Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium, Mathematica

Svatoslav Staněk

Three-point boundary value problem for nonlinear third-order differential equations with parameter

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 30 (1991), No. 1, 61--74

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120267

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ACTA UNIVERSITATIS PALACKIANAE OLOMUCENSIS FACULTAS RERUM NATURALIUM 1991 MATHEMATICA XXX

VOL. 100

Katedra matematické analýzy a numerické matematiky přírodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého v Olomouci Vedoucí katedry: Doc.RNDr.Jiří Kobza, CSc.

THREE-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR NONLINEAR THIRD-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH PARAMETER

SVATOSLAV STANĚK (Received January 5, 1990)

Abstract: This paper gives sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the boundary value = $y'(t_2) = y'(t_3) = 0$, depending on the parameter λ .

Key words: Third-order differential equation with a parameter, three-point boundary value problem, Schauder fixed point theorem.

MS Classification: 34B10, 34B05.

1. Introduction

Let a $\gt 0$ be a positive constant and let t_1 , t_2 , $t_3 \in R$, -∞<t $_1$ <t $_2$ <t $_3$ <∞ . Consider the differential equations

$$y''' - 4a^2y' = f(t,y,y',y'',y''',y'')$$
 (1)

and

$$y''' - 4a^2y' = g(t, y, y', y'', y'')$$
 (2)

in which $f \in C^0(Jx R^4 x I; R)$, $g \in C^0(Jx R^3 x I; R)$, where $J = \{ \langle t_1, t_3 \rangle \}$, $I = \langle b, c \rangle (-\infty \langle b \langle c \langle \infty \rangle)$, depending on the parameter A. Our aim is to give sufficient conditions on the functions f, f for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of f and f satisfying the boundary conditions

$$y'(t_1) = y(t_2) = y'(t_2) = y'(t_3) = 0.$$
 (3)

A three-point boundary value problem for the one-parameter nonlinear second-order differential equations was studied by the author in [2] and [3].

Three-point boundary value problem $y^{''} = g(t,y,y',y'')$. $F(t,y,y,y',y'',y''',y''',y',y'',y'') = y(t_1) = y(t_2) = y(t_3) = 0$ has been studied in [1] using a technique of Green's functions and the Banach fixed point theorem.

2. Notation, lemmas

Let r(t,s) = sh[a(t-s)]ch[a(t-s)] for $(t,s) \in J^2$ and let $A = (2a^2r(t_1,t_2))^{-1} (<0)$, $\Upsilon = max\{t_2-t_1, t_3-t_2\}$.

Lemma 1. Let $h \in C^0(J; R)$. Then

$$y(t) = Ash^{2}[a(t-t_{2})] \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r(t_{1},s)h(s)ds +$$

$$+ (2a^{2})^{-1} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} sh^{2}[a(t-s)]h(s)ds, \quad t \in J,$$
(4)

is the unique solution of the equation

$$y''' - 4a^2y' = h(t)$$
 (5)

satisfy⊈ng the boundary conditions

$$y'(t_1) = y(t_2) = y'(t_2) = 0.$$
 (6)

Proof. It is easy to verify that y defined by (4) is a solution of (5) satisfying (6). The uniqueness follows from the fact that the trivial solution is the unique solution of the homogeneous problem $y''' - 4a^2y' = 0$, (6).

Lemma 2. Assume that $h \in C^0(J \times I; R)$, h(t,.) is an increasing function on I for every fixed $t \in J$ and

$$h(t,b)h(t,c) \stackrel{4}{=} 0$$
 for $t \in J$. (7)

Then there exists the unique $\lambda_0 \in I$ such that the equation

$$y''' - 4a^2y' = h(t, \lambda)$$
 (8)

with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$ has a (and then the unique) solution y satisfying (3).

Proof. Setting

$$z(t,\mu) = Ash^{2}[a(t-t_{2})] \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r(t_{1},s)h(s,\mu)ds +$$

$$+ (2a^{2})^{-1} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} sh^{2}[a(t-s)]h(s,\mu)ds$$

for $(t, h) \in J \times I$, then by Lemma 1 z is the unique solution of (8), $z'(t_1, h) = z(t_2, h) = z'(t_2, h) = 0$. From the equality

$$z'(t, h) = 2Aar(t, t_2) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} r(t_1, s)h(s, h)ds +$$

$$+ a^{-1} \int_{t_2}^{t} r(t, s)h(s, h)ds$$

we see that $z'(t_3,.)$ is an increasing function on I and using (7) we get $z'(t_3,b)z'(t_3,c) \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} 0$. Consequently, $z'(t_3,\mu_0) = 0$ for the unique $\mu_0 \in I$ and the lemma is proved.

