \circ, s, c \rangle$$

where

- Σ is a finite alphabet.
- P is a finite set of primitive categories
- \mathcal{V} is a variety of algebras of type F , $F \cap P = \emptyset$
- $F' \subseteq F$
- $\circ \in F$ is an associative binary operational symbol, i.e. $\mathcal{V} \models a\circ(b\circ c) \approx (a\circ b)\circ c$
- s is an element of $P \cup F_0$, where F_0 is the set of all nullary operational symbols of F .

- c is a function which assigns to each $a \in \Sigma$ a finite subset of the support of $\langle T(P), F' \rangle$.

Elements of the support of $\langle T(P), F' \rangle$ are called categories.

Definition. A language represented by an algebra grammar $\mathcal{AG} = \langle \Sigma, P, \mathcal{V}, F', \circ, s, c \rangle$ is the set

$$L(\mathcal{AG}) = \{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^* ; \exists p_i \in c(a_i), i = 1, \dots, n : \mathcal{V} \models p_1 \circ p_2 \circ \dots \circ p_n \approx s\}.$$

In other words, $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(\mathcal{V})$ if and only if we can find appropriate categories p_i (assigned to a_i by the function c) such that we can reduce the product of p_i 's to the category s by the "rules of computation" of variety \mathcal{V} .

Example. Let \mathcal{V} be the variety of all groups, $F = \{\circ, ^{-1}, e\}$, $F' = \{^{-1}, e\}$, $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, $s = e$, $P = \{x\}$, $c(0) = x$, $c(1) = x^{-1}$. Then $L(\mathcal{AG})$ is the set of all strings over $\{0, 1\}$ which have the same number of 0's and 1's.

Proposition. Let $\mathcal{AG}_1 = \langle \Sigma, P, \mathcal{V}_1, F', \circ, s, c_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{AG}_2 = \langle \Sigma, P, \mathcal{V}_2, F', \circ, s, c_2 \rangle$ be two algebra grammars, $\mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{V}_2$, $c_1(a) \supseteq c_2(a)$ for each $a \in \Sigma$. Then $L(\mathcal{AG}_1) \supseteq L(\mathcal{AG}_2)$.

PROOF: The proof follows immediately from the fact that if $\mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{V}_2$ then $\mathcal{V}_2 \models I$ implies $\mathcal{V}_1 \models I$ for each identity I . \square

Definition. A class \mathcal{L} of languages is representable by (\mathcal{V}, F') where \mathcal{V} is a variety of type F , $F' \subseteq F$, if the following assertions are equivalent :

- $L \in \mathcal{L}$, $\epsilon \notin L$
- $L = L(\mathcal{AG})$ for some algebra grammar $\mathcal{AG} = \langle \Sigma, P, \mathcal{V}, F', \circ, s, c \rangle$.

Let $F_r = \{\triangleright, \circ, s, t\}$ where both \triangleright and \circ are binary and s, t are nullary. Let \mathcal{REG} be the variety of all algebras of type F_r satisfying the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ (y \circ z) &\approx (x \circ y) \circ z \\ (x \triangleright y) \circ (y \triangleright z) &\approx (x \triangleright z) \\ s \triangleright t &\approx s. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. The class of all regular languages is representable by $(\mathcal{REG}, \{\triangleright, s, t\})$.

PROOF: (1) Let L be a regular language represented by the regular grammar $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$, $\epsilon \notin L$. Rewriting rules of G are of the form $A \rightarrow aB$ or $A \rightarrow a$ where $A, B \in N$ and $a \in \Sigma$. Consider the algebra grammar $\mathcal{AG} = \langle \Sigma, N, \mathcal{REG}, \{\triangleright, s, t\}, \circ, s, c \rangle$ where

$$\begin{aligned} c(a) = & \{s \triangleright A; S \rightarrow aA \in R\} \cup \{A \triangleright B; A \rightarrow aB \in R\} \cup \\ & \{A \triangleright t; A \rightarrow a \in R\} \cup \{s \triangleright t; S \rightarrow a \in R\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(G)$. For $n = 1$, we have $S \rightarrow a_1 \in R$ thus $s \triangleright t \in c(a_1)$. Because of $\mathcal{V} \models (s \triangleright t) \approx s$, we have $a_1 \in L(\mathcal{AG})$. If $n > 1$, there are $S \rightarrow a_1 A_1$, $A_1 \rightarrow a_2 A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n \in R$. But then $s \triangleright A_1 \in c(a_1)$, $A_1 \triangleright A_2 \in c(a_2), \dots, A_{n-1} \triangleright t \in c(a_n)$. We can easily see that the identity

$$(s \triangleright A_1) \circ (A_1 \triangleright A_2) \circ \dots \circ (A_{n-1} \triangleright t) \approx s$$

holds in \mathcal{REG} . Thus $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(\mathcal{AG})$, $L(G) \subseteq L(\mathcal{AG})$.

Let $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(\mathcal{AG})$. For $n = 1$, there must be $s \triangleright t \in c(a_1)$ thus $S \rightarrow a_1 \in R$ and $a_1 \in L(G)$. For $n > 1$, there must be $s \triangleright A_1 \in c(a_1)$, $A_1 \triangleright A_2 \in c(a_2), \dots, A_{n-1} \triangleright t \in c(a_n)$ which implies the existence of the rules $S \rightarrow a_1 A_1$, $A_1 \rightarrow a_2 A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n$, so $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(G)$, $L(\mathcal{AG}) \subseteq L(G)$. We have proved that L is represented by \mathcal{AG} .

