

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Ján Andres; Vladimír Vlček

Green's functions for periodic and anti-periodic BVPs to second-order ODEs

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 32 (1993), No. 1, 7--16

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120284>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

GREEN'S FUNCTIONS FOR PERIODIC AND ANTI-PERIODIC BVPs TO SECOND-ORDER ODEs

JAN ANDRES AND VLADIMÍR VLČEK

(Received January 6, 1992)

Abstract

Sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to periodic and anti-periodic boundary value problems associated to nonlinear second-order differential equations are given by means of the Schauder fixed point theorem. The appropriate Green functions are given explicitly.

Key words: Green's function, periodic and anti-periodic boundary value problems.

MS Classification: 34B10

Introduction

This paper was stimulated by an earlier note [1] by G. G. Hamedani and B. Mehri, where the explicit construction of the appropriate Green function has been employed for the solution of the second-order periodic boundary value problem (BVP)

$$x'' + kx = f(t, x, x'), \quad x^{(j)}(0) = x^{(j)}(T), \quad j = 0, 1, T > 0,$$

$k > 0$ is a suitable constant.

Here, we would like to do the same for $k < 0$ at first, and then for an arbitrary real k , when

$$x^{(j)}(0) = -x^{(j)}(T) \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1.$$

The latter is called an anti-periodic (or half-periodic) BVP.

Observe that if

$$f(t, x, y) \equiv f(t + T, x, y) \quad \text{or} \quad f(t, x, y) \equiv -f(t + T, -x, -y),$$

then the existence of T -periodic or $2T$ -periodic solutions is obtained at the same time as well.

We are, unfortunately, not very familiar with the results concerning anti-periodic BVPs except those considered in abstract spaces (see e.g. [2], [3], [4]) or those which can be deduced from the criteria to more general problems like semi-periodic BVPs (see e.g. [5], [6]) or BVPs with nonlinear boundary conditions (see e.g. [8], [9]). Nevertheless, our related statements cannot be trivially deduced from the above quoted papers, either.

Preliminaries

Consider the BVP

$$x'' + kx = f(t, x, x'), \quad f \in C[(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2], \quad (1)$$

$$x(0) + px(T) = 0, \quad x'(0) + qx'(T) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $p, q \in \{-1, 1\}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^1$. Besides (1)–(2), consider still the linear homogeneous BVP (3)–(2), where

$$x'' + kx = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad (3)$$

and $p, q \in \{-1, 1\}$.

It is well-known (see e.g. [10]) that the solution of (1)–(2) is the same as the one of

$$x(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) f[s, x(s), x'(s)] ds := F[x(t)] \quad (4)$$

as far as Green's function $G(t, s)$ to (3)–(2) exists. This is true if problem (3)–(2) has only the trivial solution (see e. g. [10] again). Applying the Schauder fixed-point theorem (see e.g. [11, p.322]), it is sufficient to show that a closed convex subset \mathbb{S} of Banach space \mathbb{B} of all continuously differentiable functions on $\langle 0, T \rangle$, with the norm

$$\|x(t)\| := \max_{t \in (0, T)} [|x(t)| + |x'(t)|],$$

exists such that

$$F(\mathbb{S}) \subset \mathbb{S}. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, it is namely well-known (see [11, p.123]) that the integral operator $F[x(t)]$ in (4) is completely continuous.

Hence, our problem reduces, in this way, to two following questions:

- I. nonexistence of any nontrivial solution to (3)-(2),
 II. validity of (5).

Let us begin with I. Substituting

$$x(t) = C_1 \cosh \sqrt{-kt} + C_2 \sinh \sqrt{-kt},$$

where $k < 0$ and $C_j \in \mathbb{R}^1$ ($j = 1, 2$), into (2), we obtain the system the determinant of which differs from zero iff

$$pq + (p + q) \cosh \sqrt{-kT} + 1 \neq 0$$

i.e.

$$(p + \cosh \sqrt{-kT})(q + \cosh \sqrt{-kT}) \neq \sinh^2 \sqrt{-kT}.$$

Therefore,

$$p \neq \lambda \sinh \sqrt{-kT} - \cosh \sqrt{-kT}$$

and

$$q \neq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sinh \sqrt{-kT} - \cosh \sqrt{-kT}$$

must be simultaneously satisfied for all real $\lambda \neq 0$.

Lemma 1 Problem (3)-(2), where $p, q \in \{-1, 1\}$, admits for $k < 0$ only the trivial solution iff

$$p = q = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad p = q = -1.$$

Remark 1 For $p = 1, q = -1$ (or $p = -1, q = 1$) problem (3)-(2) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions.

Substituting

$$x(t) = C_1 t + C_2, \quad C_j \in \mathbb{R} \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

into (2), we obtain the system the determinant of which differs from zero iff

$$(p + 1)(q + 1) \neq 0.$$

Hence, we can give

Lemma 2 Problem (3)-(2) has for $k = 0$ only the trivial solution iff

$$p \neq -1 \quad \text{and} \quad q \neq -1.$$

Remark 2 For $p = -1, q \in \mathbb{R}^1$ arbitrary (or $q = -1, p \in \mathbb{R}^1$ arbitrary) problem (3)-(2) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions.