Next we shall assume that there exist positive constants $^{\rm r}{}_{\rm o}, \ ^{\rm r}{}_{\rm l}, \ ^{\rm r}{}_{\rm 2},$

$$r_1 = r_0,$$
 (9)

such that g satisfies some from the following assumptions:

$$|g(t,y,z,v,\mu)| \le 4a^2r_1$$
 for $(t,y,z,v,\mu) \in D \times I$, where D =

$$= J \times \langle -r_0, r_0 \rangle \times \langle -r_1, r_1 \rangle \times \langle -r_2, r_2 \rangle ; \qquad (10)$$

g(t,y,z,v,.) is an increasing function on I for every fixed

$$(t,y,z,v) \in D;$$
 (11)

$$g(t,y,z,v,b).g(t,y,z,v,c) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0 \text{ for } (t,y,z,v) \in D;$$
 (12)

$$\min \left\{ (4a^2r_1 + B)?, 2\sqrt{r_1}\sqrt{2a^2r_1 + B} \right\} \stackrel{\ell}{=} r_2, \text{ where}$$

$$B = \max \left\{ |g(t,y,z,v,\mu)|; (t,y,z,v,\mu) \in D \times I \right\}; \qquad (13)$$

and f satisfies some from the following assumptions:

$$|f(t,y,z,v,w,\mu)| \le 4a^2 r_1 \text{ for } (t,y,z,v,w,\mu) \in H \times I,$$
where $H = J \times \langle -r_2, r_2 \rangle \times \langle -r_1, r_1 \rangle \times \langle -r_2, r_2 \rangle \times R;$ (14)

$$f(t,y,z,v,w,.)$$
 is an increasing function on I for every fixed $(t,y,z,v,w) \in H;$ (15)

$$f(t,y,z,v,w,b).f(t,y,z,v,w,c) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0$$
for $(t,y,z,v,w) \in H;$ (16)

$$\min \left\{ (4a^{2}r_{1} + C) \mathcal{T}, 2\sqrt{r_{1}} \sqrt{2a^{2}r_{1} + C} \right\} \leq r_{2}, \text{ where } C =$$

$$= \max \left\{ |f(t,y,z,v,w,\mu)|; (t,y,z,v,w,\mu) \in H \times I \right\}; \tag{17}$$

the function w - f(t,y,z,v,w, μ) is increasing in w on R for every fixed (t,y,z,v, μ :) \in J \times <-r $_0$, r_0 > \times <-r $_1$, r_1 > \times \times <-r $_2$, r_2 > \times I . (18)

Lemma 3. Let assumptions (9), (14) - (18) be satisfied for positive constants r_0 , r_1 , r_2 and let D be as in (10). Then there exists the unique function $g_1: D \times I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g_1(t,y,z,v,_{\xi}t) = 4a^2z + f(t,y,z,v,g_1(t,y,z,v,_{\xi}t_1),_{\xi}t_1)$$
 for $(t,y,z,v,_{\xi}t_1) \in D \times I$, (19)

$$g_1 \in C^0(D \times I; R)$$
, (20)

$$|g_1(t,y,z,v,\mu) - 4a^2z| \le 4a^2r_1 \text{ for } (t,y,z,v,\mu) \in D \times I;$$
 (21)

$$g_1(t,y,z,v,.)$$
 is an increasing function on I for every fixed $(t,y,z,v) \in D$, (22)

$$[g_1(t,y,z,v,b) - 4a^2z][g_1(t,y,z,v,c) - 4a^2z] \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0 \text{ for } (t,y,z,v) \in \mathbb{D},$$
 (23)

$$\min \left\{ (4a^2r_1 + B_1) \hat{t}, 2\sqrt{r_1} \sqrt{2a^2r_1 + B_1} \right\} \stackrel{\ell}{=} r_2, \text{ where}$$

$$B_1 = \max \left\{ |g_1(t, y, z, v, l_1) - 4a^2z|; (t, y, z, v, l_2) \in D \times I \right\}. (24)$$

Proof. (See the proof of Theorem 0.1 [4]). Let $(t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, w, t_0) \in H \times I$. Setting $p(w) = w - 4a^2 z_0 - f(t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, w, t_0)$ for we R then p is an increasing function on R, $\lim_{w \to -\infty} p(w) = \frac{1}{2} \infty$ and thus there exists the unique

 $\mathbf{w}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$: $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{w}_0) = 0$. If we put $\mathbf{w}_0 = \mathbf{g}_1(\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{\lambda}_0)$ we obtain a function $\mathbf{g}_1: \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (19). From $|\mathbf{g}_1(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{\lambda}_0)|$

- $4a^2z$ | = $|f(t,y,z,v,g_1(t,y,z,v,u),\mu))| ≤ C for <math>(t,y,z,v,\nu)$ ∈ C x I it follows B_1 ≤ C and (24) holds.