(2) Let $\mathcal{AG} = \langle \Sigma, P, \mathcal{REG}, \{\triangleright, s, t\}, \circ, s, c \rangle$ be an algebra grammar. The case $L(\mathcal{AG}) = \emptyset$ is trivial. Let $N' = \{p; p \triangleright q \in c(a) \text{ or } q \triangleright p \in c(a) \text{ for some } a \in \Sigma\} \cup \{s\}$. Evidently, the relation \mathcal{E} on N' defined by $\langle p, q \rangle \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\mathcal{REG} \models p \approx q$ is an equivalence. Put $N = N'/\mathcal{E}$ and for $p \in N'$ denote $[p]$ the equivalence-class containing p . Consider the regular grammar $G = (N, \Sigma, R, [s])$ where

$$\begin{aligned} R = & \{[p] \rightarrow a[q]; p \triangleright q \in c(a)\} \cup \{[p] \rightarrow a; p \triangleright t \in c(a)\} \cup \\ & \{[s] \rightarrow a; \text{ there is } p \in c(a) \text{ such that } \mathcal{REG} \models p \approx s\} \cup \\ & \{[s] \rightarrow a[t]; s \in c(a)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(G)$. Denote $r_0 = s$. Then there are $[r_0] \rightarrow a_1 [r_1]$, $[r_1] \rightarrow a_2 [r_2], \dots, [r_{n-1}] \rightarrow a_n \in R$. If $[r_{i-1}] \rightarrow a_i [r_i] \neq [s] \rightarrow a_i [t]$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ then there is $p_i = r'_{i-1} \triangleright r'_i \in c(a_i)$ such that the identities $r_{i-1} \approx r'_{i-1}$ and $r_i \approx r'_i$ hold in \mathcal{REG} . For $[r_{i-1}] \rightarrow a_i [r_i] = [s] \rightarrow a_i [t]$ there is $p_i \in c(a_i)$ such that $\mathcal{REG} \models p_i \approx s$. If $[r_{n-1}] \neq [s]$ then there is $p_n = r'_{n-1} \triangleright t \in c(a_n)$ such that $\mathcal{REG} \models r'_{n-1} \approx r_{n-1}$. If $[r_{n-1}] = [s]$ then there is $p_n \in c(a_n)$ such that $\mathcal{REG} \models p_n \approx s$. Denote $p'_i = s \triangleright t$ if $\mathcal{REG} \models p_n \approx s$ and $p'_i = p_i$ otherwise, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly $p'_1 \circ p'_2 \circ \dots \circ p'_n \approx s$ and $p_i \approx p'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ hold in \mathcal{REG} which proves $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(\mathcal{AG})$.

Let $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(\mathcal{AG})$. There are $p_i \in c(a_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $p_1 \circ \dots \circ p_n \approx s$ holds in \mathcal{REG} . Denote $p'_i = p_i$ for $p_i = p \triangleright q$ for some p, q , $p'_i = s \triangleright t$ for $p_i = s$. Then $p'_1 \circ \dots \circ p'_n \approx p_1 \circ \dots \circ p_n$ holds in \mathcal{REG} . Denote $p'_i = r_i \triangleright r'_i$. Because of $p'_1 \circ \dots \circ p'_n \approx s$, the identities $r_1 \approx s$, $r'_i \approx r_{i+1}$ (for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$), $r'_n \approx t$ hold in \mathcal{REG} . By definition of R , there are $[r_1] \rightarrow a_1 [r'_1], \dots, [r_n] \rightarrow a_n \in R$. But $[r_1] = [s]$ and $[r'_i] = [r_{i+1}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, thus $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in L(G)$. We have proved $L(\mathcal{AG}) = L(G)$. The proof is complete. \square

Let $F_{cf} = \{/, \backslash, \circ\}$ where each of F_{cf} is binary. Let \mathcal{CF} be the variety of all algebras of type F_{cf} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ (y \circ z) & \approx (x \circ y) \circ z \\ x \circ (x \backslash y) & \approx y \\ (y \circ x) / x & \approx y. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *The class of all context free languages is representable by $(\mathcal{CF}, \{/, \backslash\})$.*

PROOF: It is evident that a language L is representable by some algebra grammar $\langle \Sigma, P, \mathcal{CF}, \{/, \backslash\}, \circ, s, c \rangle$, $s \in P$, if and only if it is determined by the bidirectional categorial grammar (see [1]) (Σ, Cat, s, c) where Cat is the support of $\langle T(P), \{/, \backslash\} \rangle$. Following [1], a language L is context free if and only if it is determined by some bidirectional category grammar which completes our proof. \square

References

- [1] Bar-Hillel, Y., Gaifman, C., Shamir, E., *On categorial and phrase-structure grammars*, Bull. Res. Council. of Israel, Vol. 9F, 1960.
- [2] Burris, S., Sankappanavar, H. P., *A course to universal algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.

Address: Dept. of Computer Science
Technical University of Ostrava
tř. 17. listopadu
708 33 Ostrava-Poruba
Czech Republic