Substituting

$$x(t) = C_1 \cos \sqrt{kt} + C_2 \sin \sqrt{kt}, \quad C_j \in \mathbb{R}^1$$

($j = 1, 2$) and $k > 0$, into (2), we obtain the system the determinant of which differs from zero iff

$$pq + (p + q) \cos \sqrt{kT} + 1 \neq 0$$

i.e.

$$(p + \cos \sqrt{kT})(q + \cos \sqrt{kT}) + \sin^2 \sqrt{kT} \neq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$p \neq \lambda \sin \sqrt{kT} - \cos \sqrt{kT}$$

and

$$q \neq \frac{-1}{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{kT} - \cos \sqrt{kT}$$

must be simultaneously satisfied for all real $\lambda \neq 0$.

Lemma 3 Problem (3)–(2), where $p, q \in \{-1, 1\}$, admits for $k > 0$ only the trivial solution iff

$$p = q = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T \neq \frac{(2m+1)\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$$

or

$$p = q = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad T \neq \frac{2m\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$$

where $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

Remark 3 For $p = 1, q = -1$ (or $p = -1, q = 1$) problem (3)–(2) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions.

Let us go on to the verification of II. Defining (see above)

$$\mathbf{S} := \{x(t) \in \mathbf{B} : \|x(t)\| \leq D, \quad D \in \mathbf{R}^+\},$$

it is clear that \mathbf{S} is closed and convex. Therefore, it is enough to show that [see (4)]

$$\|F[x(t)]\| \leq D,$$

where D is a suitable positive constant, in order to prove (5).

Assuming the existence of a piece-wise continuous function $H(t, r)$ (with the finite number of the discontinuity points) on $(0, T)$, $r \geq 0$, which is nondecreasing in r for each fixed $t \in (0, T)$ and such that

$$f(t, x, y) \leq H(t, |x| + |y|) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T), [x, y] \in \mathbf{R}^2, k \in \mathbf{R}^1 \quad (6)$$

is satisfied, we can give

Lemma 4 Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 or Lemma 2 or Lemma 3 be satisfied. If there is still a constant $D \geq 0$ such that

$$\max_{t \in (0, T)} H(t, D) \leq \frac{D}{TG}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$G = \max_{t \in (0, T)} \left\{ \max_{s \in (0, T)} \left[|G(t, s)| + \left| \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \right| \right] \right\} (> 0) \quad (8)$$

$G(t, s)$ is Green's function associated to (3)-(2), then

$$\|F[x(t)]\| \leq D \quad \text{for all } x(t) \in \mathbb{S}.$$

For the proof see [12] (cf. also [13]).

Remark 4 Conditions (6), (7) are obviously fulfilled, when constants $M_0 \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$ exist such that

$$|f(t, x, y)| \leq M_0 + M(|x| + |y|) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), [x, y] \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (9)$$

where $M < \frac{1}{GT}$.

Main results

Now, let us define the appropriate Green functions to (3)-(2).

1. $p = q = -1$ and

a) $k > 0$:

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos \sqrt{k}(t - s - \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{k} \sin \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ -\frac{\cos \sqrt{k}(t - s + \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{k} \sin \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases}$$

where $T \in (0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}})$,

b) $k < 0$:

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k}(t - s - \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{-k} \sinh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ -\frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k}(t - s + \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{-k} \sinh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases}$$

2. $p = q = 1$ and
 c) $k = 0$:

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(t - s - \frac{T}{2}) & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ \frac{1}{2}(s - t - \frac{T}{2}) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases}$$

- d) $k > 0$:

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin \sqrt{k}(t - s - \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{k} \cos \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ \frac{\sin \sqrt{k}(s - t - \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{k} \cos \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases}$$

where $T \in (0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}})$,

- e) $k < 0$:

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sinh \sqrt{-k}(t - s - \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{-k} \cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ -\frac{\sinh \sqrt{-k}(s - t - \frac{T}{2})}{2\sqrt{-k} \cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can give the principal result of the paper.

Theorem Problem (1)-(2) admits a solution, provided (9) with $M < T^{-1}G^{-1}$ [see (8)], where

$$(i) \quad G \leq \frac{\pi}{2kT}(1 + \sqrt{k}) \quad [G \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}(1 + \sqrt{k})]$$

for $p = q = -1$ and $k > 0$, $T \in (0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}})$, (cf. [1]),
 or

$$(ii) \quad G \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{kT} \quad [G < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-k}}(1 + \sqrt{-k})]$$

for $p = q = -1$ and $k < 0$,
 or

$$(iii) \quad G \leq \frac{1}{4}(T + 2)$$

for $p = q = 1$ and $k = 0$,
 or

$$(iv) \quad G \leq \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \sqrt{k}T)}(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}) \quad [G \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}(1 + \sqrt{k})]$$

for $p = q = 1$ and $k > 0$, $T \in (0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}})$,

or

$$(v) \quad G < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-k}}(1 + \sqrt{-k})$$

for $p = q = 1$ and $k < 0$.