Suppose g_1 is discontinuous at the point $(t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, \mu_0) \in \mathcal{D} \times I$. Then there exist a sequence $\{(t_n, y_n, z_n, v_n, \mu_n)\}$ in $\mathbb{D} \times I$ and and $\xi > 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} (t_n, y_n, z_n, v_n, \mu_n) = (t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, \mu_0)$ and

$$|g_1(t_n, y_n, z_n, v_n, \mu_n) - g_1(t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, \mu_0)| \ge \mathcal{E}$$
 (25)

Since $\left\{g_1(t_n,y_n,z_n,v_n,\mu_n)\right\}$ is bounded we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\lim_{n\to\infty}g_1(t_n,y_n,z_n,v_n,\mu_n)=w_0$ for some $w_0\in\mathbb{R}$. But we know that

$$g_1(t_n, y_n, z_n, v_n, \mu_n) = 4a^2z_n + f(t_n, y_n, z_n, v_n, g_1(t_n, y_n, z_n, v_n, \mu_n), \mu_n)$$

and hence $w_0 = 4a^2z_0 + f(t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, w_0, \mu_0)$. So $w_0 = g_1(t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, \mu_0)$. On the other hand, by (25), one has $|w_0 - g_1(t_0, y_0, z_0, v_0, \mu_0)| \ge \mathcal{E}$ which is a contradiction.

Since $|g_1(t,y,z,v,\mu) - 4a^2z| = |f(t,y,z,v,g_1(t,y,z,v,\mu),\mu)| \le 4a^2r_1$ for $(t,y,z,v,\mu) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{I}$, we get (21).

Let λ , $\mu \in I$, $\lambda \leftarrow \mu$. If $g_1(t,y,z,v,\lambda) \stackrel{\geq}{=} g_1(t,y,z,v,\lambda)$ for

some $(t,y,z,v) \in D$, then using (15), (18) and (19) we get

$$0 = g_{1}(t,y,z,v,\lambda) - 4a^{2}z - f(t,y,z,v,g_{1}(t,y,z,v,\lambda),\lambda) \ge g_{1}(t,y,z,v,\mu) - 4a^{2}z - f(t,y,z,v,g_{1}(t,y,z,v,\mu),\lambda) > g_{1}(t,y,z,v,\mu) - 4a^{2}z - f(t,y,z,v,g_{1}(t,y,z,v,\mu),\mu)$$

contradicting $g_1(t,y,z,v,\mu) - 4a^2z - f(t,y,z,v,g_1(t,y,z,v,\mu),\mu) = 0$. Thus (22) holds.

Finally we prove (23). By (15) and (16) we have $f(t,y,v,w,b) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0, \ f(t,y,z,v,w,c) \stackrel{\geq}{=} 0 \ \text{for} \ (t,y,z,v,w) \in H \ \text{and thus}$ $g_1(t,y,z,v,b) - 4a^2z = f(t,y,z,v,g_1(t,y,z,v,b),b) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0,$ $g_1(t,y,z,v,c) - 4a^2z = f(t,y,z,v,g_1(t,y,z,v,c),c) \stackrel{\geq}{=} 0$ for $(t,y,z,v) \in D$.

Lemma 4. Let assumptions (9) - (13) be satisfied for positive constants \mathbf{r}_0 , \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 . Then to every $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}^2(\mathsf{J};\,\mathsf{R})$, $|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(\mathrm{i})}(\mathsf{t})| \stackrel{\boldsymbol{\leq}}{=} \mathbf{r}_i$ for $\mathsf{t} \in \mathsf{J}$ and $\mathsf{i} = \mathsf{0}, \mathsf{1}, \mathsf{2}$, there exists the unique $\boldsymbol{\wedge}_0 \in \mathsf{I}$ such that the equation

$$y''' - 4a^2y' = g(t, \psi(t), \psi'(t), \psi''(t), h)$$
 (26)

with الم = ملم has a (and then the unique) solution y satisfying (3) and

$$|y^{(i)}(t)| \le r_i$$
 for $t \in J$, $i = 0,1,2$. (27)

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^2(J; R)$, $|\varphi^{(i)}(t)| \stackrel{!}{=} r_i$ for $t \in J$, i = 0,1,2. Set $h(t,\mu) = g(t,\varphi(t), \varphi'(t), \varphi'(t), \mu)$ for $(t,\mu) \in J \times I$. By Lemma 2 there exists the unique $\mu_0 \in I$ such that equation (8) with $\mu = \mu_0$ has a (and then the unique) solution y satisfying (3).

Assume $|y^{'}(t)| \stackrel{\xi}{=} |y^{'}(\xi)| > r_1$ for teJ with some $\xi \in J$. Let $\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \in J$ be the maximal interval that $\xi \in (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ and $|y^{'}(t)| > r_1$ for te (γ_1, γ_2) . Obvious $|y^{'}(\gamma_1)| = |y^{'}(\gamma_2)| = r_1$. If $y^{'}(\xi) > r_1(y^{'}(\xi) < -r_1)$ then $y^{'}(t) > r_1(y^{'}(t) < -r_1)$ for te (γ_1, γ_2) , thus $y^{''}(\gamma_1) \stackrel{\xi}{=} 0$ (y'' $(\gamma_1) \stackrel{\xi}{=} 0$) and using (10) we obtain $y^{''}(t) > 0$ (y''(t) < 0) for te (γ_1, γ_2) consequently,

$$\begin{split} &\text{y ``(t)} > 0 \text{ (y ``(t)} < 0) \text{ on } (\text{`'\lambda}_1,\text{`'\lambda}_2) \text{ contradicting y `(\lambda_1}_2) = \\ &= \text{r}_1 \text{ (y `(\lambda_2)} = -\text{r}_1). \text{ Therefore } |\text{y `(t)}| \stackrel{\leq}{=} \text{r}_1 \text{ for } t \in J. \end{split}$$

From the equality $y(t) = \int_{t_2}^{\infty} y'(s)ds$ and from (9) we get $|y(t)| \le r_2$ for $t \in J$.

Let $(4a^2r_1 + B)$? $\stackrel{\checkmark}{=} r_2$. Let $y''(?_i) = 0$ for i = 1, 2 where $t_1 < ?_1 < t_2 < ?_2 < t_3$. Using the equalities $y''(t) = \int_1^t y'''(s) ds$ (i = 1, 2) and the inequality $|y'''(t)| = |4a^2y'(t) + h(t, h_0)| \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} 4a^2r_1 + B$ for $t \in J$ we obtain

 $|y''(t)| \le (4a^2r_1 + B)^2 \le r_2$ for $t \in J$.

Let $2\sqrt{r_1}\sqrt{2a^2r_1+8} \stackrel{4}{=} r_2$. If y"(t) $\neq 0$ for teJ₁, where J₁ \subset J is an interval with an end point $\{$, y"($\{$) = 0, then integrating the equality

$$\frac{d}{dt} (y''(t))^2 = 4a^2 \frac{d}{dt} (y'(t))^2 + 2y''(t)h(t, \mu_0), t \in J$$

from ξ to t we obtain

$$(y''(t))^2 = 4a^2[(y'(t))^2 - (y'(\xi))^2] + 2 \begin{cases} t \\ y''(s)h(s, \mu_0)ds \end{cases} \le 8a^2r_1^2 + 4Br_1$$

for $t \in J_1$. Thus $|y''(t)| \le 2\sqrt{r_1}\sqrt{2a^2r_1 + B}$ for $t \in J$.

3. Existence theorems

Theorem 1. Assume that assumptions (9) - (13) are satisfied for positive constants \mathbf{r}_0 , \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 . Then there exists $\mu_0 \in \mathbf{I}$ such that equation (2) with $\mu = \mu_0$ has a solution y satisfying (3) and (27).

Proof. Let L = $4a^2r_1 + B$ and let X = $C^2(J; R)$ be the Banach space with the norm $||y|| = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^2 |y^{(i)}(t)|; t \in J \right\}$ for

 $y \in X$ finally let $K = \{y; y \in X, |y^{(i)}(t)| \le r_i \text{ for } t \in J, i = 0,1,2\}$. K is a closed bounded convex subset of X.

By Lemma 4 to every $\varphi \in K$ there exists the unique $\mu_0 \in I$ such that equation (26) with $\mu = \mu_0$ has the unique solution $y \in K$ satisfying (3). Setting $T(\psi) = y$ we obtain an operator $T: K \longrightarrow K$. We prove T is a completely continuous operator.