Proof — follows immediately from Lemma 4 and Remark 4, when taking into account the following inequalities:

ad (i)

$$|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} \frac{1}{\sin \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} = \frac{\pi}{2kT} \left[\geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} \right],$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \sin \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k}T} \left[\geq \frac{1}{2} \right],$$

ad (ii)

$$|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{2\sqrt{-k} \sinh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} < \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{2\sqrt{-k} \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} = \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{kT} \left[< \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-k}} \right],$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \right| \leq \frac{\sinh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{2 \sinh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} = \frac{1}{2},$$

ad (iii)

$$|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{T}{2} = \frac{T}{4}, \quad \left| \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \right| = \frac{1}{2},$$

ad (iv)

$$|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k} \cos \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2})} = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k}(\pi - \sqrt{k}T)} \left[> \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} \right],$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \cos \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{k} \frac{T}{2})} = \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \sqrt{k}T)} \left[> \frac{1}{2} \right],$$

ad (v)

$$|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{\sinh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{2\sqrt{-k} \cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-k}} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-k}},$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} \right| \leq \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Corollary 1 If $f(t, x, y) \equiv f(t, x)$ or $f(t, x, y) \equiv f(t, y)$, then the assertion of Theorem can be obviously improved with respect to G as follows:

$$\text{ad (i)} \quad G \leq \frac{\pi}{2kT} \left[\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad G \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k}T} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\text{ad (ii)} \quad G < -\frac{\cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{kT} \left[< \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-k}} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad G \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\text{ad (iii)} \quad G \leq \frac{T}{4} \quad \text{or} \quad G = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\text{ad (iv)} \quad G \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k}(\pi - \sqrt{k}T)} \left[> \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad G \leq \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \sqrt{k}T)} \left[> \frac{1}{2} \right],$$

$$\text{ad (v)} \quad G \leq \frac{\sinh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}}{2\sqrt{-k} \cosh \sqrt{-k} \frac{T}{2}} \left[< \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-k}} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad G \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

respectively.

Concluding remarks

Remark 5 Under the slight modifications of the assumptions in Theorem, one can easily extend the above conclusions with respect to the nonhomogeneous boundary conditions, namely

$$x(0) + px(T) = A, \quad x'(0) + qx'(T) = B,$$

where $p, q \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $A, B \in \mathbf{R}^1$.

Remark 6 Another possible approach consists in the application of the a priori estimate technique. In this case the explicite construction of the appropriate Green functions is not necessary.

Example The pendulum equation

$$x'' + ax' + b \sin x = p(t)$$

possesses, according to Theorem (iii), a $2T$ -periodic solution, provided b is an arbitrary real, a is a constant with $|a| < 4T^{-1}(T+2)^{-1}$ and $p(t) \equiv -p(t+T)$ is a continuous function.

References

- [1] Hamedani, G.G., Mehri, B.: *Periodic boundary value problem for certain non-linear second order differential equation*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 9(1974), 307–312.
- [2] Haraux, A.: *Anti-periodic solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations*, Manuscripta Math. 63 (1989), 479–505.
- [3] Aizicovici, S., Pavel, N.H.: *Anti-periodic solutions to a class of nonlinear differential equations in Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Analysis 99(1991), 387–408.
- [4] Aftabizadeh, A.R., Aizicovici, S., Pavel, N.H.: *Anti-periodic boundary value problems for higher order differential equations in Hilbert spaces*. Nonlin. Anal., T.M.A. 18, 3(1992), 253–267.
- [5] Erbe, L., Palamides, P.: *Boundary value problems for second order differential systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 127(1987), 80–92.
- [6] Palamides, P.K., Erbe, L.H.: *Semi-periodic boundary value problems*. Diff. Eqns (C. M. Dafermos et al, eds.), LNPAM/118, Dekker, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [7] Erbe, L.H., Lin, X., Wu, J.: *Solvability of boundary value problems for vector differential systems*, To appear in Proc. Royal-Soc. Edinburgh.
- [8] Gaines, R.E., Mawhin, J.: *Ordinary differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, J. Diff. Eqns 26, 2(1977) 200–222.
- [9] Půža, B.: *On one class of solvable boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations of n-th order*, CMUC 30, 3(1989), 565–577.
- [10] Roach, G.F.: *Green's functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1982)
- [11] Collatz, L.: *Funkcionální analýza a numerická matematika*, SNTL, Praha 1970.
- [12] Andres, J., Vlček, V.: *On four-point regular BVPs for second-order quasi-linear ODEs*, Acta UPO, Fac. Rer. Nat., Math. XXXI, Vol. 105(1992), 37–44.
- [13] Bihari, I.: *Notes on a nonlinear integral equation*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 2(1967), 1–6.

Authors' address: Department of Mathematical Analysis
Faculty of Science
Palacký University
Tomkova 38, Hejčín
779 00 Olomouc
Czech Republic