Let $\{y_n\}$, $y_n \in K$ be a convergent sequence, $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y$ and let $z_n = T(y_n)$, z = T(y). Then there exist the sequence $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$, $\mathcal{M}_n \in I$ and $\mathcal{M}_0 \in I$ such that

$$z_{n}(t) = Ash^{2}[a(t - t_{2})] \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r(t_{1}, s)g(s, y_{n}(s), y_{n}(s$$

and

$$z(t) = Ash^{2} [a(t - t_{2})] \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r(t_{1}, s)g(s, y(s), y'(s), y''(s), \lambda_{0})ds + (2a^{2})^{-1} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} sh^{2} [a(t - s)]g(s, y(s), y'(s), y''(s), \lambda_{0})ds,$$

Hence

$$z_{n}(t) = 2aAr(t,t_{2}) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r(t_{1},s)g(s,y_{n}(s),y_{n}'(s)y_{n}''(s),\mathcal{A}_{n})ds + a^{-1} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t,s)g(s,y_{n}(s),y_{n}''(s),y_{n}''(s),\mathcal{A}_{n})ds, \quad t \in J,$$

and

$$z'(t) = 2aAr(t,t_{2}) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r(t_{1},s)g(s,y(s),y'(s),y''(s),\mu_{0})ds +$$

$$+ a^{-1} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t,s)g(s,y(s),y'(s),y''(s),\mu_{0})ds, \quad t \in J.$$

If $\{ \lambda_n^{\mu} \}$ is not a convergent sequence then there exist convergent subsequences $\{ \lambda_k^{\mu} \}$, $\{ \lambda_r^{\mu} \}$, $\{ \lambda_r^{$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_{k_n}^{'}(t) = 2aAr(t,t_2) \int_{t_1}^{t} r(t_1,s)g(s,y(s),y'(s),y''(s),\lambda_1) ds + \\ + a^{-1} \int_{t_2}^{t} r(t,s)g(s,y(s),y'(s),y''(s),\lambda_1) ds ,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_{r_n}^{'}(t) = 2aAr(t,t_2) \int_{t_1}^{t} r(t_1,s)g(s,y(s),y'(s),y''(s),\lambda_2) ds + \\ + a^{-1} \int_{t_2}^{t} r(t,s)g(s,y(s),y'(s),y''(s),\lambda_2) ds$$

uniformly on J. Since $g(t,y(t),y'(t),y'(t),\lambda_1) \neq g(t,y(t),y'(t),y'(t),\lambda_2)$ for $t \in J$ (by (11)), we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_{k_n}'(t_3) < \lim_{n \to \infty} z_{r_n}'(t_3)$ contradicting $z_n'(t_3) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\{\mu_n\}$ is convergent and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \mu^*$. Then

$$(z^{*}(t) =)\lim_{n\to\infty} z_{n}(t) = Ash^{2}[a(t-t_{2})]\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r(t_{1},s)g(s,y(s),y'(s),y'(s))$$

$$y''(s), u^{*})ds + (2a^{2})^{-1}\int_{t_{2}}^{t} sh^{2}[a(t-s)]g(s,y(s),y'(s),y''(s),u^{*})ds$$

uniformly on J. Then of course $\boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{\varkappa}}$ is a solution of the equation

$$z''' - 4a^2z' = g(t,y(t),y'(t),y''(t),\mu^*),$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} z^{*\,'}(t_1) = z^{*\,'}(t_2) = z^{*\,'}(t_2) = z^{*\,'}(t_3) = 0 & \text{and it follows from} \\ \text{Lemma 4} & \mathcal{M}^{*\,} = \mathcal{M}_0 \;,\; z^{*\,} = z. \; \text{Since } \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n^{(i)}(t) = z^{(i)}(t) \; \text{uniformly} \\ \text{on J for } i = 1,2 \; \text{then } \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{y}_n) = \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{y}) \; \text{and } \mathsf{T} \; \text{is a continuous} \\ \text{operator.} \end{array}$

Next $T(K) \subset S = \{y; y \in K \cap C^3(J), |y'''(t)| \leq L \text{ for } t \in J\}$ and because S is a compact subset of X, T(K) is a compact subset of X, too.

By the Schauder fixed point theorem there exists a fixed point $y \in K$ of T which has all properties demanded in the theorem.

Theorem 2. Assume that assumptions (9) and (14) - (18) are satisfied for positive constants \mathbf{r}_0 , \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 . Then there exists $\mu_0 \in \mathbf{I}$ such that equation (1) with $\mu = \mu_0$ has a solution y satisfying (3) and (27).

Proof. By Lemma 3 there exists the unique function g_1 satisfying (19) - (24). Setting $g(t,y,z,v,h) = g_1(t,y,z,v,h) - 4a^2z$ for $(t,y,z,v,h) \in D \times I$ than g satisfies assumptions (9) - (13) and thus by Theorem 1 there exists $\mu_0 \in I$ such that equation (2) with $\mu = \mu_0$ has a solution y satisfying (3) and (27). From the equalities

$$\begin{split} &y'''(t) - 4a^2y'(t) = g(t,y(t),y'(t),y''(t),\mu_0) = \\ &= g_1(t,y(t),y'(t),y''(t),\mu_0) - 4a^2y'(t) = \\ &= f(t,y(t),y'(t),y''(t),g_1(t,y(t),y'(t),y''(t),\mu_0),\mu_0) \end{split}$$

and

$$y'''(t) = g_1(t,y(t), y'(t),y''(t),\mu_0)$$

for $t \in J$, it follows y is a solution of (1) with $c^{l_1} = c^{l_0}$ satisfying (3) and (27).

Example 1. Let t_1 = 1, $t_2 \in (1,2)$, t_3 = 2 and let m, n be positive integers. Consider the differential equation

$$y''' = 27y' + 4ty^{m}(y')^{n}sin(y'') + p(t) + \mu(1 + |yy'|),$$
 (28)

where $p \in C^0(\langle 1,2 \rangle; R)$, $|p(t)| \le 1$ for $t \in \langle 1,2 \rangle$. The assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with $r_0 = r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 9\sqrt{2}$ and $\mu \in \langle -9,9 \rangle$. Thus there exists $\mu_0 \in \langle -9,9 \rangle$ such that equation (28) with $\mu = \mu_0$ has a solution y satisfying $y'(1) = y(t_2) = y'(t_2) = y'(2) = 0$ and $|y(t)| \le 1$, $|y'(t)| \le 1$, $|y''(t)| \le 9\sqrt{2}$ for $t \in \langle 1,2 \rangle$.

Example 2. Let t_1 = 0, $t_2 \in (0,1)$, t_3 = 1 and let ν be a positive constant. Consider the differential equation

$$y''' = \hat{h} y' + t^{\circ} \exp(yy' - 1)\cos(y'') \arctan[(y''')^2 + 1] + \lambda . (29)$$

The assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied with $r_0=r_1=1$, $r_2=\sqrt{6\,\widetilde{y}}$ and $\lambda\in <-\frac{\widetilde{x}}{2}\,,\frac{\widetilde{x}}{2}>$. Consequently, there exists $\lambda_0\in <-\frac{\widetilde{x}}{2}\,,\frac{\widetilde{x}}{2}> \text{ such that equation (29) has a solution y}$ satisfying $y'(0)=y(t_2)=y'(t_2)=y'(1)=0$ and $|y(t)|\leq 1$, $|y''(t)|\leq 1$, $|y''(t)|\leq 1$, $|y''(t)|\leq \sqrt{6\,\widetilde{x}}$ for $t\in <0,1>$.

4. Uniqueness theorems

Theorem 3. Assume that assumptions (9) - (13) are satisfied for positive constants r_0 , r_1 , r_2 . If $\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}$, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}$, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}$ $\in \mathbb{C}^0(DxI; R)$,

$$4a^{2} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(t, y_{1}, z_{1}, v, u) \ge \frac{c_{0}g}{c_{0}y}(t, y_{2}, z_{2}, v, u)(t_{2} - t) \ge 0 \text{ for}$$

$$(t, y_{1}, z_{1}, v, u) \in \angle t_{1}, t_{2} > x \leftarrow r_{0}, r_{0} > x \leftarrow r_{1}, r_{1} > x$$

$$x \leftarrow r_{2}, r_{2} > x \text{ I, } i = 1, 2$$

$$(30)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(t,y,z,v,\mu) \stackrel{\geq}{=} 0, \quad 4a^{2} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(t,y,z,v,\mu) \stackrel{\geq}{=} 0 \text{ for}$$

$$(t,y,z,v,\mu) \in \langle t_{2},t_{3}\rangle x \langle -r_{0},r_{0}\rangle x \langle -r_{1},r_{1}\rangle x \langle -r_{2},r_{2}\rangle x I$$

$$(31)$$

then there exists the unique $\mu_0 \in I$ such that equation (2) with $\mu = \mu_0$ has a solution y satisfying (3) and (27). Moreover this solution y is unique.

Proof. By Theorem 1 there exists $\mathcal{L}_0 \in I$ such that equation (2) with $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0$ has a solution y satisfying (3) and (27). Suppose there exists $\mathcal{L}_1 \in I$, $\mathcal{L}_0 \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} \mathcal{L}_1$, such that equation (2) with $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1$ has a solution y_1 , $y_1(t_1) = y_1(t_2) = y_1(t_2) = y_1(t_3) = 0$ and $|y_1^{(i)}(t)| \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} r_i$ for $t \in J$, i = 0, 1, 2. Set $w = y - y_1$. Then $w'(t_1) = w(t_2) = w'(t_2) = w'(t_3) = 0$ and from the equality

$$\begin{split} w^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) &= 4a^2w^{\prime}(t) + \left[g(t,y(t),y^{\prime}(t),y^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_0) - \\ &- g(t,y_1(t),y^{\prime}(t),y^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_0)\right] + \left[g(t,y_1(t),y^{\prime}(t),y^{\prime\prime}(t),y^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_0)\right] + \\ &+ \left[g(t,y_1(t),y_1^{\prime}(t),y^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_0) - g(t,y_1(t),y_1^{\prime\prime}(t),y^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_0)\right] + \\ &+ \left[g(t,y_1(t),y_1^{\prime}(t),y^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_0) - g(t,y_1(t),y_1^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_0)\right] - \\ &- g(t,y_1(t),y_1^{\prime\prime}(t),y_1^{\prime\prime}(t),\mathcal{A}_1)\right], \quad t \in J, \end{split}$$

we get

$$w'''(t) = A(t)w(t) + B(t)w'(t) + \frac{1}{4}(t)w''(t) + a(t),$$

$$t \in J,$$
(32)

where a, α , β , $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^0(J; R)$, $\lambda(t) \ge 0$ for $t \in J$, $\beta(t) = -\lambda(t)(t_2 - t) \ge 0$ for $t \in \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$, $\beta(t) \ge 0$ for $t \in \langle t_2, t_3 \rangle$ (by (30) and (31)) and a(t) < 0 (a(t) = 0) for $t \in J$ if and only of $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1(\lambda_0 = \lambda_1)$.

From equality (32) we obtain

$$w''(t) = \exp\left(\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \sqrt{l(s)ds}\right) \left\{ w''(t_{2}) + \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \exp\left(-\int_{t_{2}}^{s} \sqrt{l(r)dr}\right) \left[d(s)w(s) + \int_{t_{2}}^{s} \sqrt{l(r)dr}\right) \left[d(s)w(s) + \int_{t_{2}}^{s} \sqrt{l(r)dr}\right] \left[d(s)w(s) + \int_{t_{2}}^{s$$

and next

$$\mathbf{w}'(t) = \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \exp\left(\int_{t_{2}}^{s} \psi'(\tau) d\tau\right) \left\{ \mathbf{w}''(t_{2}) + \int_{t_{2}}^{s} \exp\left(-\int_{t_{2}}^{\tau} \psi(v) dv\right) \left[\psi'(\tau) \mathbf{w}(\tau) + \int_{t_{2}}^{s} \exp\left(-\int_{t_{2}}^{s} \psi(v) dv\right) \left[\psi'(\tau) \mathbf{w}(\tau) + \int_{t_{2}}^{s} \psi(v) dv\right] \left[\psi'(\tau) \mathbf{w}(\tau) +$$

 $+\beta(7)w'(7) + a(7)]d7$ ds, $t \in J$.

If w"(t₂) < 0 then w(t) < 0, w'(t) < 0 on an interval (t₂,x₁)c c < t₂,t₃ >, thus \angle (t)w(t) + B(t)w'(t) $\stackrel{\leq}{=}$ 0 for t \in (t₂,x₁) and

(by (34)) $w'(x_1) < 0$. Consequently, $w'(t_3) < 0$ contradicting $w'(t_3) = 0$.

If w"(t₂)>0 then w(t)>0, w'(t)<0, w"(t)>0 on an interval $(x_0,t_2) \in \langle t_1,t_2 \rangle$. Since w'(t)< w'(s) for $x_0 \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} t \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} s \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} t_2$, then $\langle (t) \rangle (t) = -\langle (t) \rangle (t) \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} w'(s) ds \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} -\langle (t) \rangle (t) (t_2 - t)$ and $\langle (t) \rangle (t) + \langle (t) \rangle (t) \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} (-\langle (t) \rangle (t_2 - t) + \langle (t) \rangle (t) \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} 0$ for $t \in (x_0,t_2)$. From (33) it follows w"(x₀)>0 and thus w"(t)>0 for $t \in \langle t_1,t_2 \rangle$. Then of course w'(t₁)<0 contradicting w'(t₁)=0.

Let w"(t₂) = 0. If $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}_1$ then from the uniqueness theorem for the initial value problem for the equation y" = $\mathcal{A}(t)y + \mathcal{A}(t)y' + \mathcal{A}(t)y''$ it follows w = 0 and thus y = $\mathcal{A}(t)y'' + \mathcal{A}(t)y'''$ then w"(t₂) = $\mathcal{A}(t)y'' + \mathcal{A}(t)y'' + \mathcal{A}(t)y''$ consequently, w(t) < 0, w'(t) < 0 in a right neighbourhood of the point t₂ and analogously as in the case w"(t₂) < 0 we can prove w'(t₃) < 0 contradicting w'(t₃) = 0. This completes the proof.

Example 3. Let t_1 = 1, $t_2 \in (1,2)$, t_3 = 2 and let m, n be positive integers. Consider the differential equation

 $y^{\prime\prime\prime}=27y^{\prime}+2te^{y-1}(1-\arctan y)(\sin y^{\prime\prime})^2+p(t)+\mu, \quad (35)$ where $p\in C^0(<1,2)$; R), $|p(t)| \leq \frac{11}{2}$ for $t\in <1,2>$. Assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied with $r_0=r_1=1$, $r_2=9\sqrt{2}$ and $\mu\in <-\frac{27}{2},\frac{27}{2}>$. Thus there exists the unique $\mu_0\in <-\frac{27}{2},\frac{27}{2}>$ such that equation (35) with $\mu=\mu_0$ has a (and then the unique) solution y satisfying $y^{\prime}(1)=y(t_2)=y^{\prime}(t_2)=y^{\prime}(2)=0$ and moreover $|y(t)| \leq 1$, $|y^{\prime\prime}(t)| \leq 1$, $|y^{\prime\prime}(t)| \leq 9\sqrt{2}$ for $t\in <1,2>$.

Theorem 4. Assume that assumptions (9) and (14) - (18) are satisfied for positive constants r_0 , r_1 , r_2 . If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} \in C^0(H \times I; R), 1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} \neq 0 \text{ on } H \times I,$$

$$4a^{2} + \frac{4a^{2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, y_{1}, z_{1}, v, w_{1}, \lambda)}{1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(t, y_{1}, z_{1}, v, w_{1}, \lambda)} \stackrel{\geq}{=} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y_{2}, z_{2}, v, w_{2}, \lambda)}{1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(t, y_{2}, z_{2}, v, w_{2}, \lambda)} (t_{2} - t) \stackrel{\succeq}{=}$$

$$\stackrel{\mathtt{b}}{=} 0 \text{ for } (\mathtt{t}, \mathtt{y}_{\mathtt{i}}, \mathtt{z}_{\mathtt{i}}, \mathtt{v}, \mathtt{w}_{\mathtt{i}}, \mathtt{y}_{\mathtt{i}}) \in \langle \mathtt{t}_{\mathtt{1}}, \mathtt{t}_{\mathtt{2}} \rangle \times \langle \mathtt{r}_{\mathtt{0}}, \mathtt{r}_{\mathtt{0}} \rangle \times \langle \mathtt{r}_{\mathtt{1}}, \mathtt{r}_{\mathtt{1}} \rangle \times \langle \mathtt{r}_{\mathtt{1$$

$$x < -r_2, r_2 > x R x I$$
, $i = 1, 2$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \stackrel{\ge}{=} 0$, $4a^2 + \frac{4a^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}}{1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}} \stackrel{\ge}{=} 0$

on $\langle \mathbf{t}_2, \mathbf{t}_3 \rangle x \langle -\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_0 \rangle x \langle -\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle x \langle -\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle x \, \mathbf{R} \, x \, \mathbf{I}$, then there exists the unique $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathbf{I}$ such that equation (1) with $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0$ has a solution y satisfying (3) and (27). Moreover this solution y is unique.

Proof. By Lemma 3 there exists the unique function g_1 satisfying (19) - (24). Since equation (1) is equivalent to equation (2) with $g(t,y,z,v,\lambda) = g_1(t,y,z,v,\lambda) - 4a^2z$ and

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}}{1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = \frac{4a^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}}{1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}}, \text{ the theorem follows immediately}$$

from Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pach patte, B.G.: On a certain boundary value problem for third order differential equations, An.st.Univ.Iasi, XXXII, f.1, s.Ia, Mathematica, (1986), 55-61.
- [2] S t a n ĕ k, S.:Three-point boundary value problem of nonlinear second order differential equation with parameter, (to appear).
- [3] S t a n ĕ k, S.: Three-point boundary value problem of retarded functional differential equation of the second order with parameter, (to appear).
- [4] T in e o, A.: Existence of solutions for a class of boundary value problems for the equation x = F(t,x,x',x'), Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae, 29, 2(1988), 285-291.

Department of Math.Analysis Palacký University Vídeňská 15, 771 46 Olomouc Czechoslovakia

Acta UPO, Fac.rer.nat. 100, Mathematica XXX (1991) • 61 - 74.